

## PROCLAMATION CALLING A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BERKELEY CITY COUNCIL

In accordance with the authority in me vested, I do hereby call the Berkeley City Council in special session as follows:

#### Tuesday, June 9, 2020 4:00 P.M.

JESSE ARREGUIN, MAYOR
Councilmembers:

DISTRICT 1 – RASHI KESARWANI

DISTRICT 5 – SOPHIE HAHN

DISTRICT 2 – CHERYL DAVILA

DISTRICT 6 – SUSAN WENGRAF

DISTRICT 7 – RIGEL ROBINSON

DISTRICT 4 – KATE HARRISON

DISTRICT 8 – LORI DROSTE

### PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Section 3 of Executive Order N-29-20, issued by Governor Newsom on March 17, 2020, this meeting of the City Council will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. Please be advised that pursuant to the Executive Order and the Shelter-in-Place Order, and to ensure the health and safety of the public by limiting human contact that could spread the COVID-19 virus, there will not be a physical meeting location available.

Live audio is available on KPFB Radio 89.3. Live captioned broadcasts of Council Meetings are available on Cable B-TV (Channel 33) and via internet accessible video stream at <a href="http://www.cityofberkeley.info/CalendarEventWebcastMain.aspx">http://www.cityofberkeley.info/CalendarEventWebcastMain.aspx</a>.

To access the meeting remotely: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Please use this URL <a href="https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89047645600">https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89047645600</a>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon by rolling over the bottom of the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128** and enter Meeting ID: **890 4764 5600**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, Press \*9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

To submit an e-mail comment during the meeting to be read aloud during public comment, email <a href="mailto:clerk@cityofberkeley.info">clerk@cityofberkeley.info</a> with the Subject Line in this format: "PUBLIC COMMENT ITEM ##." Please observe a 150 word limit. Time limits on public comments will apply. Written comments will be entered into the public record.

Please be mindful that the teleconference will be recorded as any Council meeting is recorded, and all other rules of procedure and decorum will apply for Council meetings conducted by teleconference or videoconference.

This meeting will be conducted in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code Section 54953. Any member of the public may attend this meeting. Questions regarding this matter may be addressed to Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900. The City Council may take action related to any subject listed on the Agenda. Meetings will adjourn at 11:00 p.m. - any items outstanding at that time will be carried over to a date/time to be specified.

#### **Preliminary Matters**

**Roll Call:** 

#### Public Comment - Limited to items on this agenda only

#### **Action Calendar**

The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action as the item is taken up. For items moved to the Action Calendar from the Consent Calendar or Information Calendar, persons who spoke on the item during the Consent Calendar public comment period may speak again at the time the matter is taken up during the Action Calendar.

The Presiding Officer will request that persons wishing to speak line use the "raise hand" function to determine the number of persons interested in speaking at that time. Up to ten (10) speakers may speak for two minutes. If there are more than ten persons interested in speaking, the Presiding Officer may limit the public comment for all speakers to one minute per speaker. Speakers are permitted to yield their time to one other speaker, however no one speaker shall have more than four minutes. The Presiding Officer may, with the consent of persons representing both sides of an issue, allocate a block of time to each side to present their issue.

Action items may be reordered at the discretion of the Chair with the consent of Council.

#### **Action Calendar – Public Hearings**

Staff shall introduce the public hearing item and present their comments. This is followed by five-minute presentations each by the appellant and applicant. The Presiding Officer will request that persons wishing to speak, use the "raise hand" function to be recognized and to determine the number of persons interested in speaking at that time.

Up to ten (10) speakers may speak for two minutes. If there are more than ten persons interested in speaking, the Presiding Officer may limit the public comment for all speakers to one minute per speaker. Speakers are permitted to yield their time to one other speaker, however no one speaker shall have more than four minutes. The Presiding Officer may with the consent of persons representing both sides of an issue allocate a block of time to each side to present their issue.

Each member of the City Council shall verbally disclose all ex parte contacts concerning the subject of the hearing. Councilmembers shall also submit a report of such contacts in writing prior to the commencement of the hearing. Written reports shall be available for public review in the office of the City Clerk.

1. Fiscal Year 2021 Proposed Budget Update Public Hearing #2 (Continued from June 2, 2020)

From: City Manager

Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing regarding the FY 2021 Proposed

Budget Update.

Financial Implications: See report

Contact: Teresa Berkeley-Simmons, Budget Manager, (510) 981-7000

#### **Action Calendar**

2. FY 2020 Mid-Year Budget Update (Continued from June 2, 2020)

From: City Manager

Contact: Teresa Berkeley-Simmons, Budget Manager, (510) 981-7000

#### **Action Calendar**

3. Presentation and Discussion of Community Survey Results and Direction About Next Steps for Possible Ballot Measure Development (Item Contains Supplemental Material) (Continued from June 2, 2020)

From: City Manager

**Recommendation:** Discuss results of the community survey and provide direction to the City Manager about the drafting of possible measures for inclusion on the

November 2020 ballot.

Financial Implications: See report

Contact: David White, City Manager's Office, 981-7000

4. Placing Charter Amendment Measure on the November 3, 2020 Ballot Related to Full-Time Status and Salaries for the Mayor and Councilmembers (Continued from June 2, 2020)

From: City Manager Recommendation:

- 1. Adopt a Resolution submitting an Amendment to Article V of the City Charter regarding the full-time status and salaries for the Mayor and City Council to a vote of the electors at the November 3, 2020 General Municipal Election.
- 2. Designate, by motion, specific members of the Council to file ballot measure arguments on this measure as provided for in Elections Code Section 9282.

Financial Implications: None

Contact: Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900

5. Recommendation to Prepare a City Ballot Measure to Create a Climate Action Fund, in Response to the Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley Referral (Continued from June 2, 2020)

From: Energy Commission

**Recommendation:** The Commission recommends that the City Council develop a referendum and seek approval for it on the 2020 ballot to create a Climate Action Fund, which would support actions to achieve the Berkeley Climate Action Plan, to become Fossil Fuel free, and to respond to the Climate Emergency.

Financial Implications: See report.

Contact: Billi Romain, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-7400

6. Proposed Amendment to Berkeley's Minimum Wage Ordinance: Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 13.99 (Continued from June 2, 2020)

From: City Manager

**Recommendation:** Adopt first reading of an Ordinance amending Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 13.99, revising Section 13.99.040 to reinstate the exemption for youth job training programs, and freezing the youth wages at \$14.50 per hour for FY21, then increase the wage annually according to the Consumer Price Index as will occur with the Berkeley Minimum wage.

**Financial Implications:** See report

Contact: Lisa Warhuus, Housing and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

#### Adjournment

I hereby request that the City Clerk of the City of Berkeley cause personal notice to be given to each member of the Berkeley City Council on the time and place of said meeting, forthwith.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the City of Berkeley to be affixed on this 4<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2020.

Jesse Arreguin, Mayor

Public Notice – this Proclamation serves as the official agenda for this meeting.

ATTEST:

Date: June 4, 2020

Mark Numainville, City Clerk

Mad Morning

**NOTICE CONCERNING YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS**: If you object to a decision by the City Council to approve or deny an appeal, the following requirements and restrictions apply: 1) Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1094.6 and Government Code Section 65009(c)(1)(E), no lawsuit challenging a City decision to deny or approve a Zoning Adjustments Board decision may be filed and served on the City more than 90 days after the date the Notice of Decision of the action of the City Council is mailed. Any lawsuit not filed within that 90-day period will be barred. 2) In any lawsuit that may be filed against a City Council decision to approve or deny a Zoning Adjustments Board decision, the issues and evidence will be limited to those raised by you or someone else, orally or in writing, at a public hearing or prior to the close of the last public hearing on the project.

Live captioned broadcasts of Council Meetings are available on Cable B-TV (Channel 33), via internet accessible video stream at <a href="http://www.cityofberkeley.info/CalendarEventWebcastMain.aspx">http://www.cityofberkeley.info/CalendarEventWebcastMain.aspx</a> and KPFB Radio 89.3.

Archived indexed video streams are available at <a href="http://www.cityofberkeley.info/citycouncil">http://www.cityofberkeley.info/citycouncil</a>. Channel 33 rebroadcasts the following Wednesday at 9:00 a.m. and Sunday at 9:00 a.m.

Communications to the City Council are public record and will become part of the City's electronic records, which are accessible through the City's website. Please note: e-mail addresses, names, addresses, and other contact information are not required, but if included in any communication to the City Council, will become part of the public record. If you do not want your e-mail address or any other contact information to be made public, you may deliver communications via U.S. Postal Service to the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street. If you do not want your contact information included in the public record, please do not include that information in your communication. Please contact the City Clerk Department for further information.

Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the City Council regarding any item on this agenda will be posted on the City's website at http://www.cityofberkeley.info.


Agendas and agenda reports may be accessed via the Internet at http://www.citvofberkelev.info/citvcouncil

#### COMMUNICATION ACCESS INFORMATION:

To request a disability-related accommodation(s) to participate in the meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please contact the Disability Services specialist at (510) 981-6418 (V) or (510) 981-6347 (TDD) at least three business days before the meeting date.



Captioning services are provided at the meeting, on B-TV, and on the Internet.



Please refer to the following Agenda Packet for the material for this item.

 May 26, 2020 Regular Meeting Agenda Packet Item 24a: Fiscal Year 2021 Proposed Budget Update Discussion

https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Clerk/City\_Council/2020/05\_May/City\_Council\_\_05-26-2020 - Regular Meeting Agenda.aspx

This material is also on file and available for review from the City Clerk Department, or can be accessed from the City Council Website.

**City Clerk Department** 

2180 Milvia Street Berkeley, CA 94704 (510) 981-6900

or from:

The City of Berkeley, City Council's Web site www.cityofberkeley.info/citycouncil

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BERKELEY CITY COUNCIL

## FISCAL YEAR 2021 PROPOSED BUDGET UPDATE PUBLIC HEARING #2

The Berkeley City Council will conduct a second public hearing to discuss the FY 2021 Proposed Budget Update.

The hearing will be held on **June 2, 2020** at 6:00 p.m.

A copy of the agenda material for this hearing will be available on the City's website at www.CityofBerkeley.info as of **May 21, 2020**.

For further information, please contact Teresa Berkeley-Simmons at (510) 981-7000.

## PUBLIC ADVISORY: THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

Pursuant to Section 3 of Executive Order N-29-20, issued by Governor Newsom on March 17, 2020, this meeting of the City Council will be conducted exclusively through teleconference and Zoom videoconference. Please be advised that pursuant to the Executive Order, and to ensure the health and safety of the public by limiting human contact that could spread the COVID-19 virus, there will not be a physical meeting location available.

To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL – <a href="https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82518774480">https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82518774480</a>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen.

To join by phone: Dial **1-669-900-9128** and Enter Meeting ID: **825 1877 4480**. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press \*9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Written comments should be mailed directly to the City Clerk, 2180 Milvia Street, Berkeley, CA 94704, or emailed to council@cityofberkeley.info in order to ensure delivery to all Councilmembers and inclusion in the agenda packet.

Communications to the Berkeley City Council are public record and will become part of the City's electronic records, which are accessible through the City's website. Please note: e-mail addresses, names, addresses, and other contact information are not required, but if included in any communication to the City Council, will become part of the public record. If you do not want your e-mail address or any other contact

#### Page 3 of 3

information to be made public, you may deliver communications via U.S. Postal Service. If you do not want your contact information included in the public record, please do not include that information in your communication. Please contact the City Clerk at 981-6900 or <a href="mailto:clerk@cityofberkeley.info">clerk@cityofberkeley.info</a> for further information.

Posted:	May 21, 2020	
~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	•
posted a	tify that the Notice for this Public Hearing of the Berkeley City Council was e display case located near the walkway in front of the Maudelle Shire 34 Martin Luther King Jr. Way, as well as on the City's website, on May 2°	k
Mark Nur	nville, City Clerk	



Office of the City Manager

ACTION CALENDAR
June 9, 2020
(Continued from June 2, 2020)

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Submitted by: Teresa Berkeley-Simmons, Budget Manager

Subject: FY 2020 Mid-Year Budget Update

#### INTRODUCTION

This report presents the FY 2020 Mid-Year Budget Update and focuses on projected General Fund revenues and expenditures. This report also provides information on expenditure projections for the City's special funds.

#### **CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS**

The City of Berkeley is currently in FY 2020, the first of two fiscal years in the FY 2020 & FY 2021 biennial budget cycle, which Council adopted on June 25, 2019<sup>1</sup>. Fiscal Year 2020 runs from July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020.

As of December 31, 2019, both the General Fund revenues and the General Fund expenditures were on track and within budget.

			% Received/
	Adjusted	FY 2020 Mid-	Expensed and
	Budget	Year Actuals	Encumbered
Revenues	\$197.0	\$107.7	55%
Expenditures	(\$229.5)	(\$107.0)	47%
Carryover and Excess Equity	\$ 32.5		
Balance	\$ 0		

The carryover and excess equity are carried forward from FY 2019. Additional information on the carryovers and excess equity allocation is discussed later in this report under the General Fund Expenditures section.

https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Clerk/City\_Council/2019/06\_June/Documents/2019-06-25\_Item\_39\_FY\_2020\_and\_FY\_2021\_Biennial\_Budget.aspx

#### FY 2020 Mid-Year Summary

On November 19, 2019, Council was provided the <u>FY 2019 Year-End Results and FY 2020 First Quarter Budget Update</u> report. At the time, both the General Fund revenues and the General Fund expenditures were tracking within budget.

#### **General Fund Revenues**

		FY 2	020			FY 20	019		FY20 vs	FY19	Rev	vised
		Mid-Year				Mid-Year					Mid-Year	Mid-Year
Revenue Categories	Adopted	Actual	Variance	% Received	Adopted	Actual	Variance	% Received	Amount	%	FY 2020	FY 2020
	(a)	(b)	c=(b - a)	( d) = (b)/(a)	(e)	(f)	g=(e) - (f)	(h) = (f)/(g)	(i) = (b) - (f)	(j) = (i)/(f)	Difference	Projections
Secured Property	\$63,199,622	\$32,175,526	(\$31,024,096)	50.91%	\$57,966,998	\$30,220,695	\$27,746,303	52.13%	1,954,831	6.47%		\$63,199,622
Redemptions -Regular	668,140	356,477	(\$311,663)	53.35%	668,140	317,903	350,237	47.58%	38,574	12.13%		668,140
Supplemental Taxes	1,400,000	808,127	(\$591,873)	57.72%	1,400,000	741,314	658,686	52.95%	66,813	9.01%	400,000	1,800,000
Unsecured Property Taxes	2,500,000	2,705,126	\$205,126	108.21%	2,500,000	2,483,983	16,017	99.36%	221,143	8.90%	200,000	2,700,000
Property Transfer Tax	12,500,000	15,043,643	\$2,543,643	120.35%	12,500,000	11,911,150	588,850	95.29%	3,132,493	26.30%	7,500,000	20,000,000
Property Transfer Tax-Measure P	1,509,218	7,046,430	\$5,537,212	466.89%			-		7,046,430		8,000,000	9,509,218
Sales Taxes	18,238,000	9,430,533	(\$8,807,467)	51.71%	18,140,977	9,399,650	8,741,327	51.81%	30,883	0.33%		18,238,000
Soda Taxes	1,459,057	789,196	(\$669,861)	54.09%	1,500,000	818,879	681,121	54.59%	(29,683)	-3.62%		1,459,057
Utility Users Taxes	15,000,000	6,327,611	(\$8,672,389)	42.18%	15,000,000	6,307,679	8,692,321	42.05%	19,932	0.32%	(1,000,000)	14,000,000
Transient Occupancy Taxes	7,800,000	4,483,409	(\$3,316,591)	57.48%	7,800,000	4,331,381	3,468,619	55.53%	152,028	3.51%		7,800,000
Short-term Rentals	1,020,000	867,507	(\$152,493)	85.05%	840,000	870,987	(30,987)	901,974	(3,480)	-0.40%	700,000	1,720,000
Business License Tax	19,584,000	1,166,980	(\$18,417,020)	5.96%	19,200,000	1,381,343	17,818,657	7.19%	(214,363)	-15.52%		19,584,000
Recreational Cannabis	510,000	702,857	\$192,857	137.82%	500,000	267,674	232,326	53.53%	435,183	162.58%	790,000	1,300,000
U1 Revenues	1,000,000	187,700	(\$812,300)	18.77%	1,000,000	166,131	833,869	16.61%	21,569	12.98%	4,500,000	5,500,000
Other Taxes	1,116,860	776,645	(\$340,215)	69.54%	1,049,800	757,746	292,054	72.18%	18,899	2.49%	500,000	1,616,860
Vehicle In-Lieu Taxes	13,333,826	6,678,022	(\$6,655,804)	50.08%	12,381,128	6,241,142	6,139,986	50.41%	436,880	7.00%		13,333,826
Parking Fines-Regular Collections	6,600,000	3,151,728	(\$3,448,272)	47.75%	5,818,123	3,614,649	2,203,474	62.13%	(462,921)	-12.81%	(600,000)	6,000,000
Parking Fines-Booting Collections	200,000	8,685	(\$191,315)	4.34%	200,000	97,879	102,121	48.94%	(89,194)	-91.13%	(100,000)	100,000
Moving Violations	190,000	114,850	(\$75,150)	60.45%	235,000	64,055	170,945	27.26%	50,795	79.30%	(20,000)	170,000
Ambulance Fees	4,200,000	2,392,802	(\$1,807,198)	56.97%	4,613,194	2,127,332	2,485,862	46.11%	265,470	12.48%		4,200,000
Interest Income	3,500,000	3,019,829	(\$480,171)	86.28%	2,500,000	2,429,507	70,493	97.18%	590,322	24.30%	1,500,000	5,000,000
Franchise Fees	2,068,928	421,414	(\$1,647,514)	20.37%	1,984,643	467,254	1,517,389	23.54%	(45,840)	-9.81%		2,068,928
Other Revenue	8,044,544	4,296,552	(\$3,747,992)	53.41%	7,620,152	3,985,112	3,635,040	52.30%	311,440	7.82%		8,044,544
IDC Reimbursement	6,100,000	2,525,542	(\$3,574,458)	41.40%	4,952,317	2,448,433	2,503,884	49.44%	77,109	3.15%	(700,000)	5,400,000
Transfers	5,266,688	2,192,784	(\$3,073,904)	41.63%	4,385,568	1,316,665	3,068,903	30.02%	876,119	66.54%		5,266,688
							-		-			
Total Revenue:	\$197,008,883	\$107,669,975	\$89,338,908	54.65%	\$184,756,040	\$92,768,543	\$91,987,497	50.21%	\$14,901,432	16.06%	\$21,670,000	\$218,678,883

Note: This statement is presented on a budgetary basis.

For the first half of FY 2020, revenues and transfers in totaled \$107,669,975, an increase of \$14,901,432 or 16.06% increase over the \$92,768,543 during the same period of FY 2019.

FY 2020 Year-end General Fund revenues projection appear to be \$21.7 million above the FY 2020 Adopted Budget of \$197.0 million. However, if we remove the General Fund revenue streams that have been programed for priority projects and programs including Excess Property Transfer Tax (\$7.5 million), Measure P (\$8.0 million), and U1 (\$4.5 million), the General Fund revenues above the FY 2020 Adopted Budget is only \$1.7 million. If Council decides to program excess Short-Term Rentals (\$700,000) and excess Recreational Cannabis (\$790,000) only \$180,000 of unallocated General Fund revenues will be available for allocation at FY 2020 year-end, assuming expenses do not exceed budget estimates.

The first half review focuses primarily on the major revenue fluctuation, and changes that have occurred that might result in significant changes in future projections or on revenue sources that warrant close monitoring.

#### Secured Property Tax (+\$1,954,831 more than FY 2019 Actual)

During the first half of FY 2020, Secured Property Tax revenues totaled \$32,175,526, which was \$1,954,831 or 6.47% more than the \$30,220,695 received during the same period in FY 2019. This increase was consistent with the County's Certification of Assessed Valuation growth of 6.62%.

#### Property Transfer Tax (+\$3,132,493 more than FY 2019 Actual)

During the first half of FY 2020, Property Transfer Tax totaled \$15,043,643 (after seismic rebates of \$492,506), which was \$3,132,493 or 26.30% more than the \$11,911,150 (after \$276,935 in seismic rebates) received for the first half of FY 2019. The number of property sales increased by 37 or 6.9%, to 574 in the first half of FY 2020 from 537 for the same period in FY 2019. However, the primary reason for the \$3,132,493 increase in Property Transfer Tax was the sale of a group of five properties totaling \$172.9 million that resulted in Property Transfer Tax of \$2,593,898. Staff will closely monitor this revenue for a probable increase in the FY 2020 projection.

In addition, \$7,046,430 in Measure P taxes was collected during the first half of FY 2020 compared to zero collected during the first half of FY 2019, as the tax took effect December 21, 2018.

#### Sales Tax (+\$30,883 more than FY 2019 Actual)

For the first half of FY 2020, Sales Tax revenue totaled \$9,430,533, which is \$30,883 or 0.33% more than the \$9,399,650 received for the first half of FY 2019.

#### Utility Users Taxes (+ \$19,932 more than FY 2019 Actual)

Utility Users Taxes revenue for the first half of FY 2020 totaled \$6,327,611, which is \$19,932 or 0.32% more than the \$6,307,679 received for the same period in FY 2019. Staff is monitoring this revenue source closely for a probable projection reduction because of a sharp decline in FY 2019 from the \$15 million level generated in recent years. That decline resulted from significant declines in cellular, gas/electric and cable charges. During the first half of FY 2020, Cable charges declined by \$56,202 or 8.9%; Cellular charges increased by \$98,344 or 10.3%; Electric charges declined by \$84,144 or 2.7%; Gas charges increased by \$125,133 or 16.6%; and Telephone charges declined by \$59,503 or 7.3%. However, overall, there was no rebound during the first half of FY 2020. Therefore, the FY 2020 projection for the UUT revenue source will likely be lowered to \$14 million from \$15 million.

#### Transient Occupancy Tax (+\$152,028 more than FY 2019 Actual)

Transient Occupancy Tax (TOT) revenue for the first half of FY 2020 totaled \$4,483,409 which is \$152,028 or 3.51% more than the \$4,331,381 received for the first half of FY 2019. The increase in FY 2020 is attributable to growth at the five largest hotels in Berkeley ranging from -2.9% to +6.30% (average of 2.1%) during the first half of the fiscal year plus a \$49,207 receipt during the first half of the fiscal year that was applicable to FY 2019

#### Vehicle In-Lieu Taxes (+\$436,880 over FY 2019 Actual)

Vehicle In-Lieu Taxes increased by \$436,880 or 7.00% in the first half of FY 2020 to \$6,678,022 from \$6,241,142 for the same period in FY 2019. This increase was consistent with the County's Certification of Assessed Valuation growth of 6.62%.

#### Interest Income (+\$590,322 over FY 2019 Actual)

For the first half of FY 2020, interest income totaled \$3,019,829 which is \$590,322 or 24.30% more than the total of \$2,429,507 received for the same period in FY 2019. This increase is primarily attributable to a significant rise in short-term interest rates, as the Federal Reserve began raising the Federal Funds rate from very low levels. However, the Federal Reserve reversed course on July 31, 2019 and cut interest rates on that date, September 18, 2019, and October 31, 2019 by 25 basis points on each date.

#### Indirect Cost Reimbursements (+\$77,109 over FY 2019 Actual)

IDC Reimbursement for the first half of FY 2020 totaled \$2,525,542 which is \$77,109 or 3.15% more than the \$2,448,433 received for the same period in FY 2019. Since the Indirect cost rates were the same for FY 2020 and FY 2019, the increase of 3.15% in the total is attributable to an increase in direct salaries and wages. IDC Reimbursement increases result from increases in the indirect cost allocation base (i.e., total direct salaries and wages in the fund), an increase in the indirect cost rate or both.

#### <u>Transfers (+\$876,119 over FY 2019 Actual)</u>

Transfers for the first half of FY 2020 totaled \$2,192,784 which is \$876,119 or 66.54% more than the \$1,316,665 received for the same period in FY 2019. The increase of \$876,119 was due to the timing of the recording of more of the State Realignment transfer to the General Fund during the first half of FY 2020, than in the first half of FY 2019.

#### **General Fund Expenditures**

On December 3, 2019 the City Council approved General Fund recommended rollovers, carryovers, and adjustments totaling \$32.5 million in the <u>Amendment to the FY 2020</u> Annual Appropriations Ordinance<sup>2</sup> (AAO).

The changes to the FY 2020 General Fund Budget from the AAO are shown below:

FY 2020				FY 2020
Adopted	Encumbered	Unencumbered	Other	Revised
Budget	Recommended	Recommended	Adjustments	Budget
\$ 196,913,849	\$ 5,512,512	\$ 4,177,247	\$22,903,541	\$229,507,149

The encumbered rollovers reflect contractual obligations entered into in FY 2019, which had not been paid as of June 30, 2019. Unencumbered carryovers are approved by Council for specific purposes that had not be completed by the end of FY 2019. Funding for these commitments is brought forward into the current fiscal year to provide for payment of these obligations. Adjustments are new allocations for projects and Council priorities as detailed in the AAO.

Included in the other adjustments of \$22.9 million are Council authorized allocations for the following items:

- 1. Transfer of \$4,371,879 from the General Fund to the Capital Improvement Fund of FY 2019 Excess Property Transfer Tax Revenues for restricted items approved by Council on 6/25/19 (\$3,411,933) and new requests added to AAO #1 on 11/19/19 (\$959,946)
- 2. Item #80: Transfer of \$275,000 from the General Fund to the Capital Improvement Fund of FY 2019 Excess Property Tax Revenues for Capital Allocations in the Mayor's Budget Allocation Proposal presented to the Budget & Finance Policy Committee on 11/22/19 and approved to be added as part of staff's supplemental item for 12/3/19 Council meeting
- 3. Item #81: Appropriation of \$2,900,000 in the General Fund for Measure P allocations, which includes an allocation of \$78,000 for Youth Spirit Artworks Tiny House Village Services
- 4. Item #82: Appropriation of \$1,630,923 in the General Fund for Mayor's Budget Allocation Proposal Non-Capital Item Funds presented to the Budget & Finance Policy Committee on 11/22/19 and approved to be added as part of staff's supplemental item for 12/3/19 Council meeting

https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Clerk/City\_Council/2019/12\_Dec/Documents/2019-12-03 Supp 1 Reports Item 25 Rev Budget pdf.aspx

- Item #83: Allocation of \$1,160,000 in the General Fund of FY 2019 Allocation to the Reserves as follows (\$0.64 Million to Stability Reserve and \$0.52 Million to Catastrophic Reserves)
- Item #89: Appropriation of \$16,266 in the General Fund for a payment of excess FY 2019 additional Transient Occupancy Tax revenues to comply with Visit Berkeley allocation above the 1% FY 2019 Adopted TOT Budget contract amount

General Fund expenditures are tracking under budget as of December 31, 2019 as shown in the chart below. This is primarily due to salary savings and funds that were added as part of the AAO that were not spent yet. Departments that are tracking over 50 percent spent is due to encumbrances for contractual obligations entered into in FY 2020 in which payment might not be currently due as good or services might be in progress or not yet complete.

FY 2020 MID-YEAR GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019								
			·				NON-	
	FY 2020	FY 2020	YTD ACTUAL +	AVAILABLE	%	PERSONNEL	PERSONNEL	
DEPARTMENT	ADOPTED	REVISED*	ENCUMBRANCES	BUDGET	USED	% USED	% USED	
11 MAYOR AND COUNCIL	2,398,876	2,628,583	1,127,465.71	1,501,117	43%	43%	43%	
12 CITY AUDITOR	2,625,103	2,701,278	1,096,399.67	1,604,878	41%	41%	41%	
13 RENT STABILIZATION BOARD	0	602,015	52,015.00	550,000	9%	0%	9%	
21 CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE	11,037,283	13,015,673	6,351,194.55	6,664,478	49%	42%	61%	
31 CITY ATTORNEY	2,516,581	3,000,854	1,047,624.81	1,953,230	35%	34%	42%	
32 CITY CLERK	3,004,901	3,348,417	1,076,165.83	2,272,251	32%	41%	25%	
33 FINANCE	6,797,353	8,703,293	4,267,217.86	4,436,075	49%	38%	71%	
34 HUMAN RESOURCES	2,329,292	3,354,046	1,038,696.56	2,315,349	31%	36%	24%	
35 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	213,210	2,744,355	1,371,647.08	1,329,306	50%	0%	50%	
51 HEALTH, HSG & COMMUNITY SVC	17,553,283	28,070,111	17,253,385.72	10,816,725	61%	42%	79%	
52 PARKS, RECREATION & WATERFR	7,105,343	8,005,458	4,068,812.89	3,936,645	51%	49%	54%	
53 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT	2,426,051	3,625,616	1,431,320.31	2,194,295	39%	34%	49%	
54 PUBLIC WORKS	4,404,030	5,382,250	2,619,061.60	2,763,188	49%	47%	51%	
71 POLICE	70,622,557	71,110,036	34,841,279.30	36,268,757	49%	48%	61%	
72 FIRE & EMERGENCY SERVICES	36,019,089	39,344,297	20,109,184.51	19,235,113	51%	47%	70%	
99 NON DEPARTMENTAL	27,860,897	31,134,686	9,228,725.12	21,905,961	30%	98%	28%	
Grand Total	196,913,849	226,770,966	106,980,197	119,747,367	47%	46%	50%	
*FY 2020 Revised does not reflect as of Dece	mber 31, 2019 all (	Council approved	allocations included in	the FY 2020 AAO	#1.			

There might be additional one-time savings resulting from the reduction in expenditures; however, much of these saving will be allocated to the items already identified in the Fiscal Years 2020 & FY 2021 Biennial Budget adopted by Council on June 25, 2019<sup>3</sup>, including a \$5.3 million loan to Measure T1 for capital projects (Resolution 68.802 N.S.).

14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Clerk/City\_Council/2019/06\_June/City\_Council\_\_06-25-2019\_-Regular\_Meeting\_Agenda.aspx (Item #39)

This loan is to be paid back to the General Fund in January 2021 from the second issuance of Measure T1<sup>4</sup>.

Additional information of the General Fund revenues and expenditures will be presented in May with the FY 2021 Mid-Biennial Budget Update. Final FY 2020 year-end General Fund revenues and expenditures information will be included in the FY 2020 Year-End Report and FY 2021 First Quarter Update that will be presented to Council in November 2020.

#### **All Funds Expenditures**

The General Fund comprises 34.1 percent of the total adjusted budget. The rest of the budget consists of various Special Funds. Special Funds are collected for a specific purpose; therefore, are not discretionary. Only costs associated with the Special Fund activity may be charged to a Special Fund. Included in the FY 2020 Mid-Year All Fund Expenditures by Department chart below are both the General Fund expenditures to date and the Special Funds expenditures to date. On an All Funds basis, the City is tracking under budget as of December 31, 2019 as can be seen in the following chart:

FY 2020 MID-YEAR ALL FUNDS EXPENDITURES (AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019								
		YTD ACTUAL +						
DEPARTMENT	FY 2020 ADOPTED	FY 2020 REVISED	ENCUMBRANCES	AVAILABLE BUDGET	% USED			
11 MAYOR AND COUNCIL	2,398,876	2,628,583	1,127,466	1,501,117	43%			
12 CITY AUDITOR	2,714,111	2,790,286	1,150,939	1,639,347	41%			
13 RENT STABILIZATION BOARD	5,334,943	6,099,664	2,771,892	3,327,772	45%			
21 CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE	14,548,957	18,023,786	8,288,486	9,735,300	46%			
22 BERKELEY PUBLIC LIBRARY	26,114,585	26,830,114	11,188,043	15,642,071	42%			
31 CITY ATTORNEY	4,594,533	6,357,659	2,884,711	3,472,948	45%			
32 CITY CLERK	3,004,901	3,348,417	1,076,166	2,272,251	32%			
33 FINANCE	8,766,934	10,745,163	5,161,213	5,583,949	48%			
34 HUMAN RESOURCES	4,240,103	5,269,839	1,874,172	3,395,667	36%			
35 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	19,404,413	35,617,289	8,797,175	26,820,114	25%			
51 HEALTH, HSG & COMMUNITY SVC	54,597,950	80,909,554	39,098,972	41,810,582	48%			
52 PARKS, RECREATION & WATERFR	46,600,585	70,873,935	30,342,361	40,531,574	43%			
53 PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT	24,506,913	26,924,099	11,573,342	15,350,757	43%			
54 PUBLIC WORKS	133,015,850	202,008,355	111,140,495	90,867,861	55%			
71 POLICE	74,979,834	76,472,499	37,265,580	39,206,919	49%			
72 FIRE & EMERGENCY SERVICES	44,379,144	48,240,718	24,953,631	23,287,087	52%			
99 NON DEPARTMENTAL	56,654,177	60,878,499	24,143,164	36,735,335	40%			
Grand Total	525,856,809	684,018,460	322,837,810	361,180,650	47%			

15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Measure T1 was approved by Berkeley voters in November 2016. These General Obligation Bonds are not-to-exceed \$100 million and will be used to repair, renovate, replace, or reconstruct the City's aging infrastructure and facilities including sidewalks, storm drains, parks, streets, senior centers and other important City facilities and buildings

Health, Housing, & Community Services, Parks, Recreation & Waterfront, and Public Works carried over funds for projects and grants started in FY 2019. These departments also appropriated new grant funds that support programs and services as well as special funds for capital improvements. Details of these carryover requests can be found in the December 3, 2019, <u>Amendment to the FY 2020 Annual Appropriations Ordinance<sup>5</sup></u> (AAO) discussed earlier in this report.

#### **Next Steps:**

Staff is currently in the process of updating the FY 2021 Adopted Budget approved by Council on June 25, 2019.

The table below outlines key Council meeting dates at which budget information will be provided.

FY 2021 Mid-Biennial Budget Calendar						
Date	Action/Topic					
March 24, 2020	<ul> <li>FY 2020 Annual Appropriations Ordinance Amendment #2</li> <li>FY 2020 Mid-Year Update</li> </ul>					
April 28, 2020	<ul> <li>Public Hearing on CDBG &amp; ESG Annual Action Plan and proposed funding allocations to community agencies</li> </ul>					
May 5, 2020	FY 2021 Proposed Mid-Biennial Budget Update					
May 12, 2020	<ul> <li>Public Hearing #1: Budget</li> <li>Proposed Fee Increases</li> <li>FY 2020 Annual Appropriations Ordinance Amendment #3</li> </ul>					
May 26, 2020	Public Hearing #2: Budget					
June 2, 2020	<ul> <li>Council recommendations on budget due to the City Manager</li> </ul>					
June 16, 2020	<ul> <li>Council discussion on budget recommendations</li> </ul>					
June 30, 2020	<ul> <li>Adopt FY 2021 Mid-Biennial Budget Update &amp; FY 2021 Annual Appropriations Ordinance</li> </ul>					

The FY 2020 Mid-Year Budget Update is a Strategic Plan Priority, advancing our goal to provide an efficient and financially-healthy City government.

-

https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Clerk/City\_Council/2019/12\_Dec/Documents/2019-12-03 Supp 1 Reports Item 25 Rev Budget pdf.aspx

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**

Actions included in the budget will be developed and implemented in a manner that is consistent with the City's environmental sustainability goals and requirements.

#### **CONTACT PERSON**

Teresa Berkeley-Simmons, Budget Manager, City Manager's Office, 981-7000 Henry Oyekanmi, Finance Director, Department of Finance, 981-7300 Rama Murty, Senior Management Analyst, City Manager's Office, 981-7000



# SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA MATERIAL for Supplemental Packet 1

Meeting Date: June 2, 2020

Item Number: 13

Item Description: Presentation and Discussion of Community Survey Results

and Direction About Next Steps for Possible Ballot Measure

**Development** 

Submitted by: David White, Deputy City Manager

#### Overview

Subsequent to the publication of the June 2, 2020 Agenda, city staff received the results of the community survey. These results have been attached to this supplemental report for City Council to review and discuss at the June 2, 2020 City Council meeting. If the City Council wishes to move forward with placing a revenue measure (or measures) on the ballot in the fall, city staff will need direction on the specifics of the measure(s) to allow adequate time to draft final ballot measure language for Council consideration and to meet County Registrar of Voter deadlines for the November 2020 ballot.

From May 16-19, Lake Research Partners administered a community survey that focused on the ways in which the community has been impacted by COVID-19 and community support for the following possible ballot measures:

- A parcel tax, at varying levels per square foot, to address fire, emergency medical service, and public health needs. This tax requires a two-thirds vote for approval.
- A parcel tax for wildfire prevention activities. This tax requires a two-thirds vote for approval
- An increase in the utility user tax by 2.5 percent to generate resources for a climate action fund. A special tax requires a two-thirds vote for approval and a general tax requires approval by a simple majority of voters.

 A tax on wholesale distributors of gas and diesel fuel to generate resources for a climate action fund. A special tax requires a two-thirds vote for approval and a general tax requires approval by a simple majority of voters.

The survey reached a total of 500 likely November 2020 voters and was conducted by telephone using professional interviewers and innovative text-to-online technology with a margin of error of +/- 4.4%. At the City Council meeting on June 2, 2020, Lake Research Partners, in addition to discussing the results of the community survey, will provide City Council with information on the impact on survey results if students do not return to campus.

#### **Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic**

The survey included eight (8) questions surrounding the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the community. The following summarizes some of the key results:

- Approximately 47% of respondents reported that the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on their household has been "Very / Fairly Serious".
- The single greatest challenge or difficulty of the pandemic reported by survey respondents is "Mental Health" (56%) and "Financial" (35%).
- 44% of survey respondents indicate that their household income has decreased since being asked to shelter-in-place in mid-March. 49% indicated "No Change" in household income.
- In looking out over the next year:
  - o 61% of survey respondents indicated that they are "Very Likely" to pay their rent or mortgage on time every month for the next year. Conversely, 35% of survey respondents indicated that they are "Somewhat Likely", "Not Very Likely", or "Not at all Likely" to pay their rent or mortgage on time every month for the next year.
  - o 63% of survey respondents indicated that they are "Very Likely" to pay for their basic needs, like food, healthcare, utilities, and other essentials. Meanwhile, 36% of survey respondents indicated that they are "Somewhat Likely", "Not Very Likely", or "Not at all Likely" to pay for their basic needs, like food, healthcare, utilities, and other essentials.

#### Possible Revenue Measures

The following provides topline results of potential revenue measures.


#### Climate Action Fund

The survey consisted of two questions to gauge the community's interest in generating revenue for a Climate Action Fund. The questions indicated that revenues generated by the taxes would be used for measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and local air pollution, including increasing electric vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and other clean transportation options, increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy use in homes and businesses, and investing in clean and reliable back-up power for emergency services facilities and other general municipal services. The two proposed taxes included:

- An increase in the Utility User Tax from 7.5% to 10%.
- Unspecified tax on wholesale distributors of gas and diesel fuel.

The figure below summarizes the level of support for the two proposed taxes:

Figure 1
Level of Support for Proposed Taxes for a Climate Action Fund



#### Page 4 of 239

Fire, Emergency Services, Public Health Emergency, and Wildfire Parcel Tax The survey consisted of four questions to gauge the community's interest in supporting the following parcel taxes:

- Wildfire Only. Estimated at \$47 dollars per year for an average home (1,900 square feet), generating \$2 million dollars annually, to fund wildfire prevention, fire safety, an outdoor emergency warning system, and evacuation planning
- Fire and Emergency Services. Estimated at \$150 dollars per year for an average home (1,900 square feet), generating \$6.4 million dollars annually, to fund essential local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, and upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities, and modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system.
- Fire, Emergency Services, and Public Health. Estimated at \$325 dollars per year for an average home (1,900 square feet), generating \$14 million dollars annually, to fund local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities, modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system, and expand funding so that the City can better respond to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including expanded funding for contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information.
- Fire, Emergency Services, Public Health, and Wildfire. Estimated at \$500 dollars per year for an average home (1,900 square feet), generating \$21.4 million dollars annually, to fund local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system, expand funding so that the City can better respond to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including expanded funding for contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information, and to fund wildfire prevention, fire safety, an outdoor emergency warning system, and evacuation planning.

The figure on the following page summarizes the level of support for the four parcel taxes:

80% Parcel Tax Threshold 69% 70% 66% 8% 60% 12% 54% 50% 23% 12% 42% % of Respondents 23% 40% 7% 20% 30% 17% 20% 38% 31% 22% 10% 18% 0% Wildfire Fire and Emergency Fire, Emergency Fire, Emergency Services Services, Services, and Public Health Public Health, and Wildfire ■ Yes- Not So Strongly ■ Yes - Strongly ■ Lean Yes

Figure 2
Level of Support for Proposed Parcel Taxes

#### Attachments

- 1. Community Survey Toplines
- 2. Cross Tabulations

s:\team-folders\elections(mnumainville)\election 2020\council reports\2020-06-02-action-calendar\06-02-2020 cc supplemental report re potential ballot measures for the november 2020 ballot (02).docx



Strategy • Precision • Impact

#### City of Berkeley Community Survey Phone and Text to Online May 16-19, 2020

N=500 Likely Nov 2020 General Election Voters

			TOTAL 500
Reç	gion	14-	300
	COD 5/0/0		40
	CCD 5/6/8		
	CCD 1/2		
Par	ty registration		
	Democrat		76
	Republican		2
	No party preference		
	Other		3
	Before we begin, I need to know if I have reached talk safely?	ed you on	a cell pl
	Yes, cell and can talk safely		33
	Yes, cell and cannot talk safely[0		
	No, not on cell, but own one		
	No, not on cell, and do not own one		
	(Don't know/refused)[7		-
	Text to online		44
Q2.	Could you please tell me your gender? [DO NO	T READ C	PTION
	Male		45
	Female		53
	Non-binary/other		2
for I	Although it is some time from now, what are the President, Congress, and other offices? Are you but 50-50, are you probably not going to vote, or a	ı almost ce	rtain to
	Almost certain to vote		94
	Probably will vote		6
	50-50	TERMINA'	TE]
	Probably not	TERMINA'	ΓΕ]
	Definitely not		-

Washington, DC | Berkeley, CA | New York, NY

TOTAL **N=** 500

**Q4.** How much of an impact has the coronavirus pandemic had on you and your household – thinking about all of the effects, including financial concerns and physical and mental health, would you say the impact on your household has been very serious, fairly serious, moderate, minor, or no impact at all?

Very serious	22
Fairly serious	
Moderate	
Minor	13
No impact	
(Don't know)	0
Very /Fairly serious	47
Moderate /Minor /No impact	

**Q5**. In your own words, what has been the single greatest challenge or difficulty for you and your household as a result of the coronavirus pandemic? **[OPEN END; MULTIPLE RESPONSES TAKEN]** 

Mental health	56
Financial	35
Childcare or schooling	17
Physical health	16
Caretaking family or friends	12
Other	4

Q6. Before we were asked to shelter in place in mid-March, what was your employment status – were you: [READ IN ORDER]

Employed full-time	51
Employed part-time	
Unemployed	
Retired	19
Homemaker or stay at home parent	2
Full-time student	8
On disability	2
(Other)	1
(Don't know)	0

TOTAL **N=** 500

Q7. [IF EMPLOYED (Q6 = 1 - 2)] I am going to read some ways in which people's work lives have been affected by the coronavirus. Please tell me which of the following apply to you, you can give multiple responses: [READ AND RANDOMIZE 1-7. ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

	N=	327
I am required to work from home by my employe	er	48
My hours at work have been reduced		17
I have been laid off or furloughed		12
I am a small business owner and my business is currently open		8
I am taking unpaid time off		7
I am a small business owner and my business is		
currently closed		7
I am taking paid time off		4
(Other)		9
(My work life has not changed)		10

**Q8**. Now thinking about the monthly income of your household, including everyone in your home, and income from all sources including paid work, retirement, and deferred compensation, how much has your household income changed since we were asked to shelter in place in mid-March? Would you say that it has increased significantly, increased somewhat, there has been no change, decreased somewhat, or decreased significantly?

Decreased significantly	18
Decreased somewhat	
No change	
Increased somewhat	
Increased significantly	2
(Not sure)	
Decreased	44
Increased	

**Q9**. Now thinking about your expected household income over the next year, how likely is it that you will be able to pay your rent or mortgage on time every month for the next year? Would you say that is very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

Very likely	61
Somewhat likely	25
Not very likely	7
Not at all likely	3
(Don't know)	3
Likely	86
Not likely	

TOTAL N= 500

**Q10**. Again thinking about your expected household income over the next year, how likely is it that you will be able to pay for your other basic needs, like food, healthcare, utilities, and other essentials for the next year? Would you say that is very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

Very likely	63
Somewhat likely	27
Not very likely	
Not at all likely	
(Don't know)	2
Likely	89
Not likely	8

**Q11.** At this time, do you think the City of Berkeley should focus solely on responding to the coronavirus pandemic and providing basic city services, or do you think that the city should pursue other goals as well, such as supporting small businesses, increasing affordable housing, and addressing homelessness and the environment?

Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20
Pursue other goals as well	73
(Neither)	1
(Not sure)	6

**Q12.** Now I'm going to read you some different areas where investment in the City of Berkeley may be needed in the next few years. Please tell me how important you feel it is for the City of Berkeley to address each of the following: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not important at all. If you are not sure how you feel about a particular item, please say so. **[RANDOMIZE]** 

**AFTER EACH**: And would you say that extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important. If you are not sure how you feel about a particular item, please say so.

#### Sorted by "Extremely important"

12d.Improving response to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information	. 50
12e.Affordable housing and homeless services	
12a.Climate change and sustainability	. 35
12b.Fire safety and emergency medical response, including training for first responders, additional ambulances, paramedics, and modernizing the 9-1-1	
dispatch system	. 32
12c.Wildfire prevention, including vegetation management, an outdoor warning system, fire	20
safety, and evacuation planning	. 32

TOTAL 500 N=

a. Climate change and sustainability

Extremely important	35
Very important	
Somewhat important	22
Not too important	6
Not important at all	4
(Don't know)	1
Extremely /Very important	67
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	

b. Fire safety and emergency medical response, including training for first responders, additional ambulances, paramedics, and modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system

Extremely important	32
Very important	38
Somewhat important	
Not too important	3
Not important at all	1
(Don't know)	
Extremely /Very important	70
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	

c. Wildfire prevention, including vegetation management, an outdoor warning system, fire safety, and evacuation planning

Extremely important	32
Very important	
Somewhat important	
Not too important	
Not important at all	1
(Don't know)	
Extremely /Very important	70
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	29

d. Improving response to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information

Extremely important	50
Very important	34
Somewhat important	11
Not too important	3
Not important at all	1
(Don't know)	1
Extremely /Very important	84
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	15

e. Affordable housing and homeless services

Extremely important	47
Very important	33
Somewhat important	15
Not too important	3
Not important at all	2
(Don't know)	0
Extremely /Very important	80
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	19

7

N= TOTAL 500

Now, I'm going to read some ballot measures that may appear on the ballot in Berkeley this November. Here's the first one.

#### Sorted by voted "Yes"

17.Climate Action Fund Oil And gas	74
16.Wildfire Tax	69
13.Fire And Emergency Essential	65
18.Climate Action Fund Utility Users Tax	61
14.Fire And Emergency Plus Public Health	54
15.Comprehensive Health And Safety	42

## [ROTATE Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16 WITH Q17, Q18; Q14, Q15, Q16 ALWAYS FOLLOW Q13 IN ORDER; ALSO ROTATE Q17 WITH Q18]

Q13. [FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and emergency tax of 8 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 150 dollars per year on an average home, generating 6.4 million dollars annually, to fund essential local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, and upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities, and modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

Yes - strongly Yes - not so strongly	
Lean yes	
Undecided /DK	12
Lean no	8
(Refused)	0
Yes	
NO	∠

8

N= TOTAL 500

Now I am going to ask you a different version of this same measure.

Q14. [FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and emergency tax of \$0.17 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 325 dollars per year on an average home, generating 14 million dollars annually, to fund local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities, modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system, and expand funding so that the City can better respond to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including expanded funding for contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

Yes - strongly	22
Yes - not so strongly	20
Lean yes	12
Undecided /DK	11
Lean no	3
No - not so strongly	
No - strongly	18
(Refused)	0
Yes	54
No	

9

N= TOTAL 500

Now I am going to ask you another version of this same measure.

Q15. [COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and health and safety tax of 26.3 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 500 dollars per year on an average home, generating 21.4 million dollars annually, to fund local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system, expand funding so that the City can better respond to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including expanded funding for contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information, and to fund wildfire prevention, fire safety, an outdoor emergency warning system, and evacuation planning?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

18 17 7
14
3 14 27
0
42 44

Now I am going to ask about a different measure related to wildfire services.

**Q16**. **[WILDFIRE TAX]** Shall the City of Berkeley enact a wildfire prevention parcel tax of 2.5 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 47 dollars per year on an average home, generating 2 million dollars annually, to fund wildfire prevention, fire safety, an outdoor emergency warning system, and evacuation planning?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly	23
Undecided /DK	
Lean no  No - not so strongly  No - strongly	8
(Refused)	0
YesNo	

Q17. [CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS] Shall the City of Berkeley create a Climate Action Fund to provide incentives for Berkeley residents and businesses to reduce global warming emissions? Money for the Climate Action Fund would come from a tax on wholesale distributors of gas and diesel fuel, for measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and local air pollution, including increasing electric vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and other clean transportation options, increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy use in homes and businesses, and investing in clean and reliable back-up power for emergency services facilities and other general municipal services?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

Yes - strongly	19
Undecided /DK	9
Lean no	7
(Refused)	0
Yes	

Now I am going to ask you another version of this same measure.

Q18. [CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX] Shall the City of Berkeley create a Climate Action Fund that would be funded by an increase in the Utility Users Tax from 7.5% to 10%, that would generate 4 million dollars annually for measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and local air pollution, including increasing electric vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and other clean transportation options, increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy use in homes and businesses, and investing in clean and reliable back-up power for emergency services facilities and other general municipal services?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly Yes - not so strongly Lean yes	18
Undecided /DK	11
Lean no  No - not so strongly  No - strongly	10
(Refused)	0
Yes No	

Finally, I would like to ask you a few questions for statistical purposes only.

Q19. [T] In terms of local politics, do you consider yourself progressive, liberal, moderate, or conservative?

