

Infrastructure + Evaluation Framework

This framework combines the City's six-factor infrastructure rubric with the PRW Commission Bond selection concepts. Use Low / Medium / High ratings (1 / 2 / 3). Weighted score = (Rating + 3) × Weight.

Criterion Code	Short Name	Weight	Primary intent	Evaluation question	What it captures	What it does not capture / guardrail	Illustrative indicators / evidence	Low (1)	Medium (2)	High (3)	Scoring note
HLS	Health, Life & Safety	20	Immediate risk reduction	Does the project materially reduce risk of physical harm or protect public well-being?	Life-safety hazards, emergency response, severe sanitation issues, major public health exposure, critical operational safety.	Do not inflate score solely because a project is useful, popular, or generally in poor condition. The question is severity and immediacy of risk to people.	Seismic deficiencies; structural failure risk; unsafe access; fire/life-safety issues; emergency operations dependency; sanitation gaps.	Minimal, indirect, or unclear safety / health impact.	Moderate or preventative safety / health benefit; risk exists but is not acute.	Directly addresses a significant safety hazard, urgent health issue, or critical operational risk.	Strongest criterion for fire, dispatch, seismic life-safety, hazardous conditions, and basic sanitation projects.
IAR	Infrastructure Condition, Accessibility & Resilience	20	Long-term infrastructure resilience, reduced liability, long-term costs, and economic resilience	Will the investment improve asset condition, accessibility, durability, or lifecycle performance and reduce liability and long-term costs? Does this project provide economic resilience?	Deferred maintenance, ADA access, system replacement, durability, long-term serviceability, resilience to operational and physical stressors; ability to generate revenue.	Do not use this criterion to score popularity or immediate health risk; that belongs in CUE or HLS. Climate-specific benefit may overlap but should only drive CRS when it is a major differentiator.	Asset age; failure trajectory; maintenance backlog; ADA barriers; useful life extension; replacement of obsolete systems; ability to generate revenue.	Limited lifecycle benefit; short-term fix; little improvement to condition or access.	Moderate repair / modernization that improves performance or access.	Major replacement or system upgrade that substantially extends useful life or addresses serious condition / ADA deficiencies.	Best used to capture the difference between reactive patching and durable capital improvement.
CUE	Community Use & Equity	20	Public benefit and access	Does the project deliver meaningful public use and equitable community benefit?	Usage intensity, community access, service to underserved or historically underinvested populations, inclusion benefits.	Do not rely on volume of users alone. A high-use project in a well-served area may still score Medium if equity benefit is weak.	High attendance/use; equity-priority geography; underserved population served; gap in access to recreation, services, or public space.	Limited or unclear community benefit; weak equity case.	General public benefit with some equity considerations, or strong use but less targeted equity value.	Clear and meaningful benefit to underserved / high need communities and/or major improvement to equitable access.	Where use and equity diverge, scorer should explain tradeoff in notes.
GRS	Green Resiliency & Sustainability	20	Direct climate benefit	Does the project materially reduce climate risk or produce meaningful sustainability gains above standard modernization?	Sea level rise adaptation, heat mitigation, electrification with measurable operational change, stormwater capture, decarbonization, climate hazard reduction, resilient backup power and critical service continuity.	Important guardrail: because sustainability features are likely woven into many projects, do not score High merely because a project will be modern, more efficient, or code compliant. High requires direct and material climate benefit.	Sea level rise adaptation; shoreline protection; tree canopy/heat island reduction; building electrification replacing fossil systems; stormwater green infrastructure; emissions reduction; improving preparedness/response capacity.	No meaningful climate benefit beyond ordinary modernization, or benefit is indirect / minimal.	Some sustainability or resilience benefit is likely, but secondary, partial, or not yet well documented.	Climate adaptation / decarbonization is a clear and material project outcome or core rationale.	Use the Climate Additionality Check on the scoring sheet to document whether climate value is direct vs baseline.
DR	Deliverability & Readiness	10	Implementation feasibility	Can the City move this project forward in a realistic timeframe?	Defined scope, design progress, permitting/environmental status, land control, matching funds, organizational capacity, stakeholder alignment.	Do not score based on desirability. A worthy project can still be low-readiness if key prerequisites are missing.	Existing design; conceptual plan; environmental review; permitting; site control; matching funds identified; project phase notes.	Early stage; major unknowns; unclear scope or approvals; unlikely to advance soon.	Partially developed; feasible but needs more coordination, approvals, or design.	Scope defined and major prerequisites substantially in place; near-term implementation feasible.	Useful for distinguishing shovel-near projects from aspirational projects.