Progressive	40
Liberal	36
Moderate	15
Conservative	3
(Don't know)(Refused)	4 3

Q20.	[ <b>T</b> *]	From which one of these sour	ces do you	get most of	your news about Berkele	y?	[RANDOMIZE 1-10]
------	---------------	------------------------------	------------	-------------	-------------------------	----	------------------

Berkeleyside	38
Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10
Other online news sites	10
Local television news	9
The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9
City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7
Radio	6
East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4
The Daily Californian	3
Other print newspapers	1
(Don't know/refused)	4

#### Q21. [T\*] What is the last year of schooling that you have completed? [DO NOT READ]

High School Graduate or less	4
Post-HS	
College graduate or post-grad	
1st -11th Grade	0
High School Graduate	
Vocational or technical school	
Some college but no degree	13
Associate degree	
4-year college graduate or bachelor's degree	
Graduate School or advanced degree	39
(Refused)	

Q22. [T] Do you have any children 18 years of age or younger living at home with you?

Yes	
No	73
	2

Q23. [IF Q22=YES] [T] Are any of your children currently enrolled in Berkeley public schools?

N=

123

Yes	62
No	38
(Don't know/refused)	0

Q24. [T] Do you own your own home or do you rent?

Own	46
Rent	49
(Other)	4
(Don't know/refused)	

Q25. [T] How long have you lived in Berkeley? [DO NOT READ, RECORD WITHIN RANGE]

Less than two years	7
Two to five years	
Five to ten years	19
Ten to twenty years	
More than twenty years	
All your life	
(Don't know/refused)	

**Q26**. Just to make sure we have a representative sample, could you please tell me whether you are from a Latino, Hispanic, or Spanish-speaking background?

`	Yes, Latino/Latina/Hispanic	10
I	No, not Latino/Latina/Hispanic	87
(	(Don't know/Refused)	. 3

**Q27. [ASK ALL] [T\*]** And please tell me which one, or more than one, of these racial or ethnic groups you identify with.

#### [RANDOMIZE/READ CHOICES]

[ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

**[IF "OTHER" OR "BIRACIAL" OR "MULTI-RACIAL"**:] Well which two or three of these do you identify with the most?

White or Caucasian	61
Black or African American	9
Latino/Latina or Hispanic	10
Asian American or Pacific Islander	
Native American	2
Middle Eastern	3
(Other)	3
(Don't know/Refused)	2

### Age

18-29 years	22
30-39 years	
40-49 years	
50-64 years	21
65 and older	26
18-24 years	10
25-29 years	12
30-34 years	8
35-39 years	9
40-44 years	8
45-49 years	6
50-54 years	
55-59 years	
60-64 years	
65-69 years	
70-74 years	
75 and older	
(Don't know /Refused)	

# **City of Berkeley**

Banners from a Survey of 500 Likely November 2020 Voters in the City of Berkeley, California

May 16-19, 2020



#### **SURVEY METHODOLOGY**

Lake Research Partners designed and administered this survey that was conducted by telephone using professional interviewers and our innovative text-to-online technology. The survey reached a total of 500 likely November 2020 voters in Berkeley, California. The survey was conducted from May 16-19, 2020.

Telephone numbers for the sample were drawn randomly from a voter file. The sample was stratified geographically to reflect the expected distribution. The data were weighted slightly by gender, region, age, race, party registration, homeownership, and education.

In interpreting survey results, all sample surveys are subject to possible sampling error; that is, the results of a survey may differ from those which would be obtained if the entire population were interviewed. The size of the sampling error depends upon both the total number of respondents in the survey and the percentage distribution of responses to a particular question. For example, if 50% of respondents answered "yes" to a particular question, we can be 95% confident that the true percentage will fall within +/- 4.4 percentage points of this percentage. The table below represents the estimated sampling error for different percentage distributions of responses. Of course, the sampling error for sub-groups is greater.

Margin of Error for Different Percentage Distributions and Different Sample Sizes (95% confidence)

	PERCENTAGES NEAR								
Sample Size	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
500	2.6	3.5	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.0	3.5	2.6
400	2.9	3.9	4.5	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.5	3.9	2.9
300	3.4	4.5	5.2	5.5	5.7	5.5	5.2	4.5	3.4
200	4.2	5.5	6.4	6.8	6.9	6.8	6.4	5.5	4.2
100	5.9	7.8	9.0	9.6	9.8	9.6	9.0	7.8	5.9



Strategy • Precision • Impact

City of Berkeley Community Survey Phone and Text to Online May 16-19, 2020

N=500 Likely Nov 2020 General Election Voters

			TOTAL 500
Reç	gion	14-	300
	COD 5/0/0		40
	CCD 5/6/8		
	CCD 1/2		
Par	ty registration		
	Democrat		76
	Republican		2
	No party preference		
	Other		3
	Before we begin, I need to know if I have reached talk safely?	ed you on	a cell pl
	Yes, cell and can talk safely		33
	Yes, cell and cannot talk safely[0		
	No, not on cell, but own one		
	No, not on cell, and do not own one		
	(Don't know/refused)[7		-
	Text to online		44
Q2.	Could you please tell me your gender? [DO NO	T READ C	PTION
	Male		45
	Female		53
	Non-binary/other		2
for I	Although it is some time from now, what are the President, Congress, and other offices? Are you but 50-50, are you probably not going to vote, or a	ı almost ce	rtain to
	Almost certain to vote		94
	Probably will vote		6
	50-50[7	TERMINA'	TE]
	Probably not	TERMINA'	ΓΕ]
	Definitely not		-

**Q4.** How much of an impact has the coronavirus pandemic had on you and your household – thinking about all of the effects, including financial concerns and physical and mental health, would you say the impact on your household has been very serious, fairly serious, moderate, minor, or no impact at all?

Very serious	22
Fairly serious	
Moderate	
Minor	13
No impact	
(Don't know)	
Very /Fairly serious	47
Moderate /Minor /No impact	

**Q5**. In your own words, what has been the single greatest challenge or difficulty for you and your household as a result of the coronavirus pandemic? **[OPEN END; MULTIPLE RESPONSES TAKEN]** 

Mental health	56
Financial	35
Childcare or schooling	17
Physical health	16
Caretaking family or friends	12
Other	4

**Q6**. Before we were asked to shelter in place in mid-March, what was your employment status – were you: **[READ IN ORDER]** 

Employed full-time	51
Employed part-time	
Unemployed	
Retired	
Homemaker or stay at home parent	2
Full-time student	8
On disability	2
(Other)	1
(Don't know)	

TOTAL N= 500

Q7. [IF EMPLOYED (Q6 = 1 - 2)] I am going to read some ways in which people's work lives have been affected by the coronavirus. Please tell me which of the following apply to you, you can give multiple responses: [READ AND RANDOMIZE 1-7. ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

	N=	327
I am required to work from home by my employe	er	48
My hours at work have been reduced		17
I have been laid off or furloughed		12
I am a small business owner and my business is currently open		8
I am taking unpaid time off		7
I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed		7
I am taking paid time off		4
(Other)		9
(My work life has not changed)		10

**Q8**. Now thinking about the monthly income of your household, including everyone in your home, and income from all sources including paid work, retirement, and deferred compensation, how much has your household income changed since we were asked to shelter in place in mid-March? Would you say that it has increased significantly, increased somewhat, there has been no change, decreased somewhat, or decreased significantly?

Decreased significantly	18
Decreased somewhat	
No change	
Increased somewhat	
Increased significantly	2
(Not sure)	
Decreased	44
Increased	

**Q9**. Now thinking about your expected household income over the next year, how likely is it that you will be able to pay your rent or mortgage on time every month for the next year? Would you say that is very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

Very likely	61
Somewhat likely	25
Not very likely	7
Not at all likely	3
(Don't know)	3
Likely	86
Not likely	

**Q10**. Again thinking about your expected household income over the next year, how likely is it that you will be able to pay for your other basic needs, like food, healthcare, utilities, and other essentials for the next year? Would you say that is very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

Very likely	63
Somewhat likely	27
Not very likely	6
Not at all likely	
(Don't know)	2
Likely	89
Not likely	8

**Q11.** At this time, do you think the City of Berkeley should focus solely on responding to the coronavirus pandemic and providing basic city services, or do you think that the city should pursue other goals as well, such as supporting small businesses, increasing affordable housing, and addressing homelessness and the environment?

Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20
Pursue other goals as well	73
(Neither)	1
(Not sure)	6

**Q12.** Now I'm going to read you some different areas where investment in the City of Berkeley may be needed in the next few years. Please tell me how important you feel it is for the City of Berkeley to address each of the following: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not important at all. If you are not sure how you feel about a particular item, please say so. **[RANDOMIZE]** 

**AFTER EACH**: And would you say that extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important. If you are not sure how you feel about a particular item, please say so.

### Sorted by "Extremely important"

12d.Improving response to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health	
information	50
12e.Affordable housing and homeless services	47
12a.Climate change and sustainability	35
12b.Fire safety and emergency medical response, including training for first responders, additional ambulances, paramedics, and modernizing the 9-1-1	
dispatch system	32
12c.Wildfire prevention, including vegetation management, an outdoor warning system, fire safety, and evacuation planning	32
, , ,	

TOTAL 500 N=

a. Climate change and sustainability

Extremely important	35
Very important	
Somewhat important	22
Not too important	6
Not important at all	4
(Don't know)	1
Extremely /Very important	67
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	

b. Fire safety and emergency medical response, including training for first responders, additional ambulances, paramedics, and modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system

Extremely important	32
Very important	38
Somewhat important	24
Not too important	3
Not important at all	1
(Don't know)	1
Extremely /Very important	70
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	29

c. Wildfire prevention, including vegetation management, an outdoor warning system, fire safety, and evacuation planning

Extremely important  Very important  Somewhat important  Not too important  Not important at all  (Don't know)	39 4 1
Extremely /Very important  Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	

www.LakeResearch.com

TOTAL **N=** 500

d. Improving response to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information

Extremely important	50
Very important	34
Somewhat important	11
Not too important	3
Not important at all	1
(Don't know)	1
Extremely /Very important	84
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	15
Affordable housing and homologe convices	

e. Affordable housing and homeless services

Extremely important	
Somewhat important	
Not too important	3
Not important at all	2
(Don't know)	0
Extremely /Very important	80
Somewhat /Not too /Not important at all	19

Now, I'm going to read some ballot measures that may appear on the ballot in Berkeley this November. Here's the first one.

### Sorted by voted "Yes"

17.Climate Action Fund Oil And gas	74
16.Wildfire Tax	69
13.Fire And Emergency Essential	65
18.Climate Action Fund Utility Users Tax	61
14.Fire And Emergency Plus Public Health	54
15.Comprehensive Health And Safety	42

## [ROTATE Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16 WITH Q17, Q18; Q14, Q15, Q16 ALWAYS FOLLOW Q13 IN ORDER; ALSO ROTATE Q17 WITH Q18]

Q13. [FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and emergency tax of 8 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 150 dollars per year on an average home, generating 6.4 million dollars annually, to fund essential local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, and upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities, and modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

Yes - strongly	
Yes - not so strongly	
Lean yes	12
Undecided /DK	12
Lean no	1
No - not so strongly	
No - strongly	13
(Refused)	0
Yes	65
No	23

Now I am going to ask you a different version of this same measure.

Q14. [FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and emergency tax of \$0.17 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 325 dollars per year on an average home, generating 14 million dollars annually, to fund local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities, modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system, and expand funding so that the City can better respond to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including expanded funding for contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

Yes - strongly	22
Yes - not so strongly	
Lean yes	
Undecided /DK	11
Lean no	3
No - not so strongly	
No - strongly	18
(Refused)	0
Yes	54
No	

9

N= TOTAL 500

Now I am going to ask you another version of this same measure.

Q15. [COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and health and safety tax of 26.3 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 500 dollars per year on an average home, generating 21.4 million dollars annually, to fund local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system, expand funding so that the City can better respond to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including expanded funding for contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information, and to fund wildfire prevention, fire safety, an outdoor emergency warning system, and evacuation planning?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

18
17
7
14
3
14
27
0
42
44

Now I am going to ask about a different measure related to wildfire services.

**Q16**. **[WILDFIRE TAX]** Shall the City of Berkeley enact a wildfire prevention parcel tax of 2.5 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 47 dollars per year on an average home, generating 2 million dollars annually, to fund wildfire prevention, fire safety, an outdoor emergency warning system, and evacuation planning?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly	23
Undecided /DK	11
Lean no	8
(Refused)	0
Yes	

Q17. [CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS] Shall the City of Berkeley create a Climate Action Fund to provide incentives for Berkeley residents and businesses to reduce global warming emissions? Money for the Climate Action Fund would come from a tax on wholesale distributors of gas and diesel fuel, for measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and local air pollution, including increasing electric vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and other clean transportation options, increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy use in homes and businesses, and investing in clean and reliable back-up power for emergency services facilities and other general municipal services?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

Yes - strongly	19
Undecided /DK	9
Lean no	7
(Refused)	0
Yes	

Now I am going to ask you another version of this same measure.

Q18. [CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX] Shall the City of Berkeley create a Climate Action Fund that would be funded by an increase in the Utility Users Tax from 7.5% to 10%, that would generate 4 million dollars annually for measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and local air pollution, including increasing electric vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and other clean transportation options, increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy use in homes and businesses, and investing in clean and reliable back-up power for emergency services facilities and other general municipal services?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly	18
Undecided /DK	11
Lean no	10
(Refused)	0
Yes No	

Finally, I would like to ask you a few questions for statistical purposes only.

Q19. [T] In terms of local politics, do you consider yourself progressive, liberal, moderate, or conservative?

ProgressiveLiberal	40
Moderate	
Conservative	
(Don't know)(Refused)	4

Q20.	[ <b>T</b> *]	From which one of these sour	ces do you	get most of	your news about Berkele	y?	[RANDOMIZE 1-10]
------	---------------	------------------------------	------------	-------------	-------------------------	----	------------------

Berkeleyside	38
Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10
Other online news sites	10
Local television news	9
The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9
City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7
Radio	6
East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4
The Daily Californian	3
Other print newspapers	1
(Don't know/refused)	4

### Q21. [T\*] What is the last year of schooling that you have completed? [DO NOT READ]

High School Graduate or less	4
Post-HS	
College graduate or post-grad	
1st -11th Grade	0
High School Graduate	4
Vocational or technical school	
Some college but no degree	13
Associate degree	6
4-year college graduate or bachelor's degree	
Graduate School or advanced degree	39
(Refused)	2

Q22. [T] Do you have any children 18 years of age or younger living at home with you?

Yes	
No	73
	2

Q23. [IF Q22=YES] [T] Are any of your children currently enrolled in Berkeley public schools?

N=

123

Yes	62
No	38
(Don't know/refused)	0

Q24. [T] Do you own your own home or do you rent?

Own	46
Rent	49
(Other)	4
(Don't know/refused)	

Q25. [T] How long have you lived in Berkeley? [DO NOT READ, RECORD WITHIN RANGE]

Less than two years	7
Two to five years	21
Five to ten years	19
Ten to twenty years	15
More than twenty years	
All your life	
(Don't know/refused)	

**Q26**. Just to make sure we have a representative sample, could you please tell me whether you are from a Latino, Hispanic, or Spanish-speaking background?

`	Yes, Latino/Latina/Hispanic	10
1	No, not Latino/Latina/Hispanic	87
(	Don't know/Refused)	. 3

**Q27. [ASK ALL] [T\*]** And please tell me which one, or more than one, of these racial or ethnic groups you identify with.

### [RANDOMIZE/READ CHOICES]

[ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

**[IF "OTHER" OR "BIRACIAL" OR "MULTI-RACIAL"**:] Well which two or three of these do you identify with the most?

White or Caucasian	61
Black or African American	9
Latino/Latina or Hispanic	10
Asian American or Pacific Islander	14
Native American	2
Middle Eastern	3
(Other)	3
(Don't know/Refused)	

### Age

18-29 years	22
30-39 years	17
40-49 years	14
50-64 years	21
65 and older	26
18-24 years	
25-29 years	12
30-34 years	8
35-39 years	9
40-44 years	8
45-49 years	6
50-54 years	
55-59 years	6
60-64 years	7
65-69 years	7
70-74 years	
75 and older	
(Don't know /Refused)	

#### **DEFINITIONS OF CREATED VARIABLES**

### **EDUCATION**

### H.S./Less

Respondents with no more than a high school diploma.

### Post-H.S.

Respondents with some post high school education, such as technical or vocational school or some college education.

### Non-college grad

Respondents who do not have a college diploma.

### **College graduate or post-graduate**

Respondents with at least a college degree.

### 4-year College grad

Respondents with a 4-year college degree but no post graduate education.

### Q13-18. Ballot Measures

#### Yes

Respondents who say they would vote yes on the measure and hold that position strongly or not so strongly, or are undecided but lean toward voting yes on the measure.

### No

Respondents who say they would vote no on the measure and hold that position strongly or not so strongly, or are undecided but lean toward voting no on the measure.

### Undecided/DK

Respondents who are undecided and do not lean toward yes or no on the measure.

### Page 37 of 239

			GE	NDER	Α	GE	AGE					GENDER /AGE			
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	48	65	69	46	71	74	59	45	46	62	73	29	58
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	38	57	49	48	60	49	34	50	46	38	60	38	55
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	43	42	47	38	68	38	24	35	40	44	49	40	36
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	26	36	40	24	57	25	29	18	29	37	40	12	32
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	22	14	26	9	47	34	-17	7	11	30	21	11	8
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	1	-7	5	-11	27	2	-26	-12	-10	5	3	-4	-15
TOTAL	·	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

### Page 38 of 239

				RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Y	_	LOGY / NDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	64	40	52	73	71	57	64	71	11	79	61	16	62	77
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	49	51	39	56	48	49	55	58	16	69	45	14	45	68
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	44	44	46	42	45	42	54	49	23	56	41	25	47	51
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	41	15	37	44	51	32	29	42	-3	45	39	0	34	48
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	22	7	29	17	31	13	16	26	-15	39	12	-13	30	24
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	2	-14	10	-5	13	-10	-9	3	-28	13	-8	-28	12	-5
TOTAL		500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

### Page 39 of 239

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /	ENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	ļ. l
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	53	52	61	62	60	63	54	67	61	71	50	58	59	56
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	55	58	46	40	52	64	37	55	60	46	47	51	50	45
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	44	48	42	48	36	53	45	39	47	47	36	51	40	33
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	28	37	32	32	31	42	26	34	49	36	27	38	30	27
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	8	8	21	21	22	2	26	18	-4	35	6	20	28	4
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	-24	-17	2	0	4	-21	6	-2	-19	12	-10	-5	7	-8
TOTAL		500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

### Page 40 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	58	54	61	66	43	64	72	45	69	56	60	43	65
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	50	40	44	52	40	40	78	46	55	35	61	29	57
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	39	28	34	44	50	46	56	52	50	38	39	33	34
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	26	28	25	37	40	23	53	29	46	26	31	22	26
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	8	0	8	48	16	22	23	27	13	24	31	12	-3
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	-6	-11	-6	21	-10	1	-5	5	-14	4	8	-7	-11
TOTAL		500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

### Page 41 of 239

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19	-	OYMENT : ORE COV			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	'ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN V	'S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	59	55	60	56	45	58	58	71	51	63	63	55	64
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	50	45	49	56	38	45	50	48	38	60	42	44	54
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	43	41	48	25	41	38	44	32	42	46	35	34	52
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	35	26	36	33	25	32	33	36	26	37	30	28	37
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	18	17	23	-2	9	9	20	9	26	14	2	-2	37
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	1	-9	4	-10	-15	-10	-1	-19	2	-5	-12	-22	16
TOTAL		500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

### Page 42 of 239

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		C	WN VS RE	ENT / GEN	DER	_	LONG LIV BERKELE	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	49	68	73	68	45	48	60	50	75	70	65	48
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	39	55	67	56	30	35	51	42	65	50	48	50
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	43	31	61	52	38	35	32	54	51	43	41	45
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	35	34	42	45	14	20	34	32	39	36	35	28
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	4	-4	39	49	14	-7	1	51	26	32	28	0
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	-22	-19	15	24	3	-17	-27	18	13	10	5	-17
TOTAL		500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

### Page 43 of 239

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	59	69	27	60	61	53	73	50	71	76	48	56	61	58	52
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	33	61	50	50	53	65	49	41	64	52	54	45	52	44	53
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	39	42	52	40	42	64	56	39	45	42	42	35	41	46	42
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	32	36	16	35	34	45	43	25	43	39	30	31	37	26	34
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	31	24	3	-2	14	37	50	20	8	21	8	5	25	15	7
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	8	1	-13	-20	-9	17	19	-2	-16	-5	-13	-14	8	-14	-6
TOTAL		500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

### Page 44 of 239

			INC CHANG	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR	-	AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE	-
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	55	60	57	61	42	56	62	72	26	46	81	29	40	80	37	59
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	47	50	49	50	45	47	54	78	-19	16	77	14	25	77	26	35
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	36	49	44	41	44	44	42	100	-100	0	85	-15	19	85	6	33
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	23	41	33	30	29	31	36	54	-13	2	62	-8	15	66	2	28
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	10	25	16	21	24	17	23	54	-72	-6	100	-100	0	91	-53	24
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	-6	4	-3	-1	12	-3	3	26	-70	-25	56	-88	-11	100	-100	0
TOTAL	·	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

### Page 45 of 239

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
YES - NO	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	58	72	16	45	100	-100	87	6	34
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	49	100	-100	0	62	-2	73	7	22
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	42	71	-33	3	54	-5	65	4	20
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	32	53	-30	16	56	-57	100	-100	0
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	18	39	-44	0	35	-44	46	-28	-19
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	-2	15	-52	-20	11	-54	23	-45	-29
TOTAL	•	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

### Page 46 of 239

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	45%	49%	52%	43%	55%	53%	46%	43%	43%	47%	55%	42%	43%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	32%	43%	36%	41%	36%	41%	31%	33%	47%	30%	41%	35%	44%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	33%	33%	32%	34%	34%	33%	29%	32%	36%	31%	33%	37%	33%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	30%	31%	30%	32%	31%	30%	28%	29%	35%	26%	32%	35%	30%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	22%	22%	24%	20%	29%	24%	17%	17%	22%	22%	26%	20%	19%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	16%	18%	19%	17%	22%	19%	14%	15%	18%	16%	20%	17%	17%
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	70%	77%	81%	66%	83%	82%	78%	71%	62%	80%	82%	57%	72%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	65%	72%	70%	68%	74%	70%	63%	73%	64%	66%	74%	65%	70%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	67%	63%	69%	61%	80%	65%	56%	64%	59%	70%	68%	64%	59%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	59%	61%	66%	55%	74%	59%	61%	54%	56%	67%	63%	49%	59%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	58%	50%	59%	48%	70%	62%	38%	49%	47%	63%	54%	50%	46%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	45%	38%	47%	36%	58%	47%	31%	39%	33%	49%	45%	41%	32%
TOTAL		500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

### Page 47 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	iΥ		OGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	50%	41%	47%	52%	55%	45%	44%	55%	24%	62%	46%	25%	51%	57%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	37%	37%	31%	42%	34%	41%	39%	44%	19%	46%	41%	17%	36%	50%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	37%	23%	38%	36%	38%	36%	20%	38%	18%	40%	35%	20%	40%	36%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	32%	25%	32%	32%	31%	33%	27%	34%	22%	39%	29%	22%	32%	35%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	23%	18%	24%	22%	27%	20%	21%	24%	19%	27%	21%	20%	23%	24%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	18%	16%	19%	18%	20%	16%	19%	20%	11%	23%	18%	10%	19%	20%
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	77%	65%	71%	81%	83%	72%	77%	81%	50%	86%	76%	53%	77%	84%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	69%	71%	66%	71%	70%	68%	70%	74%	51%	80%	67%	51%	69%	78%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	66%	66%	70%	63%	69%	63%	70%	69%	55%	73%	65%	58%	69%	69%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	65%	51%	64%	66%	72%	59%	57%	66%	41%	69%	64%	43%	64%	68%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	56%	48%	60%	52%	62%	49%	52%	59%	37%	65%	52%	38%	63%	56%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	44%	35%	51%	39%	52%	36%	37%	45%	29%	49%	40%	30%	52%	39%
TOTAL		500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

### Page 48 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	39%	40%	51%	48%	53%	41%	48%	52%	44%	54%	47%	51%	43%	48%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	39%	38%	39%	36%	41%	40%	33%	44%	39%	35%	42%	42%	35%	37%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	26%	29%	35%	36%	34%	27%	34%	36%	29%	33%	37%	36%	28%	34%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	31%	32%	31%	33%	29%	30%	30%	32%	34%	28%	34%	39%	24%	25%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	17%	19%	24%	27%	21%	19%	23%	24%	19%	27%	20%	26%	24%	15%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	15%	15%	19%	20%	18%	11%	16%	20%	13%	21%	16%	21%	19%	13%
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	71%	69%	77%	77%	77%	72%	73%	80%	75%	83%	70%	75%	75%	72%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	72%	74%	68%	65%	70%	74%	65%	71%	75%	68%	68%	70%	69%	68%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	66%	68%	65%	69%	62%	66%	68%	63%	69%	69%	61%	69%	63%	61%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	58%	62%	61%	61%	61%	62%	60%	61%	69%	64%	57%	64%	59%	57%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	50%	49%	56%	56%	56%	43%	60%	52%	43%	64%	46%	56%	57%	47%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	30%	34%	44%	44%	44%	31%	48%	41%	37%	51%	37%	42%	45%	38%
TOTAL		500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

### Page 49 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	47%	49%	48%	34%	53%	47%	54%	46%	55%	43%	40%	46%	50%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	38%	35%	38%	33%	40%	38%	49%	36%	47%	36%	34%	23%	46%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	30%	37%	29%	27%	37%	35%	38%	34%	39%	28%	28%	38%	30%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	23%	28%	27%	20%	39%	38%	40%	40%	38%	25%	23%	20%	28%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	15%	15%	21%	27%	24%	25%	29%	28%	24%	22%	25%	12%	16%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	10%	15%	17%	18%	16%	23%	25%	21%	20%	14%	21%	11%	13%
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	73%	72%	77%	77%	66%	76%	84%	68%	80%	74%	75%	68%	75%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	70%	65%	65%	69%	61%	66%	88%	69%	71%	62%	74%	63%	71%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	64%	58%	59%	65%	69%	67%	72%	72%	67%	64%	61%	62%	60%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	59%	56%	55%	63%	63%	57%	74%	59%	69%	61%	56%	58%	54%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	49%	45%	47%	67%	51%	57%	60%	61%	51%	58%	57%	53%	41%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	39%	37%	37%	53%	36%	46%	45%	47%	38%	45%	45%	44%	31%
TOTAL	·	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			STATUS	DYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT :			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	48%	46%	50%	44%	43%	47%	48%	51%	47%	49%	48%	47%	51%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	38%	39%	38%	38%	43%	37%	39%	39%	33%	45%	37%	37%	40%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	34%	31%	35%	30%	35%	33%	33%	32%	33%	34%	32%	36%	31%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	31%	31%	31%	31%	32%	30%	31%	32%	32%	31%	29%	28%	33%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	20%	25%	19%	22%	23%	20%	23%	21%	23%	23%	17%	16%	29%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	18%	17%	17%	19%	17%	13%	20%	12%	18%	20%	13%	12%	24%
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	76%	69%	77%	73%	60%	76%	74%	82%	72%	75%	77%	73%	78%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	71%	64%	71%	73%	59%	68%	70%	69%	66%	73%	66%	67%	72%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	67%	61%	70%	57%	58%	65%	66%	61%	67%	65%	64%	61%	70%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	64%	53%	65%	64%	51%	62%	61%	62%	59%	62%	60%	59%	63%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	55%	50%	58%	43%	44%	49%	55%	49%	60%	50%	46%	44%	64%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	45%	35%	47%	38%	30%	38%	43%	32%	46%	40%	37%	33%	51%
TOTAL		500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

### Page 51 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			OWN VS RENT / REGION OWN VS RENT / GENDER										LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	45%	56%	61%	48%	40%	45%	49%	48%	52%	52%	50%	44%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	38%	42%	48%	39%	30%	29%	43%	37%	44%	38%	37%	42%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	39%	40%	34%	33%	25%	36%	36%	32%	30%	35%	33%	34%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	36%	25%	41%	30%	26%	30%	26%	31%	34%	31%	29%	34%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	22%	12%	31%	31%	20%	15%	17%	29%	27%	25%	24%	20%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	17%	8%	27%	24%	19%	13%	11%	20%	26%	21%	20%	14%
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	69%	82%	84%	79%	64%	69%	77%	73%	81%	82%	79%	68%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	64%	74%	79%	73%	60%	65%	68%	67%	77%	70%	69%	69%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	64%	62%	76%	70%	62%	62%	59%	74%	67%	67%	66%	64%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	61%	62%	68%	66%	51%	55%	62%	63%	61%	64%	63%	57%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	48%	44%	66%	69%	51%	43%	44%	73%	56%	62%	60%	43%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	34%	34%	53%	54%	43%	38%	28%	53%	49%	49%	47%	32%
TOTAL		500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

### Page 52 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			HOW LONG LIVED IN BERKELEY / GENDER RACE RACE /GENDER				/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMPACT OF COVID-19						
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	50%	49%	36%	49%	49%	50%	54%	47%	51%	54%	45%	45%	45%	51%	46%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	30%	43%	39%	44%	40%	51%	37%	32%	46%	35%	43%	39%	41%	36%	37%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	33%	33%	36%	33%	34%	29%	37%	33%	37%	31%	37%	33%	34%	30%	39%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	26%	31%	39%	31%	31%	43%	32%	30%	31%	28%	33%	26%	30%	28%	40%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	21%	26%	23%	18%	20%	32%	30%	20%	20%	20%	19%	17%	22%	22%	23%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	17%	21%	14%	14%	16%	35%	17%	15%	16%	17%	15%	13%	22%	14%	16%
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	78%	79%	56%	75%	77%	74%	83%	72%	81%	86%	69%	75%	76%	75%	67%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	64%	75%	71%	68%	72%	81%	69%	68%	76%	72%	72%	68%	70%	67%	72%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	67%	65%	69%	60%	66%	77%	75%	67%	65%	67%	65%	64%	62%	69%	66%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	64%	62%	51%	60%	63%	66%	65%	60%	66%	67%	59%	61%	63%	58%	59%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	63%	56%	48%	40%	52%	66%	72%	57%	48%	57%	48%	48%	56%	53%	49%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	50%	43%	36%	29%	39%	54%	53%	44%	34%	43%	35%	37%	47%	36%	40%
TOTAL		500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

### Page 53 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

		8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19			9.ABLE TO PAY RENT OR MORTGAGE NEXT YEAR			10.ABLE TO PAY FOR BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR		13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL			14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH			15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY		
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	46%	50%	47%	49%	46%	48%	48%	56%	35%	25%	61%	33%	28%	63%	37%	36%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	36%	41%	39%	36%	34%	38%	40%	51%	15%	13%	52%	23%	20%	53%	28%	24%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	32%	35%	35%	31%	35%	35%	31%	41%	21%	12%	46%	20%	13%	50%	24%	15%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	32%	29%	30%	31%	35%	30%	33%	47%	0%	0%	46%	13%	11%	50%	18%	15%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	22%	23%	23%	22%	26%	22%	24%	32%	3%	5%	41%	0%	0%	45%	4%	13%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	20%	18%	17%	20%	26%	17%	20%	25%	6%	3%	32%	1%	4%	43%	0%	0%
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	74%	75%	73%	77%	63%	73%	78%	83%	60%	56%	88%	61%	49%	88%	65%	62%
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	68%	70%	70%	69%	66%	69%	72%	87%	36%	30%	86%	54%	35%	88%	60%	39%
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	62%	69%	66%	65%	65%	67%	65%	100%	0%	0%	91%	39%	26%	91%	48%	43%
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	57%	63%	61%	60%	57%	61%	62%	74%	39%	29%	79%	40%	35%	82%	47%	42%
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	50%	56%	53%	55%	53%	54%	56%	75%	12%	17%	100%	0%	0%	94%	21%	35%
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	42%	44%	41%	44%	50%	41%	45%	58%	11%	11%	74%	4%	10%	100%	0%	0%
TOTAL	•	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

### Page 54 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	MATE N FUND ID GAS	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX			
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	
STRONG YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	48%	55%	32%	29%	64%	0%	63%	27%	20%	
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	38%	55%	0%	0%	44%	25%	49%	21%	22%	
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	33%	41%	18%	14%	43%	6%	55%	0%	0%	
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	31%	41%	8%	8%	35%	15%	40%	17%	17%	
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	22%	28%	8%	10%	27%	8%	31%	9%	8%	
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	18%	22%	9%	3%	22%	5%	24%	10%	6%	
TOTAL YES	17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	74%	83%	55%	52%	100%	0%	92%	49%	42%	
	16.WILDFIRE TAX	69%	100%	0%	0%	77%	47%	84%	50%	36%	
	13.FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	65%	83%	30%	20%	73%	43%	80%	46%	36%	
	18.CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	61%	74%	31%	33%	75%	19%	100%	0%	0%	
	14.FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	54%	67%	25%	23%	64%	25%	70%	32%	18%	
	15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	42%	54%	22%	3%	50%	21%	57%	23%	9%	
TOTAL		500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54	

# Page 55 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
YES - NO		42	43	42	47	38	68	38	24	35	40	44	49	40	36
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	30%	31%	30%	32%	31%	30%	28%	29%	35%	26%	32%	35%	30%
EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	Yes - not so strongly	23%	26%	20%	27%	18%	32%	25%	20%	22%	15%	33%	22%	17%	18%
ESSENTIAL	Lean yes	12%	11%	12%	12%	11%	17%	10%	9%	14%	9%	11%	14%	12%	11%
	Undecided /DK	12%	8%	16%	9%	15%	8%	9%	12%	7%	22%	5%	13%	12%	18%
	Lean no	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%			1%	3%	1%		1%	3%
	No - not so strongly	8%	10%	7%	9%	7%	5%	12%	12%	9%	5%	11%	8%	9%	6%
	No - strongly	13%	14%	13%	12%	14%	6%	14%	20%	19%	11%	13%	11%	14%	14%
	(Refused)	0%	0%			0%					1%			1%	
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	67%	63%	69%	61%	80%	65%	56%	64%	59%	70%	68%	64%	59%
EMERGENCY	No	23%	25%	21%	22%	23%	12%	27%	32%	29%	19%	25%	19%	24%	23%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	8%	16%	9%	15%	8%	9%	12%	7%	22%	5%	13%	12%	18%
	(Refused)	0%	0%			0%					1%			1%	
YES - NO		18	22	14	26	9	47	34	-17	7	11	30	21	11	8
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	22%	22%	24%	20%	29%	24%	17%	17%	22%	22%	26%	20%	19%
EMERGENCY PLUS	Yes - not so strongly	20%	22%	18%	24%	15%	28%	24%	17%	20%	12%	27%	21%	16%	15%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Lean yes	12%	14%	10%	11%	13%	13%	14%	4%	12%	13%	14%	7%	14%	12%
	Undecided /DK	11%	6%	14%	8%	14%	7%	10%	7%	10%	17%	3%	13%	10%	16%
	Lean no	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	1%	6%	3%	2%	5%	3%
	No - not so strongly	15%	13%	16%	16%	13%	12%	11%	29%	16%	10%	12%	21%	14%	12%
	No - strongly	18%	19%	17%	15%	22%	8%	15%	25%	25%	20%	18%	10%	20%	23%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	58%	50%	59%	48%	70%	62%	38%	49%	47%	63%	54%	50%	46%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	No	36%	36%	36%	33%	38%	23%	28%	55%	41%	36%	34%	33%	39%	38%
FUBLIC REALIR	Undecided/DK	11%	6%	14%	8%	14%	7%	10%	7%	10%	17%	3%	13%	10%	16%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 56 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	PAI REGISTI GEN		PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ		LOGY / NDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
YES - NO		42	44	44	46	42	45	42	54	49	23	56	41	25	47	51
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	32%	25%	32%	32%	31%	33%	27%	34%	22%	39%	29%	22%	32%	35%
EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	Yes - not so strongly	23%	23%	25%	27%	19%	26%	20%	30%	24%	21%	21%	28%	22%	27%	23%
LOGENTIAL	Lean yes	12%	11%	15%	11%	12%	13%	10%	13%	11%	12%	13%	9%	14%	11%	11%
	Undecided /DK	12%	12%	12%	6%	15%	7%	16%	15%	10%	12%	9%	11%	9%	8%	12%
	Lean no	1%	1%	2%		2%		2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	3%		1%
	No - not so strongly	8%	9%	7%	10%	8%	10%	7%	7%	9%	6%	8%	10%	6%	12%	7%
	No - strongly	13%	12%	12%	13%	12%	14%	11%	7%	10%	23%	8%	13%	23%	11%	10%
	(Refused)	0%									1%					oxdot
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	66%	66%	70%	63%	69%	63%	70%	69%	55%	73%	65%	58%	69%	69%
EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	No	23%	22%	22%	24%	21%	24%	21%	16%	20%	32%	17%	24%	33%	23%	18%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	12%	12%	6%	15%	7%	16%	15%	10%	12%	9%	11%	9%	8%	12%
	(Refused)	0%									1%					
YES - NO		18	22	7	29	17	31	13	16	26	-15	39	12	-13	30	24
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	23%	18%	24%	22%	27%	20%	21%	24%	19%	27%	21%	20%	23%	24%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	Yes - not so strongly	20%	22%	13%	25%	20%	26%	18%	18%	23%	10%	24%	21%	12%	23%	22%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Lean yes	12%	10%	17%	11%	10%	9%	11%	13%	12%	8%	14%	10%	6%	16%	9%
	Undecided /DK	11%	11%	11%	8%	13%	7%	15%	12%	9%	11%	9%	8%	10%	4%	12%
	Lean no	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	1%	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	3%
	No - not so strongly	15%	13%	18%	12%	15%	16%	11%	16%	14%	22%	10%	19%	22%	12%	16%
	No - strongly	18%	18%	18%	18%	17%	14%	21%	15%	16%	27%	12%	19%	27%	17%	14%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	56%	48%	60%	52%	62%	49%	52%	59%	37%	65%	52%	38%	63%	56%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	No	36%	34%	41%	32%	35%	31%	36%	36%	32%	52%	26%	40%	52%	33%	32%
PUBLIC REALTH	Undecided/DK	11%	11%	11%	8%	13%	7%	15%	12%	9%	11%	9%	8%	10%	4%	12%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 57 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
YES - NO		42	44	48	42	48	36	53	45	39	47	47	36	51	40	33
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	31%	32%	31%	33%	29%	30%	30%	32%	34%	28%	34%	39%	24%	25%
EMERGENCY	Yes - not so strongly	23%	18%	18%	24%	25%	24%	19%	29%	21%	19%	29%	19%	21%	25%	21%
ESSENTIAL	Lean yes	12%	17%	17%	10%	11%	9%	18%	10%	10%	15%	11%	9%	9%	14%	14%
	Undecided /DK	12%	12%	12%	11%	9%	12%	20%	8%	13%	9%	9%	14%	12%	13%	12%
	Lean no	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%	2%		2%	0%	2%	2%
	No - not so strongly	8%	8%	7%	9%	10%	8%	3%	10%	9%	7%	10%	8%	6%	9%	10%
	No - strongly	13%	12%	12%	14%	11%	16%	9%	13%	14%	13%	12%	15%	12%	12%	16%
	(Refused)	0%			0%		0%		0%				0%	0%		
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	66%	68%	65%	69%	62%	66%	68%	63%	69%	69%	61%	69%	63%	61%
EMERGENCY	No	23%	22%	20%	24%	21%	26%	13%	23%	24%	22%	22%	25%	19%	24%	28%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	12%	12%	11%	9%	12%	20%	8%	13%	9%	9%	14%	12%	13%	12%
	(Refused)	0%			0%		0%		0%				0%	0%		
YES - NO		18	8	8	21	21	22	2	26	18	-4	35	6	20	28	4
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	17%	19%	24%	27%	21%	19%	23%	24%	19%	27%	20%	26%	24%	15%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	Yes - not so strongly	20%	14%	13%	22%	17%	28%	9%	25%	21%	14%	27%	17%	19%	21%	21%
PUBLIC REALTH	Lean yes	12%	20%	18%	9%	12%	7%	14%	12%	8%	11%	10%	9%	12%	12%	11%
	Undecided /DK	11%	9%	10%	10%	9%	11%	16%	6%	13%	9%	7%	13%	9%	13%	11%
	Lean no	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	1%	4%	4%	2%	5%	2%	4%	2%	3%	4%
	No - not so strongly	15%	20%	18%	14%	17%	11%	24%	14%	14%	24%	14%	14%	15%	13%	16%
	No - strongly	18%	17%	19%	18%	14%	21%	13%	16%	19%	19%	13%	23%	19%	13%	23%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	50%	49%	56%	56%	56%	43%	60%	52%	43%	64%	46%	56%	57%	47%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	No	36%	42%	41%	34%	35%	34%	41%	34%	34%	48%	29%	41%	36%	29%	42%
FUBLIC REALIR	Undecided/DK	11%	9%	10%	10%	9%	11%	16%	6%	13%	9%	7%	13%	9%	13%	11%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 58 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL E	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDEI	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
YES - NO		42	39	28	34	44	50	46	56	52	50	38	39	33	34
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	23%	28%	27%	20%	39%	38%	40%	40%	38%	25%	23%	20%	28%
EMERGENCY	Yes - not so strongly	23%	29%	15%	18%	29%	23%	20%	21%	21%	22%	28%	23%	32%	14%
ESSENTIAL	Lean yes	12%	13%	15%	15%	16%	7%	8%	12%	12%	7%	11%	16%	11%	17%
	Undecided /DK	12%	10%	12%	15%	14%	12%	13%	10%	6%	16%	9%	17%	9%	14%
	Lean no	1%	3%	1%		2%	1%			1%		2%	2%		4%
	No - not so strongly	8%	9%	11%	15%	5%	5%	4%	11%	8%	5%	10%	10%	13%	7%
	No - strongly	13%	13%	18%	11%	14%	13%	16%	6%	12%	13%	15%	11%	16%	14%
	(Refused)	0%							1%	1%					
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	64%	58%	59%	65%	69%	67%	72%	72%	67%	64%	61%	62%	60%
EMERGENCY	No	23%	25%	30%	26%	21%	19%	20%	17%	21%	17%	26%	22%	29%	26%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	10%	12%	15%	14%	12%	13%	10%	6%	16%	9%	17%	9%	14%
	(Refused)	0%							1%	1%					
YES - NO		18	8	0	8	48	16	22	23	27	13	24	31	12	-3
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	15%	15%	21%	27%	24%	25%	29%	28%	24%	22%	25%	12%	16%
EMERGENCY PLUS	Yes - not so strongly	20%	21%	21%	10%	27%	15%	21%	19%	18%	18%	19%	24%	31%	12%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Lean yes	12%	13%	10%	16%	13%	12%	11%	12%	15%	9%	16%	8%	10%	12%
	Undecided /DK	11%	11%	10%	15%	15%	14%	7%	3%	5%	11%	9%	18%	5%	16%
	Lean no	3%	6%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	6%	1%	3%	4%
	No - not so strongly	15%	16%	16%	22%	6%	18%	11%	16%	13%	17%	12%	13%	13%	19%
	No - strongly	18%	17%	28%	13%	10%	16%	23%	17%	18%	19%	15%	11%	25%	20%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	49%	45%	47%	67%	51%	57%	60%	61%	51%	58%	57%	53%	41%
EMERGENCY PLUS	No	36%	40%	45%	38%	19%	35%	35%	37%	34%	38%	33%	25%	42%	43%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Undecided/DK	11%	11%	10%	15%	15%	14%	7%	3%	5%	11%	9%	18%	5%	16%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			6.EMPL0 STATUS COV		-	OYMENT : ORE COV			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS Childle	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN V	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	employ ed	ed full- time	ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
YES - NO		42	43	41	48	25	41	38	44	32	42	46	35	34	52
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	31%	31%	31%	31%	32%	30%	31%	32%	32%	31%	29%	28%	33%
EMERGENCY	Yes - not so strongly	23%	24%	19%	28%	10%	17%	21%	24%	13%	24%	23%	20%	20%	27%
ESSENTIAL	Lean yes	12%	13%	10%	12%	16%	9%	14%	11%	17%	11%	11%	14%	12%	11%
	Undecided /DK	12%	8%	20%	8%	9%	26%	9%	12%	9%	7%	16%	8%	12%	11%
	Lean no	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%
	No - not so strongly	8%	9%	7%	8%	13%	6%	9%	8%	11%	11%	6%	11%	8%	8%
	No - strongly	13%	14%	11%	14%	16%	9%	17%	12%	17%	13%	11%	16%	17%	10%
	(Refused)	0%	0%			1%			0%		0%				0%
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	67%	61%	70%	57%	58%	65%	66%	61%	67%	65%	64%	61%	70%
EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	No	23%	25%	19%	22%	32%	17%	27%	22%	29%	25%	19%	28%	27%	18%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	8%	20%	8%	9%	26%	9%	12%	9%	7%	16%	8%	12%	11%
	(Refused)	0%	0%			1%			0%		0%				0%
YES - NO		18	18	17	23	-2	9	9	20	9	26	14	2	-2	37
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	20%	25%	19%	22%	23%	20%	23%	21%	23%	23%	17%	16%	29%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	Yes - not so strongly	20%	23%	13%	28%	8%	9%	22%	20%	22%	23%	17%	20%	19%	22%
. ODLIO IILALIII	Lean yes	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	12%	7%	12%	6%	14%	10%	8%	8%	13%
	Undecided /DK	11%	8%	17%	6%	13%	21%	10%	10%	12%	5%	15%	10%	10%	9%
	Lean no	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	5%	5%	1%
	No - not so strongly	15%	15%	14%	14%	18%	16%	15%	15%	16%	13%	17%	18%	17%	13%
	No - strongly	18%	19%	15%	18%	24%	14%	23%	17%	22%	18%	16%	21%	24%	13%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	55%	50%	58%	43%	44%	49%	55%	49%	60%	50%	46%	44%	64%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	No	36%	37%	33%	35%	45%	35%	41%	35%	40%	34%	36%	44%	46%	27%
. ODLIO IILALIII	Undecided/DK	11%	8%	17%	6%	13%	21%	10%	10%	12%	5%	15%	10%	10%	9%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 60 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
YES - NO		42	43	31	61	52	38	35	32	54	51	43	41	45
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	36%	25%	41%	30%	26%	30%	26%	31%	34%	31%	29%	34%
EMERGENCY	Yes - not so strongly	23%	19%	22%	27%	28%	23%	22%	20%	33%	22%	27%	27%	15%
ESSENTIAL	Lean yes	12%	10%	15%	7%	13%	13%	11%	14%	10%	12%	10%	10%	14%
	Undecided /DK	12%	14%	7%	8%	12%	14%	10%	14%	5%	16%	9%	9%	17%
	Lean no	1%		3%			1%	1%	3%		1%		1%	2%
	No - not so strongly	8%	6%	10%	6%	9%	11%	9%	8%	11%	7%	11%	10%	5%
	No - strongly	13%	16%	18%	9%	9%	11%	17%	17%	9%	9%	13%	14%	12%
	(Refused)	0%			1%					1%				0%
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	64%	62%	76%	70%	62%	62%	59%	74%	67%	67%	66%	64%
EMERGENCY	No	23%	21%	31%	15%	18%	24%	27%	27%	20%	17%	24%	25%	19%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	14%	7%	8%	12%	14%	10%	14%	5%	16%	9%	9%	17%
	(Refused)	0%			1%					1%				0%
YES - NO		18	4	-4	39	49	14	-7	1	51	26	32	28	0
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	22%	12%	31%	31%	20%	15%	17%	29%	27%	25%	24%	20%
EMERGENCY PLUS	Yes - not so strongly	20%	17%	22%	22%	24%	21%	19%	19%	26%	19%	24%	23%	14%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Lean yes	12%	8%	11%	14%	14%	10%	8%	8%	17%	10%	13%	12%	10%
	Undecided /DK	11%	9%	7%	6%	10%	13%	7%	13%	4%	13%	9%	9%	13%
	Lean no	3%	3%	6%	1%	1%	1%	7%	3%		2%	1%	2%	5%
	No - not so strongly	15%	17%	17%	12%	11%	16%	19%	16%	9%	16%	16%	15%	14%
	No - strongly	18%	24%	26%	14%	9%	19%	25%	23%	14%	12%	13%	15%	25%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	48%	44%	66%	69%	51%	43%	44%	73%	56%	62%	60%	43%
EMERGENCY PLUS	No	36%	44%	49%	27%	21%	37%	50%	43%	23%	30%	30%	32%	43%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Undecided/DK	11%	9%	7%	6%	10%	13%	7%	13%	4%	13%	9%	9%	13%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 61 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
YES - NO		42	39	42	52	40	42	64	56	39	45	42	42	35	41	46	42
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	26%	31%	39%	31%	31%	43%	32%	30%	31%	28%	33%	26%	30%	28%	40%
EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	Yes - not so strongly	23%	34%	21%	11%	19%	23%	20%	33%	24%	22%	26%	20%	26%	22%	26%	15%
LOOLNIAL	Lean yes	12%	8%	13%	19%	10%	12%	14%	9%	13%	12%	13%	12%	13%	10%	14%	12%
	Undecided /DK	12%	4%	12%	13%	20%	10%	8%	6%	6%	14%	7%	13%	6%	16%	8%	10%
	Lean no	1%		1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%		1%	6%
	No - not so strongly	8%	13%	8%	5%	6%	9%	7%	7%	12%	7%	11%	7%	10%	9%	6%	10%
	No - strongly	13%	16%	13%	10%	13%	13%	4%	11%	15%	12%	14%	13%	18%	12%	16%	8%
	(Refused)	0%			1%			2%						0%		0%	
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	67%	65%	69%	60%	66%	77%	75%	67%	65%	67%	65%	64%	62%	69%	66%
EMERGENCY	No	23%	28%	23%	17%	20%	24%	13%	19%	28%	21%	26%	22%	29%	22%	23%	24%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	4%	12%	13%	20%	10%	8%	6%	6%	14%	7%	13%	6%	16%	8%	10%
	(Refused)	0%			1%			2%						0%		0%	
YES - NO		18	31	24	3	-2	14	37	50	20	8	21	8	5	25	15	7
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	21%	26%	23%	18%	20%	32%	30%	20%	20%	20%	19%	17%	22%	22%	23%
EMERGENCY PLUS	Yes - not so strongly	20%	27%	20%	12%	15%	20%	21%	32%	21%	18%	22%	18%	21%	21%	22%	11%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Lean yes	12%	15%	10%	13%	8%	12%	13%	10%	15%	10%	14%	10%	9%	12%	10%	16%
	Undecided /DK	11%	5%	12%	8%	17%	10%	5%	6%	6%	13%	7%	12%	10%	14%	8%	8%
	Lean no	3%	2%	2%	7%	4%	3%	5%	1%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	6%
	No - not so strongly	15%	12%	20%	16%	12%	14%	10%	13%	11%	18%	16%	13%	18%	10%	19%	17%
	No - strongly	18%	18%	11%	22%	27%	20%	14%	9%	22%	18%	17%	23%	22%	17%	18%	20%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	63%	56%	48%	40%	52%	66%	72%	57%	48%	57%	48%	48%	56%	53%	49%
EMERGENCY PLUS	No	36%	32%	32%	45%	43%	38%	29%	22%	37%	39%	36%	40%	43%	31%	39%	42%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Undecided/DK	11%	5%	12%	8%	17%	10%	5%	6%	6%	13%	7%	12%	10%	14%	8%	8%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 62 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			INC CHANG	SEHOLD OME GED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE TH AND S	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
YES - NO		42	36	49	44	41	44	44	42	100	-100	0	85	-15	19	85	6	33
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	32%	29%	30%	31%	35%	30%	33%	47%			46%	13%	11%	50%	18%	15%
EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	Yes - not so strongly	23%	20%	27%	24%	22%	12%	24%	21%	35%			29%	17%	7%	29%	20%	11%
ESSENTIAL	Lean yes	12%	10%	12%	13%	12%	18%	12%	12%	18%			15%	8%	8%	12%	10%	17%
	Undecided /DK	12%	12%	12%	11%	12%	13%	10%	12%			100%	4%	8%	67%	3%	10%	46%
	Lean no	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%		2%	0%		5%			3%			2%	1%
	No - not so strongly	8%	9%	7%	8%	9%	10%	9%	7%		37%		4%	16%	4%	4%	14%	4%
	No - strongly	13%	16%	11%	12%	15%	12%	12%	15%		58%		1%	34%	3%	3%	25%	6%
	(Refused)	0%		0%	0%			0%					0%					
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	62%	69%	66%	65%	65%	67%	65%	100%			91%	39%	26%	91%	48%	43%
EMERGENCY	No	23%	26%	19%	22%	24%	21%	23%	23%		100%		5%	54%	7%	6%	42%	11%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	12%	12%	11%	12%	13%	10%	12%			100%	4%	8%	67%	3%	10%	46%
	(Refused)	0%		0%	0%			0%					0%					
YES - NO		18	10	25	16	21	24	17	23	54	-72	-6	100	-100	0	91	-53	24
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	22%	23%	23%	22%	26%	22%	24%	32%	3%	5%	41%			45%	4%	13%
EMERGENCY PLUS	Yes - not so strongly	20%	18%	22%	19%	21%	12%	21%	19%	27%	7%	7%	37%			35%	9%	8%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Lean yes	12%	10%	11%	12%	12%	15%	12%	12%	15%	3%	6%	22%			14%	8%	14%
	Undecided /DK	11%	10%	12%	9%	12%	18%	9%	11%	4%	3%	60%			100%	2%	5%	54%
	Lean no	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%		8%		0%	6%	2%
	No - not so strongly	15%	14%	16%	17%	11%	5%	17%	11%	11%	28%	9%		41%		3%	29%	5%
	No - strongly	18%	24%	13%	16%	22%	22%	16%	20%	7%	53%	12%		51%			40%	4%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	50%	56%	53%	55%	53%	54%	56%	75%	12%	17%	100%			94%	21%	35%
EMERGENCY PLUS	No	36%	40%	32%	37%	34%	29%	37%	33%	21%	84%	23%		100%		3%	74%	11%
PUBLIC HEALTH	Undecided/DK	11%	10%	12%	9%	12%	18%	9%	11%	4%	3%	60%			100%	2%	5%	54%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 63 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
YES - NO		42	71	-33	3	54	-5	65	4	20
13.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	31%	41%	8%	8%	35%	15%	40%	17%	17%
EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	Yes - not so strongly	23%	28%	14%	6%	25%	22%	27%	19%	11%
ESSENTIAL	Lean yes	12%	14%	7%	7%	13%	6%	13%	10%	7%
	Undecided /DK	12%	5%	8%	62%	9%	7%	6%	11%	48%
	Lean no	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	4%	0%	3%	1%
	No - not so strongly	8%	6%	16%	10%	8%	11%	8%	9%	9%
	No - strongly	13%	6%	43%	5%	10%	33%	7%	30%	6%
	(Refused)	0%	0%				1%		1%	
13.FIRE AND	Yes	65%	83%	30%	20%	73%	43%	80%	46%	36%
EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL	No	23%	12%	62%	17%	18%	48%	15%	42%	16%
ESSENTIAL	Undecided/DK	12%	5%	8%	62%	9%	7%	6%	11%	48%
	(Refused)	0%	0%				1%		1%	
YES - NO		18	39	-44	0	35	-44	46	-28	-19
14.FIRE AND	Yes - strongly	22%	28%	8%	10%	27%	8%	31%	9%	8%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	Yes - not so strongly	20%	25%	10%	7%	24%	11%	27%	12%	4%
PUBLIC REALIR	Lean yes	12%	14%	7%	5%	12%	6%	13%	12%	7%
	Undecided /DK	11%	5%	6%	54%	7%	6%	6%	7%	44%
	Lean no	3%	2%	3%	6%	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%
	No - not so strongly	15%	13%	23%	12%	13%	29%	12%	21%	13%
	No - strongly	18%	13%	44%	4%	15%	38%	10%	34%	20%
14.FIRE AND	Yes	54%	67%	25%	23%	64%	25%	70%	32%	18%
EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH	No	36%	28%	69%	23%	29%	69%	24%	60%	38%
PUBLIC REALIR	Undecided/DK	11%	5%	6%	54%	7%	6%	6%	7%	44%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 64 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	А	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
YES - NO		-2	1	-7	5	-11	27	2	-26	-12	-10	5	3	-4	-15
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	16%	18%	19%	17%	22%	19%	14%	15%	18%	16%	20%	17%	17%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	21%	13%	21%	11%	25%	22%	16%	15%	9%	26%	18%	16%	8%
	Lean yes	7%	8%	7%	7%	8%	11%	6%	1%	9%	7%	8%	7%	8%	7%
	Undecided /DK	14%	10%	17%	10%	18%	11%	8%	12%	11%	23%	7%	13%	14%	20%
	Lean no	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	5%		5%	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%
	No - not so strongly	14%	14%	13%	17%	10%	11%	21%	23%	16%	5%	17%	17%	10%	10%
	No - strongly	27%	26%	28%	22%	32%	18%	19%	35%	29%	34%	24%	22%	30%	34%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	0%		1%					1%			1%	0%
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes	42%	45%	38%	47%	36%	58%	47%	31%	39%	33%	49%	45%	41%	32%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No	44%	44%	45%	42%	46%	31%	45%	57%	50%	43%	44%	42%	45%	47%
	Undecided/DK	14%	10%	17%	10%	18%	11%	8%	12%	11%	23%	7%	13%	14%	20%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	0%		1%					1%			1%	0%
YES - NO		49	38	57	49	48	60	49	34	50	46	38	60	38	55
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	32%	43%	36%	41%	36%	41%	31%	33%	47%	30%	41%	35%	44%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	26%	21%	26%	20%	30%	21%	27%	27%	14%	28%	24%	23%	18%
	Lean yes	8%	7%	8%	8%	8%	9%	8%	5%	13%	3%	7%	9%	7%	8%
	Undecided /DK	11%	7%	13%	10%	12%	12%	9%	8%	5%	17%	7%	12%	8%	14%
	Lean no	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%				4%	1%		2%	3%
	No - not so strongly	8%	13%	3%	9%	6%	10%	8%	10%	7%	4%	14%	5%	12%	1%
	No - strongly	11%	13%	10%	10%	12%	4%	13%	19%	15%	10%	13%	8%	13%	12%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	65%	72%	70%	68%	74%	70%	63%	73%	64%	66%	74%	65%	70%
	No	20%	27%	15%	20%	20%	14%	21%	29%	23%	18%	28%	14%	27%	16%
	Undecided/DK	11%	7%	13%	10%	12%	12%	9%	8%	5%	17%	7%	12%	8%	14%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 65 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	PAI REGISTI GEN		PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ		OGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
YES - NO		-2	2	-14	10	-5	13	-10	-9	3	-28	13	-8	-28	12	-5
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	18%	16%	19%	18%	20%	16%	19%	20%	11%	23%	18%	10%	19%	20%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	18%	10%	24%	14%	25%	12%	10%	18%	11%	18%	18%	11%	24%	14%
	Lean yes	7%	7%	9%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	8%	5%	9%	9%	5%
	Undecided /DK	14%	14%	16%	9%	17%	8%	19%	18%	13%	13%	14%	11%	12%	8%	16%
	Lean no	3%	3%	6%	2%	3%	1%	4%	6%	4%	2%	4%	3%	1%	4%	4%
	No - not so strongly	14%	15%	10%	16%	14%	19%	10%	10%	15%	13%	12%	18%	16%	14%	15%
	No - strongly	27%	25%	33%	23%	27%	19%	31%	30%	24%	42%	20%	27%	41%	22%	25%
	(Refused)	0%	0%			0%		0%		0%	1%		0%			0%
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes	42%	44%	35%	51%	39%	52%	36%	37%	45%	29%	49%	40%	30%	52%	39%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No	44%	42%	49%	40%	44%	39%	45%	46%	42%	57%	37%	48%	58%	40%	44%
	Undecided/DK	14%	14%	16%	9%	17%	8%	19%	18%	13%	13%	14%	11%	12%	8%	16%
	(Refused)	0%	0%			0%		0%		0%	1%		0%			0%
YES - NO		49	49	51	39	56	48	49	55	58	16	69	45	14	45	68
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	37%	37%	31%	42%	34%	41%	39%	44%	19%	46%	41%	17%	36%	50%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	24%	25%	27%	21%	27%	20%	26%	23%	24%	24%	21%	25%	26%	20%
	Lean yes	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	9%	7%	5%	8%	8%	11%	4%	10%	8%	8%
	Undecided /DK	11%	11%	9%	7%	14%	9%	14%	14%	9%	13%	8%	11%	12%	6%	11%
	Lean no	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%		2%	2%	0%	3%		1%	3%		1%
	No - not so strongly	8%	8%	5%	15%	3%	11%	6%	4%	7%	12%	7%	7%	13%	13%	3%
	No - strongly	11%	11%	12%	11%	11%	11%	11%	9%	9%	21%	5%	14%	21%	12%	7%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	69%	71%	66%	71%	70%	68%	70%	74%	51%	80%	67%	51%	69%	78%
	No	20%	20%	20%	27%	15%	22%	19%	16%	16%	35%	12%	22%	37%	24%	11%
	Undecided/DK	11%	11%	9%	7%	14%	9%	14%	14%	9%	13%	8%	11%	12%	6%	11%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 66 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	ON		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
YES - NO		-2	-24	-17	2	0	4	-21	6	-2	-19	12	-10	-5	7	-8
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	15%	15%	19%	20%	18%	11%	16%	20%	13%	21%	16%	21%	19%	13%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	12%	11%	19%	15%	22%	10%	24%	14%	15%	24%	13%	15%	18%	18%
	Lean yes	7%	4%	7%	7%	10%	4%	10%	8%	6%	9%	6%	8%	7%	9%	7%
	Undecided /DK	14%	16%	15%	13%	11%	14%	18%	9%	16%	8%	11%	15%	10%	16%	16%
	Lean no	3%	8%	7%	2%	3%	1%	9%	4%	1%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%
	No - not so strongly	14%	11%	9%	15%	19%	13%	9%	16%	15%	15%	18%	13%	14%	13%	14%
	No - strongly	27%	35%	35%	25%	22%	26%	33%	23%	27%	36%	18%	32%	29%	22%	29%
	(Refused)	0%			0%		1%		0%	0%			1%	0%		0%
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes	42%	30%	34%	44%	44%	44%	31%	48%	41%	37%	51%	37%	42%	45%	38%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No	44%	54%	51%	42%	44%	40%	51%	42%	43%	56%	38%	47%	47%	38%	46%
	Undecided/DK	14%	16%	15%	13%	11%	14%	18%	9%	16%	8%	11%	15%	10%	16%	16%
	(Refused)	0%			0%		1%		0%	0%			1%	0%		0%
YES - NO		49	55	58	46	40	52	64	37	55	60	46	47	51	50	45
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	39%	38%	39%	36%	41%	40%	33%	44%	39%	35%	42%	42%	35%	37%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	24%	25%	23%	22%	24%	22%	25%	21%	28%	26%	20%	24%	24%	22%
	Lean yes	8%	9%	11%	6%	7%	6%	13%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%	5%	10%	9%
	Undecided /DK	11%	11%	11%	10%	9%	11%	16%	8%	12%	11%	9%	11%	11%	12%	10%
	Lean no	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%			2%	2%		2%	2%	2%	0%
	No - not so strongly	8%	6%	5%	9%	11%	6%	1%	15%	4%	4%	11%	6%	7%	9%	8%
	No - strongly	11%	10%	9%	12%	12%	11%	9%	13%	11%	8%	11%	13%	11%	9%	15%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	72%	74%	68%	65%	70%	74%	65%	71%	75%	68%	68%	70%	69%	68%
	No	20%	17%	15%	22%	25%	19%	10%	28%	17%	15%	22%	21%	19%	19%	23%
	Undecided/DK	11%	11%	11%	10%	9%	11%	16%	8%	12%	11%	9%	11%	11%	12%	10%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 67 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

		Ī			CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDEI	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
YES - NO		-2	-6	-11	-6	21	-10	1	-5	5	-14	4	8	-7	-11
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	10%	15%	17%	18%	16%	23%	25%	21%	20%	14%	21%	11%	13%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	21%	15%	12%	23%	15%	17%	12%	16%	14%	22%	15%	29%	9%
	Lean yes	7%	7%	6%	7%	12%	5%	7%	9%	9%	5%	9%	9%	4%	10%
	Undecided /DK	14%	17%	15%	20%	15%	18%	9%	3%	11%	10%	13%	18%	5%	25%
	Lean no	3%	5%	2%	5%		1%	4%	7%	2%	5%	5%	2%	6%	2%
	No - not so strongly	14%	11%	16%	19%	9%	16%	10%	17%	13%	15%	13%	13%	18%	10%
	No - strongly	27%	29%	29%	19%	23%	29%	31%	26%	27%	31%	24%	22%	28%	30%
	(Refused)	0%		1%					1%	1%					1%
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes	42%	39%	37%	37%	53%	36%	46%	45%	47%	38%	45%	45%	44%	31%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No	44%	44%	47%	43%	32%	46%	45%	51%	42%	52%	42%	37%	51%	43%
	Undecided/DK	14%	17%	15%	20%	15%	18%	9%	3%	11%	10%	13%	18%	5%	25%
	(Refused)	0%		1%					1%	1%					1%
YES - NO		49	50	40	44	52	40	40	78	46	55	35	61	29	57
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	38%	35%	38%	33%	40%	38%	49%	36%	47%	36%	34%	23%	46%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	20%	24%	19%	24%	17%	24%	31%	29%	19%	18%	27%	29%	17%
	Lean yes	8%	12%	6%	8%	12%	4%	3%	8%	4%	6%	8%	12%	11%	9%
	Undecided /DK	11%	9%	10%	14%	14%	19%	8%	3%	8%	12%	10%	13%	3%	15%
	Lean no	1%	1%			2%	4%			1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	
	No - not so strongly	8%	8%	7%	11%	8%	8%	8%	3%	10%	4%	17%	2%	15%	2%
	No - strongly	11%	12%	18%	11%	8%	8%	18%	6%	12%	10%	8%	9%	18%	12%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	70%	65%	65%	69%	61%	66%	88%	69%	71%	62%	74%	63%	71%
	No	20%	21%	25%	21%	17%	20%	26%	9%	23%	17%	27%	13%	34%	14%
	Undecided/DK	11%	9%	10%	14%	14%	19%	8%	3%	8%	12%	10%	13%	3%	15%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 68 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			STATUS	DYMENT BEFORE ID-19	-	OYMENT:			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own v	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
YES - NO		-2	1	-9	4	-10	-15	-10	-1	-19	2	-5	-12	-22	16
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	18%	17%	17%	19%	17%	13%	20%	12%	18%	20%	13%	12%	24%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	19%	12%	23%	8%	7%	22%	15%	17%	20%	11%	21%	16%	19%
	Lean yes	7%	8%	6%	7%	11%	6%	3%	8%	3%	9%	9%	3%	5%	8%
	Undecided /DK	14%	10%	21%	9%	13%	24%	14%	13%	18%	9%	16%	15%	13%	13%
	Lean no	3%	3%	5%	4%		7%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	6%	5%	2%
	No - not so strongly	14%	15%	11%	14%	18%	8%	16%	14%	20%	15%	12%	17%	15%	14%
	No - strongly	27%	27%	28%	26%	30%	31%	29%	27%	28%	25%	30%	26%	35%	20%
45.00400511511011/5	(Refused)	0%	0%	0%		1%	1%		0%		0%	0%			1%
15.COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes	42%	45%	35%	47%	38%	30%	38%	43%	32%	46%	40%	37%	33%	51%
HEALIH AND SAFEIT	No	44%	45%	44%	44%	48%	45%	48%	44%	51%	44%	44%	49%	55%	35%
	Undecided/DK	14%	10%	21%	9%	13%	24%	14%	13%	18%	9%	16%	15%	13%	13%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	0%		1%	1%		0%		0%	0%			1%
YES - NO	T	49	50	45	49	56	38	45	50	48	38	60	42	44	54
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	38%	39%	38%	38%	43%	37%	39%	39%	33%	45%	37%	37%	40%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	25%	19%	26%	23%	11%	20%	24%	17%	27%	22%	22%	23%	24%
	Lean yes	8%	8%	6%	7%	12%	5%	11%	6%	13%	6%	6%	8%	7%	8%
	Undecided /DK	11%	7%	17%	7%	9%	20%	9%	11%	11%	7%	13%	10%	10%	10%
	Lean no	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	4%		2%		1%	2%		2%	
	No - not so strongly	8%	8%	7%	8%	6%	8%	7%	8%	8%	16%	2%	7%	7%	9%
	No - strongly	11%	13%	9%	14%	10%	9%	16%	10%	12%	11%	10%	17%	14%	9%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	71%	64%	71%	73%	59%	68%	70%	69%	66%	73%	66%	67%	72%
	No	20%	21%	19%	22%	17%	21%	23%	20%	20%	27%	13%	24%	23%	18%
	Undecided/DK	11%	7%	17%	7%	9%	20%	9%	11%	11%	7%	13%	10%	10%	10%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 69 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
YES - NO		-2	-22	-19	15	24	3	-17	-27	18	13	10	5	-17
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	17%	8%	27%	24%	19%	13%	11%	20%	26%	21%	20%	14%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	11%	23%	21%	21%	14%	19%	13%	26%	14%	21%	19%	12%
	Lean yes	7%	6%	3%	6%	9%	11%	6%	4%	7%	9%	7%	8%	6%
	Undecided /DK	14%	11%	14%	8%	16%	15%	7%	18%	11%	14%	12%	11%	18%
	Lean no	3%	6%	5%		3%	3%	5%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	6%
	No - not so strongly	14%	13%	14%	16%	11%	14%	13%	16%	16%	11%	18%	18%	7%
	No - strongly	27%	36%	33%	22%	15%	24%	36%	35%	17%	22%	20%	22%	36%
	(Refused)	0%			1%		1%			1%	0%			1%
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes	42%	34%	34%	53%	54%	43%	38%	28%	53%	49%	49%	47%	32%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No	44%	55%	52%	38%	30%	41%	55%	55%	35%	36%	39%	42%	49%
	Undecided/DK	14%	11%	14%	8%	16%	15%	7%	18%	11%	14%	12%	11%	18%
	(Refused)	0%			1%		1%			1%	0%			1%
YES - NO		49	39	55	67	56	30	35	51	42	65	50	48	50
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	38%	42%	48%	39%	30%	29%	43%	37%	44%	38%	37%	42%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	22%	23%	25%	25%	23%	30%	17%	23%	24%	28%	25%	20%
	Lean yes	8%	4%	9%	6%	9%	8%	6%	8%	7%	9%	5%	7%	8%
	Undecided /DK	11%	11%	8%	10%	11%	9%	5%	14%	8%	11%	10%	9%	12%
	Lean no	1%	2%					1%	3%				0%	2%
	No - not so strongly	8%	8%	2%	4%	9%	16%	11%	4%	17%	2%	9%	9%	6%
	No - strongly	11%	15%	16%	8%	7%	14%	18%	12%	8%	10%	10%	12%	11%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	64%	74%	79%	73%	60%	65%	68%	67%	77%	70%	69%	69%
	No	20%	25%	19%	12%	16%	30%	30%	18%	25%	12%	20%	21%	19%
	Undecided/DK	11%	11%	8%	10%	11%	9%	5%	14%	8%	11%	10%	9%	12%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 70 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF C	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
YES - NO		-2	8	1	-13	-20	-9	17	19	-2	-16	-5	-13	-14	8	-14	-6
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	17%	21%	14%	14%	16%	35%	17%	15%	16%	17%	15%	13%	22%	14%	16%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	24%	15%	16%	10%	15%	15%	33%	20%	12%	18%	13%	16%	17%	18%	13%
	Lean yes	7%	8%	8%	6%	5%	7%	5%	4%	10%	5%	8%	6%	7%	8%	4%	11%
	Undecided /DK	14%	8%	14%	13%	21%	13%	6%	13%	9%	17%	9%	17%	13%	14%	13%	14%
	Lean no	3%	2%	2%	7%	5%	4%	4%	2%	5%	3%	3%	5%	2%	4%	2%	4%
	No - not so strongly	14%	16%	19%	10%	6%	13%	12%	17%	12%	14%	19%	8%	18%	10%	20%	9%
	No - strongly	27%	24%	21%	32%	39%	31%	22%	15%	30%	33%	27%	34%	31%	25%	27%	32%
	(Refused)	0%			1%	0%	0%	2%			0%		0%	0%		1%	
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes	42%	50%	43%	36%	29%	39%	54%	53%	44%	34%	43%	35%	37%	47%	36%	40%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No	44%	42%	43%	50%	50%	48%	38%	34%	47%	49%	48%	48%	50%	39%	50%	46%
	Undecided/DK	14%	8%	14%	13%	21%	13%	6%	13%	9%	17%	9%	17%	13%	14%	13%	14%
	(Refused)	0%			1%	0%	0%	2%			0%		0%	0%		1%	
YES - NO		49	33	61	50	50	53	65	49	41	64	52	54	45	52	44	53
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	30%	43%	39%	44%	40%	51%	37%	32%	46%	35%	43%	39%	41%	36%	37%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	28%	23%	22%	18%	25%	20%	26%	26%	24%	29%	22%	23%	21%	26%	23%
	Lean yes	8%	5%	9%	10%	6%	7%	9%	6%	9%	6%	8%	7%	7%	8%	6%	12%
	Undecided /DK	11%	6%	12%	8%	15%	9%	3%	11%	6%	11%	8%	10%	8%	12%	10%	9%
	Lean no	1%		1%	3%	2%	1%			1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%		7%
	No - not so strongly	8%	15%	4%	11%	2%	7%	9%	7%	12%	2%	8%	5%	8%	6%	11%	5%
	No - strongly	11%	15%	9%	8%	13%	11%	7%	13%	13%	9%	11%	11%	14%	12%	12%	7%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	64%	75%	71%	68%	72%	81%	69%	68%	76%	72%	72%	68%	70%	67%	72%
	No	20%	30%	13%	21%	17%	19%	16%	20%	26%	12%	20%	18%	24%	18%	23%	19%
	Undecided/DK	11%	6%	12%	8%	15%	9%	3%	11%	6%	11%	8%	10%	8%	12%	10%	9%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 71 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			INC CHANG	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY F		FOR NEED	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
YES - NO		-2	-6	4	-3	-1	12	-3	3	26	-70	-25	56	-88	-11	100	-100	0
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	20%	18%	17%	20%	26%	17%	20%	25%	6%	3%	32%	1%	4%	43%		
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	15%	19%	17%	16%	11%	18%	16%	24%	4%	2%	30%	2%		40%		
	Lean yes	7%	7%	8%	7%	8%	13%	6%	9%	10%	1%	5%	12%	1%	6%	17%		
	Undecided /DK	14%	11%	15%	14%	12%	12%	14%	12%	9%	6%	53%	9%	4%	70%			100%
	Lean no	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	1%	8%	3%	4%	3%		8%	
	No - not so strongly	14%	16%	13%	14%	13%	9%	14%	12%	11%	22%	13%	8%	23%	13%		31%	
	No - strongly	27%	29%	24%	27%	28%	27%	26%	28%	18%	59%	15%	7%	64%	4%		61%	
	(Refused)	0%		1%	0%			0%			0%		0%	0%				
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes	42%	42%	44%	41%	44%	50%	41%	45%	58%	11%	11%	74%	4%	10%	100%		
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No	44%	47%	40%	45%	44%	38%	45%	43%	33%	82%	36%	17%	92%	20%		100%	
	Undecided/DK	14%	11%	15%	14%	12%	12%	14%	12%	9%	6%	53%	9%	4%	70%			100%
	(Refused)	0%		1%	0%			0%			0%		0%	0%				
YES - NO		49	47	50	49	50	45	47	54	78	-19	16	77	14	25	77	26	35
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	36%	41%	39%	36%	34%	38%	40%	51%	15%	13%	52%	23%	20%	53%	28%	24%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	24%	22%	24%	24%	14%	23%	24%	27%	18%	13%	24%	27%	7%	26%	26%	7%
	Lean yes	8%	8%	7%	7%	9%	17%	7%	9%	10%	3%	4%	10%	4%	8%	9%	6%	8%
	Undecided /DK	11%	11%	10%	9%	12%	14%	10%	10%	3%	8%	56%	5%	7%	54%	1%	6%	57%
	Lean no	1%		2%	2%	0%	1%	2%		0%	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	
	No - not so strongly	8%	6%	9%	8%	6%	2%	9%	5%	6%	16%	3%	6%	12%	3%	7%	10%	3%
	No - strongly	11%	15%	9%	11%	12%	17%	10%	13%	3%	37%	8%	3%	26%	4%	2%	23%	1%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	68%	70%	70%	69%	66%	69%	72%	87%	36%	30%	86%	54%	35%	88%	60%	39%
	No	20%	21%	20%	21%	19%	20%	21%	18%	9%	56%	14%	9%	40%	11%	11%	34%	4%
	Undecided/DK	11%	11%	10%	9%	12%	14%	10%	10%	3%	8%	56%	5%	7%	54%	1%	6%	57%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 72 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
YES - NO		-2	15	-52	-20	11	-54	23	-45	-29
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes - strongly	18%	22%	9%	3%	22%	5%	24%	10%	6%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	Yes - not so strongly	17%	22%	7%		19%	13%	23%	10%	2%
	Lean yes	7%	9%	6%		8%	3%	10%	4%	1%
	Undecided /DK	14%	8%	3%	73%	12%	3%	10%	7%	54%
	Lean no	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	4%	2%	7%	2%
	No - not so strongly	14%	12%	21%	13%	14%	16%	14%	16%	6%
	No - strongly	27%	23%	53%	6%	21%	56%	18%	46%	29%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	1%			1%		1%	
15.COMPREHENSIVE	Yes	42%	54%	22%	3%	50%	21%	57%	23%	9%
HEALTH AND SAFETY	No	44%	39%	74%	23%	39%	75%	34%	69%	38%
	Undecided/DK	14%	8%	3%	73%	12%	3%	10%	7%	54%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	1%			1%		1%	
YES - NO		49	100	-100	0	62	-2	73	7	22
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes - strongly	38%	55%			44%	25%	49%	21%	22%
	Yes - not so strongly	23%	34%			26%	17%	26%	22%	13%
	Lean yes	8%	11%			8%	4%	9%	7%	2%
	Undecided /DK	11%			100%	7%	4%	6%	6%	49%
	Lean no	1%		6%		0%	5%	0%	3%	1%
	No - not so strongly	8%		38%		7%	15%	6%	14%	3%
	No - strongly	11%		56%		8%	28%	4%	27%	10%
16.WILDFIRE TAX	Yes	69%	100%			77%	47%	84%	50%	36%
	No	20%		100%		15%	49%	10%	44%	14%
	Undecided/DK	11%			100%	7%	4%	6%	6%	49%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 73 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	А	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
YES - NO		58	48	65	69	46	71	74	59	45	46	62	73	29	58
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	48%	45%	49%	52%	43%	55%	53%	46%	43%	43%	47%	55%	42%	43%
FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	18%	20%	24%	14%	21%	28%	23%	17%	11%	27%	20%	6%	20%
	Lean yes	7%	7%	8%	6%	9%	7%	1%	9%	10%	8%	5%	7%	9%	10%
	Undecided /DK	9%	8%	11%	6%	13%	5%	9%	4%	3%	20%	3%	9%	13%	12%
	Lean no	2%	2%	1%		3%				4%	3%			5%	2%
	No - not so strongly	7%	9%	5%	7%	7%	7%	5%	8%	6%	8%	11%	3%	8%	6%
	No - strongly	8%	10%	6%	6%	10%	5%	4%	11%	15%	6%	6%	6%	15%	7%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	0%		1%					1%			1%	1%
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	74%	70%	77%	81%	66%	83%	82%	78%	71%	62%	80%	82%	57%	72%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	22%	12%	13%	20%	12%	9%	18%	26%	16%	17%	9%	29%	15%
	Undecided/DK	9%	8%	11%	6%	13%	5%	9%	4%	3%	20%	3%	9%	13%	12%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	0%		1%					1%			1%	1%
YES - NO		32	26	36	40	24	57	25	29	18	29	37	40	12	32
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	33%	33%	32%	34%	34%	33%	29%	32%	36%	31%	33%	37%	33%
FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	Yes - not so strongly	18%	17%	18%	23%	11%	27%	19%	24%	11%	11%	25%	22%	6%	15%
IAA	Lean yes	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	13%	7%	8%	11%	9%	12%	8%	7%	12%
	Undecided /DK	11%	8%	13%	8%	13%	10%	8%	7%	9%	17%	4%	14%	13%	13%
	Lean no	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%		3%	3%	2%		5%	2%
	No - not so strongly	10%	9%	11%	11%	8%	8%	17%	10%	10%	7%	9%	14%	10%	8%
	No - strongly	16%	20%	14%	14%	20%	8%	14%	23%	24%	16%	19%	9%	22%	18%
	(Refused)	0%		0%		0%					1%				1%
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	59%	61%	66%	55%	74%	59%	61%	54%	56%	67%	63%	49%	59%
FUND UTILITY USERS TAX	No	28%	33%	25%	26%	31%	16%	33%	32%	37%	26%	30%	23%	37%	27%
IMA	Undecided/DK	11%	8%	13%	8%	13%	10%	8%	7%	9%	17%	4%	14%	13%	13%
	(Refused)	0%		0%		0%					1%				1%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 74 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ		LOGY / NDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
YES - NO		58	64	40	52	73	71	57	64	71	11	79	61	16	62	77
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	48%	50%	41%	47%	52%	55%	45%	44%	55%	24%	62%	46%	25%	51%	57%
FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	20%	18%	18%	22%	25%	16%	24%	19%	19%	15%	24%	21%	19%	19%
	Lean yes	7%	7%	6%	7%	8%	4%	11%	9%	7%	7%	9%	6%	8%	7%	8%
	Undecided /DK	9%	9%	11%	9%	10%	5%	13%	10%	8%	10%	8%	8%	9%	7%	9%
	Lean no	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%		3%		1%	5%	1%		5%	1%	0%
	No - not so strongly	7%	5%	13%	7%	3%	7%	3%	6%	4%	19%	2%	6%	18%	6%	3%
	No - strongly	8%	6%	10%	10%	3%	4%	8%	7%	6%	15%	3%	9%	14%	9%	4%
	(Refused)	0%	0%		0%	1%		1%		0%			0%			0%
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	74%	77%	65%	71%	81%	83%	72%	77%	81%	50%	86%	76%	53%	77%	84%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	13%	25%	19%	8%	12%	14%	13%	11%	40%	7%	15%	37%	16%	7%
	Undecided/DK	9%	9%	11%	9%	10%	5%	13%	10%	8%	10%	8%	8%	9%	7%	9%
	(Refused)	0%	0%		0%	1%		1%		0%			0%			0%
YES - NO		32	41	15	37	44	51	32	29	42	-3	45	39	0	34	48
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	37%	23%	38%	36%	38%	36%	20%	38%	18%	40%	35%	20%	40%	36%
FUND UTILITY USERS	Yes - not so strongly	18%	18%	20%	16%	19%	24%	13%	27%	19%	14%	19%	19%	12%	17%	20%
TAX	Lean yes	10%	10%	8%	9%	11%	11%	10%	9%	9%	9%	9%	9%	10%	6%	12%
	Undecided /DK	11%	10%	12%	9%	12%	7%	14%	15%	10%	15%	8%	11%	15%	6%	12%
	Lean no	2%	1%	5%	1%	1%		2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%		3%	1%
	No - not so strongly	10%	9%	13%	7%	10%	11%	7%	11%	9%	17%	11%	6%	18%	9%	8%
	No - strongly	16%	14%	19%	20%	11%	11%	18%	15%	13%	26%	10%	18%	24%	18%	11%
	(Refused)	0%	0%			1%		1%		0%			0%			0%
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	65%	51%	64%	66%	72%	59%	57%	66%	41%	69%	64%	43%	64%	68%
FUND UTILITY USERS	No	28%	24%	36%	27%	22%	21%	27%	28%	24%	44%	23%	25%	43%	30%	20%
TAX	Undecided/DK	11%	10%	12%	9%	12%	7%	14%	15%	10%	15%	8%	11%	15%	6%	12%
	(Refused)	0%	0%			1%		1%		0%			0%			0%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 75 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	ON		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	I
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
YES - NO		58	53	52	61	62	60	63	54	67	61	71	50	58	59	56
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	48%	39%	40%	51%	48%	53%	41%	48%	52%	44%	54%	47%	51%	43%	48%
FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	24%	21%	19%	20%	18%	19%	17%	21%	22%	25%	13%	19%	22%	16%
	Lean yes	7%	7%	8%	7%	9%	5%	12%	8%	7%	9%	5%	10%	4%	10%	8%
	Undecided /DK	9%	12%	13%	7%	7%	7%	19%	7%	7%	10%	5%	10%	9%	8%	11%
	Lean no	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%			2%	1%	2%	2%
	No - not so strongly	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	8%	2%	8%	6%	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	9%
	No - strongly	8%	9%	8%	8%	8%	8%	7%	10%	6%	9%	5%	11%	9%	8%	5%
	(Refused)	0%			0%		0%			0%			0%	0%	0%	0%
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	74%	71%	69%	77%	77%	77%	72%	73%	80%	75%	83%	70%	75%	75%	72%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	17%	17%	16%	15%	16%	9%	19%	13%	15%	12%	20%	16%	16%	16%
	Undecided/DK	9%	12%	13%	7%	7%	7%	19%	7%	7%	10%	5%	10%	9%	8%	11%
	(Refused)	0%			0%		0%			0%			0%	0%	0%	0%
YES - NO	•	32	28	37	32	32	31	42	26	34	49	36	27	38	30	27
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	26%	29%	35%	36%	34%	27%	34%	36%	29%	33%	37%	36%	28%	34%
FUND UTILITY USERS	Yes - not so strongly	18%	21%	20%	17%	14%	20%	19%	15%	18%	28%	22%	11%	17%	20%	15%
TAX	Lean yes	10%	11%	12%	9%	12%	7%	17%	10%	8%	12%	9%	9%	11%	10%	8%
	Undecided /DK	11%	12%	14%	9%	10%	9%	18%	7%	12%	11%	8%	11%	9%	12%	11%
	Lean no	2%	4%	3%	1%	2%	0%	3%	3%			1%	1%	2%	0%	3%
	No - not so strongly	10%	12%	10%	10%	12%	9%	9%	9%	11%	15%	10%	10%	10%	9%	11%
	No - strongly	16%	13%	11%	18%	15%	21%	8%	21%	15%	5%	17%	19%	14%	19%	16%
	(Refused)	0%			0%		0%			0%			0%		0%	0%
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	58%	62%	61%	61%	61%	62%	60%	61%	69%	64%	57%	64%	59%	57%
FUND UTILITY USERS	No	28%	30%	25%	29%	29%	30%	20%	34%	27%	20%	28%	31%	26%	29%	31%
TAX	Undecided/DK	11%	12%	14%	9%	10%	9%	18%	7%	12%	11%	8%	11%	9%	12%	11%
	(Refused)	0%			0%		0%			0%			0%		0%	0%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 76 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
YES - NO		58	58	54	61	66	43	64	72	45	69	56	60	43	65
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	48%	47%	49%	48%	34%	53%	47%	54%	46%	55%	43%	40%	46%	50%
FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	15%	17%	20%	28%	11%	24%	25%	17%	21%	21%	24%	16%	14%
	Lean yes	7%	11%	6%	9%	15%	2%	5%	6%	4%	5%	10%	11%	6%	11%
	Undecided /DK	9%	12%	9%	7%	11%	10%	11%	4%	8%	9%	8%	9%	7%	15%
	Lean no	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%		1%	2%		2%	2%	3%	1%
	No - not so strongly	7%	10%	8%	4%	5%	7%	6%	4%	7%	5%	8%	4%	15%	4%
	No - strongly	8%	4%	7%	9%	6%	15%	6%	6%	14%	6%	8%	9%	7%	5%
	(Refused)	0%		1%	1%		1%			1%			1%		1%
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	74%	73%	72%	77%	77%	66%	76%	84%	68%	80%	74%	75%	68%	75%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	15%	18%	16%	11%	23%	13%	12%	23%	11%	18%	15%	25%	10%
	Undecided/DK	9%	12%	9%	7%	11%	10%	11%	4%	8%	9%	8%	9%	7%	15%
	(Refused)	0%		1%	1%		1%			1%			1%		1%
YES - NO		32	26	28	25	37	40	23	53	29	46	26	31	22	26
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	30%	37%	29%	27%	37%	35%	38%	34%	39%	28%	28%	38%	30%
FUND UTILITY USERS	Yes - not so strongly	18%	17%	14%	18%	21%	15%	15%	23%	14%	19%	21%	20%	15%	14%
TAX	Lean yes	10%	12%	5%	8%	15%	12%	8%	12%	10%	11%	12%	8%	6%	11%
	Undecided /DK	11%	7%	16%	14%	11%	13%	8%	6%	11%	8%	5%	18%	6%	17%
	Lean no	2%	6%		1%		1%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%		6%	1%
	No - not so strongly	10%	9%	13%	11%	8%	9%	11%	10%	9%	10%	10%	9%	9%	13%
	No - strongly	16%	18%	14%	18%	18%	14%	22%	7%	17%	12%	24%	16%	21%	14%
	(Refused)	0%		1%	1%								1%		1%
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	59%	56%	55%	63%	63%	57%	74%	59%	69%	61%	56%	58%	54%
FUND UTILITY USERS	No	28%	34%	28%	30%	26%	23%	35%	21%	30%	23%	34%	25%	36%	28%
TAX	Undecided/DK	11%	7%	16%	14%	11%	13%	8%	6%	11%	8%	5%	18%	6%	17%
	(Refused)	0%		1%	1%								1%		1%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19	-	OYMENT: ORE COV		-	DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own y	S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
YES - NO		58	59	55	60	56	45	58	58	71	51	63	63	55	64
17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - strongly	48%	48%	46%	50%	44%	43%	47%	48%	51%	47%	49%	48%	47%	51%
FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	21%	14%	22%	21%	8%	20%	19%	19%	17%	19%	20%	20%	19%
	Lean yes	7%	7%	9%	6%	9%	8%	9%	7%	12%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%
	Undecided /DK	9%	6%	16%	5%	9%	22%	6%	10%	7%	8%	12%	9%	8%	9%
	Lean no	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	801	2%	407	3%	1%	===	2%	1%
	No - not so strongly	7%	6%	8%	7%	5%	9%	6%	7%	4%	9%	5%	5%	8%	6%
	No - strongly	8% 0%	10%	5%	10%	8%	6% 2%	11%	7% 0%	7%	8%	6%	9%	9%	6%
17.CLIMATE ACTION	(Refused) Yes	74%	76%	1% 69%	77%	73%	60%	76%	74%	82%	72%	0% 75%	77%	0% 73%	78%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	18%	14%	18%	17%	16%	18%	16%	11%	20%	12%	14%	19%	13%
	Undecided/DK	9%	6%	16%	5%	9%	22%	6%	10%	7%	8%	12%	9%	8%	9%
	(Refused)	0%	0 /0	1%	3 /0	3 /0	2%	0 /0	0%	1 /0	0 /0	0%	370	0%	0%
YES - NO	(Neruseu)	32	35	26	36	33	25	32	33	36	26	37	30	28	37
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	34%	31%	35%	30%	35%	33%	33%	32%	33%	34%	32%	36%	31%
FUND UTILITY USERS	Yes - not so strongly	18%	21%	11%	20%	24%	7%	19%	18%	21%	17%	17%	17%	14%	22%
TAX	Lean yes	10%	9%	11%	9%	10%	9%	11%	9%	9%	8%	11%	12%	9%	10%
	Undecided /DK	11%	6%	20%	6%	6%	21%	8%	12%	12%	9%	14%	9%	10%	11%
	Lean no	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	5%	1%	2%	2%	4%	0%	2%	1%	2%
	No - not so strongly	10%	11%	9%	11%	9%	6%	9%	10%	10%	10%	10%	12%	10%	11%
	No - strongly	16%	18%	15%	17%	20%	15%	20%	16%	14%	18%	14%	17%	20%	13%
	(Refused)	0%		1%			1%		0%			0%			0%
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	64%	53%	65%	64%	51%	62%	61%	62%	59%	62%	60%	59%	63%
FUND UTILITY USERS	No	28%	29%	27%	29%	30%	27%	30%	28%	26%	32%	24%	31%	31%	26%
TAX	Undecided/DK	11%	6%	20%	6%	6%	21%	8%	12%	12%	9%	14%	9%	10%	11%
	(Refused)	0%		1%			1%		0%			0%			0%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 78 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
YES - NO		58	49	68	73	68	45	48	60	50	75	70	65	48
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	48%	45%	56%	61%	48%	40%	45%	49%	48%	52%	52%	50%	44%
FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	18%	18%	20%	21%	15%	19%	20%	19%	20%	23%	23%	14%
	Lean yes	7%	5%	8%	3%	10%	10%	6%	8%	6%	9%	6%	6%	10%
	Undecided /DK	9%	11%	4%	4%	10%	15%	9%	7%	5%	13%	7%	7%	12%
	Lean no	2%		4%	1%	2%		2%	2%	2%			0%	3%
	No - not so strongly	7%	9%	6%	3%	3%	14%	8%	8%	11%	1%	5%	6%	9%
	No - strongly	8%	11%	4%	8%	6%	5%	12%	6%	9%	5%	7%	8%	8%
	(Refused)	0%	1%				1%	1%			0%			0%
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	74%	69%	82%	84%	79%	64%	69%	77%	73%	81%	82%	79%	68%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	20%	14%	12%	11%	20%	21%	16%	22%	6%	12%	14%	20%
	Undecided/DK	9%	11%	4%	4%	10%	15%	9%	7%	5%	13%	7%	7%	12%
	(Refused)	0%	1%				1%	1%			0%			0%
YES - NO		32	35	34	42	45	14	20	34	32	39	36	35	28
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	39%	40%	34%	33%	25%	36%	36%	32%	30%	35%	33%	34%
FUND UTILITY USERS	Yes - not so strongly	18%	13%	13%	23%	21%	20%	12%	16%	22%	21%	19%	19%	15%
TAX	Lean yes	10%	10%	9%	11%	11%	6%	8%	10%	9%	10%	10%	11%	8%
	Undecided /DK	11%	12%	9%	6%	14%	11%	10%	11%	6%	16%	9%	9%	14%
	Lean no	2%	1%	2%	3%		5%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	4%
	No - not so strongly	10%	6%	12%	15%	8%	11%	8%	11%	11%	11%	12%	12%	8%
	No - strongly	16%	19%	14%	8%	12%	21%	26%	16%	16%	10%	14%	16%	17%
	(Refused)	0%					1%				0%			0%
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	61%	62%	68%	66%	51%	55%	62%	63%	61%	64%	63%	57%
FUND UTILITY USERS	No	28%	26%	29%	26%	20%	37%	35%	28%	31%	22%	27%	28%	29%
TAX	Undecided/DK	11%	12%	9%	6%	14%	11%	10%	11%	6%	16%	9%	9%	14%
	(Refused)	0%					1%				0%			0%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 79 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE GEN	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE A	GENDER	RAC	E/AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
YES - NO	T	58	59	69	27	60	61	53	73	50	71	76	48	56	61	58	52
17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - strongly	48%	50%	49%	36%	49%	49%	50%	54%	47%	51%	54%	45%	45%	45%	51%	46%
I OND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	23%	22%	8%	18%	20%	20%	24%	19%	21%	28%	13%	22%	22%	17%	16%
	Lean yes	7%	4%	8%	12%	8%	8%	4%	5%	6%	10%	4%	11%	8%	8%	7%	5%
	Undecided /DK	9%	4%	11%	15%	10%	7%	5%	6%	6%	8%	4%	10%	6%	8%	6%	18%
	Lean no	2%		1%	6%	1%	2%	2%		2%	1%		3%	1%	1%	0%	7%
	No - not so strongly	7%	8%	4%	14%	5%	7%	11%	3%	9%	5%	6%	8%	7%	5%	9%	5%
	No - strongly	8%	11%	5%	9%	8%	7%	8%	7%	11%	4%	4%	10%	11%	9%	8%	3%
L	(Refused)	0%				0%	0%				0%		0%		1%	0%	
17.CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes	74%	78%	79%	56%	75%	77%	74%	83%	72%	81%	86%	69%	75%	76%	75%	67%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	19%	10%	29%	15%	16%	21%	11%	22%	10%	10%	21%	19%	15%	18%	15%
	Undecided/DK	9%	4%	11%	15%	10%	7%	5%	6%	6%	8%	4%	10%	6%	8%	6%	18%
	(Refused)	0%				0%	0%				0%		0%		1%	0%	
YES - NO	1	32	32	36	16	35	34	45	43	25	43	39	30	31	37	26	34
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	33%	33%	36%	33%	34%	29%	37%	33%	37%	31%	37%	33%	34%	30%	39%
FUND UTILITY USERS	Yes - not so strongly	18%	20%	18%	10%	17%	18%	27%	20%	17%	18%	27%	11%	19%	19%	18%	12%
100	Lean yes	10%	11%	11%	5%	10%	10%	9%	8%	10%	11%	10%	11%	10%	10%	10%	7%
	Undecided /DK	11%	4%	13%	14%	15%	8%	13%	13%	4%	11%	5%	11%	9%	10%	9%	16%
	Lean no	2%	1%	1%	7%	1%	2%	6%		4%	1%	1%	3%	1%		2%	7%
	No - not so strongly	10%	10%	13%	8%	7%	11%	3%	8%	11%	11%	14%	8%	10%	8%	15%	4%
	No - strongly	16%	21%	12%	20%	16%	16%	13%	14%	21%	11%	13%	19%	19%	18%	15%	14%
	(Refused)	0%				0%	0%				0%		0%		0%	0%	
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	64%	62%	51%	60%	63%	66%	65%	60%	66%	67%	59%	61%	63%	58%	59%
FUND UTILITY USERS	No	28%	32%	25%	35%	25%	29%	21%	22%	36%	23%	28%	30%	30%	26%	32%	25%
1AA	Undecided/DK	11%	4%	13%	14%	15%	8%	13%	13%	4%	11%	5%	11%	9%	10%	9%	16%
	(Refused)	0%				0%	0%				0%		0%		0%	0%	
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 80 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			INC CHAN	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
YES - NO		58	55	60	57	61	42	56	62	72	26	46	81	29	40	80	37	59
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	48%	46%	50%	47%	49%	46%	48%	48%	56%	35%	25%	61%	33%	28%	63%	37%	36%
FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	19%	19%	19%	21%	11%	18%	21%	19%	21%	19%	20%	21%	9%	19%	22%	13%
	Lean yes	7%	9%	6%	8%	7%	6%	7%	8%	8%	4%	12%	7%	6%	12%	6%	6%	14%
	Undecided /DK	9%	7%	11%	10%	7%	15%	10%	7%	7%	5%	31%	4%	7%	40%	3%	7%	32%
	Lean no	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%		2%	0%	2%	2%		1%	3%		0%	3%	
	No - not so strongly	7%	7%	6%	8%	5%	7%	8%	5%	5%	12%	4%	5%	10%	4%	6%	10%	
	No - strongly	8%	11%	6%	6%	11%	15%	7%	10%	4%	21%	6%	2%	18%	5%	2%	15%	4%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	0%	1%			1%			0%	2%		0%	2%			2%
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	74%	74%	75%	73%	77%	63%	73%	78%	83%	60%	56%	88%	61%	49%	88%	65%	62%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	19%	14%	17%	16%	21%	17%	15%	11%	35%	10%	8%	32%	9%	8%	28%	4%
	Undecided/DK	9%	7%	11%	10%	7%	15%	10%	7%	7%	5%	31%	4%	7%	40%	3%	7%	32%
	(Refused)	0%	0%	0%	1%			1%			0%	2%		0%	2%			2%
YES - NO		32	23	41	33	30	29	31	36	54	-13	2	62	-8	15	66	2	28
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	32%	35%	35%	31%	35%	35%	31%	41%	21%	12%	46%	20%	13%	50%	24%	15%
FUND UTILITY USERS	Yes - not so strongly	18%	17%	18%	16%	21%	14%	15%	22%	20%	15%	9%	22%	13%	11%	24%	15%	9%
TAX	Lean yes	10%	8%	11%	11%	8%	8%	10%	9%	12%	3%	7%	11%	7%	11%	9%	8%	18%
	Undecided /DK	11%	8%	13%	10%	11%	15%	10%	12%	6%	8%	44%	4%	11%	45%	2%	9%	42%
	Lean no	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%
	No - not so strongly	10%	15%	5%	9%	12%	10%	10%	11%	8%	17%	9%	8%	14%	5%	10%	12%	4%
	No - strongly	16%	19%	16%	17%	16%	16%	18%	13%	10%	35%	15%	7%	32%	12%	5%	30%	8%
	(Refused)	0%		0%	0%			0%			0%	1%		0%	1%			1%
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	57%	63%	61%	60%	57%	61%	62%	74%	39%	29%	79%	40%	35%	82%	47%	42%
FUND UTILITY USERS	No	28%	35%	23%	28%	30%	28%	29%	26%	20%	53%	27%	17%	48%	20%	16%	44%	15%
TAX	Undecided/DK	11%	8%	13%	10%	11%	15%	10%	12%	6%	8%	44%	4%	11%	45%	2%	9%	42%
	(Refused)	0%		0%	0%			0%			0%	1%		0%	1%			1%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 81 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTI	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
YES - NO		58	72	16	45	100	-100	87	6	34
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	48%	55%	32%	29%	64%		63%	27%	20%
FUND OIL AND GAS	Yes - not so strongly	19%	20%	19%	14%	26%		22%	16%	11%
	Lean yes	7%	8%	4%	9%	10%		7%	6%	11%
	Undecided /DK	9%	6%	5%	39%			3%	7%	49%
	Lean no	2%	2%	3%			10%		4%	4%
	No - not so strongly	7%	6%	11%	2%		41%	3%	17%	2%
	No - strongly	8%	3%	25%	5%		49%	2%	22%	2%
	(Refused)	0%		1%	2%					1%
17.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	74%	83%	55%	52%	100%		92%	49%	42%
FUND OIL AND GAS	No	16%	11%	39%	7%		100%	5%	44%	8%
	Undecided/DK	9%	6%	5%	39%			3%	7%	49%
	(Refused)	0%		1%	2%					1%
YES - NO		32	53	-30	16	56	-57	100	-100	0
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes - strongly	33%	41%	18%	14%	43%	6%	55%		
FUND UTILITY USERS	Yes - not so strongly	18%	21%	11%	11%	21%	11%	29%		
TAX	Lean yes	10%	12%	3%	8%	11%	2%	16%		
	Undecided /DK	11%	6%	8%	50%	6%	5%			100%
	Lean no	2%	3%	1%		1%	2%		7%	
	No - not so strongly	10%	10%	13%	3%	8%	25%		35%	
	No - strongly	16%	8%	47%	13%	9%	48%		58%	
	(Refused)	0%		1%	1%					
18.CLIMATE ACTION	Yes	61%	74%	31%	33%	75%	19%	100%		
FUND UTILITY USERS	No	28%	21%	61%	16%	19%	76%		100%	
TAX	Undecided/DK	11%	6%	8%	50%	6%	5%			100%
	(Refused)	0%		1%	1%					
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 82 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	А	GE			AGE				GENDI	R /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk safely	33%	37%	28%	37%	28%	41%	26%	42%	39%	19%	40%	33%	33%	24%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	17%	27%	4%	43%	4%	5%	4%	26%	56%	3%	5%	36%	48%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%	1%	1%		2%					4%			2%	3%
	Text to online	44%	44%	43%	59%	27%	55%	69%	54%	35%	20%	56%	63%	29%	26%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	93%	95%	90%	98%	86%	93%	93%	99%	98%	89%	91%	98%	99%
	Probably will vote	6%	7%	5%	10%	2%	14%	7%	7%	1%	2%	11%	9%	2%	1%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - N	IINOR /NO IMPACT	70	67	72	73	65	70	82	68	79	54	67	81	68	63
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	20%	24%	19%	25%	21%	18%	16%	23%	26%	17%	21%	23%	26%
	Fairly serious	25%	26%	24%	28%	22%	26%	28%	32%	23%	22%	26%	29%	26%	19%
	Moderate	38%	38%	38%	40%	35%	38%	45%	36%	43%	29%	40%	40%	35%	36%
	Minor	13%	15%	11%	12%	13%	14%	7%	13%	8%	18%	15%	7%	13%	14%
	No impact	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%	5%	1%	2%	3%	4%
	(Don't know)	0%		1%		1%				1%	0%				1%
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	46%	48%	47%	47%	47%	46%	48%	46%	48%	43%	50%	49%	45%
	Moderate	38%	38%	38%	40%	35%	38%	45%	36%	43%	29%	40%	40%	35%	36%
	Minor /no impact	15%	16%	14%	13%	17%	15%	9%	16%	10%	23%	16%	10%	16%	18%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	54%	56%	51%	61%	54%	47%	53%	45%	73%	56%	45%	53%	66%
CHALLENGE OF COVID-	Financial	35%	31%	37%	41%	28%	43%	43%	36%	38%	20%	34%	46%	26%	29%
19	Childcare or schooling	17%	16%	19%	24%	10%	12%	30%	36%	20%	1%	19%	30%	12%	8%
	Physical health	16%	16%	16%	12%	21%	15%	9%	10%	18%	24%	10%	12%	23%	20%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	10%	13%	9%	14%	11%	8%	8%	11%	16%	9%	10%	11%	16%
	Other	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	7%	4%	4%	3%	7%	4%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 83 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ		.OGY / IDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk safely	33%	32%	39%	36%	27%	35%	28%	44%	31%	36%	29%	34%	32%	35%	28%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	24%	16%	17%	30%	4%	44%	5%	22%	25%	23%	22%	26%	18%	27%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%	1%		1%	1%		2%		1%	1%	1%	0%		1%	1%
	Text to online	44%	43%	45%	46%	41%	61%	26%	51%	45%	38%	47%	44%	41%	46%	45%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	95%	93%	94%	96%	92%	98%	89%	95%	93%	97%	92%	92%	94%	95%
	Probably will vote	6%	5%	7%	6%	4%	8%	2%	11%	5%	7%	3%	8%	8%	6%	5%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - N	IINOR /NO IMPACT	70	73	59	74	72	79	66	58	72	61	67	78	59	72	73
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	23%	17%	22%	24%	19%	26%	18%	20%	27%	24%	16%	23%	20%	21%
	Fairly serious	25%	27%	20%	27%	26%	31%	23%	21%	29%	12%	26%	32%	13%	30%	27%
	Moderate	38%	37%	42%	37%	37%	39%	34%	40%	37%	42%	34%	40%	43%	36%	38%
	Minor	13%	11%	20%	12%	10%	9%	13%	21%	12%	13%	15%	9%	13%	14%	11%
	No impact	3%	3%		2%	4%	2%	4%		1%	6%	1%	1%	7%		3%
	(Don't know)	0%	0%	1%		0%		0%		0%			1%			1%
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	50%	37%	49%	49%	50%	49%	39%	49%	39%	50%	49%	36%	50%	48%
	Moderate	38%	37%	42%	37%	37%	39%	34%	40%	37%	42%	34%	40%	43%	36%	38%
	Minor /no impact	15%	14%	20%	13%	14%	10%	17%	21%	14%	19%	17%	10%	21%	14%	13%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	56%	53%	56%	55%	47%	64%	57%	57%	54%	60%	52%	55%	54%	57%
CHALLENGE OF COVID- 19	Financial	35%	35%	33%	31%	37%	44%	27%	32%	34%	44%	28%	39%	43%	31%	34%
13	Childcare or schooling	17%	18%	20%	16%	19%	25%	10%	23%	19%	11%	21%	17%	10%	18%	20%
	Physical health	16%	16%	15%	14%	17%	10%	22%	17%	17%	14%	22%	13%	15%	16%	18%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	14%	6%	13%	15%	11%	16%	6%	12%	9%	14%	11%	7%	10%	14%
	Other	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 84 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk safely	33%	38%	42%	30%	32%	27%	37%	33%	25%	52%	32%	27%	38%	32%	25%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	23%	24%	21%	20%	22%	27%	16%	26%	8%	3%	42%	25%	20%	21%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%			2%	1%	1%	1%
	Text to online	44%	38%	33%	48%	47%	49%	35%	50%	48%	40%	65%	29%	36%	47%	53%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	84%	86%	97%	96%	97%	87%	95%	97%	77%	95%	99%	94%	93%	95%
	Probably will vote	6%	16%	14%	3%	4%	3%	13%	5%	3%	23%	5%	1%	6%	7%	5%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - N	IINOR /NO IMPACT	70	73	71	70	62	77	76	70	70	80	71	68	70	73	66
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	30%	34%	18%	14%	21%	34%	16%	19%	34%	14%	22%	17%	28%	22%
	Fairly serious	25%	21%	17%	28%	27%	28%	20%	29%	26%	19%	31%	24%	24%	29%	23%
	Moderate	38%	35%	34%	39%	40%	39%	34%	40%	40%	37%	41%	38%	44%	29%	37%
	Minor	13%	13%	12%	13%	16%	10%	11%	14%	11%	10%	12%	13%	13%	11%	13%
	No impact	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	4%		2%	3%	2%	2%	4%
	(Don't know)	0%			0%		0%			0%			1%		1%	
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	51%	52%	45%	41%	49%	54%	45%	45%	53%	45%	46%	41%	57%	46%
	Moderate	38%	35%	34%	39%	40%	39%	34%	40%	40%	37%	41%	38%	44%	29%	37%
	Minor /no impact	15%	14%	15%	15%	19%	11%	12%	15%	15%	10%	14%	16%	15%	13%	17%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	57%	55%	56%	54%	57%	53%	53%	57%	41%	54%	58%	52%	60%	56%
CHALLENGE OF COVID-	Financial	35%	38%	40%	34%	37%	31%	45%	31%	34%	54%	37%	29%	32%	39%	35%
19	Childcare or schooling	17%	12%	13%	19%	17%	21%	12%	17%	22%	22%	25%	12%	14%	13%	26%
	Physical health	16%	20%	19%	15%	15%	15%	20%	15%	15%	12%	12%	19%	16%	22%	11%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	11%	10%	12%	11%	14%	13%	12%	14%	7%	10%	15%	13%	10%	10%
	Other	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	6%	4%	5%	4%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 85 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk safely	33%	18%	32%	39%	27%	44%	33%	37%	42%	34%	34%	29%	34%	18%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	25%	17%	18%	23%	21%	32%	23%	19%	31%	21%	19%	10%	29%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%		2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%
	Text to online	44%	57%	49%	41%	49%	34%	35%	39%	37%	34%	45%	49%	55%	51%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	97%	93%	98%	84%	94%	94%	94%	96%	93%	90%	96%	92%	97%
	Probably will vote	6%	3%	7%	2%	16%	6%	6%	6%	4%	7%	10%	4%	8%	3%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - M	INOR /NO IMPACT	70	57	73	72	71	61	77	73	64	75	66	81	75	57
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	16%	28%	29%	31%	14%	21%	16%	12%	21%	29%	28%	21%	23%
	Fairly serious	25%	24%	23%	28%	23%	22%	19%	32%	26%	22%	30%	27%	22%	24%
	Moderate	38%	39%	36%	28%	32%	44%	49%	38%	44%	44%	24%	34%	44%	32%
	Minor	13%	19%	8%	12%	10%	17%	9%	14%	18%	9%	15%	6%	8%	18%
	No impact	3%	3%	5%	1%	5%	2%	3%			3%	2%	3%	4%	4%
	(Don't know)	0%			2%								2%		
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	40%	51%	57%	54%	36%	40%	48%	38%	43%	59%	55%	44%	47%
	Moderate	38%	39%	36%	28%	32%	44%	49%	38%	44%	44%	24%	34%	44%	32%
	Minor /no impact	15%	21%	13%	13%	14%	19%	11%	14%	18%	13%	17%	9%	13%	21%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	55%	57%	65%	58%	59%	48%	49%	51%	55%	56%	62%	59%	52%
CHALLENGE OF COVID-	Financial	35%	35%	36%	35%	42%	24%	37%	36%	31%	31%	36%	40%	25%	43%
19	Childcare or schooling	17%	25%	26%	13%	14%	11%	15%	19%	13%	15%	14%	13%	21%	30%
	Physical health	16%	14%	9%	27%	19%	13%	10%	25%	15%	16%	21%	22%	10%	11%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	8%	12%	15%	5%	13%	18%	9%	13%	13%	9%	12%	5%	15%
	Other	4%	6%	2%	5%	2%	4%	6%	1%	5%	4%	7%	3%	5%	3%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 86 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			6.EMPLC STATUS COV	BEFORE ID-19	BEF	OYMENT			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST		CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own v	'S RENT
			Employ	Not employ	Employ ed full-	Employ ed part-		,			Childle	Childle ss	.		
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk	TOTAL	ed	ed	time	time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	ss man	woman	Yes	Own	Rent
I II II IONE I II E	safely	33%	35%	27%	34%	41%	20%	34%	32%	32%	37%	27%	30%	29%	35%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	15%	36%	13%	21%	58%	12%	26%	15%	20%	32%	13%	33%	12%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%	0%	3%		1%	5%		2%		1%	2%		1%	1%
	Text to online	44%	49%	34%	53%	37%	18%	55%	40%	53%	42%	39%	56%	37%	51%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	93%	96%	94%	91%	99%	93%	94%	95%	93%	95%	90%	97%	91%
	Probably will vote	6%	7%	4%	6%	9%	1%	7%	6%	5%	7%	5%	10%	3%	9%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - N	1	70	78	53	77	81	45	84	64	77	60	69	84	68	72
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	22%	21%	20%	29%	24%	28%	19%	28%	18%	21%	23%	21%	21%
	Fairly serious	25%	29%	20%	27%	35%	18%	29%	25%	29%	26%	23%	30%	24%	27%
	Moderate	38%	39%	35%	42%	26%	31%	35%	38%	31%	37%	40%	38%	38%	38%
	Minor	13%	10%	18%	10%	10%	21%	7%	14%	10%	18%	11%	7%	13%	11%
	No impact	3%	1%	6%	1%		6%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%
	(Don't know)	0%	0%		1%			0%	0%	1%		1%	1%	0%	0%
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	50%	41%	46%	64%	41%	57%	44%	57%	43%	44%	53%	45%	48%
	Moderate	38%	39%	35%	42%	26%	31%	35%	38%	31%	37%	40%	38%	38%	38%
	Minor /no impact	15%	11%	24%	11%	10%	28%	8%	18%	11%	20%	15%	8%	16%	14%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	49%	70%	49%	48%	80%	28%	64%	27%	62%	66%	25%	55%	55%
CHALLENGE OF COVID-	Financial	35%	42%	20%	43%	41%	10%	46%	32%	50%	29%	32%	43%	30%	41%
'	Childcare or schooling	17%	22%	9%	24%	16%	1%	53%	6%	53%	5%	6%	58%	22%	13%
	Physical health	16%	12%	25%	11%	14%	31%	8%	19%	6%	17%	21%	9%	14%	17%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	9%	17%	10%	7%	19%	9%	13%	11%	11%	15%	9%	12%	11%
	Other	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	2%	5%	3%	7%	4%	1%	4%	4%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 87 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				OWN	/S RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	IDER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk safely	33%	34%	21%	43%	31%	31%	35%	25%	39%	31%	35%	36%	27%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	39%	21%	10%	12%	17%	25%	40%	11%	14%	4%	8%	47%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%	1%		1%	1%	3%		2%	1%	1%		0%	2%
	Text to online	44%	26%	58%	46%	57%	49%	41%	34%	48%	54%	61%	56%	24%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	98%	98%	90%	92%	90%	97%	98%	88%	92%	93%	93%	95%
	Probably will vote	6%	2%	2%	10%	8%	10%	3%	2%	12%	8%	7%	7%	5%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - M	INOR /NO IMPACT	70	68	64	76	70	71	70	65	68	78	71	72	65
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	18%	21%	14%	28%	21%	19%	23%	22%	22%	18%	21%	23%
	Fairly serious	25%	23%	22%	27%	26%	28%	26%	22%	27%	27%	28%	26%	24%
	Moderate	38%	43%	39%	46%	31%	36%	40%	37%	35%	40%	40%	39%	35%
	Minor	13%	14%	13%	11%	11%	13%	13%	13%	15%	7%	12%	12%	13%
	No impact	3%	2%	5%	1%	4%	2%	2%	4%	1%	4%	2%	2%	4%
	(Don't know)	0%				0%			1%		0%			1%
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	41%	43%	42%	54%	49%	45%	45%	48%	48%	46%	47%	47%
	Moderate	38%	43%	39%	46%	31%	36%	40%	37%	35%	40%	40%	39%	35%
	Minor /no impact	15%	16%	18%	12%	15%	15%	15%	17%	16%	11%	14%	14%	17%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	53%	54%	48%	58%	61%	50%	60%	56%	54%	52%	52%	62%
CHALLENGE OF COVID-	Financial	35%	29%	32%	38%	45%	39%	27%	32%	36%	42%	38%	38%	29%
וּטּ	Childcare or schooling	17%	17%	34%	13%	12%	13%	21%	23%	11%	14%	22%	21%	10%
	Physical health	16%	16%	10%	14%	23%	14%	20%	10%	10%	24%	12%	14%	19%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	16%	7%	11%	10%	10%	8%	15%	11%	10%	11%	10%	15%
	Other	4%	5%	4%	3%	6%	2%	5%	3%	6%	3%	4%	4%	5%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 88 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE GEN	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RACI	E/AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP <i>!</i>	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk safely	33%	38%	33%	36%	21%	29%	41%	27%	34%	24%	34%	25%	25%	34%	33%	27%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	5%	11%	43%	49%	28%	13%	2%	22%	35%	4%	49%	16%	21%	18%	36%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%		1%	2%	2%	1%	2%			2%		2%	1%	2%	0%	2%
	Text to online	44%	57%	56%	18%	27%	41%	44%	70%	43%	39%	62%	24%	58%	43%	48%	35%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	92%	94%	93%	96%	95%	91%	90%	93%	96%	91%	98%	95%	95%	93%	95%
	Probably will vote	6%	8%	6%	7%	4%	5%	9%	10%	7%	4%	9%	2%	5%	5%	7%	5%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - N		70	73	72	57	70	64	79	73	64	63	68	60	68	100	100	-100
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	22%	20%	16%	28%	16%	31%	15%	16%	16%	15%	16%	20%	46%		
	Fairly serious	25%	26%	25%	25%	24%	27%	30%	25%	27%	25%	30%	24%	25%	54%		
	Moderate	38%	38%	41%	38%	33%	39%	29%	46%	39%	39%	39%	40%	38%		100%	
	Minor	13%	12%	11%	18%	11%	15%	8%	12%	16%	14%	13%	16%	13%			83%
	No impact	3%	1%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	1%	2%	5%	3%	4%	3%			17%
	(Don't know)	0%				1%								0%			
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	48%	45%	40%	52%	42%	61%	40%	43%	42%	45%	40%	45%	100%		
	Moderate	38%	38%	41%	38%	33%	39%	29%	46%	39%	39%	39%	40%	38%		100%	
	Minor /no impact	15%	13%	14%	21%	14%	18%	11%	14%	18%	19%	16%	20%	16%			100%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	50%	53%	64%	61%	58%	45%	62%	56%	61%	52%	63%	47%	47%	59%	76%
CHALLENGE OF COVID-	Financial	35%	35%	39%	22%	34%	31%	46%	41%	28%	32%	37%	25%	38%	51%	23%	18%
19	Childcare or schooling	17%	20%	23%	6%	13%	18%	17%	15%	18%	17%	25%	12%	27%	20%	19%	6%
	Physical health	16%	10%	18%	28%	13%	16%	18%	11%	15%	16%	11%	20%	13%	18%	12%	22%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	8%	12%	13%	15%	11%	11%	12%	7%	14%	8%	13%	8%	12%	11%	13%
	Other	4%	5%	2%	5%	5%	4%	8%	1%	6%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	3%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 89 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			INC	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY F		FOR NEED	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE TH AND S	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk safely	33%	35%	30%	31%	36%	39%	30%	37%	33%	28%	39%	31%	34%	37%	31%	35%	31%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	20%	22%	26%	14%	11%	26%	15%	21%	17%	40%	19%	24%	34%	17%	23%	37%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%	0%	2%	2%			2%		0%	1%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	Text to online	44%	44%	46%	42%	50%	50%	42%	48%	45%	54%	18%	49%	41%	26%	52%	41%	29%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	91%	98%	97%	89%	90%	97%	89%	94%	93%	95%	95%	92%	96%	96%	91%	98%
	Probably will vote	6%	9%	2%	3%	11%	10%	3%	11%	6%	7%	5%	5%	8%	4%	4%	9%	2%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - M	INOR /NO IMPACT	70	90	57	59	88	88	57	92	69	67	75	72	64	75	71	68	69
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	28%	16%	16%	32%	46%	14%	35%	20%	23%	31%	21%	18%	34%	22%	21%	23%
	Fairly serious	25%	38%	17%	20%	35%	35%	19%	35%	25%	22%	32%	27%	22%	26%	31%	21%	24%
	Moderate	38%	29%	46%	44%	27%	13%	45%	26%	40%	38%	24%	37%	41%	27%	32%	42%	37%
	Minor	13%	4%	18%	17%	4%	5%	18%	3%	14%	12%	8%	13%	14%	7%	13%	13%	11%
	No impact	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%	4%	0%	2%	4%	4%	1%	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%
	(Don't know)	0%	0%			1%			1%		1%	1%		1%	1%		0%	1%
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	66%	33%	36%	66%	81%	34%	69%	45%	45%	63%	49%	41%	60%	53%	41%	47%
	Moderate	38%	29%	46%	44%	27%	13%	45%	26%	40%	38%	24%	37%	41%	27%	32%	42%	37%
	Minor /no impact	15%	5%	21%	21%	6%	6%	22%	4%	15%	16%	12%	14%	18%	12%	14%	16%	15%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	45%	63%	62%	45%	38%	60%	49%	55%	55%	58%	55%	55%	59%	51%	56%	68%
CHALLENGE OF COVID- 19	Financial	35%	51%	23%	25%	54%	71%	26%	49%	37%	33%	31%	36%	34%	32%	38%	35%	27%
13	Childcare or schooling	17%	19%	17%	20%	14%	19%	19%	15%	18%	17%	13%	18%	17%	15%	18%	17%	16%
	Physical health	16%	15%	17%	15%	18%	10%	16%	18%	14%	18%	23%	15%	17%	20%	15%	16%	20%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	11%	13%	11%	12%	14%	13%	9%	12%	7%	19%	12%	9%	18%	11%	11%	15%
	Other	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%	3%	6%	4%	3%	5%	3%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 90 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTI	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
1.PHONE TYPE	Yes, cell and can talk safely	33%	33%	32%	32%	34%	34%	35%	29%	31%
	No, not on cell, but own one	22%	22%	16%	34%	19%	23%	19%	22%	37%
	No, not on cell, and do not own one	1%	1%		3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%
	Text to online	44%	43%	52%	32%	47%	41%	45%	48%	27%
3.VOTE LIKELIHOOD	Almost certain to vote	94%	95%	90%	97%	94%	92%	95%	90%	98%
	Probably will vote	6%	5%	10%	3%	6%	8%	5%	10%	2%
SERIOUS /MODERATE - M	INOR /NO IMPACT	70	69	71	73	73	71	71	73	54
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Very serious	22%	20%	23%	26%	22%	20%	23%	20%	20%
	Fairly serious	25%	27%	19%	26%	27%	23%	26%	23%	26%
	Moderate	38%	37%	43%	34%	38%	41%	36%	43%	32%
	Minor	13%	15%	10%	5%	12%	10%	14%	11%	10%
	No impact	3%	1%	4%	8%	1%	4%	1%	2%	13%
	(Don't know)	0%		1%	1%		1%	0%	1%	
4.IMPACT OF COVID-19	Serious	47%	48%	42%	52%	48%	44%	49%	43%	45%
	Moderate	38%	37%	43%	34%	38%	41%	36%	43%	32%
	Minor /no impact	15%	16%	14%	13%	14%	14%	15%	13%	23%
5.GREATEST	Mental health	56%	56%	48%	67%	55%	50%	55%	52%	65%
CHALLENGE OF COVID- 19	Financial	35%	34%	41%	28%	36%	37%	35%	39%	29%
13	Childcare or schooling	17%	18%	17%	11%	19%	14%	19%	16%	10%
	Physical health	16%	16%	15%	17%	17%	12%	15%	17%	21%
	Caretaking family or friends	12%	12%	6%	21%	12%	9%	12%	9%	13%
	Other	4%	4%	4%	8%	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 91 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	60%	44%	61%	39%	43%	72%	77%	68%	16%	68%	57%	49%	32%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	11%	18%	14%	15%	19%	9%	14%	16%	14%	10%	19%	13%	16%
	Unemployed	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	4%	6%	4%	2%		4%	4%	1%	1%
	Retired	19%	15%	23%		41%				8%	68%			36%	45%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%		3%	2%	1%		3%	5%	1%	0%		5%		1%
	Full-time student	8%	9%	7%	15%	1%	31%	8%		1%		16%	14%		1%
	On disability	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%		4%	1%		1%	2%	3%
	(Other)	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%		1%
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	52%	44%	54%	39%	43%	74%	44%	47%	22%	55%	53%	48%	31%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	14%	19%	17%	17%	30%	8%	11%	13%	28%	13%	19%	15%	20%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	11%	13%	14%	10%	20%	14%	7%	13%	2%	12%	15%	10%	9%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	13%	4%	4%	15%		2%	12%	13%	19%	6%	2%	25%	6%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	6%	8%	6%	9%	15%	2%	1%	7%	15%	4%	8%	10%	9%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	4%	9%	3%	13%		1%	7%	9%	21%	2%	3%	9%	16%
	I am taking paid time off	4%	6%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	6%	2%
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	4%	13%	7%	11%	5%	7%	9%	11%	10%	2%	12%	7%	14%
	(My work life has not changed)	10%	9%	10%	10%	9%	10%	5%	14%	8%	12%	11%	9%	6%	12%
	Count	327	159	164	200	127	68	69	63	88	39	100	96	60	67