EFP	External Funding Potential	10	Leverage of City dollars	Can the project attract grants, match funds, partnerships, or other outside resources?	Grant competitiveness, match-readiness, identified external funding source, partnership leverage.	Do not confuse "City has no other way to pay" with leverage. Lack of alternatives may be important context, but high EFP requires a realistic outside funding path.	Grant eligibility; identified program; local match requirement; pending State/Federal funds; partnership commitment.	Limited or no realistic external funding pathway.	Possible eligibility or moderate leverage potential, but uncertain or not yet developed.	Strongly positioned to unlock outside dollars or meet a specific matching opportunity.	Score based on realistic competitiveness, not hypothetical future grant hunting.

Rating Label	Meaning	Numeric Value (NV)	Weighted score formula	Priority bands
Low	Limited / weak / early / indirect	1	NV/3*Weight	Lower Priority: Below 50
Medium	Moderate / mixed / partial	2	NV/3*Weight	Medium Priority: 50-74
High	Strong / direct / material / well-supported	3	NV/3*Weight	High Priority: 75-100

Potential Infrastructure Project List | Scoring

Item #	District	Dept. Lead	Project Name	Description	Est. Cost (Prior)	Updated Costs	Staff Recommendation	Health, Life & Safety Rating	HLS Score	Inf. Condition, Accessibility & Resilience Rating	IAR Score	Community Use & Equity Rating	CUE Score	Climate / Sustainability Rating	CRS Score	Deliverability & Readiness Rating	DR Score	External Funding Potential Rating	EFP Score	Total Score	Priority Band
1	D2	PRW	Frances Albrier Community Center	Community Recreation Facility Replacement. Replaces the Frances Albrier Community Center, the only major City community facility — recreation, senior, or library — that has not been rebuilt or funded for required seismic upgrades. Located in San Pablo Park, this high-use facility is significantly undersized and requires modernization to better serve community needs. A conceptual plan for a new facility was completed through T1 Phase 1 in 2019.	\$ 30,000,000	\$33,500,000	\$ 33,500,000	3	20	3	20	3	20	1.3	8.6666667	2	6.666667	1	3.333333	78.66666667	High Priority
2	D5	PRW	King Pool (25 Meter) & Locker Room	Full Replacement/Modernization. Replaces existing locker rooms and builds new 25 Meter pool to replace existing 25 yard and dive pools. 25 Meter pool will double programmatic use of existing facility.	\$ 25,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	2	13.33333333	2.5	16.66667	2.5	16.66667	1	6.666667	2	6.666667	1	3.333333	63.33333333	Medium Priority
3	D3	PRW	Adeline Corridor Open Space	Equity-Focused Open Space Expansion. Funds design and construction of four new or expanded open space areas within the Adeline Corridor Plan area south of Ashby Avenue, expanding park access in an equity-priority area. Federal resources have been secured for the design phase.	\$ 6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	1	6.66666667	2	13.33333	3	20	2.3	15.333333	2	6.666667	3	10	72	Medium Priority
4	D1	PRW	Cedar Rose Restroom	High-Use Greenway Restroom Replacement. Replaces the existing block restroom at Cedar Rose Park with a smart restroom and adds a high-volume restroom along the Ohlone Greenway to meet growing public demand.	\$ 500,000	500,000	\$ 500,000	2.5	16.66666667	2	13.33333	2.5	16.66667	1	6.666667	2	6.666667	0	0	60	Medium Priority
5	D6	PRW	Codornices Restroom	High-Use Park Restroom Replacement. Replaces the existing block restroom at Codornices Park with a smart restroom, providing a higher-volume, more secure restroom facility in one of Berkeley's most popular and well-used parks.	\$ 500,000	500,000	\$ 500,000	2	13.33333333	2	13.33333	2.5	16.66667	1	6.666667	2	6.666667	0	0	56.66666667	Medium Priority
6	D1	PRW	Harrison Park Restroom	Sports Field and Skate Park Restroom Upgrade. Modernizes the 26-year-old restroom serving Harrison Park's high-volume sports fields and skate park to improve accessibility, usability, and public convenience. Conceptual design was funded and completed through T1 Phase 2.	\$ 750,000	\$1,250,000	\$ 1,250,000	2	13.33333333	2.5	16.66667	3	20	1	6.666667	3	10	0	0	66.66666667	Medium Priority
7	D1	PRW	Waterfront Restroom	Waterfront Restroom Replacement. Replaces existing portable toilets on the south side of Spinnaker Way with a permanent smart restroom to better support waterfront visitors and public access.	\$ 750,000	\$750,000	\$ 750,000	2	13.33333333	2	13.33333	3	20	1	6.666667	2	6.666667	0	0	60	Medium Priority