# Page 92 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / NDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ	-	LOGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	51%	52%	61%	44%	62%	40%	56%	52%	47%	53%	51%	51%	64%	45%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	14%	17%	10%	17%	13%	15%	20%	15%	16%	13%	16%	12%	11%	17%
	Unemployed	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	3%	1%	7%	1%	9%	1%	2%	8%	1%	1%
	Retired	19%	20%	14%	15%	24%		40%		21%	11%	19%	23%	11%	16%	26%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%	1%		3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	4%		2%
	Full-time student	8%	8%	10%	9%	7%	16%	1%	15%	8%	10%	9%	6%	11%	7%	7%
	On disability	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%		1%	3%	2%	1%	4%		2%
	(Other)	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%	0%		1%		2%	1%		2%	1%
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	46%	58%	51%	41%	53%	37%	59%	50%	38%	52%	48%	36%	55%	46%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	18%	16%	13%	21%	17%	19%	18%	18%	13%	10%	26%	14%	15%	20%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	13%	11%	12%	12%	13%	12%	15%	11%	15%	12%	10%	17%	10%	12%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	9%	4%	13%	5%	5%	14%		7%	15%	7%	8%	15%	12%	3%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	6%	14%	5%	7%	4%	8%	13%	8%	7%	10%	5%	4%	7%	9%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	6%	8%	3%	8%	2%	10%	4%	7%	6%	8%	6%	6%	5%	9%
	I am taking paid time off	4%	5%	2%	8%	3%	7%	4%		5%	1%	2%	9%	2%	8%	3%
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	10%	4%	6%	14%	7%	13%	5%	8%	12%	10%	5%	13%	4%	11%
	(My work life has not changed)	10%	10%	3%	10%	11%	11%	10%	4%	9%	11%	9%	10%	12%	9%	10%
	Count	327	246	65	117	126	142	105	48	253	58	133	120	48	121	129

# Page 93 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	39%	36%	56%	55%	57%	33%	65%	49%	47%	65%	45%	47%	53%	54%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	21%	24%	12%	14%	10%	25%	8%	15%	32%	9%	15%	14%	13%	16%
1	Unemployed	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	5%	4%	0%	4%	2%	1%
	Retired	19%	25%	24%	17%	16%	18%	26%	12%	23%			37%	22%	15%	19%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%		3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Full-time student	8%	7%	5%	9%	9%	10%	4%	11%	8%	10%	17%	0%	9%	11%	4%
	On disability	2%	3%	4%	0%	1%		4%		0%		1%		1%	2%	3%
	(Other)	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	23%	19%	56%	53%	59%	23%	62%	50%	23%	65%	44%	50%	43%	51%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	28%	26%	14%	21%	7%	32%	13%	15%	28%	13%	16%	23%	12%	14%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	29%	26%	8%	11%	7%	25%	7%	9%	28%	9%	8%	9%	18%	11%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	7%	6%	9%	6%	12%	2%	14%	4%	8%	3%	18%	11%	5%	9%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	7%	14%	5%	8%	3%	15%	5%	6%	10%	5%	6%	8%	5%	9%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	4%	3%	8%	7%	8%	6%	6%	10%		3%	13%	8%	5%	6%
	I am taking paid time off	4%	6%	8%	4%	1%	6%	2%	4%	4%	5%	5%	2%	5%	3%	5%
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	12%	10%	8%	10%	7%	14%	4%	13%	11%	6%	12%	8%	12%	6%
	(My work life has not changed)	10%	7%	9%	10%	10%	9%	8%	9%	11%	8%	10%	9%	9%	14%	6%
	Count	327	59	72	251	120	132	40	126	122	51	148	104	128	100	99

# Page 94 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL E	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDER	₹	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	61%	48%	54%	49%	49%	46%	45%	57%	39%	59%	49%	65%	46%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	8%	24%	13%	16%	7%	17%	21%	11%	17%	11%	16%	11%	20%
	Unemployed	3%		3%	4%	1%	9%	1%	2%	5%	3%	2%			3%
	Retired	19%	24%	15%	18%	15%	25%	26%	14%	17%	27%	10%	19%	17%	22%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%		5%			1%	3%		3%		4%		2%
	Full-time student	8%	4%	4%	6%	15%	8%	5%	16%	9%	8%	15%	9%	4%	4%
	On disability	2%		5%		1%	1%	1%			2%		2%	3%	3%
	(Other)	1%		1%		3%	1%	3%		1%	2%	2%			
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	69%	35%	47%	36%	44%	53%	55%	58%	42%	43%	41%	53%	49%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	6%	20%	13%	9%	26%	16%	26%	18%	28%	11%	12%	10%	15%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	7%	14%	21%	14%	7%	9%	11%	8%	9%	17%	18%	10%	11%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	8%	9%	4%	3%	15%	11%	5%	19%	3%	9%	1%	11%	8%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	2%	16%	6%	4%	5%	10%	8%	7%	9%	6%	3%	6%	12%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	8%	5%	10%		6%	15%	2%	7%	10%	4%	5%	2%	11%
	I am taking paid time off	4%	4%	5%	2%	6%	3%	7%	5%	7%	4%	5%	2%	5%	4%
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	2%	10%	16%	10%	14%	4%	5%	5%	10%	4%	20%	3%	10%
	(My work life has not changed)	10%	6%	7%	6%	23%	8%	6%	13%	5%	12%	14%	14%	10%	4%
	Count	327	47	52	46	39	43	46	38	66	62	48	51	46	50

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			STATUS	OYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT:			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	'ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	78%		100%			69%	45%	59%	53%	38%	70%	52%	50%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	22%			100%		16%	15%	19%	11%	18%	14%	13%	15%
	Unemployed	3%		8%				4%	2%	5%	3%	1%	5%	1%	4%
	Retired	19%		57%			100%	3%	25%	2%	18%	32%	1%	29%	11%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%		5%				5%	1%	8%		1%	6%	2%	2%
	Full-time student	8%		25%				1%	10%	2%	11%	8%	2%	0%	15%
	On disability	2%		5%				2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	(Other)	1%						1%	1%	1%	2%	0%		1%	1%
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	48%		56%	21%		51%	46%	49%	52%	39%	53%	50%	49%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	17%		13%	28%		13%	18%	17%	16%	20%	14%	9%	23%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	12%		11%	17%		9%	14%	7%	12%	16%	11%	7%	15%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	8%		8%	8%		4%	11%	4%	18%	4%	4%	11%	5%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	7%		3%	22%		5%	8%	4%	7%	10%	2%	5%	10%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	7%		6%	8%		8%	6%	12%	5%	7%	8%	13%	1%
	I am taking paid time off	4%	4%		5%	4%		8%	3%	6%	4%	2%	11%	4%	5%
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	9%		8%	11%		8%	9%	13%	5%	14%	6%	6%	10%
I	(My work life has not changed)	10%	10%		9%	12%		13%	8%	12%	7%	9%	9%	12%	7%
<u> </u>	Count	327	327		254	73		104	216	56	110	103	64	151	160

# Page 96 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	IDER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	46%	60%	49%	52%	48%	65%	42%	57%	46%	57%	58%	39%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	12%	13%	15%	12%	21%	11%	15%	10%	20%	15%	15%	15%
	Unemployed	3%	2%		7%	3%			2%	6%	1%	2%	3%	2%
	Retired	19%	36%	21%	6%	11%	18%	21%	36%	9%	13%	5%	7%	40%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%			3%		3%	2%	2%	1%
	Full-time student	8%			19%	15%	10%		1%	16%	13%	16%	12%	2%
	On disability	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%		3%	1%	1%	2%
	(Other)	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%		1%	2%		1%	2%	
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	48%	61%	57%	49%	39%	56%	43%	51%	48%	60%	56%	31%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	12%	6%	35%	13%	20%	6%	12%	19%	26%	12%	13%	26%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	6%	8%	10%	19%	16%	6%	6%	16%	15%	13%	13%	11%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	16%	9%	7%	3%	6%	17%	6%	8%	3%	5%	6%	14%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	7%	5%	10%	6%	15%	4%	7%	9%	10%	4%	7%	9%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	16%	9%			3%	8%	19%	1%	1%	2%	3%	14%
	I am taking paid time off	4%	4%	6%	7%	5%	3%	4%	4%	8%	3%	6%	6%	1%
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	7%	4%	4%	14%	10%	3%	10%	5%	14%	8%	9%	9%
	(My work life has not changed)	10%	10%	8%	7%	11%	4%	11%	12%	7%	8%	9%	9%	10%
	Count	327	64	56	58	62	39	78	72	75	83	170	227	97

# Page 97 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF C	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	69%	48%	41%	38%	50%	67%	54%	63%	40%	65%	37%	64%	50%	57%	37%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	11%	19%	12%	17%	16%	17%	6%	12%	19%	16%	16%	12%	20%	10%	9%
	Unemployed	3%	4%	2%		3%	2%	1%	7%	2%	1%	3%	0%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	Retired	19%	3%	12%	40%	40%	23%	3%	6%	15%	31%		43%	12%	17%	16%	35%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%		4%		1%	2%	2%	1%		3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	Full-time student	8%	12%	12%	5%		6%	7%	24%	5%	5%	12%	0%	6%	8%	10%	7%
	On disability	2%		2%	3%	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	3%
	(Other)	1%	2%	1%			1%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	58%	53%	35%	27%	48%	43%	66%	53%	42%	55%	40%	59%	36%	64%	49%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	12%	14%	21%	28%	14%	33%	20%	13%	15%	13%	15%	13%	22%	11%	15%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	13%	12%	6%	15%	12%	13%	9%	9%	17%	15%	8%	7%	18%	7%	3%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	9%	3%	28%	6%	11%	5%	3%	15%	6%	6%	16%	8%	8%	9%	8%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	5%	9%	11%	8%	6%	13%	7%	4%	8%	4%	8%	3%	10%	5%	3%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	4%	2%	4%	21%	6%	2%	10%	5%	8%	1%	14%	5%	10%	4%	
	I am taking paid time off	4%	7%	5%	2%		4%	4%	7%	5%	3%	3%	5%	5%	6%	4%	
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	3%	15%	7%	11%	10%	8%		5%	17%	10%	11%	8%	11%	8%	2%
	(My work life has not changed)	10%	8%	10%	11%	10%	11%	9%	3%	12%	9%	11%	11%	9%	7%	8%	28%
	Count	327	122	102	37	59	202	42	42	109	93	113	88	144	164	127	35

# Page 98 of 239

			INC	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR I	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHEITH AND S	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	52%	51%	51%	52%	52%	54%	48%	55%	50%	32%	55%	50%	30%	57%	50%	33%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	22%	8%	11%	21%	31%	10%	22%	13%	21%	11%	12%	18%	18%	13%	16%	14%
	Unemployed	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	7%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	5%	1%	4%	4%
	Retired	19%	11%	27%	26%	6%	1%	23%	11%	17%	14%	41%	16%	19%	38%	14%	20%	34%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
	Full-time student	8%	8%	8%	7%	11%	4%	7%	10%	10%	7%	6%	12%	4%	6%	11%	6%	8%
	On disability	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%
	(Other)	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%		2%	0%		2%	0%	1%
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	28%	71%	57%	34%	26%	58%	33%	51%	46%	35%	52%	44%	46%	52%	46%	46%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	28%	3%	11%	26%	24%	8%	29%	17%	17%	17%	15%	21%	11%	15%	19%	14%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	20%	3%	3%	26%	30%	6%	23%	12%	12%	12%	12%	11%	18%	13%	12%	10%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	10%	5%	10%	6%	4%	11%	4%	7%	10%	11%	5%	14%	4%	4%	14%	3%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	12%	1%	6%	10%	15%	6%	9%	9%	4%	7%	10%	5%	3%	9%	5%	11%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	12%	1%	5%	9%	13%	6%	8%	5%	9%	7%	5%	8%	7%	5%	8%	8%
	I am taking paid time off	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%		5%	5%		7%	2%	3%
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	9%	8%	7%	10%	15%	8%	9%	8%	12%	2%	7%	11%	11%	6%	11%	8%
	(My work life has not changed)	10%	6%	13%	11%	7%	9%	12%	6%	8%	10%	17%	9%	10%	10%	9%	10%	9%
	Count	327	162	143	192	129	43	200	123	220	80	26	179	122	26	148	146	32

# Page 99 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	-
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	52%	56%	32%	53%	55%	54%	52%	28%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	15%	13%	13%	14%	16%	15%	16%	9%
	Unemployed	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	3%	6%
	Retired	19%	16%	20%	37%	16%	18%	16%	18%	37%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%		2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%
	Full-time student	8%	9%	5%	9%	10%	5%	9%	8%	6%
	On disability	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	9%
	(Other)	1%	1%	1%		1%		1%	1%	
7.EFFECT OF COVID-19 ON WORK	I am required to work from home by my employer	48%	50%	44%	47%	52%	37%	49%	46%	51%
	My hours at work have been reduced	17%	18%	14%	16%	18%	14%	18%	13%	25%
	I have been laid off or furloughed	12%	13%	11%	7%	12%	12%	11%	14%	12%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently open	8%	9%	8%	7%	6%	18%	5%	17%	5%
	I am taking unpaid time off	7%	9%		15%	6%	8%	8%	4%	14%
	I am a small business owner and my business is currently closed	7%	5%	13%	3%	6%	13%	5%	9%	5%
	I am taking paid time off	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%
	(Other: [OPEN END])	9%	8%	12%	6%	8%	9%	9%	7%	12%
	(My work life has not changed)	10%	8%	14%	12%	9%	14%	10%	10%	8%
	Count	327	233	69	24	250	58	211	96	20

# Page 100 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
INCREASED - DECREASE	D	-37	-30	-42	-40	-35	-44	-26	-49	-43	-29	-29	-47	-31	-37
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	16%	19%	20%	17%	25%	12%	21%	23%	12%	14%	22%	18%	16%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	24%	26%	26%	25%	26%	21%	30%	26%	24%	23%	29%	25%	25%
	No change	49%	49%	49%	47%	51%	39%	58%	46%	44%	57%	52%	43%	45%	55%
	Increased somewhat	5%	8%	2%	4%	5%	6%	5%	1%	5%	5%	6%	3%	11%	1%
	Increased significantly	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	(Not sure)	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%		1%	0%	1%	2%		1%
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	40%	46%	46%	42%	52%	34%	52%	49%	36%	38%	51%	43%	41%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%	10%	4%	6%	7%	7%	8%	3%	6%	7%	9%	4%	12%	3%
	No change	49%	49%	49%	47%	51%	39%	58%	46%	44%	57%	52%	43%	45%	55%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	39	18	10	44	-10	20	28	23	61	29	-5	52	39
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	69%	57%	54%	70%	45%	59%	63%	61%	77%	65%	46%	74%	67%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat likely	25%	22%	27%	30%	19%	36%	24%	30%	26%	13%	27%	33%	15%	21%
YEAR	Not very likely	7%	4%	9%	10%	5%	14%	12%	1%	9%	2%	5%	13%	4%	6%
	Not at all likely	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	4%	3%	1%
	(Don't know)	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	7%		3%	5%	4%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	69%	57%	54%	70%	45%	59%	63%	61%	77%	65%	46%	74%	67%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT YEAR	Somewhat /not likely	35%	29%	39%	44%	26%	54%	39%	35%	38%	16%	35%	51%	22%	28%
TEAR	Not likely	11%	7%	12%	14%	7%	18%	15%	5%	12%	3%	8%	18%	6%	7%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		27	39	19	13	44	1	21	23	37	49	30	-2	52	38
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	69%	58%	56%	70%	49%	60%	61%	67%	73%	65%	48%	75%	67%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR	Somewhat likely	27%	26%	27%	31%	22%	35%	28%	29%	22%	22%	30%	33%	22%	22%
TEAR	Not very likely	6%	3%	7%	9%	3%	11%	7%	6%	4%	1%	5%	11%	1%	4%
	Not at all likely	3%	0%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	4%			6%	1%	3%
	(Don't know)	2%	0%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%		2%	1%	5%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	69%	58%	56%	70%	49%	60%	61%	67%	73%	65%	48%	75%	67%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR	Somewhat /not likely	35%	30%	39%	43%	27%	48%	39%	38%	30%	24%	35%	50%	24%	29%
IEAK	Not likely	8%	4%	12%	12%	5%	13%	12%	9%	8%	1%	5%	17%	2%	7%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 101 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / NDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ		LOGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
INCREASED - DECREASE	D	-37	-39	-31	-35	-42	-44	-35	-28	-36	-44	-31	-42	-45	-31	-38
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	18%	20%	16%	19%	20%	16%	20%	18%	20%	16%	20%	20%	17%	18%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	27%	20%	27%	27%	28%	26%	19%	24%	32%	21%	28%	33%	25%	24%
	No change	49%	49%	49%	50%	48%	46%	51%	49%	50%	41%	55%	44%	39%	46%	54%
	Increased somewhat	5%	4%	8%	6%	2%	3%	5%	9%	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	9%	2%
	Increased significantly	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%
	(Not sure)	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%	1%			2%
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	45%	40%	42%	46%	48%	42%	38%	43%	52%	38%	48%	53%	42%	41%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%	6%	9%	7%	4%	4%	7%	11%	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	11%	3%
	No change	49%	49%	49%	50%	48%	46%	51%	49%	50%	41%	55%	44%	39%	46%	54%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	25	31	37	17	7	42	19	27	19	31	23	23	33	26
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	60%	66%	68%	56%	52%	69%	59%	62%	59%	63%	60%	61%	66%	60%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat likely	25%	25%	26%	22%	27%	30%	20%	30%	25%	27%	23%	26%	30%	24%	24%
YEAR	Not very likely	7%	8%	3%	6%	10%	12%	5%	5%	8%	7%	9%	8%	5%	6%	9%
	Not at all likely	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%	2%	6%	2%	7%	0%	3%	3%	2%	1%
	(Don't know)	3%	4%		2%	5%	3%	5%		4%	1%	5%	3%	1%	2%	5%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	60%	66%	68%	56%	52%	69%	59%	62%	59%	63%	60%	61%	66%	60%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	36%	34%	30%	39%	45%	26%	41%	34%	40%	32%	37%	38%	32%	35%
YEAR	Not likely	11%	11%	9%	9%	12%	15%	7%	11%	10%	13%	9%	11%	8%	8%	10%
VERY - LESS LIKELY	-	27	27	30	38	20	7	46	28	30	18	34	25	27	36	26
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	62%	64%	69%	58%	53%	71%	63%	64%	58%	66%	61%	62%	68%	62%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Somewhat likely	27%	27%	27%	27%	27%	33%	20%	26%	27%	30%	24%	30%	30%	29%	26%
YEAR	Not very likely	6%	6%	2%	3%	8%	10%	3%	3%	5%	7%	7%	4%	4%	3%	7%
	Not at all likely	3%	2%	5%	1%	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%
	(Don't know)	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%		3%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	62%	64%	69%	58%	53%	71%	63%	64%	58%	66%	61%	62%	68%	62%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	35%	34%	31%	38%	45%	25%	35%	34%	40%	32%	37%	35%	32%	36%
YEAR	Not likely	8%	8%	7%	4%	11%	12%	4%	9%	7%	10%	8%	6%	6%	3%	10%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 102 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

		1			EDUCATIO	DN .		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
INCREASED - DECREASE	D	-37	-40	-41	-37	-40	-34	-50	-31	-39	-57	-34	-39	-40	-36	-35
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	31%	30%	15%	20%	10%	34%	14%	13%	39%	14%	16%	19%	19%	17%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	22%	23%	26%	24%	28%	22%	24%	29%	27%	26%	27%	25%	25%	26%
	No change	49%	32%	33%	54%	52%	55%	39%	56%	53%	24%	54%	53%	51%	47%	48%
	Increased somewhat	5%	9%	9%	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	1%	5%	4%	2%	2%	7%	7%
	Increased significantly	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	(Not sure)	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%			2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	53%	53%	41%	44%	38%	56%	38%	42%	65%	39%	43%	44%	44%	43%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%	13%	12%	4%	4%	5%	5%	7%	3%	9%	5%	4%	4%	8%	8%
	No change	49%	32%	33%	54%	52%	55%	39%	56%	53%	24%	54%	53%	51%	47%	48%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	-10	-6	37	26	46	-19	46	32	-35	25	50	28	11	40
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	44%	46%	67%	62%	71%	40%	72%	64%	32%	61%	73%	61%	55%	69%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat likely	25%	37%	32%	23%	26%	19%	34%	21%	24%	39%	27%	17%	25%	33%	16%
TEAR	Not very likely	7%	14%	13%	6%	7%	4%	19%	4%	6%	18%	7%	4%	6%	10%	7%
	Not at all likely	3%	2%	6%	2%	2%	1%	6%	2%	2%	10%	2%	2%	2%	1%	6%
	(Don't know)	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	5%	1%	2%	4%		3%	4%	5%	1%	2%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	44%	46%	67%	62%	71%	40%	72%	64%	32%	61%	73%	61%	55%	69%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	54%	52%	30%	36%	24%	59%	26%	32%	68%	36%	23%	33%	44%	29%
TEAR	Not likely	11%	17%	20%	7%	10%	5%	25%	5%	8%	29%	9%	6%	8%	11%	13%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		27	-17	-12	39	27	50	-20	49	32	-38	30	51	35	10	36
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	38%	41%	69%	63%	74%	36%	74%	66%	30%	64%	75%	66%	54%	67%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR	Somewhat likely	27%	38%	35%	25%	28%	21%	33%	24%	26%	39%	28%	21%	25%	37%	19%
TEAN	Not very likely	6%	13%	13%	4%	5%	3%	15%	1%	5%	20%	5%	2%	4%	6%	9%
	Not at all likely	3%	3%	6%	2%	3%	1%	9%		3%	8%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
	(Don't know)	2%	7%	6%	1%	1%	1%	8%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	38%	41%	69%	63%	74%	36%	74%	66%	30%	64%	75%	66%	54%	67%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR	Somewhat /not likely	35%	55%	53%	30%	36%	24%	56%	25%	33%	67%	35%	24%	31%	45%	31%
IEAK	Not likely	8%	17%	18%	5%	8%	3%	23%	1%	8%	28%	7%	4%	6%	8%	12%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 103 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	₹	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
INCREASED - DECREASE	D	-37	-29	-41	-44	-28	-33	-47	-41	-33	-45	-26	-42	-30	-38
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	11%	23%	16%	21%	15%	20%	22%	15%	22%	19%	16%	14%	18%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	25%	28%	31%	22%	20%	30%	24%	24%	25%	20%	30%	28%	25%
	No change	49%	58%	39%	48%	42%	57%	45%	48%	53%	50%	48%	48%	45%	51%
	Increased somewhat	5%	7%	8%	2%	12%		2%	5%	4%	1%	10%	4%	13%	3%
	Increased significantly	2%		2%	2%	3%	3%	1%		3%	1%	3%	1%		2%
	(Not sure)	1%			1%		4%	1%	1%	1%	3%		1%		
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	35%	51%	47%	43%	36%	50%	46%	39%	46%	39%	47%	42%	43%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%	7%	10%	4%	15%	3%	3%	5%	6%	1%	13%	5%	13%	5%
	No change	49%	58%	39%	48%	42%	57%	45%	48%	53%	50%	48%	48%	45%	51%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	55	25	25	1	29	38	12	40	19	25	3	54	31
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	77%	62%	61%	50%	62%	64%	56%	68%	57%	62%	50%	77%	64%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat likely	25%	13%	19%	23%	39%	24%	21%	31%	20%	29%	34%	32%	12%	19%
YEAR	Not very likely	7%	8%	6%	13%	7%	3%	4%	13%	5%	8%	3%	13%	4%	8%
	Not at all likely	3%	1%	12%		3%	5%			3%	1%		2%	6%	6%
	(Don't know)	3%	1%	2%	3%		5%	10%		5%	5%		2%		3%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	77%	62%	61%	50%	62%	64%	56%	68%	57%	62%	50%	77%	64%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	22%	36%	36%	50%	33%	26%	44%	28%	38%	38%	47%	23%	33%
YEAR	Not likely	11%	9%	17%	13%	10%	8%	4%	13%	8%	9%	3%	15%	11%	14%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		27	51	21	26	0	34	51	15	38	32	29	-7	52	26
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	74%	59%	61%	50%	66%	73%	56%	69%	65%	65%	45%	76%	61%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Somewhat likely	27%	14%	23%	24%	44%	26%	17%	33%	28%	22%	32%	42%	17%	20%
YEAR	Not very likely	6%	9%	9%	10%	3%	1%	3%	8%	2%	5%	2%	8%	7%	9%
	Not at all likely	3%		6%	1%	3%	5%	2%			5%	1%	2%		6%
	(Don't know)	2%	3%	3%	3%		1%	5%	3%	1%	3%		2%		5%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	74%	59%	61%	50%	66%	73%	56%	69%	65%	65%	45%	76%	61%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	23%	38%	36%	50%	32%	22%	41%	30%	32%	35%	52%	24%	35%
YEAR	Not likely	8%	9%	15%	11%	6%	6%	5%	8%	2%	10%	3%	11%	7%	15%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 104 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT:			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
INCREASED - DECREASE	D	-37	-44	-24	-40	-59	-17	-49	-33	-53	-26	-37	-51	-36	-38
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	22%	12%	18%	35%	7%	26%	16%	30%	14%	16%	24%	15%	22%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	28%	20%	27%	32%	18%	25%	24%	26%	25%	25%	29%	25%	24%
	No change	49%	44%	59%	49%	26%	68%	44%	51%	38%	48%	55%	43%	54%	46%
	Increased somewhat	5%	4%	6%	4%	4%	7%	2%	6%	2%	10%	2%	2%	3%	6%
	Increased significantly	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%		2%	2%
	(Not sure)	1%	1%	2%	1%			2%	0%	3%		1%	2%	0%	1%
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	50%	32%	45%	66%	24%	52%	41%	56%	39%	40%	53%	41%	45%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%	6%	8%	5%	8%	8%	3%	8%	3%	13%	4%	2%	5%	8%
	No change	49%	44%	59%	49%	26%	68%	44%	51%	38%	48%	55%	43%	54%	46%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	19	41	26	-4	71	16	30	3	40	25	27	56	0
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	59%	68%	62%	46%	82%	57%	63%	49%	69%	61%	62%	76%	49%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat likely	25%	26%	22%	26%	28%	11%	23%	25%	26%	23%	27%	21%	15%	34%
TEAR	Not very likely	7%	10%	3%	8%	17%		11%	6%	15%	4%	7%	12%	3%	11%
	Not at all likely	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%	1%	7%	2%	6%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%
	(Don't know)	3%	2%	5%	1%	4%	6%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	5%	1%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	59%	68%	62%	46%	82%	57%	63%	49%	69%	61%	62%	76%	49%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	40%	27%	37%	50%	12%	41%	33%	46%	28%	35%	36%	20%	49%
IEAK	Not likely	11%	13%	5%	11%	22%	1%	18%	8%	21%	5%	9%	15%	4%	15%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		27	24	36	33	-8	55	13	32	-2	41	27	28	57	4
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	61%	66%	66%	44%	76%	56%	65%	48%	70%	61%	63%	78%	50%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR	Somewhat likely	27%	27%	26%	25%	34%	19%	28%	26%	31%	27%	26%	23%	18%	34%
I FOIL	Not very likely	6%	7%	3%	6%	12%	1%	10%	4%	12%	2%	5%	11%	1%	10%
	Not at all likely	3%	3%	1%	3%	6%		4%	2%	7%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%
	(Don't know)	2%	1%	5%	0%	5%	4%	1%	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	3%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	61%	66%	66%	44%	76%	56%	65%	48%	70%	61%	63%	78%	50%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR	Somewhat /not likely	35%	38%	30%	33%	52%	20%	43%	32%	50%	29%	35%	36%	21%	47%
I FOIL	Not likely	8%	11%	4%	8%	18%	1%	15%	6%	19%	2%	9%	13%	3%	12%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 105 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER	_	LONG LI\	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
INCREASED - DECREASE	D	-37	-37	-31	-43	-31	-41	-32	-38	-25	-46	-38	-40	-34
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	17%	12%	22%	19%	26%	14%	17%	19%	22%	18%	19%	18%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	23%	27%	26%	22%	24%	26%	24%	20%	28%	25%	27%	24%
	No change	49%	57%	53%	45%	48%	42%	52%	56%	49%	45%	50%	49%	48%
	Increased somewhat	5%	1%	7%	3%	8%	7%	6%	1%	11%	2%	4%	4%	6%
	Increased significantly	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	(Not sure)	1%	1%		2%	0%			1%		2%	1%	0%	2%
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	40%	39%	47%	41%	50%	40%	41%	38%	50%	44%	45%	42%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%	3%	8%	5%	10%	9%	8%	3%	13%	4%	6%	5%	8%
	No change	49%	57%	53%	45%	48%	42%	52%	56%	49%	45%	50%	49%	48%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	55	61	-8	-2	17	65	49	14	-8	16	16	43
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	74%	79%	45%	49%	58%	80%	72%	57%	45%	57%	57%	69%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat likely	25%	15%	12%	39%	37%	23%	14%	17%	31%	38%	29%	30%	17%
YEAR	Not very likely	7%	1%	6%	11%	12%	9%	1%	4%	8%	13%	10%	8%	6%
	Not at all likely	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	10%	1%	2%	5%	3%	2%	3%	4%
	(Don't know)	3%	8%	2%	3%	0%		4%	5%		2%	2%	2%	5%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	74%	79%	45%	49%	58%	80%	72%	57%	45%	57%	57%	69%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	18%	19%	52%	51%	42%	16%	23%	43%	53%	41%	41%	26%
YEAR	Not likely	11%	3%	7%	14%	14%	19%	2%	6%	12%	16%	12%	11%	10%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		27	62	55	4	-2	14	67	48	14	-5	24	26	29
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	80%	77%	49%	49%	55%	83%	73%	57%	45%	61%	62%	63%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Somewhat likely	27%	16%	16%	35%	41%	23%	15%	20%	36%	34%	27%	27%	26%
YEAR	Not very likely	6%		4%	9%	7%	16%	1%	2%	6%	12%	7%	6%	5%
	Not at all likely	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%		3%	1%	4%	3%	3%	2%
	(Don't know)	2%	2%	1%	5%	0%	4%	1%	2%		4%	2%	2%	3%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	80%	77%	49%	49%	55%	83%	73%	57%	45%	61%	62%	63%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	18%	22%	45%	51%	41%	16%	25%	43%	50%	37%	36%	34%
YEAR	Not likely	8%	2%	6%	10%	10%	18%	1%	4%	7%	16%	10%	9%	8%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 106 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE GEN	D IN BERI IDER	(ELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RACI	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
INCREASED - DECREASE		-37	-34	-44	-22	-41	-30	-55	-47	-26	-32	-31	-29	-32	-57	-29	3
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	17%	18%	14%	21%	15%	28%	23%	13%	16%	17%	13%	14%	33%	5%	4%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	24%	29%	24%	23%	22%	29%	29%	25%	20%	22%	23%	23%	28%	28%	9%
	No change	49%	51%	48%	44%	51%	54%	41%	43%	48%	60%	52%	56%	58%	34%	59%	69%
	Increased somewhat	5%	5%	3%	15%	1%	6%		2%	10%	3%	6%	6%	4%	3%	4%	12%
	Increased significantly	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%
	(Not sure)	1%		1%	2%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	41%	47%	38%	44%	37%	57%	52%	38%	35%	39%	36%	36%	61%	34%	14%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%	7%	4%	16%	3%	8%	2%	4%	12%	4%	8%	8%	4%	4%	5%	16%
	No change	49%	51%	48%	44%	51%	54%	41%	43%	48%	60%	52%	56%	58%	34%	59%	69%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	28	7	61	32	42	-15	2	47	39	24	58	44	-3	45	71
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Very likely	61%	64%	52%	77%	64%	70%	40%	50%	73%	69%	61%	77%	71%	47%	71%	84%
YEAR	Somewhat likely	25%	29%	32%	9%	21%	21%	33%	35%	18%	22%	29%	13%	20%	32%	22%	9%
ILAK	Not very likely	7%	5%	10%	2%	8%	5%	18%	13%	4%	5%	6%	4%	6%	12%	4%	2%
	Not at all likely	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%		3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	6%		2%
	(Don't know)	3%		3%	6%	4%	2%	5%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Very likely	61%	64%	52%	77%	64%	70%	40%	50%	73%	69%	61%	77%	71%	47%	71%	84%
YEAR	Somewhat /not likely	35%	36%	45%	17%	32%	28%	55%	48%	25%	29%	38%	19%	27%	50%	26%	13%
	Not likely	11%	7%	13%	8%	11%	7%	22%	13%	7%	7%	8%	6%	8%	18%	4%	4%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		27	35	17	45	19	48	-29	-1	52	46	35	59	39	-7	50	81
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Very likely	63%	68%	57%	72%	57%	73%	34%	49%	76%	72%	67%	79%	69%	45%	74%	90%
YEAR	Somewhat likely	27%	29%	26%	22%	29%	19%	44%	44%	21%	17%	23%	16%	24%	36%	22%	9%
	Not very likely	6%	3%	9%	5%	5%	4%	16%	4%	2%	6%	6%	3%	4%	11%	2%	
	Not at all likely	3%	1%	5%	40/	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	5%	1%	40/
40 ADLE TO DAY FOR	(Don't know)	2%	000/	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	400/	700/	2%	2%	2%	000/	4%	1%	1%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Very likely	63%	68%	57%	72%	57%	73%	34%	49%	76%	72%	67%	79%	69%	45%	74%	90%
YEAR	Somewhat /not likely	35%	32%	40%	27%	39%	25%	62%	51%	24%	26%	32%	19%	31%	52%	24%	9%
	Not likely	8%	3%	14%	5%	9%	6%	19%	6%	3%	9%	9%	4%	7%	16%	2%	75
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 107 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			INC	SEHOLD OME GED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
INCREASED - DECREASE	D	-37	-100	0	-20	-69	-85	-20	-66	-36	-42	-37	-34	-42	-38	-39	-38	-29
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	42%		4%	45%	64%	6%	39%	18%	19%	18%	18%	18%	18%	20%	19%	11%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	58%		23%	28%	21%	22%	32%	23%	31%	27%	22%	31%	24%	23%	28%	25%
	No change	49%		100%	65%	23%	15%	63%	25%	51%	42%	47%	51%	44%	53%	51%	44%	54%
	Increased somewhat	5%			6%	2%		6%	2%	4%	5%	6%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	7%
	Increased significantly	2%			1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%		0%	3%	
	(Not sure)	1%			1%	0%		1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	3%
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	100%		27%	73%	85%	28%	71%	42%	50%	45%	41%	49%	42%	43%	47%	36%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%			7%	4%		8%	4%	6%	8%	7%	7%	7%	4%	4%	8%	7%
	No change	49%		100%	65%	23%	15%	63%	25%	51%	42%	47%	51%	44%	53%	51%	44%	54%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	-22	66	100	-100	-100	78	-63	27	23	23	25	31	15	23	26	31
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	37%	82%	100%			88%	18%	62%	60%	58%	61%	64%	54%	61%	62%	61%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT YEAR	Somewhat likely	25%	39%	13%		70%		7%	55%	25%	27%	23%	26%	25%	22%	25%	27%	21%
YEAR	Not very likely	7%	15%	2%		21%	71%	1%	19%	8%	7%	3%	8%	6%	8%	9%	7%	5%
	Not at all likely	3%	5%	1%		9%	29%	1%	7%	2%	3%	8%	2%	2%	9%	4%	2%	4%
	(Don't know)	3%	3%	2%				3%	2%	3%	3%	7%	3%	2%	8%	2%	2%	9%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	37%	82%	100%			88%	18%	62%	60%	58%	61%	64%	54%	61%	62%	61%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	59%	16%		100%	100%	9%	81%	35%	37%	35%	36%	33%	39%	37%	36%	30%
YEAR	Not likely	11%	20%	3%		30%	100%	2%	26%	11%	10%	12%	10%	9%	17%	13%	9%	9%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		27	-17	63	79	-63	-73	100	-100	29	27	19	26	32	18	23	29	33
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	40%	81%	89%	17%	13%	100%		64%	62%	55%	63%	65%	54%	61%	63%	63%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR	Somewhat likely	27%	39%	17%	10%	57%	26%		76%	27%	25%	27%	30%	23%	23%	30%	25%	23%
TEAR	Not very likely	6%	13%	0%		16%	41%		16%	6%	7%	1%	6%	7%	1%	7%	5%	3%
	Not at all likely	3%	5%	1%		7%	19%		7%	1%	3%	7%	1%	3%	11%	1%	3%	4%
	(Don't know)	2%	4%	1%	1%	4%	2%			1%	2%	10%	1%	2%	11%	1%	3%	6%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	40%	81%	89%	17%	13%	100%		64%	62%	55%	63%	65%	54%	61%	63%	63%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT YEAR	Somewhat /not likely	35%	57%	18%	10%	80%	86%		100%	35%	35%	35%	37%	33%	35%	38%	34%	31%
TEAK	Not likely	8%	18%	1%		23%	60%		24%	8%	10%	9%	7%	10%	12%	8%	9%	8%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 108 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	-
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
INCREASED - DECREASE	D	-37	-36	-39	-40	-37	-42	-36	-47	-25
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME CHANGED BY COVID-19	Decreased significantly	18%	18%	20%	15%	18%	24%	18%	23%	11%
	Decreased somewhat	25%	25%	26%	29%	25%	25%	24%	31%	21%
	No change	49%	49%	47%	48%	49%	42%	51%	39%	61%
	Increased somewhat	5%	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	5%	4%
	Increased significantly	2%	2%	2%		2%	1%	1%	2%	3%
	(Not sure)	1%	1%		5%	1%		1%		1%
8.HOUSEHOLD INCOME	Decreased	44%	43%	46%	44%	44%	50%	42%	54%	32%
CHANGED BY COVID-19	Increased	6%	7%	7%	4%	6%	8%	6%	7%	6%
	No change	49%	49%	47%	48%	49%	42%	51%	39%	61%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		26	27	30	15	24	27	27	24	25
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	62%	63%	54%	60%	62%	62%	61%	60%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat likely	25%	25%	23%	26%	28%	21%	25%	27%	21%
YEAR	Not very likely	7%	8%	5%	8%	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%
	Not at all likely	3%	2%	5%	5%	2%	6%	3%	3%	7%
	(Don't know)	3%	2%	4%	7%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%
9.ABLE TO PAY RENT	Very likely	61%	62%	63%	54%	60%	62%	62%	61%	60%
OR MORTGAGE NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	36%	33%	39%	37%	35%	35%	37%	35%
YEAR	Not likely	11%	10%	11%	14%	9%	14%	10%	10%	14%
VERY - LESS LIKELY		27	25	35	26	25	33	27	32	17
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	62%	66%	58%	61%	66%	63%	64%	57%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Somewhat likely	27%	29%	22%	22%	29%	24%	28%	24%	29%
YEAR	Not very likely	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	8%
	Not at all likely	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	(Don't know)	2%	1%	3%	9%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%
10.ABLE TO PAY FOR	Very likely	63%	62%	66%	58%	61%	66%	63%	64%	57%
BASIC NEEDS NEXT	Somewhat /not likely	35%	37%	31%	32%	37%	33%	36%	32%	40%
YEAR	Not likely	8%	8%	9%	10%	8%	9%	8%	8%	11%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 109 of 239

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
PURSUE OTHER GOALS OUTBREAK	- SOLELY CORONAVIRUS	54	47	58	59	47	58	58	63	55	40	50	68	43	49
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	23%	18%	17%	23%	19%	17%	15%	20%	25%	20%	15%	26%	20%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	70%	76%	77%	70%	77%	74%	78%	75%	65%	70%	83%	69%	70%
	(Neither)	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%			3%	1%	2%	2%		1%	2%
	(Not sure)	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	4%	9%	3%	4%	8%	9%	2%	3%	8%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 110 of 239

				RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Y		LOGY / NDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
PURSUE OTHER GOALS - OUTBREAK	- SOLELY CORONAVIRUS	54	60	36	53	64	71	48	33	58	46	70	45	56	54	61
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	17%	26%	21%	14%	13%	22%	29%	18%	23%	13%	24%	18%	21%	17%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	77%	62%	75%	79%	84%	71%	62%	77%	69%	83%	69%	74%	75%	77%
	(Neither)	1%	1%		1%	1%	0%	2%		1%	3%	1%		3%	1%	1%
	(Not sure)	6%	4%	11%	3%	5%	3%	5%	9%	5%	4%	2%	7%	5%	3%	6%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 111 of 239

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /	ENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
PURSUE OTHER GOALS OUTBREAK			54	51	55	57	54	59	52	58	61	59	51	50	53	61
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	20%	21%	19%	17%	20%	17%	20%	18%	17%	17%	20%	23%	19%	16%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	74%	72%	74%	74%	74%	76%	72%	76%	78%	76%	72%	72%	72%	76%
	(Neither)	1%			1%	3%	0%		2%	1%		1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	(Not sure)	6%	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%	7%	5%	6%	5%	6%	4%	7%	7%
1	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 112 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDEI	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
PURSUE OTHER GOALS OUTBREAK	- SOLELY CORONAVIRUS	54	56	65	47	61	42	41	70	43	54	42	62	58	61
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	18%	14%	21%	16%	25%	27%	14%	26%	20%	22%	16%	17%	16%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	74%	79%	68%	77%	68%	68%	83%	70%	74%	64%	78%	75%	77%
	(Neither)	1%		2%	1%	2%		2%			1%	3%	2%	2%	
	(Not sure)	6%	9%	5%	10%	4%	7%	3%	3%	4%	5%	11%	5%	6%	7%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 113 of 239

			STATUS	DYMENT BEFORE ID-19	-	OYMENT			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	ratus	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
PURSUE OTHER GOALS OUTBREAK	SOLELY CORONAVIRUS	54	56	48	61	39	42	42	58	51	53	62	39	48	61
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	19%	22%	17%	25%	24%	27%	17%	23%	19%	15%	29%	23%	16%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	75%	70%	78%	64%	66%	69%	75%	74%	72%	78%	68%	71%	77%
	(Neither)	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%		1%	1%
	(Not sure)	6%	5%	7%	4%	9%	8%	3%	6%	4%	7%	6%	3%	6%	6%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 114 of 239

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER	_	LONG LIV BERKELE	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
PURSUE OTHER GOALS OUTBREAK	- SOLELY CORONAVIRUS	54	53	59	48	68	71	40	54	52	68	63	58	47
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	21%	17%	24%	11%	12%	28%	19%	20%	14%	15%	18%	22%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	74%	76%	72%	79%	83%	68%	73%	71%	82%	78%	76%	70%
	(Neither)	1%			1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(Not sure)	6%	5%	8%	3%	9%	5%	3%	8%	8%	3%	6%	5%	7%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 115 of 239

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY /		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP <i>/</i>	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
PURSUE OTHER GOALS OUTBREAK	- SOLELY CORONAVIRUS	54	46	68	50	45	57	67	48	53	60	65	50	54	51	55	58
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	23%	14%	21%	23%	18%	15%	25%	19%	16%	13%	21%	19%	22%	19%	14%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	69%	82%	71%	68%	75%	82%	73%	72%	77%	79%	71%	73%	74%	73%	73%
	(Neither)	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%			2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	3%
	(Not sure)	6%	7%	4%	6%	8%	6%	3%	2%	7%	5%	7%	5%	6%	4%	7%	10%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 116 of 239

			INC CHANC	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEEDS	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME PUBLIC H			MPREHE TH AND S	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
PURSUE OTHER GOALS OUTBREAK	- SOLELY CORONAVIRUS	54	57	48	55	50	42	53	54	59	47	35	61	51	27	62	51	35
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	19%	22%	18%	23%	27%	19%	21%	17%	22%	28%	17%	20%	34%	16%	21%	28%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	76%	70%	73%	73%	69%	72%	75%	77%	69%	63%	77%	71%	61%	78%	72%	63%
	(Neither)	1%	1%	1%	2%			2%			3%	3%		3%	1%		2%	1%
	(Not sure)	6%	5%	7%	7%	4%	5%	7%	4%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	4%	6%	5%	8%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 117 of 239

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
PURSUE OTHER GOALS OUTBREAK	- SOLELY CORONAVIRUS	54	64	33	26	64	30	65	43	18
11.RESPONDING TO COVID-19 VS OTHER GOALS	Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	20%	15%	29%	30%	15%	31%	14%	25%	36%
	Pursue other goals as well	73%	79%	62%	56%	79%	60%	79%	68%	54%
	(Neither)	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	
	(Not sure)	6%	5%	5%	12%	5%	5%	5%	6%	10%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 118 of 239

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	40%	58%	47%	55%	46%	53%	40%	57%	53%	32%	59%	50%	58%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	40%	52%	52%	41%	63%	55%	32%	43%	40%	44%	57%	34%	47%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	34%	36%	35%	36%	39%	30%	35%	33%	38%	37%	31%	29%	40%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	25%	38%	28%	36%	29%	30%	25%	34%	38%	22%	34%	28%	42%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	24%	37%	27%	36%	28%	29%	24%	35%	38%	21%	32%	28%	42%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	79%	88%	85%	83%	90%	85%	78%	79%	87%	77%	93%	82%	84%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	74%	85%	83%	78%	90%	80%	73%	79%	76%	76%	87%	71%	82%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	65%	75%	68%	73%	69%	69%	66%	65%	79%	63%	74%	68%	77%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	68%	72%	65%	76%	69%	64%	60%	70%	80%	64%	66%	74%	77%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	66%	67%	67%	67%	71%	65%	63%	63%	70%	69%	63%	61%	72%
TOTAL		500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 119 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	DLOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ	-	OGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	52%	46%	45%	58%	47%	58%	52%	54%	36%	58%	49%	36%	43%	62%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	50%	38%	46%	53%	55%	46%	49%	49%	40%	55%	43%	40%	42%	53%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	38%	28%	35%	39%	37%	39%	31%	40%	20%	48%	31%	19%	40%	40%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	34%	23%	28%	37%	28%	39%	24%	34%	25%	36%	33%	23%	29%	39%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	32%	29%	27%	36%	27%	37%	27%	35%	21%	37%	32%	24%	27%	40%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	87%	79%	83%	90%	87%	87%	86%	87%	76%	91%	82%	72%	82%	90%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	83%	74%	79%	86%	85%	82%	81%	82%	72%	90%	73%	72%	77%	86%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	73%	63%	66%	79%	71%	75%	65%	74%	54%	78%	70%	55%	68%	80%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	71%	68%	69%	73%	65%	77%	68%	71%	63%	73%	70%	62%	68%	74%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	71%	56%	71%	71%	70%	72%	63%	72%	45%	83%	60%	48%	72%	72%
TOTAL		500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 120 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /G	ENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	Į l
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	42%	44%	52%	49%	55%	49%	42%	60%	35%	50%	54%	52%	51%	48%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	52%	56%	45%	46%	44%	63%	39%	47%	61%	49%	39%	45%	49%	48%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	35%	36%	35%	36%	35%	37%	34%	35%	30%	37%	34%	34%	34%	38%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	40%	39%	30%	31%	28%	47%	23%	35%	31%	27%	32%	35%	29%	31%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	36%	33%	31%	28%	35%	35%	23%	38%	23%	29%	34%	39%	24%	29%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	84%	87%	83%	86%	80%	90%	78%	87%	87%	84%	82%	84%	85%	84%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	83%	86%	79%	81%	77%	92%	73%	83%	92%	79%	78%	78%	83%	81%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	71%	68%	71%	67%	75%	70%	65%	77%	67%	68%	75%	78%	64%	65%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	77%	73%	69%	68%	69%	71%	66%	71%	65%	65%	73%	73%	68%	68%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	71%	71%	66%	62%	69%	72%	65%	65%	68%	66%	65%	67%	65%	70%
TOTAL		500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 121 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL E	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDEI	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	43%	53%	53%	53%	47%	53%	56%	46%	56%	37%	62%	35%	58%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	44%	51%	53%	45%	34%	48%	57%	40%	49%	42%	53%	37%	54%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	37%	39%	42%	27%	28%	38%	39%	29%	39%	33%	32%	42%	36%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	27%	35%	31%	23%	29%	37%	39%	27%	41%	25%	32%	20%	40%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	31%	28%	28%	19%	30%	50%	38%	30%	46%	17%	28%	23%	34%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	80%	88%	91%	79%	83%	81%	89%	82%	85%	79%	91%	76%	90%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	81%	82%	84%	83%	75%	73%	88%	74%	80%	74%	89%	73%	87%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	67%	64%	62%	64%	74%	79%	83%	71%	84%	55%	71%	66%	66%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	67%	69%	70%	62%	68%	75%	78%	75%	71%	62%	71%	64%	73%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	70%	70%	66%	62%	62%	68%	72%	60%	72%	61%	66%	80%	62%
TOTAL		500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 122 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			6.EMPL0 STATUS COV	-	-	OYMENT : ORE COV			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	<b>TATUS</b>	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own v	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	49%	53%	47%	54%	50%	53%	50%	63%	41%	57%	57%	49%	52%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	48%	45%	44%	59%	35%	46%	48%	49%	40%	52%	53%	34%	59%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	34%	37%	34%	34%	38%	26%	38%	27%	36%	39%	25%	32%	39%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	32%	33%	31%	35%	36%	28%	33%	33%	26%	39%	31%	29%	34%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	29%	36%	31%	24%	38%	31%	32%	37%	25%	37%	32%	33%	31%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	85%	83%	84%	89%	82%	85%	84%	92%	80%	87%	89%	81%	86%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	79%	82%	79%	79%	76%	77%	81%	84%	76%	85%	79%	71%	88%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	71%	69%	71%	72%	73%	69%	71%	68%	63%	79%	63%	74%	68%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	71%	69%	72%	67%	72%	63%	72%	65%	70%	74%	61%	72%	67%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	65%	71%	66%	62%	71%	57%	70%	59%	69%	70%	56%	64%	70%
TOTAL		500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 123 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER		LONG LI\ BERKELE	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	46%	48%	60%	50%	46%	40%	56%	42%	59%	50%	49%	53%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	30%	40%	64%	57%	56%	30%	37%	51%	64%	55%	50%	43%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	33%	36%	37%	39%	43%	29%	36%	41%	36%	37%	35%	37%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	27%	31%	41%	28%	31%	20%	35%	28%	39%	31%	29%	38%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	37%	34%	42%	23%	25%	23%	41%	26%	33%	30%	30%	35%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	78%	84%	89%	84%	85%	78%	84%	80%	91%	84%	84%	85%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	68%	76%	89%	88%	86%	64%	76%	82%	92%	82%	80%	80%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	80%	75%	79%	67%	53%	69%	78%	63%	73%	67%	68%	75%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	74%	72%	71%	67%	63%	70%	73%	65%	69%	66%	67%	74%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	64%	73%	69%	71%	68%	64%	65%	67%	71%	70%	67%	65%
TOTAL		500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 124 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE GEN	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP <i>/</i>	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	38%	58%	46%	58%	50%	46%	46%	40%	57%	47%	52%	47%	55%	45%	47%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	43%	54%	34%	48%	44%	62%	43%	38%	48%	55%	34%	40%	54%	43%	35%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	34%	34%	33%	39%	37%	45%	31%	32%	42%	37%	37%	31%	35%	32%	46%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	23%	34%	28%	44%	28%	47%	33%	26%	30%	26%	30%	24%	37%	27%	26%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	22%	35%	28%	40%	31%	34%	32%	25%	35%	27%	34%	32%	37%	28%	24%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	78%	89%	80%	88%	82%	88%	88%	78%	86%	84%	81%	80%	88%	82%	77%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	75%	85%	71%	85%	79%	87%	81%	76%	82%	83%	76%	73%	82%	78%	79%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	63%	72%	67%	79%	71%	85%	69%	69%	73%	68%	74%	73%	76%	67%	62%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	65%	69%	74%	75%	68%	79%	69%	68%	67%	64%	71%	63%	73%	69%	64%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	67%	67%	62%	68%	69%	69%	70%	66%	71%	69%	68%	61%	70%	61%	73%
TOTAL		500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 125 of 239

						TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			OMPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	52%	50%	47%	55%	53%	50%	50%	57%	38%	41%	58%	38%	57%	59%	42%	50%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	53%	43%	41%	58%	67%	42%	55%	52%	37%	40%	54%	37%	45%	56%	40%	42%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	36%	34%	32%	40%	42%	36%	35%	39%	28%	28%	39%	31%	29%	41%	31%	33%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	38%	29%	29%	37%	36%	29%	37%	38%	21%	21%	40%	22%	27%	39%	27%	28%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	33%	31%	31%	33%	37%	32%	32%	38%	21%	19%	37%	24%	27%	36%	28%	29%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	86%	83%	81%	90%	92%	82%	88%	91%	70%	74%	93%	72%	81%	92%	76%	87%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	83%	76%	77%	86%	93%	77%	85%	87%	66%	72%	87%	70%	81%	88%	72%	82%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	71%	71%	69%	72%	76%	68%	75%	76%	61%	59%	76%	64%	66%	78%	65%	67%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	73%	68%	69%	70%	63%	69%	70%	77%	55%	60%	78%	60%	65%	78%	62%	72%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	66%	67%	65%	70%	67%	66%	69%	73%	53%	63%	76%	56%	60%	76%	60%	63%
TOTAL		500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 126 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
EXTREMELY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	50%	55%	34%	51%	56%	25%	55%	40%	51%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	47%	52%	32%	48%	51%	28%	52%	39%	40%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	35%	40%	24%	29%	42%	8%	45%	16%	31%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	32%	38%	16%	26%	35%	17%	35%	29%	24%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	32%	38%	16%	19%	36%	19%	34%	30%	22%
EXTREMELY /VERY	12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	84%	89%	69%	85%	89%	61%	90%	72%	85%
	12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	80%	86%	61%	78%	84%	62%	85%	70%	79%
	12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	70%	80%	42%	63%	74%	57%	77%	59%	65%
	12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	70%	75%	54%	68%	72%	61%	72%	67%	65%
	12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	67%	76%	39%	60%	78%	22%	82%	38%	60%
TOTAL		500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 127 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GENDI	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	32	36	35	35	42	32	26	28	42	40	26	21	45
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	34%	36%	35%	36%	39%	30%	35%	33%	38%	37%	31%	29%	40%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	32%	31%	32%	32%	32%	35%	28%	30%	33%	32%	32%	32%	31%
	Somewhat important	22%	20%	25%	25%	20%	21%	28%	26%	19%	21%	18%	32%	23%	18%
	Not too important	6%	7%	4%	5%	7%	5%	3%	6%	8%	5%	6%	4%	10%	4%
	Not important at all	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	5%	8%	2%	6%	1%	6%	4%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%		2%	1%	1%			2%
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	66%	67%	67%	67%	71%	65%	63%	63%	70%	69%	63%	61%	72%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	34%	31%	32%	32%	29%	33%	37%	35%	29%	29%	37%	39%	26%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	37	45	32	52	41	30	22	41	61	29	34	48	55
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	25%	38%	28%	36%	29%	30%	25%	34%	38%	22%	34%	28%	42%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Very important	38%	43%	33%	37%	39%	40%	34%	35%	36%	42%	42%	32%	46%	35%
RESPONSE	Somewhat important	24%	26%	23%	28%	21%	23%	29%	34%	25%	17%	29%	27%	24%	18%
	Not too important	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	6%	4%	4%	1%	5%	3%	1%	3%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%			1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%		1%	2%	2%		1%
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	68%	72%	65%	76%	69%	64%	60%	70%	80%	64%	66%	74%	77%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	31%	27%	33%	24%	28%	34%	39%	30%	19%	34%	32%	26%	22%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	30	51	37	47	38	38	32	32	59	26	47	36	55
12c.WILDFIRE	Extremely important	32%	24%	37%	27%	36%	28%	29%	24%	35%	38%	21%	32%	28%	42%
PREVENTION	Very important	39%	41%	38%	41%	37%	41%	40%	41%	30%	42%	42%	42%	40%	34%
	Somewhat important	23%	27%	20%	26%	20%	24%	27%	27%	24%	18%	29%	24%	24%	18%
	Not too important	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	8%	1%	6%	3%	6%	3%
	Not important at all	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%		2%	1%	2%	3%		1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%	0%	1%			2%
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	65%	75%	68%	73%	69%	69%	66%	65%	79%	63%	74%	68%	77%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	35%	24%	31%	26%	30%	31%	33%	33%	20%	37%	26%	32%	22%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 128 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / NDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	iY		OGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	43	15	41	43	40	45	27	46	-8	67	21	-2	45	45
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	38%	28%	35%	39%	37%	39%	31%	40%	20%	48%	31%	19%	40%	40%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	33%	29%	36%	32%	33%	33%	32%	32%	25%	35%	29%	29%	33%	32%
	Somewhat important	22%	21%	28%	18%	23%	22%	19%	30%	21%	30%	13%	29%	29%	18%	23%
	Not too important	6%	5%	8%	7%	4%	4%	6%	5%	4%	14%	2%	7%	14%	5%	3%
	Not important at all	4%	3%	6%	5%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	9%	1%	3%	7%	3%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	0%	2%		1%		1%		1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	71%	56%	71%	71%	70%	72%	63%	72%	45%	83%	60%	48%	72%	72%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	29%	41%	29%	28%	30%	27%	37%	27%	53%	16%	39%	50%	27%	27%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	43	36	38	48	32	55	37	44	28	48	40	25	37	49
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	34%	23%	28%	37%	28%	39%	24%	34%	25%	36%	33%	23%	29%	39%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Very important	38%	37%	44%	41%	36%	37%	38%	44%	37%	38%	37%	37%	38%	40%	35%
RESPONSE	Somewhat important	24%	24%	25%	26%	22%	28%	20%	26%	23%	31%	22%	24%	32%	27%	20%
	Not too important	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	3%	1%		1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	71%	68%	69%	73%	65%	77%	68%	71%	63%	73%	70%	62%	68%	74%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	28%	32%	30%	25%	33%	22%	31%	27%	35%	25%	30%	37%	31%	24%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	47	29	33	58	43	50	29	49	11	57	40	13	37	59
12c.WILDFIRE	Extremely important	32%	32%	29%	27%	36%	27%	37%	27%	35%	21%	37%	32%	24%	27%	40%
PREVENTION	Very important	39%	41%	35%	40%	43%	44%	38%	38%	40%	33%	41%	38%	31%	41%	40%
	Somewhat important	23%	22%	25%	26%	19%	24%	21%	26%	21%	34%	19%	24%	34%	25%	18%
	Not too important	4%	4%	5%	6%	2%	4%	4%	6%	3%	8%	2%	4%	7%	4%	1%
	Not important at all	1%	0%	4%	1%		1%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%		0%	2%	0%	0%	3%		0%
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	73%	63%	66%	79%	71%	75%	65%	74%	54%	78%	70%	55%	68%	80%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	26%	35%	33%	21%	28%	25%	35%	25%	43%	21%	30%	42%	32%	20%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 129 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	ı
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	41	44	32	24	39	48	31	31	36	33	30	33	30	43
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	35%	36%	35%	36%	35%	37%	34%	35%	30%	37%	34%	34%	34%	38%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	35%	36%	30%	26%	34%	35%	31%	30%	38%	30%	31%	32%	31%	32%
	Somewhat important	22%	21%	19%	24%	27%	21%	21%	22%	26%	22%	26%	22%	24%	25%	18%
	Not too important	6%	2%	2%	7%	7%	6%		8%	6%	2%	5%	8%	6%	5%	5%
	Not important at all	4%	6%	6%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	8%	2%	5%	3%	5%	5%
	(Don't know)	1%		2%	1%		1%	3%	1%	0%		1%	0%		1%	2%
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	71%	71%	66%	62%	69%	72%	65%	65%	68%	66%	65%	67%	65%	70%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	29%	27%	34%	38%	30%	25%	34%	34%	32%	33%	35%	33%	35%	27%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	55	47	39	38	39	43	33	44	32	32	46	48	37	36
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	40%	39%	30%	31%	28%	47%	23%	35%	31%	27%	32%	35%	29%	31%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Very important	38%	37%	34%	39%	37%	41%	24%	42%	37%	34%	38%	41%	38%	38%	37%
RESPONSE	Somewhat important	24%	19%	24%	25%	25%	25%	26%	28%	22%	30%	27%	22%	22%	25%	28%
	Not too important	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	1%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%
	Not important at all	1%			1%	1%	1%		1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	77%	73%	69%	68%	69%	71%	66%	71%	65%	65%	73%	73%	68%	68%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	22%	26%	30%	30%	30%	27%	33%	27%	33%	33%	26%	25%	31%	32%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	41	38	43	35	50	44	30	54	34	37	49	57	28	33
12c.WILDFIRE	Extremely important	32%	36%	33%	31%	28%	35%	35%	23%	38%	23%	29%	34%	39%	24%	29%
PREVENTION	Very important	39%	34%	35%	40%	39%	40%	35%	42%	39%	44%	40%	40%	39%	40%	36%
	Somewhat important	23%	22%	21%	24%	29%	20%	19%	27%	21%	24%	26%	21%	18%	32%	22%
	Not too important	4%	6%	8%	3%	2%	4%	7%	5%	1%	7%	3%	3%	3%	2%	9%
	Not important at all	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
	(Don't know)	1%		2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%			1%			1%	2%
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	71%	68%	71%	67%	75%	70%	65%	77%	67%	68%	75%	78%	64%	65%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	29%	30%	28%	32%	25%	27%	35%	23%	33%	31%	25%	22%	35%	33%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 130 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	I / GENDEI	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	42	43	34	24	23	36	44	20	44	22	34	62	27
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	37%	39%	42%	27%	28%	38%	39%	29%	39%	33%	32%	42%	36%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	33%	31%	25%	35%	34%	30%	33%	31%	33%	28%	34%	38%	27%
	Somewhat important	22%	16%	20%	25%	28%	23%	26%	22%	25%	23%	27%	24%	6%	27%
	Not too important	6%	7%	2%	4%	7%	11%	3%	4%	8%	5%	7%	4%	6%	4%
	Not important at all	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	7%		5%	4%	6%	5%
	(Don't know)	1%	2%	3%	2%								2%	3%	3%
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	70%	70%	66%	62%	62%	68%	72%	60%	72%	61%	66%	80%	62%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	28%	27%	32%	38%	38%	32%	28%	40%	28%	39%	32%	18%	35%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	34	37	42	27	39	51	58	50	46	29	41	27	46
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	27%	35%	31%	23%	29%	37%	39%	27%	41%	25%	32%	20%	40%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Very important	38%	41%	34%	39%	39%	39%	37%	39%	48%	30%	37%	38%	43%	33%
RESPONSE	Somewhat important	24%	31%	25%	25%	26%	24%	22%	17%	22%	22%	27%	24%	33%	23%
	Not too important	3%		7%	3%	6%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	6%	3%	2%	4%
	Not important at all	1%	1%			3%	3%			1%	1%		2%	2%	
	(Don't know)	1%			2%	3%	3%	1%	2%		4%	4%			
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	67%	69%	70%	62%	68%	75%	78%	75%	71%	62%	71%	64%	73%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	33%	31%	28%	35%	29%	24%	20%	25%	25%	34%	29%	36%	27%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	35	30	24	28	49	59	66	43	68	10	42	33	35
12c.WILDFIRE	Extremely important	32%	31%	28%	28%	19%	30%	50%	38%	30%	46%	17%	28%	23%	34%
PREVENTION	Very important	39%	37%	36%	33%	45%	45%	30%	45%	42%	38%	38%	43%	43%	32%
	Somewhat important	23%	24%	20%	34%	33%	22%	18%	13%	25%	12%	37%	29%	17%	24%
	Not too important	4%	7%	10%	2%		4%	1%	4%	3%	3%	4%		12%	5%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%		2%			1%	4%		3%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	3%	1%								1%	1%	3%
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	67%	64%	62%	64%	74%	79%	83%	71%	84%	55%	71%	66%	66%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	32%	34%	38%	36%	26%	21%	17%	29%	16%	45%	29%	33%	31%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 131 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			STATUS	OYMENT BEFORE (ID-19		OYMENT :			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	'ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	32	42	33	27	43	15	42	19	39	42	13	30	40
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	34%	37%	34%	34%	38%	26%	38%	27%	36%	39%	25%	32%	39%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	31%	34%	32%	29%	33%	31%	32%	32%	33%	32%	31%	32%	31%
	Somewhat important	22%	22%	22%	21%	27%	23%	27%	21%	28%	18%	23%	35%	23%	22%
	Not too important	6%	7%	3%	7%	5%	2%	8%	5%	8%	7%	3%	5%	7%	4%
	Not important at all	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	6%	3%	5%	5%	2%	3%	5%	3%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	65%	71%	66%	62%	71%	57%	70%	59%	69%	70%	56%	64%	70%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	34%	29%	33%	35%	28%	42%	29%	40%	31%	28%	43%	35%	29%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	41	42	43	35	46	27	45	31	41	50	22	45	37
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	32%	33%	31%	35%	36%	28%	33%	33%	26%	39%	31%	29%	34%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Very important	38%	38%	37%	40%	32%	37%	35%	39%	32%	44%	35%	30%	43%	34%
RESPONSE	Somewhat important	24%	25%	22%	24%	30%	21%	33%	22%	31%	23%	20%	37%	24%	26%
	Not too important	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%	1%	1%	2%	1%			1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	0%	4%	0%		1%	1%	2%		1%	2%		1%	2%
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	71%	69%	72%	67%	72%	63%	72%	65%	70%	74%	61%	72%	67%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	29%	27%	28%	33%	26%	36%	26%	35%	29%	24%	39%	27%	31%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	43	38	42	47	46	38	43	36	27	59	27	48	38
12c.WILDFIRE	Extremely important	32%	29%	36%	31%	24%	38%	31%	32%	37%	25%	37%	32%	33%	31%
PREVENTION	Very important	39%	42%	33%	40%	48%	35%	38%	39%	31%	38%	42%	31%	40%	38%
	Somewhat important	23%	22%	26%	24%	18%	24%	24%	22%	28%	29%	16%	28%	21%	24%
	Not too important	4%	5%	3%	4%	6%	1%	5%	4%	3%	6%	3%	6%	5%	4%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%		0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%		2%
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	71%	69%	71%	72%	73%	69%	71%	68%	63%	79%	63%	74%	68%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	28%	31%	29%	25%	27%	31%	28%	32%	37%	20%	36%	26%	30%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 132 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	28	49	39	42	40	30	30	33	44	40	36	32
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	33%	36%	37%	39%	43%	29%	36%	41%	36%	37%	35%	37%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	31%	37%	32%	32%	25%	36%	29%	26%	34%	32%	33%	29%
	Somewhat important	22%	23%	16%	25%	22%	17%	18%	26%	22%	23%	21%	22%	24%
	Not too important	6%	8%	4%	4%	4%	4%	9%	5%	6%	2%	5%	5%	7%
	Not important at all	4%	5%	4%	1%	3%	6%	7%	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%	4%
	(Don't know)	1%		2%		0%	3%	2%	1%		2%	1%	1%	1%
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	64%	73%	69%	71%	68%	64%	65%	67%	71%	70%	67%	65%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	36%	24%	31%	29%	28%	34%	35%	33%	27%	30%	31%	34%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	50	43	44	36	26	41	48	33	40	35	36	49
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	27%	31%	41%	28%	31%	20%	35%	28%	39%	31%	29%	38%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Very important	38%	47%	40%	30%	39%	32%	50%	38%	37%	31%	35%	38%	37%
RESPONSE	Somewhat important	24%	19%	28%	25%	25%	28%	26%	21%	27%	24%	26%	26%	22%
	Not too important	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%	7%	2%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%
	Not important at all	1%	2%			2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	2%		2%	3%			2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	74%	72%	71%	67%	63%	70%	73%	65%	69%	66%	67%	74%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	24%	28%	27%	31%	37%	30%	25%	32%	29%	31%	31%	25%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	60	50	58	35	11	37	56	27	49	35	36	50
12c.WILDFIRE	Extremely important	32%	37%	34%	42%	23%	25%	23%	41%	26%	33%	30%	30%	35%
PREVENTION	Very important	39%	44%	42%	37%	44%	28%	45%	37%	38%	40%	37%	38%	39%
	Somewhat important	23%	17%	14%	16%	28%	31%	24%	19%	28%	21%	26%	25%	20%
	Not too important	4%	2%	11%	5%	1%	7%	8%	2%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%
	Not important at all	1%	1%			3%	4%		1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	(Don't know)	1%				1%	5%			1%	2%		1%	0%
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	80%	75%	79%	67%	53%	69%	78%	63%	73%	67%	68%	75%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	20%	25%	21%	32%	42%	31%	22%	36%	25%	33%	31%	25%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 133 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RACI	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP <i>i</i>	ACT OF C	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	36	34	24	36	39	37	39	33	42	40	37	23	41	24	46
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	34%	34%	33%	39%	37%	45%	31%	32%	42%	37%	37%	31%	35%	32%	46%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	33%	33%	29%	28%	32%	24%	38%	35%	29%	32%	31%	30%	36%	29%	27%
	Somewhat important	22%	19%	25%	22%	24%	21%	19%	24%	21%	21%	24%	18%	27%	22%	26%	15%
	Not too important	6%	6%	5%	11%	4%	5%	10%	3%	6%	4%	3%	7%	8%	5%	4%	11%
	Not important at all	4%	7%	2%	5%	3%	4%	3%	3%	6%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	7%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%			1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	67%	67%	62%	68%	69%	69%	70%	66%	71%	69%	68%	61%	70%	61%	73%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	32%	32%	38%	31%	30%	31%	30%	33%	29%	30%	31%	38%	29%	37%	27%
TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	32	40	48	50	38	58	39	37	37	31	43	29	47	39	30
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	23%	34%	28%	44%	28%	47%	33%	26%	30%	26%	30%	24%	37%	27%	26%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	Very important	38%	42%	35%	46%	30%	40%	32%	35%	42%	38%	38%	41%	40%	36%	41%	38%
KLOFONOL	Somewhat important	24%	29%	24%	22%	22%	25%	18%	25%	26%	26%	28%	23%	29%	21%	28%	27%
	Not too important	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%	4%	1%	6%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%	2%	2%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	2%	2%		1%	2%		2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	65%	69%	74%	75%	68%	79%	69%	68%	67%	64%	71%	63%	73%	69%	64%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	33%	29%	26%	24%	30%	21%	29%	30%	31%	33%	28%	34%	26%	30%	34%
TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	27	46	35	59	43	71	38	39	46	38	48	47	52	35	25
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely important	32%	22%	35%	28%	40%	31%	34%	32%	25%	35%	27%	34%	32%	37%	28%	24%
FREVENTION	Very important	39%	41%	37%	39%	39%	40%	52%	37%	44%	38%	41%	40%	41%	39%	39%	38%
	Somewhat important	23%	28%	23%	25%	17%	23%	15%	28%	23%	24%	25%	22%	21%	18%	26%	33%
	Not too important	4%	7%	2%	4%	3%	4%		2%	7%	1%	5%	3%	5%	4%	5%	3%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	1%	4%		1%		2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
40- WILDEIDE	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%		0%	1%			1%		1%		0%	1%	1%	
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	63%	72%	67%	79%	71%	85%	69%	69%	73%	68%	74%	73%	76%	67%	62%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	36%	26%	33%	20%	28%	15%	31%	30%	27%	31%	26%	26%	24%	32%	38%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 134 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			INC	SEHOLD OME SED BY 'ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	E TO PAY BASIC S NEXT EAR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE TH AND S	-
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	33	35	32	40	33	33	38	47	5	28	53	11	22	53	20	28
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	36%	34%	32%	40%	42%	36%	35%	39%	28%	28%	39%	31%	29%	41%	31%	33%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	30%	34%	33%	30%	25%	30%	34%	34%	24%	35%	37%	24%	31%	35%	29%	30%
	Somewhat important	22%	23%	23%	23%	21%	19%	22%	23%	20%	29%	22%	18%	28%	25%	18%	26%	25%
	Not too important	6%	5%	5%	7%	4%	5%	7%	4%	4%	7%	9%	4%	8%	5%	4%	7%	7%
	Not important at all	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	10%	4%	5%	2%	11%	4%	1%	9%	7%	1%	7%	3%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	1%			1%		1%		2%	1%		2%	2%		2%
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	66%	67%	65%	70%	67%	66%	69%	73%	53%	63%	76%	56%	60%	76%	60%	63%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	33%	32%	33%	30%	33%	33%	31%	26%	47%	35%	23%	44%	38%	23%	40%	35%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	47	38	40	42	25	40	41	55	14	22	56	22	33	57	25	46
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	38%	29%	29%	37%	36%	29%	37%	38%	21%	21%	40%	22%	27%	39%	27%	28%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL RESPONSE	Very important	38%	35%	39%	41%	33%	26%	40%	33%	39%	35%	39%	38%	37%	38%	40%	35%	43%
RESPUNSE	Somewhat important	24%	22%	26%	26%	23%	32%	25%	24%	20%	33%	31%	20%	32%	24%	19%	31%	18%
	Not too important	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	8%	4%	1%	6%	4%	1%	5%	6%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%		2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%	0%	2%	3%
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	73%	68%	69%	70%	63%	69%	70%	77%	55%	60%	78%	60%	65%	78%	62%	72%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	26%	30%	29%	28%	37%	29%	29%	22%	42%	37%	22%	38%	32%	21%	36%	26%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	44	43	39	44	52	37	50	52	23	18	52	29	33	57	29	35
12c.WILDFIRE	Extremely important	32%	33%	31%	31%	33%	37%	32%	32%	38%	21%	19%	37%	24%	27%	36%	28%	29%
PREVENTION	Very important	39%	38%	41%	39%	39%	39%	36%	43%	38%	40%	40%	38%	40%	39%	42%	36%	38%
	Somewhat important	23%	21%	24%	26%	20%	14%	27%	18%	19%	33%	29%	20%	29%	22%	18%	28%	24%
	Not too important	4%	5%	3%	3%	7%	8%	3%	5%	3%	5%	7%	3%	5%	8%	2%	6%	6%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%		5%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	2%		1%	0%		1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	71%	71%	69%	72%	76%	68%	75%	76%	61%	59%	76%	64%	66%	78%	65%	67%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	27%	29%	30%	28%	24%	31%	25%	24%	38%	41%	24%	35%	33%	21%	35%	31%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 135 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTION	MATE N FUND ID GAS		ATE ACTION	-
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	35	54	-22	22	56	-56	65	-24	20
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE	Extremely important	35%	40%	24%	29%	42%	8%	45%	16%	31%
AND SUSTAINABILITY	Very important	32%	37%	15%	31%	36%	14%	37%	21%	29%
	Somewhat important	22%	17%	38%	30%	18%	37%	13%	38%	35%
	Not too important	6%	4%	11%	6%	3%	20%	2%	14%	3%
	Not important at all	4%	2%	12%	2%	1%	21%	2%	10%	2%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%		2%	1%		1%	1%	
12a.CLIMATE CHANGE AND SUSTAINABILITY	Extremely /very important	67%	76%	39%	60%	78%	22%	82%	38%	60%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	32%	23%	61%	38%	21%	78%	17%	61%	40%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	41	51	9	40	46	23	46	34	32
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND	Extremely important	32%	38%	16%	26%	35%	17%	35%	29%	24%
EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Very important	38%	37%	37%	42%	37%	44%	38%	37%	41%
RESPONSE	Somewhat important	24%	21%	36%	21%	22%	32%	24%	25%	25%
	Not too important	3%	2%	6%	4%	3%	4%	2%	6%	5%
	Not important at all	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
12b.FIRE SAFETY AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL	Extremely /very important	70%	75%	54%	68%	72%	61%	72%	67%	65%
RESPONSE	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	24%	45%	28%	26%	38%	26%	32%	33%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	42	61	-16	27	47	16	55	19	30
12c.WILDFIRE	Extremely important	32%	38%	16%	19%	36%	19%	34%	30%	22%
PREVENTION	Very important	39%	42%	26%	44%	38%	38%	43%	29%	43%
	Somewhat important	23%	17%	43%	30%	22%	32%	19%	32%	23%
	Not too important	4%	2%	10%	5%	3%	9%	3%	6%	9%
	Not important at all	1%	0%	5%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	
12c.WILDFIRE PREVENTION	Extremely /very important	70%	80%	42%	63%	74%	57%	77%	59%	65%
	Somewhat /not too /not important	29%	19%	58%	36%	26%	42%	22%	40%	35%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 136 of 239