8	D2	PRW	Aquatic Park: Dreamland Area (20% funding)	Plan Implementation. Advances the community-vetted Dreamland Area Plan completed in 2025 to support increased recreation and waterfront activation. This funding will augment other sources or serve as a grant match to complete funding of \$8M plan.	\$ 2,100,000	\$2,100,000	\$ -	1	6.66666667	2	13.33333	2.5	16.66667	2.5	16.66667	2.5	8.333333	3	10	71.66666667	Medium Priority
9	D1	PRW	Cedar Rose 2-5 Play Structure	Play Area Modernization. Upgrades the heavily used Cedar Rose 2-5 play area to meet current safety and accessibility standards. The 5-12 play structure is being completed with Parks Tax funding in 2027, and this project is fully designed.	\$ 2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	2.5	16.66666667	2	13.33333	2.5	16.66667	1	6.666667	3	10	0	0	63.33333333	Medium Priority
10	D6	PRW	Glendale LaLoma Park Improvements: Playgrounds, Parking, Paths	Neighborhood Park Accessibility and Safety Improvements. Improves Glendale La Loma Park with new play structures, parking lot upgrades, and safer, more accessible pathways throughout the multi-level park. The project is currently in conceptual design.	\$ 3,500,000	\$3,700,000	\$ 3,700,000	3	20	3	20	2.5	16.66667	2	13.333333	3	10	1	3.333333	83.33333333	High Priority
11	Various	PRW	Dog Parks- City Wide	New Facilities. Adds 4-6 dog parks across Berkeley to address significant community demand. Community input will help determine potential locations.	\$ 2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$ -	1.5	10	1	6.666667	1.5	10	1.5	10	3	10	0	0	46.66666667	Lower Priority
12	D2	PRW	Harrison Field Conversion to Artificial Turf	Sports Field Renovation and Capacity Expansion. Replaces failing natural grass fields at Harrison Park with artificial turf using environmentally friendly cork infill. The project will increase field capacity, reduce maintenance needs, and support expanded year-round recreational use.	\$ 6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$ 6,000,000	1	6.66666667	3	20	3	20	2	13.333333	2	6.666667	0.5	1.666667	68.33333333	Medium Priority
13	D5	PRW	Live Oak Soccer, Basketball Courts and Lighting	Facility Modernization. Converts existing grass turf area to artificial turf with environmentally friendly cork "in-fill", levels basketball and volleyball courts, improves lighting, and makes area more usable year round.	\$ 3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$ -	1.5	10	2.5	16.66667	1.5	10	1.5	10	1.5	5	2	6.666667	58.33333333	Medium Priority
14	D2	PRW	San Pablo Sports Field Irrigation and Drainage	System Replacement. Fixes failing irrigation and drainage at the City's most used sports fields.	\$ 1,600,000	\$1,600,000	\$ -	2	13.33333333	3	20	3	20	3	20	1.5	5	2.5	8.333333	86.66666667	High Priority
15	D1	PRW	Waterfront Perimeter Pathway	Waterfront Park Pathway Modernization. Provides 60% of the funding needed for the Waterfront Park perimeter pathway project, which was designed in 2025 using State Coastal Conservancy grant funds. The remaining funding gap is anticipated to be addressed through additional grant funding or Parks Tax resources.	\$ 2,250,000	\$2,250,000	\$ 2,250,000	3	20	3	20	3	20	1.5	10	3	10	3	10	90	High Priority
16	D2	PRW	Marina Blvd Sea Level Rise and Bay Trail	Sea-Level-Rise Resilience and Bay Trail Improvement. Raises and renovates the Marina Boulevard pathway to Bay Trail standards, improving waterfront resilience and connecting the pathway from University Avenue to Waterfront Park. This funding would serve as a 50% match for a potential grant to complete the project. Design is currently funded by a State Coastal Conservancy grant.	\$ 1,900,000	\$1,900,000	\$ 1,900,000	3	20	3	20	3	20	3	20	2	6.666667	3	10	96.66666667	High Priority
17	D2	PRW	Marina Docks Timber Pile Replacement - 130 piles	Marina Infrastructure Stabilization. Replaces the remaining timber piles in the Marina with concrete piles, stabilizing all docks and extending their useful life by 50 or more years. Conceptual design and permitting were completed in 2024.	\$ 6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$ 3,000,000	2.5	16.66666667	3	20	1	6.666667	2	13.333333	3	10	0	0	66.66666667	Medium Priority

Item #	District	Dept. Lead	Project Name	Description	Est. Cost (Prior)	Updated Costs	Staff Recommendation	Health, Life & Safety Rating	HLS Score	Inf. Condition, Accessibility & Resilience Rating	IAR Score	Community Use & Equity Rating	CUE Score	Climate / Sustainability Rating	CRS Score	Deliverability & Readiness Rating	DR Score	External Funding Potential Rating	FFP Score	Total Score	Priority Band
18	D2	PRW	Seawall Drive and Bay Trail from Adventure Playground to Pier	Shoreline and Bay Trail Access Improvements. Provides funding to help complete the Bay Trail connection from Adventure Playground to the Pier and advance Seawall Drive improvements. This funding would serve as a 20% match for a potential grant to complete the project.	\$ 5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	3	20	3	20	3	20	3	20	2	6.666667	3	10	96.66666667	High Priority
19	D2	PRW	South Cove Seawall	Critical Seawall Replacement. Replaces the South Cove seawall to prevent imminent failure that could close public access to South Cove. Conceptual design is currently in progress.	\$ 5,000,000	\$5,300,000	\$ 5,300,000	3	20	3	20	2	13.333333	3	20	2.5	8.333333	0	0	81.66666667	High Priority
20	D2	PRW	University Ave Sea Level Rise and Bike Park	Shoreline Improvements. Addresses Sea level Rise vulnerabilities from Frontage Road to Marina Blvd and constructs a Bike Park adjacent to University Ave in Marina. Funding will be used as a \$50% match for a potential grant	\$ 2,750,000	\$2,750,000	\$ -	2.5	16.66666667	2.5	16.66667	1	6.6666667	2	13.333333	2	6.666667	2.5	8.333333	68.33333333	Medium Priority
21	D7	PRW	Dwight/ Telegraph Open Space Improvements	Urban Open Space Expansion. Expands and improves the Dwight/Telegraph open space following completion of the Telegraph Multimodal Plan, creating a more usable hardscape public space in a high-traffic urban corridor.		\$3,500,000	\$ 3,500,000	2.5	16.66666667	2	13.33333	2	13.333333	1.5	10	2	6.666667	2	6.666667	66.66666667	Medium Priority
22	D2	PRW	Sacramento Median Conversion to Native Plant Garden (Bancroft to University)	Native Plant Median Conversion. Converts three long blocks of the Sacramento Street median, from Bancroft Way to University Avenue, into a native plant garden. Work includes soil replacement, new irrigation, and installation of native plantings.		\$1,300,000	\$ 1,300,000	1	6.66666667	3	20	2	13.333333	3	20	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	66.66666667	Medium Priority
23	D1/D2	PRW	University Ave Median Conversion to Native Plant Garden (6th- 9th)	Native Plant Median Conversion. Converts four blocks of the University Avenue median, from 6th Street to 9th Street, into a native plant garden. Work includes soil replacement, new irrigation, and installation of native plantings.		\$260,000	\$ 260,000	0.5	3.333333333	3	20	2	13.333333	3	20	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	63.33333333	Medium Priority
24	D7	PRW	Piedmont Avenue Conversion to Native Plant Garden (dwight to Channing)	Native Plant Conversion. Converts three blocks into Native plant garden. Cost includes replacing soil, installing new irrigation and new plants.		\$500,000	\$ -	0.5	3.333333333	3	20	0	0	3	20	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	50	Medium Priority
25	D8	PRW	Monkey Island / Garber Path improvements	Park Access and Pathway Improvements. Improves Monkey Island Park and the Garber Path right-of-way through ADA accessibility upgrades, potential park expansion, new stairs, landscaping, electrical improvements, and pathway enhancements.		\$3,300,000	\$ 3,300,000	0.5	3.333333333	3	20	1.5	10	2	13.333333	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	53.33333333	Medium Priority
21	Various	PW	50/50 Sidewalk Program (50 % funding)	Sidewalk Accessibility and Safety Improvements. Addresses the citywide backlog of cracked, uneven, and unsafe sidewalks while advancing ADA accessibility upgrades through the City's shared-cost 50/50 sidewalk program. Under this program, 50% of eligible project costs are paid by individual property owners	\$ 14,000,000	\$14,600,000	\$ 14,600,000	0.5	3.333333333	3	20	3	20	2.5	16.666667	2	6.666667	1	3.333333	70	Medium Priority
22	Various	PW	ADA Barrier Removal Projects	Citywide ADA Accessibility Improvements. Funds the backlog of accessibility improvements identified through the City's ADA Transition Plan, including path-of-travel upgrades, restroom improvements, signage, and removal of facility access barriers	\$ 4,342,000	\$4,530,000	\$ 4,530,000	1	6.66666667	3	20	3	20	2.5	16.666667	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	70	Medium Priority