			GE	NDER	А	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	60	77	71	68	80	71	56	58	76	55	85	67	69
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	40%	58%	47%	55%	46%	53%	40%	57%	53%	32%	59%	50%	58%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Very important	34%	39%	30%	39%	29%	45%	32%	37%	21%	34%	45%	34%	32%	26%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	13%	9%	10%	12%	5%	10%	16%	16%	8%	14%	6%	12%	12%
	Not too important	3%	5%	1%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	7%		3%	2%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%		1%		1%	2%	1%		2%	1%
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	79%	88%	85%	83%	90%	85%	78%	79%	87%	77%	93%	82%	84%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	19%	12%	14%	15%	10%	14%	22%	20%	11%	22%	7%	15%	15%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	49	70	65	55	82	61	46	58	54	54	75	42	65
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	40%	52%	52%	41%	63%	55%	32%	43%	40%	44%	57%	34%	47%
HOUSING AND	Very important	33%	34%	33%	30%	36%	28%	25%	40%	36%	37%	32%	30%	37%	36%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	19%	13%	14%	16%	9%	15%	23%	13%	18%	17%	13%	20%	13%
	Not too important	3%	4%	1%	1%	4%		2%	2%	3%	5%	2%		7%	2%
	Not important at all	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%		3%	2%	5%		3%		2%	2%
	(Don't know)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%				1%	1%			1%
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	74%	85%	83%	78%	90%	80%	73%	79%	76%	76%	87%	71%	82%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	25%	15%	17%	22%	9%	20%	27%	21%	23%	23%	13%	29%	17%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 137 of 239

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Y		LOGY / NDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	75	59	67	80	75	74	71	74	53	83	65	45	66	80
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	52%	46%	45%	58%	47%	58%	52%	54%	36%	58%	49%	36%	43%	62%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Very important	34%	35%	33%	38%	32%	41%	29%	33%	33%	40%	33%	33%	36%	40%	28%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	9%	14%	11%	8%	9%	10%	10%	10%	16%	6%	14%	19%	13%	8%
	Not too important	3%	2%	5%	4%	1%	3%	2%	4%	2%	5%	2%	2%	6%	3%	1%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	1%		0%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	87%	79%	83%	90%	87%	87%	86%	87%	76%	91%	82%	72%	82%	90%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	12%	20%	16%	10%	12%	13%	14%	13%	23%	8%	17%	27%	16%	10%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	67	47	58	73	70	64	63	64	45	81	46	45	53	72
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	50%	38%	46%	53%	55%	46%	49%	49%	40%	55%	43%	40%	42%	53%
HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	Very important	33%	33%	36%	33%	34%	30%	36%	33%	33%	32%	36%	30%	32%	34%	33%
HOWELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	13%	21%	15%	12%	13%	13%	19%	13%	22%	9%	18%	21%	16%	12%
	Not too important	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%		3%	2%	1%	5%	2%	5%	1%
	Not important at all	2%	1%	3%	2%		1%	1%		2%	3%		4%	3%	2%	2%
	(Don't know)	0%	0%		1%		1%				1%			1%		
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	83%	74%	79%	86%	85%	82%	81%	82%	72%	90%	73%	72%	77%	86%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	16%	26%	21%	14%	15%	18%	19%	18%	27%	10%	27%	27%	23%	14%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 138 of 239

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /G	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	69	74	68	74	62	80	58	75	75	69	66	69	71	69
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	42%	44%	52%	49%	55%	49%	42%	60%	35%	50%	54%	52%	51%	48%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Very important	34%	43%	43%	31%	37%	26%	41%	37%	27%	52%	34%	28%	32%	35%	36%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	13%	11%	11%	8%	14%	10%	13%	9%	9%	10%	12%	11%	10%	11%
	Not too important	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%		5%	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
	Not important at all	1%			2%	1%	2%		2%	2%		2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	(Don't know)	1%			1%	1%	1%		2%	1%		0%	2%	1%	0%	1%
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	84%	87%	83%	86%	80%	90%	78%	87%	87%	84%	82%	84%	85%	84%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	16%	13%	16%	13%	18%	10%	20%	12%	13%	15%	16%	15%	14%	15%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	67	73	58	62	54	86	47	65	83	59	56	55	65	64
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	52%	56%	45%	46%	44%	63%	39%	47%	61%	49%	39%	45%	49%	48%
HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	Very important	33%	32%	30%	34%	35%	33%	30%	34%	35%	31%	30%	39%	32%	34%	34%
HOWELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	14%	12%	16%	13%	18%	7%	18%	14%	6%	17%	14%	17%	14%	13%
	Not too important	3%	2%	1%	3%	5%	2%		5%	2%	2%	1%	6%	4%	1%	2%
	Not important at all	2%			2%	2%	3%		3%	2%		2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	(Don't know)	0%	1%	1%	0%		1%	1%	1%			1%				1%
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	83%	86%	79%	81%	77%	92%	73%	83%	92%	79%	78%	78%	83%	81%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	16%	13%	21%	19%	23%	7%	26%	17%	8%	20%	22%	22%	17%	17%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 139 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDER	₹	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	60	77	83	60	69	62	77	65	71	58	81	54	79
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	43%	53%	53%	53%	47%	53%	56%	46%	56%	37%	62%	35%	58%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Very important	34%	37%	35%	39%	26%	36%	28%	33%	36%	29%	41%	29%	41%	32%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	13%	10%	6%	12%	7%	15%	11%	12%	10%	13%	8%	15%	9%
	Not too important	3%	5%		3%	4%	4%	4%		4%	2%	6%		6%	
	Not important at all	1%	2%	1%		4%	3%	1%		1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	(Don't know)	1%		2%		1%	3%			1%	1%	1%		2%	
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	80%	88%	91%	79%	83%	81%	89%	82%	85%	79%	91%	76%	90%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	20%	11%	9%	20%	14%	19%	11%	17%	14%	20%	9%	22%	10%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	64	64	68	66	49	46	75	49	60	49	79	48	75
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	44%	51%	53%	45%	34%	48%	57%	40%	49%	42%	53%	37%	54%
HOUSING AND	Very important	33%	37%	30%	31%	38%	40%	25%	31%	35%	31%	32%	37%	37%	32%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	11%	14%	16%	15%	17%	20%	12%	17%	17%	23%	8%	16%	11%
	Not too important	3%	1%	3%			5%	5%		7%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%
	Not important at all	2%	5%			2%	3%	1%		2%	2%	2%	1%	6%	
	(Don't know)	0%	1%	1%										2%	1%
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	81%	82%	84%	83%	75%	73%	88%	74%	80%	74%	89%	73%	87%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	17%	17%	16%	17%	25%	27%	12%	26%	20%	26%	11%	25%	12%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 140 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			6.EMPL0 STATUS COV			OYMENT S			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own v	'S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	70	68	68	77	67	71	69	84	61	74	78	64	72
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	49%	53%	47%	54%	50%	53%	50%	63%	41%	57%	57%	49%	52%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Very important	34%	36%	30%	36%	35%	33%	33%	34%	30%	39%	30%	32%	32%	34%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	10%	12%	11%	10%	12%	11%	10%	5%	11%	10%	9%	12%	11%
	Not too important	3%	2%	3%	3%		2%	2%	3%		5%	1%	1%	4%	2%
	Not important at all	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%		1%		2%	1%		1%	0%
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	85%	83%	84%	89%	82%	85%	84%	92%	80%	87%	89%	81%	86%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	14%	15%	15%	11%	15%	15%	15%	8%	18%	13%	11%	18%	14%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	58	65	58	58	53	53	62	68	52	70	57	41	76
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	48%	45%	44%	59%	35%	46%	48%	49%	40%	52%	53%	34%	59%
HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	Very important	33%	31%	37%	35%	19%	41%	31%	33%	35%	35%	32%	26%	37%	29%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	16%	13%	17%	14%	18%	18%	14%	13%	17%	13%	18%	21%	10%
	Not too important	3%	2%	3%	1%	6%	5%	1%	3%		5%	2%	2%	5%	1%
	Not important at all	2%	3%		3%	1%		4%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%
	(Don't know)	0%		1%			1%		1%		1%	0%			1%
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	79%	82%	79%	79%	76%	77%	81%	84%	76%	85%	79%	71%	88%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	21%	17%	21%	21%	23%	23%	19%	16%	24%	15%	21%	29%	11%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 141 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER	_	LONG LI\ BERKELE	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	59	67	77	69	70	57	69	60	82	69	68	72
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	46%	48%	60%	50%	46%	40%	56%	42%	59%	50%	49%	53%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Very important	34%	33%	35%	29%	35%	39%	38%	28%	37%	32%	34%	35%	32%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	14%	10%	9%	11%	14%	13%	11%	14%	8%	11%	11%	10%
	Not too important	3%	4%	5%	2%	2%		7%	2%	4%		3%	3%	2%
	Not important at all	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	(Don't know)	1%	2%			1%		1%	1%	1%		0%	0%	2%
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	78%	84%	89%	84%	85%	78%	84%	80%	91%	84%	84%	85%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	20%	16%	11%	15%	15%	21%	15%	20%	9%	15%	16%	13%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	35	53	78	76	75	28	52	65	85	64	62	60
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	30%	40%	64%	57%	56%	30%	37%	51%	64%	55%	50%	43%
HOUSING AND	Very important	33%	38%	36%	25%	31%	30%	34%	39%	31%	28%	27%	31%	37%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	23%	16%	10%	10%	10%	23%	20%	15%	6%	12%	14%	17%
	Not too important	3%	7%	3%		1%	1%	8%	2%	1%		3%	3%	2%
	Not important at all	2%	2%	5%	1%	1%		5%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	(Don't know)	0%					3%			1%	1%	0%	1%	
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	68%	76%	89%	88%	86%	64%	76%	82%	92%	82%	80%	80%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	32%	24%	11%	12%	11%	36%	24%	17%	7%	18%	19%	20%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 142 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE GEN	D IN BERI	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RACI	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	57	77	64	76	65	76	77	56	71	68	62	62	77	65	54
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	38%	58%	46%	58%	50%	46%	46%	40%	57%	47%	52%	47%	55%	45%	47%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Very important	34%	41%	31%	35%	30%	32%	42%	43%	37%	28%	36%	29%	34%	33%	37%	30%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	14%	9%	12%	9%	12%	11%	5%	14%	11%	11%	14%	13%	8%	12%	16%
	Not too important	3%	6%		3%	2%	3%		6%	5%	1%	3%	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%
	Not important at all	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%		2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%
	(Don't know)	1%	0%		3%	1%	1%			1%		0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	78%	89%	80%	88%	82%	88%	88%	78%	86%	84%	81%	80%	88%	82%	77%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	21%	11%	16%	11%	17%	12%	12%	21%	14%	16%	19%	19%	11%	17%	22%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	51	70	42	71	59	73	63	52	65	65	53	47	64	57	61
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	43%	54%	34%	48%	44%	62%	43%	38%	48%	55%	34%	40%	54%	43%	35%
HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	Very important	33%	32%	31%	37%	37%	36%	25%	38%	38%	34%	28%	42%	33%	28%	35%	44%
HOWELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	15%	13%	25%	12%	15%	13%	15%	17%	14%	13%	17%	20%	16%	15%	12%
	Not too important	3%	5%	1%	1%	2%	2%		3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%	4%
	Not important at all	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%			3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%
	(Don't know)	0%	1%	1%			0%		1%		1%		1%	1%			2%
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	75%	85%	71%	85%	79%	87%	81%	76%	82%	83%	76%	73%	82%	78%	79%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	24%	15%	29%	15%	20%	13%	18%	24%	17%	17%	23%	26%	18%	22%	18%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 143 of 239

			INC	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPOIT TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	73	67	64	79	84	64	77	83	41	51	86	46	64	85	52	76
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	52%	50%	47%	55%	53%	50%	50%	57%	38%	41%	58%	38%	57%	59%	42%	50%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Very important	34%	34%	33%	34%	35%	39%	31%	38%	35%	32%	33%	35%	35%	24%	33%	33%	37%
HEALTH EWERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	9%	13%	13%	8%	4%	12%	8%	7%	16%	21%	5%	17%	16%	6%	15%	11%
	Not too important	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%		4%	1%	1%	7%	1%	1%	6%		1%	6%	
	Not important at all	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	0%	5%		0%	3%	1%	0%	3%	
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	1%			1%	1%	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	86%	83%	81%	90%	92%	82%	88%	91%	70%	74%	93%	72%	81%	92%	76%	87%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	13%	16%	18%	10%	8%	17%	11%	9%	29%	23%	7%	26%	17%	7%	24%	11%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPOIT TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	67	54	55	72	85	55	71	73	32	46	74	39	65	77	44	66
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	53%	43%	41%	58%	67%	42%	55%	52%	37%	40%	54%	37%	45%	56%	40%	42%
HOUSING AND HOMELESS SERVICES	Very important	33%	31%	33%	36%	28%	25%	35%	30%	35%	29%	32%	33%	32%	36%	32%	32%	41%
HOWELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	13%	17%	17%	12%	5%	16%	13%	11%	21%	25%	10%	21%	17%	9%	21%	15%
	Not too important	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%		4%	1%	1%	6%	2%	2%	4%		1%	4%	1%
	Not important at all	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	0%	7%		1%	4%		1%	3%	
	(Don't know)	0%		1%	1%			1%		0%		1%	0%		2%	0%		1%
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	83%	76%	77%	86%	93%	77%	85%	87%	66%	72%	87%	70%	81%	88%	72%	82%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	17%	23%	22%	14%	7%	22%	15%	13%	34%	26%	13%	30%	17%	11%	28%	16%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 144 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	69	78	39	72	77	26	80	45	73
12d.IMPROVING	Extremely important	50%	55%	34%	51%	56%	25%	55%	40%	51%
RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Very important	34%	34%	35%	34%	33%	36%	34%	32%	34%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat important	11%	8%	19%	11%	8%	23%	6%	20%	13%
	Not too important	3%	2%	7%	2%	2%	8%	2%	5%	
	Not important at all	1%	1%	4%		1%	4%	1%	2%	
	(Don't know)	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	4%	1%	1%	2%
12d.IMPROVING RESPONSE TO PUBLIC	Extremely /very important	84%	89%	69%	85%	89%	61%	90%	72%	85%
HEALTH EMERGENCIES	Somewhat /not too /not important	15%	11%	30%	13%	11%	35%	9%	27%	13%
EXTREMELY /VERY IMPO TOO /NOT IMPORTANT	RTANT - SOMEWHAT /NOT	61	73	21	58	69	26	71	40	59
12e.AFFORDABLE	Extremely important	47%	52%	32%	48%	51%	28%	52%	39%	40%
HOUSING AND	Very important	33%	35%	29%	30%	34%	34%	33%	31%	38%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat important	15%	11%	27%	18%	13%	23%	13%	20%	14%
	Not too important	3%	1%	7%	2%	1%	9%	1%	4%	6%
	Not important at all	2%	1%	6%		2%	4%	1%	5%	
	(Don't know)	0%	0%		2%		1%		1%	2%
12e.AFFORDABLE HOUSING AND	Extremely /very important	80%	86%	61%	78%	84%	62%	85%	70%	79%
HOMELESS SERVICES	Somewhat /not too /not important	19%	13%	39%	20%	16%	36%	15%	30%	20%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 145 of 239

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
GENDER	Men	45%	100%		49%	41%	49%	49%	48%	45%	38%	100%		100%	
	Women	53%		100%	48%	59%	46%	46%	52%	55%	62%		100%		100%
	Non-binary/other	2%			4%		5%	5%							
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	57%		49%		49%	49%	48%			100%			
	Women <50	25%		48%	48%		46%	46%	52%				100%		
	Men 50+	19%	43%			41%				45%	38%			100%	
	Women 50+	28%		52%		59%				55%	62%				100%
AGE	Under 50	53%	57%	48%	100%		100%	100%	100%			100%	100%		
	50 & over	47%	43%	52%		100%				100%	100%			100%	100%
AGE	Under 30	22%	24%	19%	41%		100%					42%	40%		
	30 - 39	17%	18%	15%	32%			100%				32%	31%		
	40 - 49	14%	15%	14%	26%				100%			26%	29%		
	50 - 64	21%	21%	22%		45%				100%				49%	42%
	65 & over	26%	22%	31%		55%					100%			51%	58%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	10%	10%	19%		46%					18%	20%		
	25 - 29	12%	13%	9%	22%		54%					24%	20%		
	30 - 34	8%	8%	6%	14%			44%				14%	13%		
	35 - 39	9%	10%	9%	18%			56%				18%	18%		
	40 - 44	8%	9%	7%	15%				59%			16%	16%		
	45 - 49	6%	5%	6%	11%				41%			10%	13%		
	50 - 54	7%	8%	7%		15%				34%				19%	13%
	55 - 59	6%	4%	8%		13%				30%				10%	16%
	60 - 64	7%	9%	7%		16%				35%				21%	12%
	65 - 69	7%	8%	7%		15%					28%			18%	14%
	70 - 74	7%	6%	8%		15%					27%			13%	16%
	Over 74	12%	9%	15%		25%				·	45%			20%	28%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 146 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				RTY RATION	REGIST	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	SY		LOGY / NDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
GENDER	Men	45%	44%	53%	100%		46%	41%	55%	43%	50%	44%	41%	50%	100%	
	Women	53%	55%	44%		100%	50%	59%	40%	55%	50%	53%	57%	50%		100%
	Non-binary/other	2%	2%	3%			4%		4%	2%		3%	2%			
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	23%	37%	53%		46%		55%	24%	33%	23%	24%	34%	55%	
	Women <50	25%	25%	27%		46%	50%		40%	26%	26%	25%	27%	25%		47%
	Men 50+	19%	20%	16%	47%			41%		19%	18%	21%	18%	16%	45%	
	Women 50+	28%	30%	17%		54%		59%		29%	24%	28%	30%	25%		53%
AGE	Under 50	53%	50%	67%	53%	46%	100%		100%	52%	58%	52%	52%	59%	55%	47%
	50 & over	47%	50%	33%	47%	54%		100%		48%	42%	48%	48%	41%	45%	53%
AGE	Under 30	22%	19%	33%	21%	17%	39%		50%	22%	21%	20%	24%	19%	23%	20%
	30 - 39	17%	16%	19%	17%	14%	33%		29%	17%	18%	18%	15%	19%	18%	16%
	40 - 49	14%	14%	14%	15%	14%	28%		21%	13%	20%	13%	13%	21%	15%	12%
	50 - 64	21%	22%	20%	25%	21%		44%		21%	22%	21%	20%	23%	22%	20%
	65 & over	26%	28%	13%	22%	34%		56%		27%	19%	27%	28%	18%	23%	32%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	9%	17%	9%	9%	17%		26%	10%	12%	6%	13%	11%	9%	9%
	25 - 29	12%	11%	16%	12%	9%	22%		24%	13%	8%	14%	11%	8%	14%	11%
	30 - 34	8%	9%	3%	10%	7%	17%		4%	7%	8%	7%	7%	9%	7%	7%
	35 - 39	9%	8%	16%	7%	7%	15%		24%	10%	10%	11%	8%	9%	11%	8%
	40 - 44	8%	7%	11%	9%	6%	15%		17%	8%	10%	8%	8%	10%	9%	7%
	45 - 49	6%	6%	3%	6%	7%	13%		5%	5%	10%	5%	5%	11%	5%	5%
	50 - 54	7%	7%	9%	8%	6%		14%		7%	5%	6%	8%	4%	9%	6%
	55 - 59	6%	7%	3%	6%	9%		14%		6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	4%	8%
	60 - 64	7%	8%	8%	11%	6%		16%		7%	12%	8%	6%	13%	8%	6%
	65 - 69	7%	8%	2%	8%	9%		17%		8%	7%	7%	9%	6%	9%	8%
	70 - 74	7%	8%	3%	7%	9%		16%		7%	5%	6%	8%	6%	6%	8%
	Over 74	12%	12%	8%	8%	15%		24%		13%	7%	14%	11%	6%	8%	17%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 147 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	ON		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
GENDER	Men	45%	42%	41%	46%	47%	46%		100%		44%	50%	42%	46%	46%	44%
	Women	53%	56%	57%	52%	49%	54%	100%		100%	53%	46%	58%	53%	52%	53%
	Non-binary/other	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%				3%	4%		1%	2%	3%
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	25%	24%	27%	28%	26%		58%		44%	50%		20%	33%	27%
	Women <50	25%	25%	28%	25%	27%	22%	49%		48%	53%	46%		23%	30%	25%
	Men 50+	19%	17%	18%	19%	19%	20%		42%				42%	26%	13%	16%
	Women 50+	28%	31%	29%	27%	22%	31%	51%		52%			58%	31%	23%	29%
AGE	Under 50	53%	52%	53%	54%	59%	49%	49%	58%	48%	100%	100%		43%	64%	55%
	50 & over	47%	48%	47%	46%	41%	51%	51%	42%	52%			100%	57%	36%	45%
AGE	Under 30	22%	28%	33%	19%	27%	12%	29%	21%	16%	61%	35%		21%	34%	11%
	30 - 39	17%	13%	13%	18%	19%	18%	15%	21%	14%	23%	34%		11%	18%	25%
	40 - 49	14%	10%	8%	16%	13%	19%	5%	16%	17%	16%	30%		11%	13%	19%
	50 - 64	21%	16%	16%	22%	21%	23%	17%	23%	23%			48%	26%	14%	20%
	65 & over	26%	33%	30%	24%	20%	28%	34%	19%	29%			52%	30%	21%	25%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	16%	22%	7%	13%	1%	24%	9%	5%	42%	12%		11%	15%	3%
	25 - 29	12%	12%	10%	12%	14%	11%	5%	12%	11%	19%	23%		9%	19%	8%
	30 - 34	8%	3%	3%	9%	11%	8%	1%	9%	8%	5%	17%		6%	11%	7%
	35 - 39	9%	10%	10%	9%	8%	10%	14%	12%	7%	18%	17%		6%	7%	18%
	40 - 44	8%	7%	6%	9%	7%	11%	3%	10%	9%	11%	17%		4%	9%	14%
	45 - 49	6%	3%	3%	7%	6%	8%	2%	6%	8%	5%	13%		7%	4%	6%
	50 - 54	7%	4%	3%	8%	7%	10%	1%	9%	8%			18%	11%	3%	5%
	55 - 59	6%	6%	5%	6%	4%	9%	8%	5%	8%			14%	8%	7%	4%
	60 - 64	7%	6%	8%	7%	10%	5%	8%	9%	6%			16%	7%	4%	11%
	65 - 69	7%	9%	9%	7%	5%	8%	10%	7%	7%			14%	8%	7%	7%
	70 - 74	7%	8%	6%	7%	6%	8%	7%	6%	9%			15%	7%	6%	8%
	Over 74	12%	16%	15%	10%	9%	12%	16%	7%	14%			22%	15%	9%	9%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 148 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDEI	₹	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
GENDER	Men	45%	45%	42%	46%	48%	51%	38%	49%	100%		100%		100%	
	Women	53%	52%	54%	51%	52%	48%	60%	51%		100%		100%		100%
	Non-binary/other	2%	3%	3%	3%		1%	2%							
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	29%	26%	25%	41%	21%	9%	32%	43%		72%		63%	
	Women <50	25%	21%	28%	27%	30%	18%	22%	29%		42%		57%		46%
	Men 50+	19%	16%	16%	21%	7%	30%	29%	17%	57%		28%		37%	1
	Women 50+	28%	32%	26%	24%	22%	30%	39%	22%		58%		43%		54%
AGE	Under 50	53%	52%	58%	55%	71%	40%	33%	61%	43%	42%	72%	57%	63%	46%
	50 & over	47%	48%	42%	45%	29%	60%	67%	39%	57%	58%	28%	43%	37%	54%
AGE	Under 30	22%	10%	13%	20%	43%	16%	18%	31%	19%	22%	39%	26%	14%	8%
	30 - 39	17%	26%	23%	19%	18%	13%	4%	17%	12%	9%	20%	18%	26%	20%
	40 - 49	14%	16%	22%	17%	10%	11%	11%	12%	12%	11%	12%	13%	22%	18%
	50 - 64	21%	21%	20%	22%	6%	26%	34%	17%	29%	25%	13%	16%	18%	23%
	65 & over	26%	27%	22%	22%	23%	33%	33%	22%	28%	33%	15%	27%	19%	30%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	1%	6%	5%	24%	7%	9%	20%	10%	12%	18%	13%	3%	3%
	25 - 29	12%	9%	7%	15%	19%	8%	9%	12%	9%	10%	22%	13%	11%	5%
	30 - 34	8%	7%	6%	13%	12%	4%	4%	10%	8%	3%	11%	12%	6%	5%
	35 - 39	9%	19%	17%	6%	6%	9%		7%	5%	6%	9%	6%	20%	15%
	40 - 44	8%	7%	20%	12%	6%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%	11%	7%	15%	13%
	45 - 49	6%	9%	3%	4%	4%	6%	7%	9%	7%	7%	1%	7%	7%	5%
	50 - 54	7%	8%	3%	7%		11%	13%	9%	12%	11%	6%	1%	4%	6%
	55 - 59	6%	3%	4%	8%	5%	8%	12%	3%	8%	8%	2%	11%	1%	6%
	60 - 64	7%	10%	13%	7%	1%	7%	9%	5%	10%	5%	5%	4%	12%	11%
	65 - 69	7%	5%	9%	8%	5%	7%	10%	5%	11%	5%	6%	7%	3%	11%
	70 - 74	7%	11%	6%	5%	6%	5%	14%	2%	6%	8%	4%	8%	8%	10%
	Over 74	12%	11%	7%	9%	12%	21%	9%	15%	11%	19%	5%	13%	9%	10%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 149 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			STATUS	OYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT ORE COV		_	DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own y	'S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
GENDER	Men	45%	49%	38%	53%	34%	36%	41%	47%		100%		39%	45%	46%
	Women	53%	50%	59%	46%	64%	64%	58%	51%	100%		100%	59%	55%	51%
	Non-binary/other	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%		1%	2%				2%	0%	3%
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	31%	16%	34%	17%		26%	26%		55%		22%	16%	35%
	Women <50	25%	30%	17%	28%	34%		39%	20%	67%		39%	40%	15%	34%
	Men 50+	19%	18%	22%	19%	17%	36%	15%	21%		45%		17%	28%	11%
	Women 50+	28%	21%	42%	18%	31%	64%	19%	31%	33%		61%	20%	40%	17%
AGE	Under 50	53%	61%	36%	64%	52%		66%	48%	67%	55%	39%	63%	32%	72%
	50 & over	47%	39%	64%	36%	48%	100%	34%	52%	33%	45%	61%	37%	68%	28%
AGE	Under 30	22%	21%	23%	19%	29%		5%	27%	3%	29%	24%	2%	3%	39%
	30 - 39	17%	21%	9%	24%	11%		26%	14%	24%	16%	11%	26%	12%	22%
	40 - 49	14%	19%	4%	21%	13%		36%	7%	40%	11%	4%	35%	17%	11%
	50 - 64	21%	27%	10%	28%	23%	9%	27%	19%	29%	20%	19%	32%	29%	14%
	65 & over	26%	12%	54%	8%	25%	91%	7%	33%	4%	25%	42%	5%	39%	14%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	10%	11%	6%	23%		4%	12%	3%	12%	11%	1%	2%	17%
	25 - 29	12%	11%	13%	13%	5%		1%	15%		17%	12%	1%	1%	22%
	30 - 34	8%	9%	4%	10%	5%		4%	9%	3%	9%	7%	2%	3%	12%
	35 - 39	9%	12%	5%	14%	5%		22%	6%	21%	7%	4%	24%	9%	9%
	40 - 44	8%	12%	1%	13%	9%		21%	4%	20%	6%	3%	23%	8%	8%
	45 - 49	6%	7%	2%	8%	4%		15%	3%	20%	5%	1%	12%	9%	3%
	50 - 54	7%	10%	1%	12%	4%		11%	6%	10%	6%	6%	12%	10%	5%
	55 - 59	6%	9%	2%	9%	9%		11%	5%	16%	4%	5%	12%	7%	6%
	60 - 64	7%	8%	7%	7%	11%	9%	5%	8%	4%	9%	8%	8%	12%	3%
	65 - 69	7%	5%	11%	5%	8%	18%	2%	9%		8%	10%	2%	11%	4%
	70 - 74	7%	4%	13%	3%	7%	22%	1%	9%	2%	7%	11%	2%	10%	4%
	Over 74	12%	3%	30%	1%	9%	51%	4%	15%	2%	9%	20%	1%	18%	7%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 150 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER	-	LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
GENDER	Men	45%	46%	47%	44%	50%	40%	100%		100%		50%	49%	39%
	Women	53%	54%	53%	53%	48%	54%		100%		100%	46%	49%	60%
	Non-binary/other	2%		1%	3%	2%	6%					4%	3%	0%
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	9%	26%	32%	39%	32%	36%		76%		39%	36%	8%
	Women <50	25%	13%	19%	34%	36%	30%		27%		66%	36%	34%	11%
	Men 50+	19%	37%	21%	13%	10%	9%	64%		24%		11%	12%	31%
	Women 50+	28%	42%	34%	19%	12%	24%		73%		34%	10%	15%	50%
AGE	Under 50	53%	22%	45%	68%	78%	68%	36%	27%	76%	66%	79%	73%	19%
	50 & over	47%	78%	55%	32%	22%	32%	64%	73%	24%	34%	21%	27%	81%
AGE	Under 30	22%	3%	2%	41%	47%	24%	2%	3%	42%	36%	36%	30%	9%
	30 - 39	17%	4%	23%	19%	23%	24%	15%	9%	24%	19%	26%	24%	4%
	40 - 49	14%	15%	21%	8%	8%	20%	19%	16%	11%	11%	16%	19%	6%
	50 - 64	21%	33%	26%	19%	10%	13%	32%	27%	12%	17%	15%	16%	29%
	65 & over	26%	45%	29%	13%	12%	20%	31%	46%	12%	17%	6%	11%	52%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	3%		19%	21%	8%		3%	17%	17%	16%	13%	6%
	25 - 29	12%		2%	22%	26%	16%	2%		24%	19%	21%	17%	3%
	30 - 34	8%	3%	3%	9%	15%	12%	4%	2%	13%	10%	13%	11%	1%
	35 - 39	9%	1%	20%	10%	8%	11%	11%	6%	10%	8%	14%	13%	3%
	40 - 44	8%	3%	14%	5%	5%	15%	10%	7%	9%	7%	12%	11%	3%
	45 - 49	6%	12%	7%	2%	3%	4%	9%	9%	2%	4%	5%	7%	3%
	50 - 54	7%	13%	9%	9%	3%	1%	11%	9%	6%	4%	8%	7%	7%
	55 - 59	6%	8%	4%	9%	3%	4%	5%	9%	4%	8%	5%	5%	9%
	60 - 64	7%	13%	13%	1%	3%	8%	16%	9%	2%	5%	3%	5%	12%
	65 - 69	7%	9%	10%	6%	2%	3%	12%	10%	3%	5%	3%	5%	12%
	70 - 74	7%	11%	9%	1%	4%	8%	6%	14%	4%	4%	2%	3%	14%
	Over 74	12%	25%	9%	5%	6%	9%	13%	22%	4%	9%	1%	3%	26%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 151 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
GENDER	Men	45%	100%		100%		47%	29%	54%	100%		53%	42%	49%	44%	45%	49%
	Women	53%		100%		100%	52%	68%	43%		100%	44%	58%	50%	54%	53%	49%
	Non-binary/other	2%					1%	2%	3%			3%		1%	2%	2%	3%
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	75%		21%		24%	23%	47%	52%		53%		34%	24%	28%	28%
	Women <50	25%		70%		17%	20%	46%	36%		39%	44%		26%	27%	27%	16%
	Men 50+	19%	25%		79%		23%	6%	7%	48%			42%	15%	20%	18%	21%
	Women 50+	28%		30%		83%	31%	22%	8%		61%		58%	24%	27%	26%	33%
AGE	Under 50	53%	75%	70%	21%	17%	46%	72%	85%	52%	39%	100%		61%	53%	56%	47%
	50 & over	47%	25%	30%	79%	83%	54%	28%	15%	48%	61%		100%	39%	47%	44%	53%
AGE	Under 30	22%	30%	28%	11%	7%	15%	35%	47%	16%	13%	33%		14%	22%	22%	22%
	30 - 39	17%	27%	20%		6%	17%	16%	21%	21%	13%	37%		23%	17%	20%	10%
	40 - 49	14%	17%	21%	10%	4%	14%	21%	18%	15%	13%	30%		24%	14%	13%	15%
	50 - 64	21%	17%	16%	30%	28%	23%	16%	8%	25%	21%		43%	22%	20%	24%	14%
	65 & over	26%	8%	14%	49%	54%	31%	12%	6%	23%	39%		57%	17%	27%	20%	39%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	12%	13%	7%	5%	5%	15%	26%	4%	6%	12%		2%	11%	11%	6%
	25 - 29	12%	18%	15%	3%	2%	10%	20%	20%	12%	7%	22%		12%	11%	11%	16%
	30 - 34	8%	12%	10%		1%	7%	10%	12%	9%	6%	16%		7%	7%	10%	3%
	35 - 39	9%	15%	11%		5%	10%	5%	9%	12%	7%	21%		16%	10%	10%	7%
	40 - 44	8%	12%	12%	5%	2%	8%	12%	9%	9%	7%	17%		14%	8%	9%	8%
	45 - 49	6%	6%	9%	5%	2%	6%	10%	9%	6%	6%	13%		10%	7%	4%	7%
	50 - 54	7%	9%	5%	6%	8%	8%	6%	4%	8%	8%		15%	7%	8%	8%	3%
	55 - 59	6%	5%	5%	3%	13%	6%	8%	2%	5%	8%		12%	6%	7%	7%	1%
	60 - 64	7%	4%	6%	20%	7%	8%	2%	2%	12%	6%		16%	9%	6%	9%	10%
	65 - 69	7%	5%	4%	12%	12%	8%	6%	4%	7%	10%		16%	5%	7%	7%	10%
	70 - 74	7%	2%	5%	14%	14%	8%	4%		6%	10%		15%	5%	8%	5%	11%
	Over 74	12%	1%	6%	24%	28%	14%	2%	2%	10%	19%		26%	7%	13%	8%	18%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 152 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			INC CHANG	SEHOLD OME GED BY (ID-19		TO PAY F		FOR NEED	E TO PAY BASIC S NEXT EAR		AND EME			AND EME			OMPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
GENDER	Men	45%	41%	46%	50%	38%	31%	50%	39%	47%	49%	29%	49%	45%	26%	49%	45%	32%
	Women	53%	55%	54%	49%	59%	61%	49%	59%	51%	49%	69%	49%	53%	72%	48%	54%	65%
	Non-binary/other	2%	4%	1%	1%	4%	7%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	22%	28%	27%	26%	19%	27%	26%	28%	29%	10%	30%	24%	7%	30%	26%	13%
	Women <50	25%	29%	22%	19%	36%	43%	19%	36%	26%	21%	28%	26%	24%	30%	27%	24%	25%
	Men 50+	19%	19%	18%	23%	12%	12%	23%	13%	19%	20%	19%	18%	21%	19%	19%	20%	19%
	Women 50+	28%	26%	31%	30%	22%	19%	29%	23%	25%	28%	41%	24%	30%	42%	21%	30%	41%
AGE	Under 50	53%	55%	51%	47%	66%	69%	47%	64%	56%	51%	40%	58%	49%	39%	60%	51%	40%
	50 & over	47%	45%	49%	53%	34%	31%	53%	36%	44%	49%	60%	42%	51%	61%	40%	49%	60%
AGE	Under 30	22%	26%	17%	16%	34%	39%	17%	30%	27%	12%	14%	29%	14%	13%	31%	15%	18%
	30 - 39	17%	13%	20%	16%	19%	24%	16%	19%	17%	20%	12%	20%	13%	16%	19%	17%	10%
	40 - 49	14%	17%	13%	14%	14%	7%	14%	15%	12%	20%	13%	10%	22%	10%	10%	18%	12%
	50 - 64	21%	23%	19%	21%	23%	24%	23%	18%	21%	27%	13%	19%	24%	19%	19%	24%	17%
	65 & over	26%	21%	30%	33%	12%	7%	30%	17%	23%	22%	47%	23%	26%	42%	21%	25%	43%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	15%	5%	6%	19%	20%	6%	17%	12%	5%	12%	13%	7%	7%	12%	9%	10%
	25 - 29	12%	11%	12%	10%	15%	18%	11%	13%	15%	7%	2%	16%	7%	6%	19%	7%	7%
	30 - 34	8%	7%	9%	7%	9%	10%	7%	8%	7%	10%	5%	9%	6%	4%	8%	8%	3%
	35 - 39	9%	6%	11%	10%	9%	14%	9%	10%	10%	10%	7%	10%	7%	12%	11%	9%	7%
	40 - 44	8%	9%	8%	10%	6%	3%	9%	7%	7%	10%	12%	5%	13%	7%	6%	10%	10%
	45 - 49	6%	8%	5%	5%	8%	3%	5%	8%	5%	10%	2%	4%	9%	3%	5%	8%	2%
	50 - 54	7%	9%	6%	7%	8%	9%	7%	8%	8%	8%	1%	9%	6%	4%	10%	6%	3%
	55 - 59	6%	7%	5%	5%	9%	8%	6%	6%	7%	9%		6%	8%	5%	4%	7%	9%
	60 - 64	7%	7%	7%	9%	5%	6%	10%	4%	6%	9%	12%	5%	11%	10%	5%	10%	5%
	65 - 69	7%	7%	8%	9%	4%	2%	8%	5%	7%	9%	3%	7%	10%	1%	6%	10%	3%
	70 - 74	7%	7%	7%	8%	3%	4%	8%	5%	6%	6%	15%	6%	6%	14%	7%	6%	11%
	Over 74	12%	7%	15%	15%	4%	1%	14%	8%	10%	7%	29%	10%	11%	26%	7%	10%	29%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 153 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
GENDER	Men	45%	43%	61%	31%	43%	61%	44%	52%	33%
	Women	53%	55%	39%	65%	55%	38%	53%	47%	66%
	Non-binary/other	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%
GENDER /AGE	Men <50	26%	25%	35%	16%	28%	27%	28%	27%	9%
	Women <50	25%	27%	17%	29%	28%	13%	26%	21%	32%
	Men 50+	19%	18%	25%	15%	15%	34%	16%	25%	24%
	Women 50+	28%	28%	21%	36%	27%	25%	27%	26%	34%
AGE	Under 50	53%	54%	53%	48%	58%	41%	57%	49%	42%
	50 & over	47%	46%	47%	52%	42%	59%	43%	51%	58%
AGE	Under 30	22%	24%	15%	24%	25%	16%	27%	13%	20%
	30 - 39	17%	17%	18%	14%	19%	9%	16%	20%	13%
	40 - 49	14%	13%	20%	10%	15%	16%	14%	16%	9%
	50 - 64	21%	22%	23%	9%	20%	33%	19%	27%	17%
	65 & over	26%	24%	23%	43%	22%	26%	24%	24%	41%
AGE	18 - 24	10%	10%	7%	18%	12%	6%	14%	4%	5%
	25 - 29	12%	14%	9%	6%	12%	10%	13%	9%	16%
	30 - 34	8%	8%	8%	5%	9%	5%	7%	11%	2%
	35 - 39	9%	10%	9%	9%	10%	4%	9%	9%	11%
	40 - 44	8%	7%	13%	7%	8%	12%	7%	10%	9%
	45 - 49	6%	6%	7%	3%	7%	4%	7%	6%	
	50 - 54	7%	8%	7%	1%	8%	8%	8%	6%	5%
	55 - 59	6%	7%	7%	3%	6%	10%	5%	11%	1%
	60 - 64	7%	7%	9%	5%	6%	14%	6%	10%	11%
	65 - 69	7%	7%	10%	5%	7%	11%	7%	6%	10%
	70 - 74	7%	6%	9%	10%	6%	6%	6%	9%	5%
	Over 74	12%	11%	5%	28%	9%	9%	10%	9%	26%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 154 of 239

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GENDI	R /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	73%	78%	72%	81%	67%	74%	76%	80%	82%	68%	75%	80%	82%
	Republican	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%
	No Party Preference	19%	22%	16%	24%	13%	29%	21%	19%	18%	10%	27%	20%	15%	12%
	Others	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	1%	5%	3%	2%	1%	5%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	73%		33%	33%	32%	33%	35%	39%	29%	68%		80%	
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%		78%	36%	48%	33%	35%	41%	41%	54%		75%		82%
	Republican Men	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%	1%			2%	1%		3%	
	Republican Women	1%		2%	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%		3%		1%
	No Party Preference Men	10%	22%		13%	6%	15%	12%	11%	7%	6%	27%		15%	
	No Party Preference Women	8%		16%	10%	7%	11%	9%	8%	11%	3%		20%		12%
	Others Men	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%	2%	2%		1%	3%		1%	
	Others Women	2%		4%	1%	3%		2%	2%	1%	4%		2%		5%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	39%	36%	72%		67%	74%	76%			68%	75%		
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	34%	43%		81%				80%	82%			80%	82%
	Republican Under 50	1%	1%	1%	2%		3%	1%	1%			1%	3%		
	Republican 50 & over	1%	1%	1%		2%				1%	3%			3%	1%
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%	16%	10%	24%		29%	21%	19%			27%	20%		
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%	7%	6%		13%				18%	10%			15%	12%
	Others Under 50	1%	2%	1%	3%		1%	4%	3%			3%	2%		
	Others 50 & over	2%	1%	3%		4%				1%	5%			1%	5%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 155 of 239

				RTY TRATION	REGIST	RTY RATION / NDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	iΥ		OGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%		80%	66%	80%	79%	76%	78%	81%
	Republican	2%								0%	9%		1%	3%	1%	
	No Party Preference	19%		100%					100%	17%	22%	16%	18%	18%	19%	15%
	Others	3%								3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	44%		100%		46%	41%		34%	32%	35%	32%	37%	78%	
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%	55%			100%	50%	59%		45%	34%	43%	46%	39%		81%
	Republican Men	1%								0%	4%		1%	1%	1%	
	Republican Women	1%									5%			1%		
	No Party Preference Men	10%		53%					55%	8%	13%	9%	8%	10%	19%	
	No Party Preference Women	8%		44%					40%	8%	9%	7%	10%	8%		15%
	Others Men	1%								1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	
	Others Women	2%								2%	1%	3%	1%	2%		4%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	50%		53%	46%	100%			39%	37%	39%	39%	43%	40%	37%
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	50%		47%	54%		100%		41%	29%	41%	40%	33%	38%	44%
	Republican Under 50	1%									6%			3%		
	Republican 50 & over	1%								0%	3%		1%		1%	
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%		67%					100%	12%	14%	13%	11%	12%	14%	9%
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%		33%						5%	8%	4%	7%	7%	5%	6%
	Others Under 50	1%								1%	1%		2%	2%	1%	1%
	Others 50 & over	2%								2%	1%	4%		2%	1%	3%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 156 of 239

					EDUCATIO	ON .		EDU	CATION /	ENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	ı
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	72%	69%	78%	78%	78%	72%	76%	80%	63%	74%	83%	77%	71%	80%
	Republican	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%
	No Party Preference	19%	23%	26%	17%	16%	18%	20%	19%	15%	33%	21%	12%	19%	21%	16%
	Others	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	7%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	6%	3%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	27%	27%	35%	36%	35%		76%		26%	36%	34%	34%	31%	34%
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%	45%	41%	41%	39%	43%	72%		80%	37%	35%	48%	43%	39%	43%
	Republican Men	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%		2%		2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	
	Republican Women	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%		1%		2%		2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	No Party Preference Men	10%	14%	13%	9%	9%	9%		19%		17%	12%	5%	11%	11%	8%
	No Party Preference Women	8%	8%	11%	8%	6%	9%	20%		15%	14%	8%	7%	8%	9%	8%
	Others Men	1%			1%	2%	1%		3%			2%	1%		2%	1%
	Others Women	2%	3%	4%	1%	1%	2%	7%		3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	2%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	34%	34%	40%	43%	36%	35%	41%	36%	63%	74%		30%	44%	43%
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	38%	35%	38%	34%	42%	38%	34%	43%			83%	47%	27%	37%
	Republican Under 50	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%			0%	2%	2%	2%		1%	2%	
	Republican 50 & over	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%			2%	1%		1%
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%	15%	18%	11%	12%	11%	13%	14%	9%	33%	21%		12%	16%	10%
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%	8%	8%	6%	4%	7%	7%	5%	6%			12%	8%	5%	6%
	Others Under 50	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%			3%	2%
	Others 50 & over	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	5%	1%	2%			3%	1%	3%	1%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 157 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL E	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	₹	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	79%	81%	77%	60%	73%	81%	77%	74%	80%	68%	74%	79%	80%
	Republican	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%		3%
	No Party Preference	19%	17%	14%	17%	27%	24%	16%	18%	23%	16%	24%	18%	18%	14%
	Others	3%	2%	3%	3%	11%			3%		2%	5%	7%	3%	3%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	31%	38%	35%	25%	34%	32%	36%	74%		68%		79%	
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%	46%	40%	41%	35%	38%	49%	42%		80%		74%		80%
	Republican Men	1%			3%		1%	1%	1%	3%		3%			
	Republican Women	1%	2%	1%		2%	1%	2%			2%		1%		3%
	No Party Preference Men	10%	12%	5%	6%	19%	15%	5%	12%	23%		24%		18%	
	No Party Preference Women	8%	5%	10%	9%	8%	9%	10%	6%		16%		18%		14%
	Others Men	1%	2%		2%	4%						5%		3%	
	Others Women	2%		3%	2%	7%			3%		2%		7%		3%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	40%	46%	39%	41%	25%	23%	47%	29%	31%	46%	41%	47%	37%
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	39%	35%	38%	19%	49%	58%	30%	45%	49%	22%	33%	32%	43%
	Republican Under 50	1%			3%	2%	1%	2%			2%	3%	1%		
	Republican 50 & over	1%	2%	1%			1%	1%	1%	3%					3%
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%	9%	10%	11%	23%	14%	8%	14%	14%	9%	20%	12%	13%	8%
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%	7%	4%	6%	4%	10%	8%	4%	9%	7%	4%	6%	5%	6%
	Others Under 50	1%	2%	2%	2%	5%						3%	2%	3%	2%
	Others 50 & over	2%		2%	2%	6%			3%		2%	2%	5%		2%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 158 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT :			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own v	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	75%	77%	76%	72%	78%	77%	77%	80%	75%	79%	78%	80%	73%
	Republican	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	No Party Preference	19%	20%	18%	19%	22%	14%	19%	18%	16%	20%	15%	19%	15%	21%
	Others	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	6%	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	36%	27%	40%	23%	26%	30%	35%		75%		30%	35%	32%
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%	38%	48%	36%	48%	52%	46%	40%	80%		79%	47%	45%	40%
	Republican Men	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%		1%		3%			1%	1%
	Republican Women	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%		1%	1%	0%	1%
	No Party Preference Men	10%	11%	8%	12%	8%	7%	10%	9%		20%		9%	8%	12%
	No Party Preference Women	8%	9%	8%	7%	14%	6%	9%	8%	16%		15%	10%	8%	8%
	Others Men	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%		2%			1%	1%
	Others Women	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%	1%	2%	2%		5%	2%	2%	2%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	43%	26%	46%	33%		48%	35%	52%	39%	30%	44%	23%	53%
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	32%	51%	30%	39%	78%	29%	42%	27%	36%	49%	34%	58%	21%
	Republican Under 50	1%	1%	0%	2%			1%	1%	1%	1%	1%			1%
	Republican 50 & over	1%	1%	1%		4%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%	15%	9%	14%	17%		15%	11%	11%	14%	8%	18%	7%	16%
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%	5%	8%	5%	5%	14%	4%	7%	4%	6%	7%	1%	8%	5%
	Others Under 50	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%		2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Others 50 & over	2%	1%	4%	1%		6%		2%		1%	4%		2%	2%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 159 of 239

				OWN	/S RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	IDER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	83%	82%	74%	70%	78%	79%	81%	69%	77%	73%	73%	81%
	Republican	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%
	No Party Preference	19%	15%	12%	21%	23%	18%	17%	14%	26%	16%	23%	22%	15%
	Others	3%		4%	2%	5%	2%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	36%	37%	32%	31%	31%	79%		69%		37%	36%	29%
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%	47%	45%	41%	37%	41%		81%		77%	34%	35%	52%
	Republican Men	1%	2%		1%	1%		2%		2%			1%	1%
	Republican Women	1%		1%	2%	1%	2%		1%		3%	1%	1%	1%
	No Party Preference Men	10%	8%	8%	11%	14%	9%	17%		26%		12%	11%	8%
	No Party Preference Women	8%	8%	4%	8%	8%	9%		14%		16%	10%	9%	7%
	Others Men	1%		2%		4%		2%		3%		2%	1%	1%
	Others Women	2%		2%	2%	1%	2%		4%		4%	1%	2%	1%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	17%	35%	50%	54%	56%	24%	21%	54%	51%	57%	53%	12%
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	66%	47%	25%	16%	22%	55%	60%	15%	27%	16%	20%	69%
	Republican Under 50	1%			2%	2%				1%	2%	1%	1%	0%
	Republican 50 & over	1%	2%	1%	1%		2%	2%	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%	5%	8%	17%	19%	10%	11%	5%	19%	12%	18%	17%	6%
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%	11%	4%	4%	4%	9%	6%	9%	7%	4%	5%	5%	9%
	Others Under 50	1%		2%		2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	
	Others 50 & over	2%		2%	2%	3%			3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 160 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE GEN	D IN BERI IDER	(ELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP <i>i</i>	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	73%	73%	74%	85%	77%	71%	76%	73%	81%	70%	83%	73%	80%	74%	68%
	Republican	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	No Party Preference	19%	23%	20%	20%	12%	18%	22%	20%	22%	14%	25%	12%	22%	15%	21%	25%
	Others	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	73%		74%		34%	12%	45%	73%		34%	34%	36%	35%	33%	29%
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%		73%		85%	42%	56%	30%		81%	34%	49%	36%	43%	40%	39%
	Republican Men	1%	1%		4%		1%	3%		1%		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
	Republican Women	1%		3%		1%	1%	2%	2%		2%	1%	1%		1%	1%	3%
	No Party Preference Men	10%	23%		20%		10%	14%	9%	22%		15%	7%	10%	7%	10%	18%
	No Party Preference Women	8%		20%		12%	7%	8%	9%		14%	9%	6%	12%	8%	11%	5%
	Others Men	1%	3%		2%		2%			4%		3%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%
	Others Women	2%		5%		2%	1%	2%	2%		3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	52%	52%	11%	13%	32%	49%	64%	34%	30%	70%		42%	41%	40%	26%
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	21%	21%	63%	72%	45%	22%	12%	39%	51%		83%	31%	40%	34%	42%
	Republican Under 50	1%	1%	2%	1%		1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	1%			1%	1%	1%
	Republican 50 & over	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%		2%	2%	1%	0%	1%
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%	19%	14%	8%	5%	11%	19%	17%	15%	8%	25%		17%	11%	13%	18%
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%	4%	6%	12%	7%	7%	3%	2%	7%	6%		12%	5%	4%	8%	7%
	Others Under 50	1%	3%	2%			2%		2%	3%	1%	4%		3%	1%	2%	2%
	Others 50 & over	2%		3%	2%	2%	2%	2%		1%	2%		3%	1%	2%	1%	3%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 161 of 239

			INC CHANG	SEHOLD OME SED BY 'ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEEDS	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			OMPREHEI	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	78%	76%	75%	77%	79%	75%	76%	77%	75%	73%	79%	72%	77%	80%	73%	75%
	Republican	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	6%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%
	No Party Preference	19%	17%	19%	20%	18%	15%	19%	19%	19%	18%	20%	17%	22%	19%	16%	21%	22%
	Others	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%	
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	32%	34%	37%	28%	28%	37%	29%	36%	34%	18%	37%	29%	25%	40%	30%	21%
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%	44%	41%	38%	46%	46%	38%	45%	40%	39%	53%	40%	41%	50%	38%	41%	52%
	Republican Men	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%			1%	1%
	Republican Women	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	0%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	No Party Preference Men	10%	9%	9%	12%	8%	4%	11%	9%	10%	12%	10%	10%	13%	2%	7%	13%	10%
	No Party Preference Women	8%	8%	10%	9%	9%	9%	8%	10%	9%	7%	10%	7%	9%	18%	7%	9%	12%
	Others Men	1%		2%	2%			2%		1%	2%		1%	1%		1%	1%	
	Others Women	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	42%	36%	32%	48%	54%	32%	49%	40%	40%	22%	44%	33%	25%	47%	34%	23%
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	36%	40%	43%	28%	24%	43%	27%	37%	35%	51%	35%	39%	52%	32%	39%	52%
	Republican Under 50	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%		1%	2%	
	Republican 50 & over	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%		2%	3%	0%	2%	2%		1%	3%
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%	11%	13%	12%	15%	13%	13%	13%	14%	9%	16%	12%	13%	14%	11%	13%	17%
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%	6%	7%	8%	4%	2%	7%	6%	6%	9%	4%	5%	9%	5%	5%	8%	5%
	Others Under 50	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%		1%	2%		1%	2%	
	Others 50 & over	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 162 of 239

### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTI	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
PARTY REGISTRATION	Democrat	76%	76%	75%	80%	79%	61%	82%	64%	73%
	Republican	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	6%		6%	4%
	No Party Preference	19%	19%	19%	17%	17%	29%	16%	24%	22%
	Others	3%	3%	3%		3%	4%	2%	6%	2%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Men	33%	32%	44%	23%	32%	40%	35%	32%	27%
GENDER	Democrat Women	41%	43%	30%	54%	45%	21%	45%	32%	45%
	Republican Men	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%		3%	2%
	Republican Women	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	4%		3%	2%
	No Party Preference Men	10%	9%	13%	7%	9%	18%	8%	16%	4%
	No Party Preference Women	8%	9%	5%	10%	7%	11%	7%	8%	18%
	Others Men	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%	2%	
	Others Women	2%	3%	1%		2%	3%	1%	4%	2%
PARTY REGISTRATION /	Democrat Under 50	38%	38%	40%	32%	42%	27%	45%	29%	24%
AGE	Democrat 50 & over	38%	38%	35%	48%	37%	34%	37%	36%	49%
	Republican Under 50	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%		4%	
	Republican 50 & over	1%	0%	2%	4%	0%	4%		2%	4%
	No Party Preference Under 50	13%	13%	10%	17%	13%	10%	12%	13%	18%
	No Party Preference 50 & over	6%	7%	9%		3%	19%	4%	12%	4%
	Others Under 50	1%	1%	2%		2%	1%	0%	4%	
	Others 50 & over	2%	2%	1%		2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 163 of 239

			GE	NDER	А	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERAL /CONSERVATIVE	- MODERATE	57	52	61	54	61	59	56	45	55	66	46	59	58	63
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	39%	40%	39%	41%	37%	43%	37%	40%	42%	36%	40%	42%	40%
	Liberal	36%	33%	38%	35%	36%	39%	32%	33%	34%	38%	33%	38%	32%	39%
	Moderate	15%	17%	14%	17%	13%	13%	17%	23%	17%	11%	20%	15%	13%	14%
	Conservative	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%
	(Don't know)	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	(Refused)	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	3%	4%		3%	3%	4%	0%	5%	1%
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	72%	78%	74%	77%	76%	75%	70%	74%	80%	69%	78%	75%	79%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	20%	17%	20%	16%	17%	19%	25%	19%	14%	23%	19%	16%	16%
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	72%		34%	31%	34%	33%	34%	33%	29%	69%		75%	
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%		78%	37%	46%	37%	38%	36%	40%	51%		78%		79%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	20%		11%	7%	9%	12%	13%	7%	6%	23%		16%	
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%		17%	9%	9%	8%	7%	12%	12%	7%		19%		16%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 164 of 239

			PA REGIST	RTY RATION	REGIST	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Y		OGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERA /CONSERVATIVE	L - MODERATE	57	63	48	59	66	60	66	51	100	-100	100	100	-100	100	100
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	42%	34%	42%	42%	41%	43%	39%	53%		100%			54%	51%
	Liberal	36%	37%	35%	35%	40%	37%	37%	32%	47%			100%		46%	49%
	Moderate	15%	15%	15%	17%	14%	17%	13%	14%		84%			100%		
	Conservative	3%	1%	6%	0%	1%	0%	1%	6%		16%					
	(Don't know)	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	4%							
	(Refused)	3%	2%	5%	3%	1%	2%	2%	4%							
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	79%	69%	76%	81%	78%	80%	71%	100%		100%	100%		100%	100%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	16%	21%	17%	15%	18%	14%	20%		100%			100%		
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	33%	33%	76%		34%	33%	37%	43%		44%	41%		100%	
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%	44%	33%		81%	41%	48%	30%	55%		53%	57%			100%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	8%	12%	17%		10%	5%	12%		50%			50%		
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%	8%	9%		15%	8%	9%	8%		50%			50%		
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 165 of 239

					EDUCATION	ON		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad		Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERA /CONSERVATIVE	L - MODERATE	57	53	44	63	55	69	40	54	69	39	59	66	64	47	58
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	37%	34%	43%	39%	46%	33%	41%	43%	32%	41%	44%	41%	36%	43%
	Liberal	36%	37%	35%	36%	36%	37%	34%	32%	40%	34%	36%	37%	39%	34%	32%
	Moderate	15%	18%	20%	14%	16%	12%	23%	18%	12%	20%	16%	12%	12%	22%	12%
	Conservative	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	6%	2%	3%	3%	1%	5%
	(Don't know)	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	8%	5%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%	6%
	(Refused)	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%		2%		4%	1%	1%	3%	3%	2%
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	73%	69%	79%	75%	83%	66%	74%	84%	65%	77%	81%	80%	70%	75%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	20%	25%	17%	20%	14%	26%	20%	14%	26%	18%	15%	15%	23%	17%
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	32%	29%	34%	35%	33%		74%		30%	35%	33%	33%	30%	33%
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%	40%	38%	43%	37%	49%	66%		84%	33%	39%	48%	45%	38%	40%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	8%	10%	9%	9%	9%		20%		11%	11%	6%	9%	11%	7%
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%	12%	15%	7%	11%	4%	26%		14%	16%	7%	8%	6%	13%	9%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 166 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDEI	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERAI /CONSERVATIVE	L - MODERATE	57	63	54	54	40	50	72	74	53	74	43	47	59	58
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	47%	40%	44%	30%	39%	39%	45%	37%	42%	35%	36%	46%	41%
	Liberal	36%	30%	33%	31%	36%	31%	47%	41%	36%	43%	32%	35%	29%	34%
	Moderate	15%	13%	11%	18%	26%	16%	10%	11%	16%	10%	22%	24%	13%	12%
	Conservative	3%	2%	8%	2%		4%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%		3%	6%
	(Don't know)	4%	6%	6%	3%	5%	5%			2%	2%	6%	2%	5%	7%
	(Refused)	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	5%	2%	1%	6%	1%	3%	2%	3%	
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	77%	73%	75%	66%	70%	85%	87%	73%	85%	67%	72%	75%	75%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	14%	19%	20%	26%	20%	13%	12%	20%	12%	24%	24%	16%	18%
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	32%	33%	33%	30%	30%	30%	41%	73%		67%		75%	
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%	43%	38%	38%	36%	38%	53%	45%		85%		72%		75%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	7%	7%	11%	12%	13%	7%	6%	20%		24%		16%	
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%	7%	12%	10%	14%	6%	6%	6%		12%		24%		18%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 167 of 239

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT :			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own y	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERAL /CONSERVATIVE	- MODERATE	57	60	52	61	56	70	50	62	49	54	69	57	67	53
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	41%	38%	42%	37%	39%	40%	41%	39%	39%	41%	39%	43%	38%
	Liberal	36%	37%	34%	36%	39%	42%	34%	37%	35%	34%	41%	37%	39%	35%
	Moderate	15%	15%	17%	15%	12%	9%	15%	15%	17%	18%	13%	14%	13%	18%
	Conservative	3%	3%	3%	2%	8%	2%	8%	1%	8%	2%		5%	2%	2%
	(Don't know)	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	5%	3%	4%	2%	4%	5%	5%	2%	6%
	(Refused)	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	3%		2%		3%			1%	2%
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	77%	72%	78%	76%	81%	73%	78%	74%	73%	82%	76%	82%	73%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	18%	20%	17%	20%	11%	24%	16%	24%	20%	13%	19%	15%	20%
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	37%	22%	41%	25%	26%	29%	34%		73%		33%	34%	32%
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%	39%	46%	36%	49%	55%	43%	42%	74%		82%	41%	48%	38%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	9%	10%	9%	7%	6%	9%	9%		20%		3%	9%	10%
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%	9%	9%	8%	13%	5%	14%	7%	24%		13%	16%	6%	10%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 168 of 239

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER		LONG LI\ BERKELE	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERAL /CONSERVATIVE	- MODERATE	57	70	74	61	48	46	56	76	49	54	63	60	53
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	40%	51%	42%	35%	34%	44%	42%	35%	39%	40%	41%	39%
	Liberal	36%	44%	34%	37%	34%	33%	32%	45%	35%	34%	39%	37%	35%
	Moderate	15%	11%	9%	15%	22%	16%	16%	10%	19%	18%	14%	16%	15%
	Conservative	3%	3%	3%	2%		5%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	6%
	(Don't know)	4%	2%	3%	2%	6%	11%	4%	1%	4%	7%	5%	5%	2%
	(Refused)	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%		4%		2%	1%	3%
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	84%	85%	79%	70%	67%	75%	87%	70%	73%	79%	77%	74%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	13%	11%	17%	22%	21%	19%	12%	21%	20%	15%	17%	21%
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	35%	37%	32%	34%	29%	75%		70%		40%	37%	26%
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%	49%	47%	44%	33%	34%		87%		73%	36%	38%	48%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	9%	6%	10%	9%	10%	19%		21%		7%	9%	10%
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%	4%	5%	7%	12%	11%		12%		20%	9%	8%	10%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 169 of 239

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERAI	MODERATE	57	58	61	39	62	67	60	46	59	73	64	69	59	64	54	46
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	39%	41%	39%	39%	46%	42%	28%	46%	44%	48%	43%	39%	42%	36%	44%
	Liberal	36%	36%	37%	26%	40%	36%	36%	42%	29%	42%	32%	39%	38%	37%	38%	25%
	Moderate	15%	16%	16%	19%	13%	13%	9%	22%	15%	12%	15%	11%	17%	12%	18%	21%
	Conservative	3%	1%	2%	8%	4%	1%	9%	2%	2%	1%		2%	1%	3%	3%	2%
	(Don't know)	4%	5%	4%	1%	3%	3%	2%	4%	5%	2%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%
	(Refused)	3%	2%		6%		1%	1%	2%	2%		2%	0%	1%	2%	3%	4%
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	76%	78%	66%	80%	81%	78%	70%	76%	86%	80%	83%	77%	79%	74%	69%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	17%	17%	27%	17%	14%	18%	24%	17%	12%	15%	14%	18%	15%	20%	23%
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	76%		66%		36%	19%	33%	76%		39%	33%	35%	35%	31%	30%
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%		78%		80%	44%	58%	34%		86%	38%	50%	41%	42%	42%	36%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	17%		27%		8%	11%	17%	17%		9%	7%	10%	7%	11%	13%
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%		17%		17%	6%	8%	7%		12%	6%	7%	8%	8%	9%	11%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 170 of 239

			INC	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEEDS	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE TH AND S	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERA /CONSERVATIVE	L - MODERATE	57	52	62	58	53	47	60	53	65	42	47	70	42	43	69	49	53
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	34%	45%	41%	36%	35%	42%	36%	45%	31%	31%	48%	29%	34%	47%	33%	40%
	Liberal	36%	39%	32%	35%	37%	36%	35%	37%	35%	37%	34%	34%	40%	28%	34%	39%	29%
	Moderate	15%	18%	12%	15%	16%	12%	15%	15%	14%	22%	12%	11%	22%	14%	11%	20%	13%
	Conservative	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	11%	2%	5%	2%	3%	7%	2%	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%
	(Don't know)	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	11%	2%	3%	13%	4%	2%	8%
	(Refused)	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	6%	2%	2%	6%
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	74%	77%	76%	73%	70%	77%	73%	80%	68%	65%	83%	69%	62%	81%	72%	70%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	22%	15%	17%	20%	23%	17%	21%	15%	25%	19%	12%	26%	19%	13%	23%	17%
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	31%	31%	35%	29%	24%	35%	30%	34%	32%	22%	38%	30%	12%	40%	29%	18%
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%	39%	46%	41%	41%	40%	41%	42%	44%	34%	42%	43%	37%	48%	39%	41%	49%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	9%	9%	11%	6%	6%	10%	7%	9%	11%	4%	7%	12%	8%	5%	13%	8%
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%	13%	6%	7%	14%	17%	7%	13%	6%	14%	14%	5%	14%	12%	7%	11%	9%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 171 of 239

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
PROGRESSIVE /LIBERAL /CONSERVATIVE	- MODERATE	57	68	30	44	70	5	70	35	43
IDEOLOGY	Progressive	40%	47%	23%	29%	46%	16%	45%	33%	30%
	Liberal	36%	35%	38%	37%	37%	33%	37%	31%	37%
	Moderate	15%	11%	28%	18%	11%	35%	11%	23%	21%
	Conservative	3%	2%	4%	5%	1%	9%	2%	5%	4%
	(Don't know)	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%
	(Refused)	3%	2%	2%	6%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%
IDEOLOGY	Progressive /liberal	76%	81%	61%	66%	83%	50%	82%	64%	68%
	Moderate /conservative	18%	14%	32%	23%	12%	44%	12%	28%	25%
IDEOLOGY / GENDER	Progressive /liberal Men	32%	32%	39%	19%	34%	31%	34%	34%	19%
	Progressive /liberal Women	41%	47%	21%	44%	47%	18%	46%	29%	47%
	Moderate /conservative Men	9%	7%	17%	7%	6%	26%	6%	15%	10%
	Moderate /conservative Women	9%	6%	15%	15%	6%	18%	6%	14%	15%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 172 of 239

			GE	NDER	А	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%		1%	1%			2%					2%		
	High school graduate	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	10%			4%	3%	3%	6%	4%	3%
	Non-college post H.S.	1%	1%	1%		2%					3%			2%	1%
	Some college	13%	13%	14%	13%	13%	20%	9%	9%	9%	16%	13%	14%	12%	13%
	Associate's degree	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%	4%	8%
	College graduate	35%	37%	33%	39%	31%	43%	39%	33%	35%	27%	39%	38%	35%	28%
	Post-graduate school	39%	39%	40%	36%	42%	21%	41%	53%	43%	42%	39%	34%	40%	44%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%		3%	3%	0%	1%	4%	3%
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	10%	2%		4%	3%	3%	7%	4%	3%
	Post H.S.	20%	19%	21%	19%	20%	25%	15%	14%	15%	25%	19%	19%	18%	22%
	Non-college grad	24%	22%	26%	24%	24%	35%	18%	14%	19%	28%	22%	27%	22%	25%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%	76%	72%	75%	73%	64%	80%	86%	78%	69%	77%	72%	74%	72%
	4-year College grad	35%	37%	33%	39%	31%	43%	39%	33%	35%	27%	39%	38%	35%	28%
	Post-graduate	39%	39%	40%	36%	42%	21%	41%	53%	43%	42%	39%	34%	40%	44%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	22%		11%	9%	16%	6%	9%	8%	10%	22%		22%	
	Non-college women	14%		26%	13%	15%	18%	12%	5%	11%	18%		27%		25%
	College men	34%	76%		38%	31%	33%	43%	39%	37%	26%	77%		74%	
	College women	38%		72%	34%	42%	28%	33%	47%	41%	43%		72%		72%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	13%	13%	24%		35%	18%	14%			22%	27%		
	College <50	40%	44%	35%	75%		64%	80%	86%			77%	72%		
	Non-college 50+	11%	9%	13%		24%				19%	28%			22%	25%
	College 50+	34%	32%	38%		73%				78%	69%			74%	72%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 173 of 239

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ		OGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%		2%					3%		2%					
	High school graduate	4%	3%	6%	3%	3%	4%	2%	6%	3%	9%	2%	3%	8%	2%	3%
	Non-college post H.S.	1%	0%	2%		1%		1%		1%		1%			1%	
	Some college	13%	12%	18%	10%	14%	12%	12%	20%	12%	19%	10%	14%	20%	13%	12%
	Associate's degree	6%	7%	4%	6%	7%	6%	7%	5%	6%	3%	7%	6%	4%	6%	7%
	College graduate	35%	36%	30%	38%	33%	40%	32%	33%	35%	38%	34%	35%	37%	38%	31%
	Post-graduate school	39%	40%	36%	41%	40%	37%	43%	34%	43%	29%	45%	40%	31%	40%	46%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	4%		1%		1%	1%			1%
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%	3%	8%	3%	3%	4%	2%	9%	3%	11%	2%	3%	8%	2%	3%
	Post H.S.	20%	19%	24%	16%	21%	18%	20%	24%	19%	22%	18%	20%	23%	19%	19%
	Non-college grad	24%	22%	33%	19%	24%	21%	22%	33%	22%	33%	20%	24%	31%	22%	22%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%	76%	67%	78%	74%	77%	74%	67%	78%	67%	79%	76%	69%	78%	77%
	4-year College grad	35%	36%	30%	38%	33%	40%	32%	33%	35%	38%	34%	35%	37%	38%	31%
	Post-graduate	39%	40%	36%	41%	40%	37%	43%	34%	43%	29%	45%	40%	31%	40%	46%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	8%	17%	19%		9%	8%	17%	9%	13%	8%	10%	10%	22%	
	Non-college women	14%	13%	14%		24%	12%	14%	14%	12%	20%	11%	13%	21%		22%
	College men	34%	34%	35%	78%		38%	31%	38%	34%	37%	36%	31%	40%	78%	
	College women	38%	40%	30%		74%	37%	44%	26%	42%	30%	41%	43%	29%		77%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	11%	22%	10%	11%	21%		33%	11%	19%	10%	12%	17%	12%	10%
	College <50	40%	39%	45%	43%	34%	77%		67%	41%	40%	41%	40%	42%	44%	37%
	Non-college 50+	11%	11%	10%	10%	12%		22%		11%	14%	10%	11%	14%	10%	12%
	College 50+	34%	37%	22%	36%	40%		74%		37%	28%	38%	36%	27%	35%	40%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 174 of 239

					EDUCATIO	N		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	i
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%		2%				3%			3%					1%
	High school graduate	4%		16%				16%			17%			3%	4%	5%
	Non-college post H.S.	1%	4%	3%				3%								3%
	Some college	13%	66%	55%				52%			56%			11%	16%	13%
	Associate's degree	6%	30%	25%				26%			24%			6%	5%	7%
	College graduate	35%			47%	100%			48%	45%		52%	42%	36%	40%	29%
	Post-graduate school	39%			53%		100%		52%	55%		48%	58%	42%	34%	41%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%												2%	2%	2%
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%		18%				19%			20%			3%	4%	7%
	Post H.S.	20%	100%	82%				81%			80%			18%	21%	22%
	Non-college grad	24%	100%	100%				100%			100%			20%	25%	29%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%			100%	100%	100%		100%	100%		100%	100%	77%	74%	70%
	4-year College grad	35%			47%	100%			48%	45%		52%	42%	36%	40%	29%
	Post-graduate	39%			53%		100%		52%	55%		48%	58%	42%	34%	41%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	42%	41%							44%			8%	14%	8%
	Non-college women	14%	56%	57%				100%			53%			12%	11%	20%
	College men	34%			46%	47%	46%		100%			50%	42%	37%	32%	34%
	College women	38%			52%	49%	54%			100%		46%	58%	40%	40%	33%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	52%	53%				49%			100%			11%	16%	12%
	College <50	40%			54%	59%	49%		58%	48%		100%		32%	49%	42%
	Non-college 50+	11%	48%	47%				51%						9%	9%	17%
	College 50+	34%			46%	41%	51%		42%	52%			100%	46%	25%	27%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 175 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDEI	₹	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%		3%											3%
	High school graduate	4%		10%	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%		5%	6%	2%	6%	5%
	Non-college post H.S.	1%	5%											3%	2%
	Some college	13%	11%	14%	20%	11%	9%	7%	20%	13%	9%	18%	14%	7%	19%
	Associate's degree	6%	3%	10%	2%	5%	4%	5%	10%	5%	7%	7%	3%	2%	9%
	College graduate	35%	33%	25%	35%	44%	42%	34%	28%	40%	32%	37%	40%	32%	25%
	Post-graduate school	39%	45%	37%	37%	32%	40%	47%	37%	40%	44%	33%	36%	45%	37%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%		3%	3%	
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%		13%	3%	6%	3%	3%	3%		5%	6%	2%	6%	7%
	Post H.S.	20%	20%	24%	23%	16%	13%	12%	30%	18%	17%	25%	18%	12%	30%
	Non-college grad	24%	20%	37%	26%	22%	16%	15%	33%	18%	22%	31%	20%	19%	38%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%	77%	62%	72%	76%	82%	81%	66%	80%	76%	69%	76%	78%	62%
	4-year College grad	35%	33%	25%	35%	44%	42%	34%	28%	40%	32%	37%	40%	32%	25%
	Post-graduate	39%	45%	37%	37%	32%	40%	47%	37%	40%	44%	33%	36%	45%	37%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	3%	13%	16%	14%	8%	6%	12%	18%		31%		19%	
	Non-college women	14%	16%	24%	10%	9%	8%	8%	21%		22%		20%		38%
	College men	34%	40%	29%	30%	35%	41%	31%	37%	80%		69%		78%	
	College women	38%	36%	30%	39%	41%	40%	50%	29%		76%		76%		62%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	6%	18%	11%	18%	12%	6%	17%	9%	13%	20%	12%	10%	14%
	College <50	40%	46%	39%	43%	52%	28%	27%	43%	34%	29%	51%	44%	52%	32%
	Non-college 50+	11%	14%	19%	15%	4%	4%	9%	16%	9%	9%	10%	8%	9%	24%
	College 50+	34%	32%	23%	29%	24%	54%	55%	23%	46%	47%	18%	32%	26%	30%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 176 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT ORE COV			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	[ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN V	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%		1%				2%		3%			3%		
	High school graduate	4%	4%	4%	2%	11%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%		3%	5%
	Non-college post H.S.	1%		2%			4%		1%		1%	1%			2%
	Some college	13%	11%	17%	10%	14%	18%	11%	14%	10%	12%	16%	11%	9%	16%
	Associate's degree	6%	7%	4%	5%	14%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%	8%	7%	4%	6%
	College graduate	35%	37%	32%	38%	33%	30%	30%	36%	29%	39%	33%	36%	31%	40%
	Post-graduate school	39%	40%	36%	44%	27%	37%	48%	37%	50%	38%	37%	43%	51%	30%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%	4%	5%	2%	11%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%
	Post H.S.	20%	18%	23%	15%	28%	25%	16%	21%	14%	18%	24%	18%	13%	24%
	Non-college grad	24%	22%	28%	17%	39%	29%	21%	25%	20%	22%	29%	21%	16%	29%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%	77%	68%	82%	60%	66%	78%	74%	79%	77%	70%	79%	83%	70%
	4-year College grad	35%	37%	32%	38%	33%	30%	30%	36%	29%	39%	33%	36%	31%	40%
	Post-graduate	39%	40%	36%	44%	27%	37%	48%	37%	50%	38%	37%	43%	51%	30%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	10%	10%	8%	16%	11%	9%	10%		22%		5%	9%	10%
	Non-college women	14%	12%	17%	9%	23%	18%	11%	15%	20%		29%	15%	7%	18%
	College men	34%	38%	26%	44%	18%	22%	32%	36%		77%		34%	35%	35%
	College women	38%	37%	40%	37%	40%	45%	46%	36%	79%		70%	44%	47%	32%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	15%	7%	12%	28%		14%	12%	15%	12%	12%	14%	4%	18%
	College <50	40%	45%	28%	51%	24%		51%	36%	51%	43%	27%	50%	27%	54%
	Non-college 50+	11%	7%	20%	5%	11%	29%	7%	13%	5%	10%	17%	7%	12%	12%
	College 50+	34%	32%	40%	31%	36%	66%	27%	38%	28%	34%	43%	29%	56%	16%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 177 of 239

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	IDER	_	LONG LI\ BERKELE	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%												1%
	High school graduate	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	9%	4%	2%	3%	7%	2%	3%	5%
	Non-college post H.S.	1%					6%			2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Some college	13%	7%	9%	15%	17%	18%	11%	7%	12%	20%	12%	13%	15%
	Associate's degree	6%	4%	6%	8%	5%	6%	4%	5%	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%
	College graduate	35%	38%	28%	35%	50%	31%	33%	30%	42%	37%	42%	38%	30%
	Post-graduate school	39%	47%	53%	37%	23%	29%	46%	56%	35%	26%	37%	39%	40%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	9%	4%	2%	3%	7%	2%	3%	7%
	Post H.S.	20%	11%	15%	22%	21%	31%	15%	12%	19%	28%	20%	19%	22%
	Non-college grad	24%	13%	18%	27%	25%	40%	19%	13%	23%	36%	21%	22%	28%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%	85%	81%	73%	74%	60%	79%	85%	77%	63%	78%	77%	70%
	4-year College grad	35%	38%	28%	35%	50%	31%	33%	30%	42%	37%	42%	38%	30%
	Post-graduate	39%	47%	53%	37%	23%	29%	46%	56%	35%	26%	37%	39%	40%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	6%	8%	8%	13%	9%	19%		23%		8%	9%	11%
	Non-college women	14%	7%	10%	17%	12%	30%		13%		36%	12%	12%	17%
	College men	34%	39%	37%	36%	37%	31%	79%		77%		42%	39%	27%
	College women	38%	46%	43%	35%	35%	24%		85%		63%	34%	36%	43%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	4%	4%	17%	17%	20%	8%	2%	14%	21%	16%	15%	10%
	College <50	40%	18%	42%	50%	60%	48%	28%	26%	62%	45%	62%	58%	9%
	Non-college 50+	11%	9%	14%	10%	8%	20%	11%	12%	9%	15%	5%	7%	19%
	College 50+	34%	67%	39%	22%	13%	12%	51%	60%	15%	18%	16%	19%	61%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 178 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF C	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%				2%									1%		
	High school graduate	4%	1%	5%	8%	4%	2%	8%		1%	2%	1%	2%		4%	3%	5%
	Non-college post H.S.	1%		1%	3%		1%			1%			1%		1%		3%
	Some college	13%	12%	13%	14%	15%	13%	15%	10%	13%	11%	14%	12%	9%	12%	15%	13%
	Associate's degree	6%	6%	6%	4%	8%	6%	8%	4%	7%	5%	7%	4%	5%	9%	3%	2%
	College graduate	35%	38%	37%	35%	28%	34%	42%	46%	36%	33%	36%	33%	38%	31%	37%	44%
	Post-graduate school	39%	42%	37%	35%	43%	44%	27%	39%	41%	47%	41%	46%	48%	41%	40%	30%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%	1%	5%	8%	5%	2%	8%		1%	2%	1%	2%		5%	3%	5%
	Post H.S.	20%	18%	20%	21%	23%	19%	22%	14%	21%	16%	21%	17%	14%	22%	18%	18%
	Non-college grad	24%	19%	25%	29%	28%	21%	30%	14%	23%	19%	22%	20%	14%	26%	21%	23%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%	80%	74%	70%	71%	78%	69%	85%	77%	80%	77%	79%	85%	72%	77%	73%
	4-year College grad	35%	38%	37%	35%	28%	34%	42%	46%	36%	33%	36%	33%	38%	31%	37%	44%
	Post-graduate	39%	42%	37%	35%	43%	44%	27%	39%	41%	47%	41%	46%	48%	41%	40%	30%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	19%		29%		11%	10%	4%	23%		12%	10%	5%	11%	8%	12%
	Non-college women	14%		25%		28%	10%	20%	11%		19%	9%	10%	9%	16%	12%	11%
	College men	34%	80%		70%		36%	19%	50%	77%		41%	32%	43%	33%	37%	34%
	College women	38%		74%		71%	41%	48%	33%		80%	35%	47%	42%	37%	40%	37%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	15%	14%	8%	11%	10%	24%	10%	12%	8%	22%		10%	14%	13%	8%
	College <50	40%	60%	55%	13%	6%	36%	47%	75%	40%	31%	77%		51%	38%	43%	38%
	Non-college 50+	11%	4%	11%	21%	17%	11%	7%	4%	11%	11%		20%	4%	12%	9%	15%
	College 50+	34%	21%	19%	57%	65%	43%	21%	10%	37%	49%		79%	34%	33%	34%	36%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 179 of 239

			INC CHAN	SEHOLD OME GED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%		1%		1%	4%		1%			3%			4%			3%
	High school graduate	4%	5%	2%	4%	4%	10%	4%	4%	5%	2%	2%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	
	Non-college post H.S.	1%	1%		1%	1%		1%		1%		3%	1%		3%			5%
	Some college	13%	15%	10%	11%	18%	17%	9%	19%	14%	12%	12%	12%	16%	8%	9%	17%	15%
	Associate's degree	6%	8%	3%	3%	11%	14%	2%	12%	6%	8%	4%	5%	7%	5%	5%	7%	3%
	College graduate	35%	35%	37%	35%	36%	33%	35%	36%	37%	33%	28%	36%	35%	30%	37%	35%	29%
	Post-graduate school	39%	34%	44%	45%	27%	20%	46%	27%	37%	44%	40%	40%	37%	40%	41%	36%	41%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	7%	1%	1%	8%	2%	1%	4%
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	14%	4%	5%	5%	2%	6%	4%	4%	6%	5%	4%	3%
	Post H.S.	20%	24%	13%	14%	30%	31%	12%	31%	20%	19%	19%	18%	23%	16%	14%	24%	23%
	Non-college grad	24%	29%	16%	18%	35%	45%	16%	36%	25%	21%	25%	22%	27%	22%	20%	28%	25%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%	69%	81%	80%	63%	53%	82%	63%	74%	77%	68%	77%	71%	70%	79%	71%	70%
	4-year College grad	35%	35%	37%	35%	36%	33%	35%	36%	37%	33%	28%	36%	35%	30%	37%	35%	29%
	Post-graduate	39%	34%	44%	45%	27%	20%	46%	27%	37%	44%	40%	40%	37%	40%	41%	36%	41%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	11%	5%	9%	12%	13%	8%	14%	10%	13%	2%	10%	12%	2%	9%	12%	7%
	Non-college women	14%	17%	11%	9%	23%	32%	8%	22%	14%	8%	23%	11%	16%	21%	10%	16%	18%
	College men	34%	30%	39%	40%	25%	18%	41%	25%	36%	35%	24%	38%	33%	21%	40%	33%	23%
	College women	38%	37%	42%	40%	34%	28%	40%	36%	37%	41%	42%	37%	37%	47%	37%	37%	45%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	19%	6%	7%	24%	35%	6%	25%	14%	12%	10%	10%	17%	11%	11%	16%	7%
	College <50	40%	36%	44%	40%	41%	34%	41%	39%	42%	39%	29%	47%	32%	27%	48%	34%	33%
	Non-college 50+	11%	10%	10%	11%	11%	10%	10%	12%	11%	9%	15%	12%	10%	12%	8%	12%	18%
	College 50+	34%	34%	37%	41%	22%	19%	41%	24%	32%	38%	39%	30%	39%	42%	30%	37%	38%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 180 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTI	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
EDUCATION	1-11th grade	0%			4%					4%
	High school graduate	4%	5%	1%		4%	5%	6%		4%
	Non-college post H.S.	1%	1%		3%				3%	
	Some college	13%	14%	9%	18%	13%	15%	13%	13%	18%
	Associate's degree	6%	6%	8%		6%	6%	6%	6%	5%
	College graduate	35%	33%	43%	31%	37%	32%	35%	36%	32%
	Post-graduate school	39%	40%	36%	40%	40%	39%	39%	41%	33%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	3%	1%	2%	5%
EDUCATION	H.S./Less	4%	5%	1%	4%	4%	5%	6%		7%
	Post H.S.	20%	21%	17%	21%	19%	21%	19%	21%	23%
	Non-college grad	24%	26%	18%	24%	22%	26%	24%	21%	30%
	College graduate or post- grad	74%	73%	79%	71%	77%	72%	74%	77%	65%
	4-year College grad	35%	33%	43%	31%	37%	32%	35%	36%	32%
	Post-graduate	39%	40%	36%	40%	40%	39%	39%	41%	33%
EDUCATION /GENDER	Non-college men	10%	10%	11%	4%	9%	18%	10%	10%	8%
	Non-college women	14%	15%	7%	20%	13%	7%	14%	10%	22%
	College men	34%	32%	47%	25%	34%	41%	34%	41%	21%
	College women	38%	39%	31%	43%	41%	30%	38%	36%	42%
EDUCATION /AGE	Non-college <50	13%	14%	9%	13%	13%	12%	15%	9%	13%
	College <50	40%	39%	44%	35%	45%	29%	42%	40%	29%
	Non-college 50+	11%	12%	9%	12%	9%	14%	10%	12%	17%
	College 50+	34%	34%	35%	36%	32%	42%	33%	37%	36%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 181 of 239

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GENDI	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%	43%	42%	34%	51%	40%	27%	34%	53%	49%	32%	37%	56%	46%
	CCD 3/4/7	30%	30%	30%	37%	23%	46%	32%	27%	20%	25%	38%	35%	20%	25%
	CCD 1/2	28%	27%	28%	29%	27%	14%	40%	38%	27%	27%	30%	27%	24%	29%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%	13%	13%	13%	14%	6%	21%	15%	13%	14%	15%	11%	11%	15%
	CCD2	15%	14%	15%	16%	13%	8%	20%	23%	14%	12%	15%	16%	12%	14%
	CCD3	14%	14%	13%	14%	13%	12%	15%	16%	15%	12%	13%	15%	15%	12%
	CCD4	12%	13%	12%	16%	8%	24%	13%	9%	4%	11%	19%	14%	5%	10%
	CCD5	16%	18%	14%	12%	20%	11%	12%	13%	20%	20%	13%	11%	24%	17%
	CCD6	15%	12%	17%	9%	21%	12%	3%	11%	24%	19%	5%	13%	22%	20%
	CCD7	4%	4%	5%	6%	2%	10%	4%	3%	2%	2%	6%	6%	1%	3%
	CCD8	12%	13%	11%	13%	10%	17%	12%	10%	9%	10%	14%	14%	11%	9%
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%	43%		16%	23%	17%	14%	16%	26%	21%	32%		56%	
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%		42%	18%	27%	22%	12%	18%	26%	28%		37%		46%
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%	30%		18%	8%	24%	16%	12%	9%	8%	38%		20%	
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%		30%	17%	14%	19%	16%	15%	12%	17%		35%		25%
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%	27%		14%	10%	8%	19%	19%	10%	9%	30%		24%	
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%		28%	13%	17%	6%	18%	19%	17%	17%		27%		29%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 182 of 239

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / NDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	DLOGY		IDEOLOG	Y	IDEOL GEN	OGY / IDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%	43%	43%	43%	43%	34%	52%	39%	44%	36%	43%	46%	34%	43%	46%
	CCD 3/4/7	30%	28%	34%	28%	28%	34%	21%	39%	28%	39%	27%	29%	44%	28%	27%
	CCD 1/2	28%	29%	23%	29%	29%	32%	27%	22%	28%	26%	30%	25%	22%	28%	27%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%	14%	12%	13%	15%	14%	14%	10%	14%	11%	16%	11%	11%	13%	14%
	CCD2	15%	15%	11%	17%	14%	18%	13%	12%	14%	15%	15%	13%	11%	15%	13%
	CCD3	14%	14%	12%	14%	13%	14%	13%	12%	13%	15%	15%	12%	16%	14%	12%
	CCD4	12%	10%	17%	9%	10%	13%	6%	22%	11%	18%	9%	12%	21%	11%	11%
	CCD5	16%	15%	20%	16%	14%	10%	20%	18%	14%	17%	15%	14%	17%	15%	14%
	CCD6	15%	16%	12%	14%	17%	9%	22%	9%	16%	11%	14%	19%	9%	14%	19%
	CCD7	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	7%	2%	5%	4%	6%	3%	5%	7%	3%	4%
	CCD8	12%	12%	11%	13%	12%	15%	9%	13%	13%	8%	13%	14%	8%	15%	13%
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%	19%	23%	43%		15%	23%	22%	19%	21%	18%	19%	20%	43%	
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%	24%	18%		43%	18%	29%	16%	25%	14%	24%	27%	14%		46%
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%	12%	17%	28%		17%	8%	21%	12%	18%	12%	12%	19%	28%	
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%	15%	15%		28%	17%	14%	15%	15%	21%	14%	16%	25%		27%
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%	13%	12%	29%		15%	10%	13%	12%	11%	14%	10%	11%	28%	
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%	16%	11%		29%	14%	17%	9%	15%	15%	15%	14%	11%		27%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 183 of 239

					EDUCATIO	ON		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%	37%	36%	44%	43%	45%	36%	45%	44%	37%	34%	56%	100%		
	CCD 3/4/7	30%	32%	31%	30%	34%	26%	23%	28%	31%	36%	37%	22%		100%	
	CCD 1/2	28%	31%	34%	26%	23%	29%	41%	28%	24%	26%	30%	22%			100%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%	13%	11%	14%	13%	15%	16%	16%	13%	6%	15%	12%			48%
	CCD2	15%	18%	22%	12%	10%	14%	25%	12%	12%	21%	14%	10%			52%
	CCD3	14%	16%	15%	13%	13%	13%	10%	12%	14%	12%	15%	11%		45%	
	CCD4	12%	10%	11%	13%	15%	10%	8%	12%	13%	17%	16%	8%		41%	
	CCD5	16%	11%	10%	17%	19%	16%	9%	19%	16%	15%	11%	25%	37%		
	CCD6	15%	9%	9%	16%	14%	18%	8%	13%	19%	7%	10%	23%	35%		
	CCD7	4%	6%	5%	4%	6%	3%	6%	4%	4%	8%	6%	2%		14%	
	CCD8	12%	18%	16%	10%	10%	11%	18%	13%	9%	16%	13%	8%	28%		
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%	17%	14%	21%	22%	20%		45%		13%	17%	26%	46%		
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%	19%	20%	23%	20%	25%	36%		44%	22%	16%	30%	53%		
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%	17%	17%	13%	14%	11%		28%		22%	18%	7%		46%	
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%	14%	13%	16%	18%	14%	23%		31%	15%	17%	15%		52%	
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%	8%	10%	13%	11%	14%		28%		9%	16%	9%			44%
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%	23%	23%	13%	11%	14%	41%		24%	16%	12%	13%			53%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 184 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDEI	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%					100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				
	CCD 3/4/7	30%			100%	100%						100%	100%		
	CCD 1/2	28%	100%	100%										100%	100%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%	100%											49%	47%
	CCD2	15%		100%										51%	53%
	CCD3	14%			100%							45%	44%		
	CCD4	12%				100%						43%	40%		1
	CCD5	16%					100%			41%	34%				
	CCD6	15%						100%		29%	40%				1
	CCD7	4%										12%	16%		
	CCD8	12%							100%	30%	27%				1
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%					51%	38%	49%	100%					1
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%					48%	60%	51%		100%				
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%			46%	48%						100%			
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%			51%	52%							100%		
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%	45%	42%										100%	1
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%	52%	54%											100%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 185 of 239

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT S			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	own y	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%	39%	47%	39%	41%	49%	36%	43%	37%	43%	44%	33%	47%	37%
	CCD 3/4/7	30%	31%	29%	31%	27%	23%	23%	32%	25%	34%	31%	23%	19%	39%
	CCD 1/2	28%	30%	24%	30%	31%	28%	41%	24%	38%	23%	26%	44%	33%	23%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%	14%	12%	16%	8%	17%	18%	12%	15%	11%	13%	18%	19%	9%
	CCD2	15%	16%	12%	14%	24%	11%	23%	12%	23%	12%	12%	26%	14%	14%
	CCD3	14%	14%	13%	14%	12%	13%	14%	14%	14%	14%	13%	16%	11%	15%
	CCD4	12%	12%	12%	12%	13%	10%	7%	13%	8%	15%	12%	4%	7%	18%
	CCD5	16%	13%	20%	15%	7%	21%	15%	15%	13%	16%	15%	11%	17%	13%
	CCD6	15%	14%	15%	13%	17%	20%	13%	15%	15%	14%	16%	13%	21%	9%
	CCD7	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	1%	2%	5%	3%	5%	6%	3%	2%	7%
	CCD8	12%	12%	12%	10%	17%	8%	9%	13%	9%	14%	13%	9%	9%	16%
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%	20%	18%	22%	15%	17%	15%	20%		43%		16%	22%	17%
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%	19%	28%	17%	26%	31%	22%	22%	37%		44%	18%	26%	20%
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%	15%	11%	16%	10%	7%	8%	16%		34%		8%	7%	20%
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%	16%	16%	15%	17%	16%	15%	16%	25%		31%	14%	12%	19%
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%	14%	9%	16%	9%	11%	18%	11%		23%		15%	15%	9%
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%	15%	15%	14%	21%	17%	22%	13%	38%		26%	28%	17%	13%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 186 of 239

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		C	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	IDER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%	100%		100%			49%	47%	36%	39%	41%	40%	45%
	CCD 3/4/7	30%				100%		17%	22%	43%	37%	35%	33%	24%
	CCD 1/2	28%		100%			100%	35%	32%	21%	25%	25%	27%	31%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%		57%			38%	19%	19%	9%	8%	11%	12%	16%
	CCD2	15%		43%			62%	16%	13%	11%	16%	13%	15%	15%
	CCD3	14%				37%		11%	11%	16%	13%	13%	14%	13%
	CCD4	12%				46%		5%	9%	21%	16%	16%	15%	7%
	CCD5	16%	36%		35%			18%	17%	15%	11%	13%	13%	20%
	CCD6	15%	45%		23%			21%	22%	5%	12%	12%	13%	18%
	CCD7	4%				17%		1%	2%	6%	7%	6%	5%	3%
	CCD8	12%	19%		42%			10%	8%	16%	16%	16%	14%	8%
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%	46%		44%			49%		36%		20%	19%	19%
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%	54%		53%				47%		39%	20%	20%	26%
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%				50%		17%		43%		18%	16%	9%
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%				48%			22%		37%	16%	16%	15%
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%		47%			40%	35%		21%		13%	13%	10%
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%		53%			54%		32%		25%	10%	12%	20%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 187 of 239

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP <i>i</i>	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%	39%	41%	49%	43%	43%	49%	48%	42%	43%	33%	52%	43%	37%	49%	42%
	CCD 3/4/7	30%	34%	34%	24%	24%	28%	35%	30%	28%	29%	35%	23%	25%	36%	23%	26%
	CCD 1/2	28%	27%	26%	27%	33%	29%	16%	22%	30%	28%	33%	26%	32%	27%	28%	32%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%	15%	10%	11%	19%	16%	6%	12%	16%	16%	17%	15%	17%	11%	14%	19%
	CCD2	15%	13%	16%	16%	14%	13%	10%	10%	14%	12%	16%	11%	15%	16%	14%	13%
	CCD3	14%	13%	14%	16%	12%	13%	18%	5%	13%	13%	15%	11%	12%	16%	10%	12%
	CCD4	12%	17%	14%	6%	9%	12%	10%	16%	13%	11%	15%	9%	9%	14%	10%	12%
	CCD5	16%	13%	13%	25%	16%	15%	14%	17%	17%	13%	12%	18%	20%	12%	18%	20%
	CCD6	15%	11%	14%	15%	20%	17%	16%	13%	14%	20%	11%	23%	13%	12%	19%	11%
	CCD7	4%	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%	7%	10%	2%	4%	4%	2%	4%	6%	3%	3%
	CCD8	12%	14%	14%	9%	7%	10%	19%	18%	11%	10%	10%	10%	10%	12%	12%	11%
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%	39%		49%		20%	12%	22%	42%		17%	22%	22%	16%	22%	23%
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%		41%		43%	22%	36%	26%		43%	14%	30%	21%	21%	26%	19%
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%	34%		24%		13%	9%	20%	28%		19%	9%	13%	17%	9%	15%
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%		34%		24%	15%	25%	8%		29%	16%	14%	12%	19%	14%	9%
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%	27%		27%		14%	8%	12%	30%		17%	11%	14%	11%	14%	10%
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%		26%		33%	15%	7%	9%		28%	15%	14%	17%	15%	13%	21%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 188 of 239

			INC	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME PUBLIC H			MPREHE TH AND S	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%	42%	44%	42%	40%	33%	44%	37%	45%	35%	40%	44%	42%	34%	42%	45%	32%
	CCD 3/4/7	30%	30%	29%	27%	37%	32%	26%	38%	29%	31%	33%	32%	25%	38%	33%	26%	36%
	CCD 1/2	28%	28%	28%	31%	23%	35%	30%	25%	26%	34%	27%	24%	33%	28%	25%	29%	33%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%	11%	16%	17%	8%	11%	16%	9%	13%	15%	12%	12%	15%	14%	12%	14%	17%
	CCD2	15%	17%	12%	15%	15%	24%	14%	16%	13%	19%	15%	12%	18%	14%	13%	16%	16%
	CCD3	14%	15%	13%	14%	14%	17%	13%	14%	12%	15%	17%	12%	15%	19%	12%	13%	20%
	CCD4	12%	12%	10%	10%	17%	12%	10%	17%	12%	11%	14%	15%	6%	17%	15%	9%	13%
	CCD5	16%	13%	18%	16%	15%	13%	17%	14%	17%	13%	15%	15%	15%	21%	14%	16%	20%
	CCD6	15%	17%	14%	15%	11%	6%	17%	9%	15%	13%	16%	16%	15%	10%	16%	15%	9%
	CCD7	4%	4%	5%	3%	6%	2%	3%	7%	5%	4%	2%	5%	4%	2%	5%	4%	3%
	CCD8	12%	12%	12%	11%	15%	15%	11%	14%	13%	9%	10%	13%	12%	3%	13%	13%	2%
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%	17%	21%	21%	15%	15%	21%	17%	21%	18%	9%	22%	18%	9%	21%	18%	15%
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%	24%	23%	21%	24%	19%	23%	21%	23%	17%	29%	21%	24%	23%	20%	26%	17%
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%	12%	13%	14%	14%	4%	14%	14%	13%	16%	11%	15%	13%	12%	15%	13%	13%
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%	17%	15%	13%	21%	23%	11%	23%	15%	15%	22%	17%	11%	26%	17%	13%	21%
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%	12%	11%	15%	8%	12%	15%	8%	12%	16%	9%	12%	14%	6%	13%	14%	4%
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%	15%	16%	16%	14%	20%	14%	15%	14%	17%	18%	11%	18%	23%	11%	14%	28%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 189 of 239