23	D2	PW	Animal Services Facility Modernization	Animal Services Facility Modernization. Replaces or upgrades major building systems, including roofing, HVAC, drainage, electrical, and plumbing, while supporting programmatic improvements to improve operational efficiency and long-term facility performance.	\$ 1,996,000	\$3,650,000	\$ 3,650,000	3	20	3	20	3	20	1	6.6666667	2	6.666667	1	3.333333	76.66666667	High Priority
24	D3	PW	2636 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue Berkeley Mental Health Services Building Annex Renovations (HHCS) (40% funding)	Behavioral Health Facility Replacement. Provides 40% of the estimated \$8.0 million project cost for a new two-story mental health services building that will expand program capacity and fully replace the existing aging structure. The remaining project funding is through an awarded BHCIP State grant.	\$ 3,250,000	\$3,390,000	\$ 3,390,000	3	20	3	20	3	20	1	6.6666667	3	10	3	10	86.66666667	High Priority
25	D3	PW	South Berkeley Senior Center Improvements (HHCS)	Community Center Mechanical System Upgrade. Replaces end-of-life HVAC and related mechanical components to ensure reliable operations at the senior center. This funding will be combined with \$5.5 million in T1 Phase 2 funding for seismic upgrades.	\$ 3,900,000	\$3,590,000	\$ 3,590,000	1	6.666666667	3	20	3	20	2	13.333333	3	10	2	6.666667	76.66666667	High Priority
26	D1	PW	West Berkeley Family Wellness Center Modernization (HHCS)	Facility Modernization. Repairs and upgrades windows, flooring, HVAC, seismic components, electrical systems, and ADA features to address deferred maintenance.	\$ 6,500,000	\$15,120,000	\$ -	2	13.33333333	3	20	3	20	1	6.6666667	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	66.66666667	Medium Priority
27	D4	PW	1947 Center Street- City Office's MLK Jr. Civic Center Elevator Replacement	Facility Modernization. Addresses seismic upgrades, HVAC, electrical, elevator replacement, hazardous materials abatement, and ADA improvements. Funding for window replacement is identified in T1 Phase 2.	\$ 18,306,000	\$24,660,000	\$ -	3	20	3	20	3	20	1	6.6666667	1	3.333333	2	6.666667	76.66666667	High Priority
27b	D4	PW	1947 Center Street- City Office's MLK Jr. Civic Center Elevator Replacement	Civic Office Building Modernization. Replaces existing elevators and associated equipment, including machinery, controls, electrical systems, and related ancillary improvements, to improve reliability, safety, and accessibility.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,300,000	3	20	3	20	3	20	1	6.6666667	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	73.33333333	Medium Priority
28	D4	PW	2180 Milvia Street - City Hall MLK Jr. Civic Center Building Modernization	City Hall Facility Modernization. Replaces end-of-life mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems; addresses hazardous materials; and includes waterproofing and ADA improvements for the 89,000-square-foot civic building.	\$ 11,745,000	\$27,000,000	\$ 11,745,000	3	20	3	20	3	20	1	6.6666667	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	73.33333333	Medium Priority
29	D4	PW	2100 Martin Luther King Jr Avenue Public Safety Building Modernization	Public Safety Facility Modernization. Updates the 24-hour public safety building through programmatic, structural, and ADA improvements. The project includes renovation of the 911 Dispatch Center to support rising call volumes, modernize critical infrastructure, and create a safer, more efficient workspace. Improvements include expanding the operations floor, upgrading technology and HVAC systems, and adding training and wellness spaces to support operational readiness and staff retention.	\$ 8,405,000	\$18,430,000	\$ 18,430,000	3	20	2	13.33333	2	13.333333	1	6.6666667	1	3.333333	1	3.333333	60	Medium Priority

Item #	District	Dept. Lead	Project Name	Description	Est. Cost (Prior)	Updated Costs	Staff Recommendation	Health, Life & Safety Rating	HLS Score	Inf. Condition, Accessibility & Resilience Rating	IAR Score	Community Use & Equity Rating	CUE Score	Climate / Sustainability Rating	CRS Score	Deliverability & Readiness Rating	DR Score	External Funding Potential Rating	EFP Score	Total Score	Priority Band
30	D4	PW	Old City Hall- Maudelle Shirek Bldg Preservation & Renovation	Historic Civic Building Seismic Upgrade. Seismic upgrade for the Maudelle Shirek Building, part of the larger Civic Center Vision and Design Concept Plan, which was developed through extensive community outreach beginning in 2019 and partially advanced through Measure T1 funding. This project also includes a portion of approximately \$5.4 million in matching grant funds shared across the two Civic Center seismic projects. Improvements will support the return of civic functions to the building, including meeting rooms and other community-serving spaces. The total seismic upgrade cost for this project is \$13,942,000.	\$ 13,942,000	\$38,570,000	\$ 13,942,000	2	13.33333333	3	20	3	20	1	6.6666667	0.5	1.666667	2	6.666667	68.33333333	Medium Priority
31	D4	PW	Veterans Memorial Building Preservation & Renovation	Historic Civic Building Seismic Upgrade. Seismic upgrade for the Veterans Memorial Building, part of the larger Civic Center Vision and Design Concept Plan, which was developed through extensive community outreach beginning in 2019 and partially advanced through Measure T1 funding. This project also includes a portion of approximately \$5.4 million in matching grant funds shared across the two Civic Center seismic projects. Improvements will support assembly, exhibition, and community-use spaces for Berkeley's cultural and artistic groups and the public. The total seismic upgrade cost for this project is \$6,720,000.	\$ 6,720,000	\$28,150,000	\$ 6,720,000	2	13.33333333	3	20	3	20	1	6.6666667	0.5	1.666667	2	6.666667	68.33333333	Medium Priority
32	D3	PW	African American Holistic Resource Center	Community Resource Center Facility. Provides funding to close an estimated \$1.5 million gap for the \$14 million T1-funded African American Holistic Resource Center project.		\$1,500,000	\$ 1,500,000	2	13.33333333	3	20	3	20	3	20	3	10	3	10	93.33333333	High Priority
33	D5	FIRE	Fire Station 4 Replacement (1900 Marin)	Emergency Response Facility Replacement. Station 4 is 66 years old, undersized for current and future operational needs, and unable to safely support expanded staffing and a second ambulance unit. Replacement would also enable a cost-saving expansion into the adjacent roadway, avoiding the higher cost of relocation. The project includes photovoltaic solar and battery energy storage systems, with full backup power recommended due to the facility's critical emergency response function.	\$ 48,051,000	\$56,150,050	\$ 56,150,050	3	20	3	20	3	20	1.5	10	2	6.666667	0	0	76.66666667	High Priority
34	D1	FIRE	Fire Station 6 Replacement (999 Cedar)	Emergency Response Facility Replacement. Station 6 is at the end of its useful life and too small to meet projected service demands. A full replacement is required to support expanded staffing, modern apparatus, and safe working conditions for firefighters. The project includes photovoltaic solar and battery energy storage systems, with full backup power recommended due to the facility's critical emergency response function.	\$ 22,246,000	\$ 20,382,552	\$ 20,382,552	3	20	3	20	3	20	1.5	10	2	6.666667	0	0	76.66666667	High Priority
35	D4	FIRE	Fire/EMS/Police Dispatch Center Remodel (2100 MLK)	Facility Modernization. The 911 Dispatch Center requires renovation to handle rising call volumes, modernize critical infrastructure, and create a safer, and more efficient workspace. Improvements include expanding the operations floor, upgrading technology and HVAC systems, and adding training and wellness spaces to support operational readiness and staff retention.	\$ 3,979,000	Folded into 2100 MLK (Item #29)	\$ -	3	20	3	20	3	20	1.5	10	1.5	5	0	0	75	High Priority
36	D1	FIRE	Fire Training Center Replacement (Harrison at 8-9th)	Fire Training Facility Replacement. The current training facility is undersized, located in a residential neighborhood, and unable to support essential training activities, scheduling needs, or parking demands. A new, purpose-built training center is required to meet the operational needs of a modern fire department. The project includes photovoltaic solar and battery energy storage systems, with full backup power recommended due to the facility's critical public safety function.	\$ 28,000,000	\$ 30,133,247	\$ 30,133,247	3	20	3	20	2.5	16.666667	1.5	10	1.5	5	1	3.333333	75	High Priority