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTI	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
REGION	CCD 5/6/8	42%	43%	40%	42%	42%	42%	44%	39%	37%
	CCD 3/4/7	30%	30%	28%	33%	30%	30%	29%	31%	34%
	CCD 1/2	28%	27%	32%	25%	27%	28%	27%	30%	30%
CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT	CCD1	13%	14%	14%	11%	13%	12%	13%	16%	9%
	CCD2	15%	14%	18%	14%	14%	16%	13%	14%	21%
	CCD3	14%	13%	14%	17%	14%	13%	12%	14%	17%
	CCD4	12%	12%	10%	16%	13%	9%	13%	11%	13%
	CCD5	16%	14%	16%	28%	14%	22%	16%	13%	19%
	CCD6	15%	14%	19%	11%	15%	11%	14%	18%	11%
	CCD7	4%	5%	4%		4%	8%	4%	5%	3%
	CCD8	12%	15%	5%	3%	13%	9%	14%	9%	6%
REGION / GENDER	CCD 5/6/8 Men	19%	19%	22%	15%	18%	27%	19%	20%	19%
	CCD 5/6/8 Women	22%	23%	18%	25%	24%	15%	25%	18%	17%
	CCD 3/4/7 Men	14%	12%	18%	13%	14%	15%	14%	16%	7%
	CCD 3/4/7 Women	16%	17%	10%	20%	16%	15%	15%	14%	26%
	CCD 1/2 Men	12%	11%	21%	3%	11%	19%	12%	15%	6%
	CCD 1/2 Women	15%	15%	10%	21%	15%	9%	13%	15%	23%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 190 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	60%	44%	61%	39%	43%	72%	77%	68%	16%	68%	57%	49%	32%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	11%	18%	14%	15%	19%	9%	14%	16%	14%	10%	19%	13%	16%
	Unemployed	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%	4%	6%	4%	2%		4%	4%	1%	1%
	Retired	19%	15%	23%		41%				8%	68%			36%	45%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%		3%	2%	1%		3%	5%	1%	0%		5%		1%
	Full-time student	8%	9%	7%	15%	1%	31%	8%		1%		16%	14%		1%
	On disability	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%		4%	1%		1%	2%	3%
	(Other)	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%		1%	2%	1%		1%
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	71%	62%	75%	54%	62%	81%	90%	84%	30%	77%	76%	62%	49%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%	28%	38%	23%	46%	36%	18%	9%	16%	69%	21%	23%	38%	51%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	41%	36%	44%	31%	25%	51%	65%	40%	24%	50%	39%	29%	33%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	10%	10%	14%	6%	17%	17%	5%	7%	5%	16%	13%	2%	8%
	Other online news sites	10%	11%	8%	10%	9%	17%	4%	8%	10%	7%	8%	11%	13%	5%
	Local television news	9%	10%	8%	5%	14%	5%	2%	7%	10%	18%	6%	3%	16%	13%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	8%	10%	5%	13%	8%	6%		10%	15%	4%	6%	12%	13%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	5%	8%	6%	8%	3%	8%	7%	9%	7%	2%	10%	9%	7%
	Radio	6%	5%	6%	3%	8%	4%	1%	3%	5%	12%	2%	3%	8%	9%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	2%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	5%	2%	5%
	The Daily Californian	3%	3%	3%	5%	1%	13%			2%	1%	4%	6%	1%	1%
	Other print newspapers	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%			1%	3%	1%		3%	2%
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	2%	3%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 191 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				RTY RATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / NDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Υ		LOGY / NDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	51%	52%	61%	44%	62%	40%	56%	52%	47%	53%	51%	51%	64%	45%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	14%	17%	10%	17%	13%	15%	20%	15%	16%	13%	16%	12%	11%	17%
	Unemployed	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	3%	1%	7%	1%	9%	1%	2%	8%	1%	1%
	Retired	19%	20%	14%	15%	24%		40%		21%	11%	19%	23%	11%	16%	26%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%	1%		3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	4%		2%
	Full-time student	8%	8%	10%	9%	7%	16%	1%	15%	8%	10%	9%	6%	11%	7%	7%
	On disability	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%		1%	3%	2%	1%	4%		2%
	(Other)	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%	0%		1%		2%	1%		2%	1%
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	65%	69%	71%	61%	75%	55%	76%	67%	63%	67%	68%	63%	75%	62%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%	34%	31%	28%	39%	23%	45%	24%	32%	37%	32%	32%	37%	23%	37%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	36%	43%	41%	33%	42%	31%	50%	39%	38%	37%	40%	42%	42%	37%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	8%	17%	8%	8%	12%	4%	19%	9%	13%	8%	10%	13%	10%	8%
	Other online news sites	10%	9%	10%	11%	7%	9%	9%	13%	10%	8%	13%	7%	5%	13%	7%
	Local television news	9%	10%	6%	11%	10%	5%	15%	3%	7%	17%	6%	8%	17%	9%	6%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	10%	6%	8%	12%	6%	14%	4%	11%	4%	9%	12%	5%	9%	12%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	7%	5%	5%	9%	7%	7%		7%	5%	7%	7%	5%	6%	8%
	Radio	6%	5%	5%	4%	6%	4%	6%	1%	6%	3%	8%	5%	2%	6%	6%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	4%	2%	2%	6%	4%	5%	3%	4%	6%	3%	4%	6%	1%	6%
	The Daily Californian	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%	6%	1%	4%	4%		4%	4%		3%	4%
	Other print newspapers	1%	2%		2%	1%	0%	3%		2%		2%	0%		2%	1%
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 192 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	DN .		EDU	CATION /G	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	1
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	39%	36%	56%	55%	57%	33%	65%	49%	47%	65%	45%	47%	53%	54%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	21%	24%	12%	14%	10%	25%	8%	15%	32%	9%	15%	14%	13%	16%
	Unemployed	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	5%	4%	0%	4%	2%	1%
	Retired	19%	25%	24%	17%	16%	18%	26%	12%	23%			37%	22%	15%	19%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%		3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Full-time student	8%	7%	5%	9%	9%	10%	4%	11%	8%	10%	17%	0%	9%	11%	4%
	On disability	2%	3%	4%	0%	1%		4%		0%		1%		1%	2%	3%
	(Other)	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	60%	60%	68%	68%	68%	58%	73%	64%	79%	74%	60%	61%	67%	71%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%	39%	39%	31%	31%	31%	42%	26%	35%	19%	24%	39%	38%	32%	29%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	27%	22%	44%	41%	47%	24%	48%	41%	30%	49%	38%	39%	32%	43%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	7%	14%	9%	10%	8%	15%	10%	9%	21%	12%	6%	8%	16%	7%
	Other online news sites	10%	11%	11%	9%	11%	8%	11%	11%	7%	14%	9%	9%	8%	10%	11%
	Local television news	9%	22%	21%	5%	5%	5%	16%	5%	5%	9%	3%	7%	8%	10%	8%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	6%	5%	10%	9%	11%	7%	9%	11%		7%	14%	13%	5%	7%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	6%	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	5%	9%	6%	6%	8%	6%	9%	5%
[	Radio	6%	7%	7%	5%	4%	6%	5%	3%	7%	4%	3%	8%	5%	5%	7%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%	5%	1%	4%	4%	2%	5%	4%
	The Daily Californian	3%	7%	6%	3%	3%	2%	7%	3%	2%	11%	4%	2%	4%	5%	
	Other print newspapers	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		2%	1%	1%		3%	2%	1%	1%
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 193 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	I / GENDE	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	61%	48%	54%	49%	49%	46%	45%	57%	39%	59%	49%	65%	46%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	8%	24%	13%	16%	7%	17%	21%	11%	17%	11%	16%	11%	20%
	Unemployed	3%		3%	4%	1%	9%	1%	2%	5%	3%	2%			3%
	Retired	19%	24%	15%	18%	15%	25%	26%	14%	17%	27%	10%	19%	17%	22%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%		5%			1%	3%		3%		4%		2%
	Full-time student	8%	4%	4%	6%	15%	8%	5%	16%	9%	8%	15%	9%	4%	4%
	On disability	2%		5%		1%	1%	1%			2%		2%	3%	3%
	(Other)	1%		1%		3%	1%	3%		1%	2%	2%			
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	69%	72%	67%	65%	55%	63%	65%	68%	56%	70%	65%	76%	67%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%	31%	27%	33%	33%	43%	34%	35%	31%	42%	28%	35%	24%	33%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	48%	38%	34%	29%	49%	34%	32%	43%	36%	37%	30%	44%	43%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	2%	11%	8%	22%	7%	5%	13%	10%	7%	16%	16%	4%	9%
	Other online news sites	10%	11%	12%	12%	10%	6%	9%	9%	5%	10%	14%	6%	15%	6%
	Local television news	9%	5%	12%	10%	13%	7%	12%	6%	11%	7%	9%	12%	12%	6%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	12%	3%	5%	5%	11%	14%	13%	11%	15%	6%	2%	5%	10%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	2%	8%	10%	8%	10%	6%	3%	8%	5%	4%	13%	2%	8%
	Radio	6%	10%	4%	8%	1%	4%	4%	8%	4%	7%	2%	6%	8%	7%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	4%	4%	9%	2%		6%	1%	1%	4%	4%	7%	2%	6%
	The Daily Californian	3%				7%		4%	10%	1%	6%	8%	3%		
	Other print newspapers	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%		2%	2%	2%	1%		2%	1%
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	4%	6%	5%	1%	5%	6%	2%	6%	2%		5%	6%	4%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 194 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT: ORE COV			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	'ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	78%		100%			69%	45%	59%	53%	38%	70%	52%	50%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	22%			100%		16%	15%	19%	11%	18%	14%	13%	15%
	Unemployed	3%		8%				4%	2%	5%	3%	1%	5%	1%	4%
	Retired	19%		57%			100%	3%	25%	2%	18%	32%	1%	29%	11%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%		5%				5%	1%	8%		1%	6%	2%	2%
	Full-time student	8%		25%				1%	10%	2%	11%	8%	2%	0%	15%
	On disability	2%		5%				2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	(Other)	1%						1%	1%	1%	2%	0%		1%	1%
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	100%		100%	100%		85%	59%	78%	64%	55%	84%	66%	65%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%		100%			100%	14%	40%	20%	34%	44%	16%	34%	33%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	44%	26%	48%	32%	24%	60%	32%	49%	32%	32%	69%	48%	30%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	4%	4%	12%	6%	13%	12%	4%	5%	14%
	Other online news sites	10%	10%	8%	10%	13%	7%	8%	9%	7%	11%	6%	7%	7%	11%
	Local television news	9%	8%	12%	9%	3%	15%	9%	10%	7%	10%	9%	8%	11%	8%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	6%	14%	6%	7%	18%	3%	11%	4%	10%	12%	3%	10%	8%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	8%	4%	7%	12%	6%	8%	6%	13%	6%	7%	2%	5%	8%
	Radio	6%	4%	9%	2%	9%	11%	3%	7%	4%	6%	7%	3%	5%	6%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	7%	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%
	The Daily Californian	3%	2%	5%	1%	6%	1%		5%		4%	5%		2%	5%
	Other print newspapers	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%		2%		2%	1%		2%	
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	3%	5%	3%	6%	6%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	5%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 195 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

				OWN	/S RENT /	REGION		C	WN VS RE	ENT / GEN	DER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	46%	60%	49%	52%	48%	65%	42%	57%	46%	57%	58%	39%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	12%	13%	15%	12%	21%	11%	15%	10%	20%	15%	15%	15%
	Unemployed	3%	2%		7%	3%			2%	6%	1%	2%	3%	2%
	Retired	19%	36%	21%	6%	11%	18%	21%	36%	9%	13%	5%	7%	40%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%			3%		3%	2%	2%	1%
	Full-time student	8%			19%	15%	10%		1%	16%	13%	16%	12%	2%
	On disability	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%		3%	1%	1%	2%
	(Other)	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%		1%	2%		1%	2%	
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	59%	73%	64%	64%	69%	77%	56%	67%	66%	72%	73%	54%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%	40%	27%	35%	34%	30%	23%	42%	30%	34%	27%	26%	46%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	45%	54%	32%	28%	33%	52%	44%	33%	29%	42%	43%	30%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	4%	2%	11%	19%	11%	2%	7%	17%	12%	12%	13%	6%
	Other online news sites	10%	6%	12%	10%	12%	10%	9%	6%	12%	9%	11%	10%	9%
	Local television news	9%	9%	6%	9%	5%	11%	16%	6%	5%	11%	3%	6%	16%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	15%	8%	10%	6%	8%	6%	13%	9%	7%	8%	6%	13%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	6%	3%	7%	10%	8%	3%	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	5%
	Radio	6%	4%	8%	8%	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	8%	4%	5%	7%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	4%	3%	1%	5%	6%	2%	5%	2%	5%	3%	3%	5%
	The Daily Californian	3%	3%		6%	7%		1%	2%	5%	5%	6%	5%	1%
	Other print newspapers	1%	3%	1%				1%	2%				0%	3%
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	1%	3%	6%	3%	6%	2%	2%	5%	4%	3%	2%	5%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 196 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE GEN	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF C	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	69%	48%	41%	38%	50%	67%	54%	63%	40%	65%	37%	64%	50%	57%	37%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	11%	19%	12%	17%	16%	17%	6%	12%	19%	16%	16%	12%	20%	10%	9%
	Unemployed	3%	4%	2%		3%	2%	1%	7%	2%	1%	3%	0%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	Retired	19%	3%	12%	40%	40%	23%	3%	6%	15%	31%		43%	12%	17%	16%	35%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%		4%		1%	2%	2%	1%		3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	Full-time student	8%	12%	12%	5%		6%	7%	24%	5%	5%	12%	0%	6%	8%	10%	7%
	On disability	2%		2%	3%	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	3%
	(Other)	1%	2%	1%			1%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	80%	67%	53%	55%	66%	84%	60%	75%	58%	80%	53%	76%	70%	67%	47%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%	18%	32%	47%	45%	33%	16%	38%	24%	40%	18%	46%	23%	30%	31%	53%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	48%	41%	29%	31%	43%	27%	39%	46%	41%	52%	35%	100%	37%	39%	40%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	15%	11%		9%	6%	17%	14%	8%	4%	8%	4%		9%	13%	7%
	Other online news sites	10%	10%	9%	12%	7%	8%	14%	10%	9%	7%	9%	8%		10%	11%	5%
	Local television news	9%	6%	5%	20%	13%	9%	14%	1%	12%	6%	6%	12%		10%	8%	9%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	5%	7%	14%	13%	11%	5%	7%	9%	13%	5%	16%		9%	8%	10%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	6%	9%	3%	7%	6%	9%	4%	4%	8%	7%	6%		8%	4%	9%
	Radio	6%	3%	6%	9%	7%	7%	3%	3%	5%	9%	4%	10%		4%	5%	11%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	2%	4%	2%	7%	4%		3%	3%	6%	4%	5%		4%	4%	3%
	The Daily Californian	3%	4%	6%	2%		1%	5%	16%		0%	1%			4%	4%	1%
	Other print newspapers	1%	1%		4%	2%	2%			2%	1%	1%	3%		1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	2%	2%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%		5%	4%	3%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 197 of 239

			INC CHAN	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT		AND EME			AND EME			MPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	52%	51%	51%	52%	52%	54%	48%	55%	50%	32%	55%	50%	30%	57%	50%	33%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	22%	8%	11%	21%	31%	10%	22%	13%	21%	11%	12%	18%	18%	13%	16%	14%
	Unemployed	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	7%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	5%	1%	4%	4%
	Retired	19%	11%	27%	26%	6%	1%	23%	11%	17%	14%	41%	16%	19%	38%	14%	20%	34%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
	Full-time student	8%	8%	8%	7%	11%	4%	7%	10%	10%	7%	6%	12%	4%	6%	11%	6%	8%
	On disability	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%
	(Other)	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%		2%	0%		2%	0%	1%
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	74%	59%	62%	73%	82%	64%	70%	67%	71%	44%	67%	68%	48%	71%	66%	47%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%	24%	41%	37%	25%	16%	35%	29%	31%	29%	56%	32%	31%	52%	28%	33%	52%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	32%	45%	44%	29%	27%	42%	33%	38%	49%	19%	34%	46%	34%	33%	43%	36%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	11%	9%	7%	14%	9%	8%	15%	10%	9%	12%	12%	8%	8%	11%	10%	8%
	Other online news sites	10%	13%	6%	8%	13%	17%	9%	12%	10%	8%	12%	10%	9%	7%	11%	8%	9%
	Local television news	9%	10%	8%	8%	12%	11%	7%	11%	9%	9%	10%	7%	11%	10%	8%	10%	9%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	9%	9%	10%	7%	10%	12%	4%	9%	6%	15%	9%	9%	6%	9%	9%	7%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	7%	7%	7%	8%	13%	6%	8%	7%	8%	4%	9%	4%	7%	9%	5%	7%
	Radio	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%	6%	5%	6%	2%	9%	5%	6%	6%	5%	4%	11%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	5%
	The Daily Californian	3%	4%	3%	2%	6%		2%	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%	1%	2%	4%	3%	
	Other print newspapers	1%	2%	1%	2%			2%		1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	3%	2%	12%	4%	2%	14%	4%	4%	7%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 198 of 239

## CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed full-time	51%	52%	56%	32%	53%	55%	54%	52%	28%
BEFORE COVID-19	Employed part-time	15%	15%	13%	13%	14%	16%	15%	16%	9%
	Unemployed	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	3%	6%
	Retired	19%	16%	20%	37%	16%	18%	16%	18%	37%
	Homemaker or stay at home parent	2%	2%		2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%
	Full-time student	8%	9%	5%	9%	10%	5%	9%	8%	6%
	On disability	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	9%
	(Other)	1%	1%	1%		1%		1%	1%	
6.EMPLOYMENT STATUS	Employed	65%	68%	68%	45%	67%	71%	70%	68%	37%
BEFORE COVID-19	Not employed	34%	31%	31%	55%	31%	29%	29%	31%	63%
SOURCE OF NEWS	Berkeleyside	38%	38%	44%	28%	38%	44%	38%	40%	30%
ABOUT BERKELEY	Social media like Facebook, Twitter, or Nextdoor	10%	11%	8%	8%	11%	5%	11%	8%	11%
	Other online news sites	10%	10%	8%	13%	11%	7%	11%	9%	5%
	Local television news	9%	8%	11%	13%	7%	14%	7%	11%	14%
	The San Francisco Chronicle or SFGate	9%	10%	6%	6%	9%	9%	9%	10%	4%
	City of Berkeley website, email, or social media	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	8%	7%
	Radio	6%	6%	2%	10%	6%	1%	5%	4%	13%
	East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice	4%	3%	8%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	The Daily Californian	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	5%	2%	
	Other print newspapers	1%	1%		3%	1%	2%	1%	0%	3%
	(Don't know/refused)	4%	3%	3%	11%	3%	5%	4%	3%	9%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 199 of 239

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	22%	27%	31%	18%	5%	38%	62%	32%	6%	25%	38%	18%	17%
	No	73%	76%	70%	66%	80%	89%	61%	38%	66%	92%	73%	58%	79%	82%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	6%	1%		2%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	22%		12%	8%	3%	17%	22%	12%	4%	25%		18%	
	Mother	14%		27%	18%	10%	2%	20%	41%	20%	2%		38%		17%
	Childless man	34%	76%		36%	32%	44%	32%	26%	32%	33%	73%		79%	
	Childless woman	37%		70%	28%	48%	40%	25%	11%	34%	60%		58%		82%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	59%	63%	59%	67%	30%	62%	60%	72%	45%	51%	63%	72%	63%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	41%	37%	41%	33%	70%	38%	40%	28%	55%	49%	37%	28%	37%
SCHOOLS	Count	123	50	71	81	42	6	32	44	33	8	32	48	18	24

# Page 200 of 239

			PAI REGIST	RTY RATION	REGIST	RTY RATION / IDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEO	LOGY		IDEOLOG	Y		_OGY / NDER
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	25%	25%	22%	27%	31%	19%	29%	24%	32%	24%	23%	25%	22%	25%
	No	73%	74%	69%	77%	71%	67%	80%	65%	76%	65%	74%	77%	73%	78%	74%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	6%	1%	1%	1%	1%	7%	1%	3%	1%		2%		1%
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	10%	13%	22%		11%	8%	16%	10%	13%	10%	9%	9%	22%	
	Mother	14%	15%	12%		27%	20%	10%	13%	14%	19%	14%	14%	15%		25%
	Childless man	34%	34%	36%	77%		35%	32%	37%	33%	37%	34%	33%	41%	78%	
	Childless woman	37%	39%	30%		71%	29%	48%	23%	40%	27%	38%	43%	32%		74%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	63%	61%	62%	62%	57%	72%	72%	64%	50%	61%	68%	56%	69%	59%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	37%	39%	38%	38%	43%	28%	28%	36%	50%	39%	32%	44%	31%	41%
SCHOOLS	Count	123	95	23	36	57	59	35	18	90	29	49	41	19	36	53

# Page 201 of 239

					EDUCATIO	ON		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	20%	22%	26%	21%	30%	20%	23%	29%	28%	32%	19%	21%	19%	36%
	No	73%	78%	77%	72%	76%	69%	80%	77%	68%	70%	66%	80%	75%	79%	63%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%		1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	9%	9%	11%	9%	11%		23%		10%	13%	8%	8%	7%	16%
	Mother	14%	10%	12%	15%	12%	18%	20%		29%	17%	18%	12%	13%	12%	19%
	Childless man	34%	31%	31%	36%	38%	34%		77%		32%	37%	34%	35%	39%	27%
	Childless woman	37%	46%	45%	35%	35%	35%	80%		68%	36%	25%	46%	39%	38%	34%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	68%	60%	62%	73%	56%	79%	66%	59%	59%	60%	67%	57%	61%	66%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	32%	40%	38%	27%	44%	21%	34%	41%	41%	40%	33%	43%	39%	34%
SCHOOLS	Count	123	20	26	96	37	59	14	39	56	18	63	33	44	28	50

# Page 202 of 239

					CITY C	OUNCIL E	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	34%	38%	25%	15%	23%	21%	18%	19%	24%	15%	23%	36%	36%
	No	73%	66%	61%	73%	80%	72%	74%	82%	77%	73%	85%	72%	63%	64%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	5%	4%		4%	3%		5%	1%	
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	17%	15%	11%	5%	11%	6%	8%	19%		15%		36%	
	Mother	14%	16%	23%	14%	10%	12%	15%	11%		24%		23%		36%
	Childless man	34%	28%	27%	35%	43%	35%	32%	41%	77%		85%		63%	
	Childless woman	37%	36%	32%	35%	37%	36%	41%	41%		73%		72%		64%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	60%	72%	70%	34%	47%	65%	62%	67%	50%	61%	60%	51%	77%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	40%	28%	30%	66%	53%	35%	38%	33%	50%	39%	40%	49%	23%
SCHOOLS	Count	123	23	28	17	9	18	16	11	18	26	10	18	22	27

# Page 203 of 239

				DYMENT BEFORE ID-19 Not		OYMENT: ORE COV Employ			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	VS RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	employ ed	ed full- time	ed part-	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	32%	10%	34%	26%	4%	100%	110	100%	oo man	woman	100%	33%	16%
	No	73%	66%	86%	64%	73%	94%		100%		100%	100%		66%	81%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%							0%	2%
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	15%	2%	17%	7%	2%	41%					39%	15%	6%
	Mother	14%	17%	9%	17%	19%	2%	58%		100%			59%	18%	10%
	Childless man	34%	34%	35%	36%	26%	32%		47%		100%			29%	39%
	Childless woman	37%	32%	49%	28%	45%	62%		51%			100%		37%	39%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	61%	67%	62%	55%	24%	62%		63%			100%	62%	61%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	39%	33%	38%	45%	76%	38%		37%				38%	39%
SCHOOLS															

# Page 204 of 239

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		C	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	DER		LONG LIV BERKELE	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	25%	44%	17%	10%	25%	34%	32%	13%	20%	24%	28%	20%
	No	73%	74%	56%	78%	87%	75%	65%	68%	86%	76%	74%	71%	79%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%		4%	2%		1%		1%	4%	2%	2%	2%
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	10%	23%	7%	3%	8%	34%		13%		10%	12%	6%
	Mother	14%	15%	20%	10%	7%	15%		32%		20%	14%	15%	13%
	Childless man	34%	35%	24%	36%	47%	32%	65%		86%		40%	36%	31%
	Childless woman	37%	39%	32%	40%	38%	39%		68%		76%	31%	32%	47%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	53%	67%	67%	55%	57%	64%	60%	44%	69%	54%	58%	70%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	47%	33%	33%	45%	43%	36%	40%	56%	31%	46%	42%	30%
SCHOOLS	Count	123	27	34	16	10	14	35	41	14	25	58	87	35

# Page 205 of 239

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI IDER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RACI	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP <i>/</i>	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	25%	31%	16%	22%	24%	35%	19%	25%	23%	30%	18%	39%	30%	23%	13%
	No	73%	75%	66%	80%	78%	76%	62%	76%	74%	77%	68%	82%	60%	68%	74%	86%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%		3%	4%		1%	3%	5%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	3%	2%
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	25%		16%		12%	10%	8%	25%		15%	9%	20%	12%	11%	2%
	Mother	14%		31%		22%	12%	26%	11%		23%	15%	8%	18%	17%	12%	11%
	Childless man	34%	75%		80%		35%	20%	45%	74%		37%	33%	29%	31%	34%	45%
	Childless woman	37%		66%		78%	40%	41%	28%		77%	28%	49%	32%	35%	39%	38%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	56%	58%	66%	71%	65%	49%	53%	63%	66%	62%	69%	70%	57%	68%	62%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	44%	42%	34%	29%	35%	51%	47%	37%	34%	38%	31%	30%	43%	32%	38%
SCHOOLS	Count	123	39	47	12	24	72	18	14	36	36	43	29	74	70	43	10

# Page 206 of 239

			INC CHANG	SEHOLD OME SED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME			OMPREHE	-
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	29%	22%	23%	28%	42%	22%	30%	24%	29%	18%	23%	28%	23%	22%	27%	24%
	No	73%	68%	76%	75%	68%	55%	75%	67%	74%	70%	74%	74%	71%	71%	75%	72%	69%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	8%	3%	0%	6%	3%	1%	7%
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	10%	11%	11%	9%	14%	11%	10%	11%	10%	7%	9%	12%	7%	11%	11%	6%
	Mother	14%	18%	11%	11%	19%	28%	11%	20%	13%	18%	11%	13%	16%	15%	11%	16%	19%
	Childless man	34%	30%	34%	38%	27%	17%	38%	29%	35%	38%	21%	38%	33%	17%	38%	34%	24%
	Childless woman	37%	34%	42%	37%	37%	30%	37%	37%	37%	31%	51%	34%	37%	51%	35%	37%	43%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	63%	60%	68%	54%	50%	69%	52%	61%	65%	58%	57%	66%	65%	59%	62%	67%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	37%	40%	32%	46%	50%	31%	48%	39%	35%	42%	43%	34%	35%	41%	38%	33%
SCHOOLS	Count	123	63	54	70	50	22	69	53	79	33	11	61	50	12	47	59	17

# Page 207 of 239

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTION	
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
CHILDREN UNDER 18	Yes	25%	24%	28%	20%	25%	27%	25%	26%	18%
	No	73%	74%	71%	72%	73%	71%	73%	72%	78%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%	1%	8%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%
PARENTAL STATUS	Father	10%	10%	14%	5%	9%	17%	10%	13%	2%
	Mother	14%	14%	14%	15%	16%	10%	15%	13%	16%
	Childless man	34%	32%	46%	23%	33%	43%	33%	39%	29%
	Childless woman	37%	40%	24%	46%	38%	28%	38%	32%	47%
CHILDREN ENROLLED	Yes	62%	60%	64%	69%	62%	49%	60%	63%	71%
IN BERKELEY PUBLIC	No	38%	40%	36%	31%	38%	51%	40%	37%	29%
SCHOOLS	Count	123	84	29	10	93	22	76	37	10

# Page 208 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GEND	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	45%	48%	27%	67%	5%	31%	57%	64%	69%	29%	27%	67%	67%
	Rent	49%	50%	47%	66%	29%	88%	62%	37%	33%	27%	66%	65%	28%	31%
	(Other)	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	5%	5%	5%	2%	2%	4%	7%	3%	1%
	(Don't know/refused)	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	22%	22%	9%	36%	3%	5%	23%	35%	38%	8%	11%	41%	33%
	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%	8%	10%	5%	13%	1%	6%	12%	10%	15%	6%	5%	10%	15%
	Own CCD 1/2	15%	16%	15%	13%	18%	1%	21%	22%	19%	17%	15%	11%	16%	19%
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%	18%	18%	23%	12%	34%	21%	10%	17%	9%	22%	25%	12%	12%
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%	21%	17%	28%	9%	41%	26%	11%	9%	9%	30%	27%	10%	8%
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%	10%	12%	15%	8%	13%	16%	16%	7%	9%	14%	14%	5%	10%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%	1%	1%	2%		3%		3%			2%	2%		
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		3%		1%	1%		2%	1%	1%
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	45%		14%	28%	2%	18%	28%	32%	25%	29%		67%	
	Own Women	25%		48%	13%	39%	3%	13%	29%	32%	45%		27%		67%
	Rent Men	22%	50%		32%	11%	42%	31%	18%	13%	10%	66%		28%	
	Rent Women	25%		47%	31%	18%	41%	27%	20%	20%	16%		65%		31%
	(Other) Men	2%	4%		2%	1%	4%		1%	1%	1%	4%		3%	
	(Other) Women	2%		4%	3%	1%	2%	5%	3%	1%	1%		7%		1%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	5%	8%	10%	3%	16%	5%	8%	5%	1%	7%	13%	2%	3%
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	26%	15%	34%	7%	50%	32%	10%	10%	4%	38%	27%	9%	5%
	Five to ten years	19%	22%	18%	26%	12%	11%	38%	36%	19%	6%	26%	27%	16%	9%
	Ten to twenty years	15%	15%	16%	16%	15%	7%	16%	29%	14%	15%	16%	16%	13%	16%
	More than twenty years	29%	25%	33%	5%	56%	2%	3%	11%	41%	68%	5%	5%	52%	59%
	All your life	7%	6%	8%	8%	6%	12%	6%	5%	8%	4%	7%	10%	5%	6%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%		3%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	53%	41%	70%	21%	78%	74%	55%	34%	11%	72%	68%	28%	17%
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	68%	57%	86%	36%	85%	90%	84%	48%	26%	88%	84%	40%	33%
	More than 20 years	36%	31%	41%	13%	62%	14%	8%	16%	49%	72%	11%	15%	58%	65%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	68%		43%	17%	42%	49%	38%	25%	9%	88%		40%	
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%		57%	40%	19%	39%	37%	46%	23%	17%		84%		33%
	More than 20 years Men	14%	31%		5%	24%	7%		10%	20%	27%	11%		58%	
	More than 20 years Women	22%		41%	7%	38%	7%	8%	6%	29%	45%		15%		65%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 209 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				RTY	REGIST	RTY RATION / NDER	PARTY	REGISTR AGE	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	SY.		OGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	Progres sive /liberal Women
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	49%	37%	49%	50%	28%	70%	27%	50%	38%	50%	50%	38%	48%	53%
	Rent	49%	47%	55%	47%	47%	68%	26%	62%	47%	54%	46%	48%	57%	49%	44%
	(Other)	4%	2%	7%	3%	2%	3%	2%	11%	3%	7%	4%	1%	3%	4%	2%
	(Don't know/refused)	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%		0%	1%	0%		1%		
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	24%	18%	24%	24%	10%	38%	8%	24%	16%	22%	27%	15%	23%	26%
	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%	8%	9%	8%	9%	4%	13%	8%	9%	13%	8%	9%	15%	7%	10%
	Own CCD 1/2	15%	17%	10%	17%	17%	14%	19%	10%	17%	9%	20%	14%	9%	17%	17%
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%	18%	20%	18%	18%	24%	12%	24%	19%	17%	19%	19%	18%	18%	19%
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%	18%	24%	18%	17%	28%	8%	29%	18%	23%	17%	19%	27%	20%	16%
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%	12%	11%	11%	11%	17%	7%	9%	10%	13%	10%	11%	12%	10%	9%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%	1%	5%	1%	0%		1%	7%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%		1%	1%	0%
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	21%	19%	49%		13%	30%	18%	20%	22%	22%	18%	21%	48%	
	Own Women	25%	27%	18%		50%	14%	40%	9%	29%	16%	27%	32%	17%		53%
	Rent Men	22%	20%	30%	47%		32%	9%	33%	21%	26%	20%	22%	28%	49%	
	Rent Women	25%	26%	22%		47%	34%	18%	25%	24%	27%	24%	24%	30%		44%
	(Other) Men	2%	1%	3%	3%		1%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%		4%	
	(Other) Women	2%	1%	5%		2%	2%	1%	7%	1%	6%	2%	0%	3%		2%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	8%	4%	7%	8%	12%	3%	4%	7%	7%	8%	6%	8%	6%	8%
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	19%	29%	23%	15%	31%	7%	40%	22%	17%	18%	26%	18%	27%	15%
	Five to ten years	19%	19%	24%	23%	15%	28%	10%	24%	21%	16%	21%	20%	16%	25%	18%
	Ten to twenty years	15%	14%	14%	15%	15%	16%	13%	15%	15%	19%	16%	13%	21%	13%	17%
	More than twenty years	29%	32%	18%	26%	37%	5%	58%	4%	29%	32%	29%	29%	30%	24%	34%
	All your life	7%	6%	10%	5%	7%	6%	6%	14%	6%	10%	6%	6%	5%	5%	7%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%		1%		1%	0%			1%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	45%	57%	52%	39%	71%	20%	68%	49%	40%	47%	52%	43%	58%	41%
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	60%	71%	67%	53%	87%	33%	83%	64%	59%	64%	65%	64%	71%	57%
	More than 20 years	36%	38%	28%	32%	45%	12%	64%	17%	35%	41%	35%	35%	36%	29%	42%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	29%	37%	67%		42%	17%	46%	31%	29%	30%	31%	33%	71%	
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%	29%	31%		53%	42%	16%	33%	31%	29%	31%	32%	31%		57%
	More than 20 years Men	14%	14%	15%	32%		4%	23%	9%	12%	21%	14%	10%	17%	29%	
	More than 20 years Women	22%	24%	13%		45%	7%	41%	8%	23%	21%	21%	25%	18%		42%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 210 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					EDUCATIO	)N		FDU	CATION /	SENDER	FD	UCATION	/AGF		REGION	
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	31%	31%	51%	41%	61%	25%	47%	57%	16%	31%	75%	52%	30%	54%
	Rent	49%	59%	60%	46%	56%	37%	65%	50%	41%	68%	66%	23%	43%	64%	41%
	(Other)	4%	9%	9%	2%	2%	2%	10%	2%	2%	16%	2%	2%	4%	4%	3%
	(Don't know/refused)	1%			0%	0%	0%		0%			1%		1%	2%	1%
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	12%	12%	25%	23%	26%	11%	25%	26%	6%	10%	42%	52%		
	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%	7%	7%	10%	6%	13%	4%	6%	13%	5%	5%	15%		30%	
	Own CCD 1/2	15%	12%	11%	17%	12%	21%	11%	16%	17%	4%	16%	17%			54%
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%	21%	20%	18%	18%	17%	23%	19%	17%	24%	23%	12%	43%		
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%	21%	21%	19%	28%	12%	17%	21%	17%	26%	29%	7%		64%	
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%	18%	19%	9%	10%	8%	25%	10%	7%	18%	14%	4%			41%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%	4%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	7%	1%	2%	4%		
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%	3%	3%	1%	0%	1%	3%	0%	1%	5%	1%			4%	
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%		5%	1%		4%		1%			3%
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	16%	16%	22%	19%	24%		47%		13%	15%	30%	24%	11%	25%
	Own Women	25%	15%	14%	29%	22%	36%	25%		57%	3%	16%	44%	28%	18%	29%
	Rent Men	22%	22%	21%	23%	27%	20%		50%		25%	35%	10%	19%	32%	17%
	Rent Women	25%	36%	37%	21%	26%	17%	65%		41%	40%	28%	13%	23%	31%	22%
	(Other) Men	2%	4%	4%	1%	1%	1%		2%		7%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	(Other) Women	2%	5%	6%	1%	1%	1%	10%		2%	10%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	9%	9%	6%	7%	6%	9%	4%	8%	14%	9%	3%	6%	8%	6%
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	14%	13%	24%	26%	22%	16%	32%	15%	19%	38%	7%	22%	22%	18%
	Five to ten years	19%	24%	20%	20%	24%	16%	16%	21%	18%	26%	27%	12%	17%	25%	17%
	Ten to twenty years	15%	13%	16%	15%	12%	18%	14%	14%	17%	13%	17%	13%	13%	15%	18%
	More than twenty years	29%	27%	25%	30%	27%	34%	23%	24%	37%	4%	5%	60%	31%	23%	31%
	All your life	7%	13%	17%	4%	4%	3%	21%	4%	3%	24%	3%	4%	7%	6%	8%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%			1%	0%	1%			1%		1%	1%	2%	2%	0%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	47%	42%	50%	56%	44%	41%	57%	42%	60%	74%	22%	46%	55%	42%
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	60%	58%	65%	69%	62%	56%	71%	59%	73%	91%	35%	59%	70%	60%
	More than 20 years	36%	40%	42%	34%	31%	37%	44%	29%	40%	27%	8%	64%	39%	28%	39%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	27%	24%	33%	34%	33%		71%		36%	46%	19%	28%	34%	30%
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%	31%	32%	30%	32%	29%	56%		59%	34%	42%	17%	30%	34%	28%
	More than 20 years Men	14%	15%	17%	13%	14%	13%		29%		9%	4%	23%	17%	11%	13%
	More than 20 years Women	22%	25%	25%	21%	17%	24%	44%		40%	19%	4%	41%	22%	17%	26%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 211 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	3	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	64%	45%	37%	25%	51%	67%	35%	52%	53%	25%	35%	58%	54%
OWN VS KEIN	Rent	49%	33%	48%	53%	72%	41%	29%	65%	42%	43%	71%	59%	38%	41%
ľ	(Other)	4%	1%	6%	6%	1%	8%	2%	0376	4%	3%	4%	4%	2%	5%
	(Don't know/refused)	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3 /6
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	270	170	770	1 70	51%	67%	35%	52%	53%	1 70	270	270	
OWN VO KENT / KEGION	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%			37%	25%	3170	01 /0	3370	32 /0	3370	25%	35%		
	Own CCD 1/2	15%	64%	45%	37 70	2070						2070	3370	58%	54%
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%	0470	7570			41%	29%	65%	42%	43%			3070	3470
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%			53%	72%	7170	2370	0070	72 /0	7570	71%	59%		
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%	33%	48%	0070	1270						7170	0070	38%	41%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%	0070	1070			8%	2%		4%	3%			0070	1170
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%			6%	1%				.,,,		4%	4%		
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%	1%	6%		.,,,						.,,	1,0	2%	5%
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	29%	22%	17%	8%	24%	29%	18%	52%		25%		58%	
	Own Women	25%	36%	22%	20%	18%	27%	38%	17%		53%		35%		54%
	Rent Men	22%	15%	18%	26%	39%	22%	7%	31%	42%		71%		38%	
	Rent Women	25%	15%	28%	25%	33%	17%	20%	34%		43%		59%		41%
	(Other) Men	2%		2%	1%	1%	5%	1%		4%		4%		2%	
	(Other) Women	2%	1%	4%	5%		3%	1%			3%		4%		5%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	6%	7%	4%	8%	3%	10%	7%	5%	7%	7%	9%	3%	8%
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	22%	15%	15%	32%	15%	15%	40%	25%	18%	27%	16%	25%	12%
	Five to ten years	19%	12%	22%	25%	22%	20%	14%	16%	18%	16%	27%	24%	22%	13%
	Ten to twenty years	15%	17%	20%	19%	15%	14%	14%	11%	12%	14%	14%	17%	19%	19%
	More than twenty years	29%	37%	26%	26%	22%	34%	36%	22%	29%	34%	20%	27%	25%	38%
	All your life	7%	6%	10%	9%		11%	8%	1%	8%	7%	5%	7%	6%	10%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%		2%	1%	
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	40%	44%	45%	63%	38%	40%	63%	49%	42%	62%	48%	49%	33%
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	57%	63%	63%	77%	52%	54%	74%	62%	56%	76%	65%	68%	52%
	More than 20 years	36%	43%	36%	35%	22%	46%	44%	23%	36%	42%	24%	33%	31%	48%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	33%	27%	29%	42%	26%	23%	38%	62%		76%		68%	
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%	22%	33%	31%	35%	25%	29%	36%		56%		65%		52%
	More than 20 years Men	14%	12%	15%	16%	6%	23%	14%	11%	36%		24%		31%	
	More than 20 years Women	22%	30%	21%	19%	15%	23%	30%	12%		42%		33%		48%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 212 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			6.EMPLO STATUS COV			OYMENT:			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	ATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	/S RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	46%	46%	47%	42%	69%	63%	42%	58%	39%	46%	63%	100%	
	Rent	49%	49%	49%	48%	51%	27%	33%	55%	35%	56%	51%	32%		100%
	(Other)	4%	4%	3%	3%	6%	1%	4%	3%	7%	4%	3%	4%		
	(Don't know/refused)	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%		1%		1%		
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	20%	26%	20%	18%	41%	22%	22%	22%	22%	23%	19%	47%	
	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%	9%	8%	9%	9%	12%	13%	8%	13%	6%	10%	14%	19%	
	Own CCD 1/2	15%	17%	12%	18%	14%	17%	27%	12%	22%	11%	13%	30%	33%	
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%	18%	19%	18%	19%	5%	13%	20%	13%	19%	19%	14%		37%
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%	19%	20%	20%	16%	11%	8%	23%	10%	26%	20%	7%		39%
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%	12%	10%	11%	16%	11%	11%	12%	12%	11%	12%	11%		23%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%			
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%			
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	4%	1%	0%	4%		
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	24%	14%	26%	16%	23%	29%	18%		39%		30%	45%	
	Own Women	25%	22%	32%	21%	26%	47%	33%	24%	58%		46%	33%	55%	
	Rent Men	22%	23%	20%	25%	16%	10%	12%	26%		56%		8%		46%
	Rent Women	25%	25%	25%	23%	34%	17%	20%	26%	35%		51%	23%		51%
	(Other) Men	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%		2%		4%				
	(Other) Women	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%		4%	1%	7%		3%	4%		
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	6%	8%	6%	6%	1%	3%	8%	6%	7%	8%	4%	2%	12%
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	22%	19%	22%	22%	4%	20%	22%	15%	26%	15%	13%	11%	32%
	Five to ten years	19%	24%	11%	25%	19%	7%	24%	19%	25%	23%	16%	24%	14%	24%
	Ten to twenty years	15%	17%	11%	18%	16%	11%	24%	13%	20%	11%	15%	25%	18%	13%
	More than twenty years	29%	21%	44%	20%	27%	70%	20%	33%	27%	29%	37%	21%	48%	12%
	All your life	7%	8%	5%	8%	9%	3%	9%	6%	6%	3%	9%	12%	5%	7%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	52%	38%	53%	47%	12%	47%	48%	45%	56%	39%	41%	27%	68%
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	69%	48%	71%	63%	23%	71%	61%	66%	67%	54%	66%	46%	81%
	More than 20 years	36%	30%	49%	28%	36%	74%	29%	39%	33%	33%	45%	33%	53%	19%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	37%	17%	42%	22%	4%	31%	31%		67%		29%	24%	38%
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%	31%	29%	29%	39%	18%	38%	27%	66%		54%	36%	21%	40%
	More than 20 years Men	14%	11%	20%	11%	12%	29%	9%	15%		33%		10%	20%	8%
	More than 20 years Women	22%	18%	29%	16%	24%	45%	19%	23%	33%		45%	22%	33%	11%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 213 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		C	OWN VS RE	NT / GEN	IDER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	100%	100%				100%	100%			27%	34%	68%
	Rent	49%			100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	70%	63%	25%
	(Other)	4%										3%	3%	6%
	(Don't know/refused)	1%										0%	0%	1%
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	100%					49%	47%			12%	14%	35%
	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%						17%	22%			3%	6%	15%
	Own CCD 1/2	15%		100%				35%	32%			12%	14%	18%
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%			100%					36%	39%	26%	24%	8%
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%				100%				43%	37%	31%	26%	8%
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%					100%			21%	25%	13%	13%	9%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%										2%	2%	2%
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%										1%	1%	2%
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%										0%	0%	2%
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	46%	47%				100%				16%	18%	26%
	Own Women	25%	54%	53%				,,,,,,	100%			10%	16%	43%
	Rent Men	22%			44%	50%	40%			100%		33%	30%	10%
	Rent Women	25%			53%	48%	54%			,,,,,	100%	33%	31%	15%
	(Other) Men	2%				,.	0.70					1%	1%	3%
	(Other) Women	2%										2%	2%	3%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	3%	2%	11%	12%	12%	1%	3%	9%	14%	14%	11%	0,0
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	10%	16%	38%	32%	23%	18%	5%	36%	26%	44%	34%	
	Five to ten years	19%	13%	18%	19%	32%	18%	18%	11%	26%	23%	41%	31%	
	Ten to twenty years	15%	13%	21%	15%	9%	18%	17%	19%	12%	15%	1170	24%	
	More than twenty years	29%	51%	41%	9%	10%	19%	39%	56%	11%	13%		2.70	81%
	All your life	7%	7%	3%	7%	4%	10%	5%	5%	6%	8%			19%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%		1%	1%		1%	1%		1%			
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	27%	36%	68%	76%	53%	37%	19%	71%	63%	100%	76%	
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	40%	57%	83%	85%	71%	54%	38%	83%	78%	100%	100%	
	More than 20 years	36%	58%	43%	16%	14%	29%	45%	60%	17%	21%			100%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	19%	34%	40%	42%	27%	54%		83%		50%	49%	
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%	20%	22%	40%	41%	38%		38%		78%	46%	49%	
	More than 20 years Men	14%	26%	12%	4%	8%	13%	45%		17%				39%
	More than 20 years Women	22%	32%	31%	12%	6%	16%		60%		21%			60%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 214 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BER	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E /AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP <i>i</i>	ACT OF CO	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	36%	32%	65%	71%	55%	22%	34%	54%	58%	34%	73%	57%	44%	47%	49%
	Rent	49%	61%	64%	27%	25%	41%	68%	64%	41%	40%	60%	25%	39%	50%	49%	44%
	(Other)	4%	2%	3%	7%	5%	3%	7%		4%	2%	5%	1%	3%	4%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/refused)	1%	1%		1%			2%	2%					0%	2%	1%	3%
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	14%	15%	40%	33%	27%	13%	13%	27%	28%	11%	41%	26%	19%	25%	23%
	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%	6%	7%	12%	16%	10%	4%	4%	8%	12%	6%	13%	10%	11%	6%	8%
	Own CCD 1/2	15%	17%	11%	13%	22%	18%	6%	17%	19%	18%	17%	19%	21%	14%	16%	18%
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%	24%	24%	5%	10%	14%	32%	35%	12%	15%	19%	10%	15%	16%	22%	14%
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%	27%	26%	11%	6%	17%	28%	25%	19%	15%	26%	9%	14%	22%	16%	19%
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%	10%	14%	11%	9%	10%	9%	4%	10%	10%	15%	6%	10%	12%	11%	11%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%	1%	2%	4%		2%	4%		2%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%	3%
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%		1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%		1%	2%	3%	0%			1%			1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	36%		65%		25%	2%	17%	54%		19%	31%	28%	20%	22%	20%
	Own Women	25%		32%		71%	30%	21%	17%		58%	15%	43%	29%	24%	25%	28%
	Rent Men	22%	61%		27%		20%	26%	35%	41%		31%	10%	20%	23%	21%	24%
	Rent Women	25%		64%		25%	21%	42%	26%		40%	27%	15%	19%	26%	27%	18%
	(Other) Men	2%	2%		7%		2%	1%		4%		3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
	(Other) Women	2%		3%		5%	1%	5%			2%	2%		2%	3%	1%	2%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	8%	14%			5%	8%	13%	3%	7%	8%	3%	3%	9%	6%	3%
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	38%	27%			19%	18%	41%	25%	13%	35%	5%	22%	18%	26%	19%
	Five to ten years	19%	32%	31%			20%	26%	18%	22%	18%	29%	12%	27%	20%	18%	23%
	Ten to twenty years	15%	22%	28%			16%	16%	13%	16%	15%	16%	15%	19%	16%	16%	12%
	More than twenty years	29%			80%	81%	35%	17%	10%	30%	40%	4%	62%	22%	28%	26%	38%
	All your life	7%			20%	19%	5%	14%	5%	4%	5%	8%	2%	6%	7%	7%	2%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%					1%				1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	78%	72%			44%	53%	73%	49%	38%	72%	20%	52%	46%	50%	45%
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	100%	100%			59%	69%	86%	65%	53%	87%	35%	71%	62%	66%	57%
	More than 20 years	36%			100%	100%	40%	31%	14%	35%	46%	12%	64%	29%	36%	33%	41%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	100%				31%	22%	50%	65%		47%	17%	38%	31%	31%	27%
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%		100%			28%	45%	33%		53%	38%	19%	32%	29%	33%	28%
	More than 20 years Men	14%			100%		16%	7%	3%	35%		6%	25%	11%	12%	14%	20%
	More than 20 years Women	22%				100%	24%	23%	10%		46%	6%	38%	18%	24%	19%	21%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 215 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			INC CHANG	SEHOLD OME SED BY 'ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED:	TO PAY BASIC S NEXT AR		AND EME			AND EME PUBLIC H			MPREHE	
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	43%	51%	57%	25%	18%	57%	27%	43%	55%	47%	37%	59%	45%	36%	57%	43%
	Rent	49%	51%	46%	39%	68%	69%	39%	65%	53%	40%	45%	58%	37%	43%	60%	39%	46%
	(Other)	4%	5%	2%	3%	5%	8%	2%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	7%	3%	3%	7%
	(Don't know/refused)	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	0%	6%	2%	0%	4%
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	20%	25%	26%	11%	6%	28%	11%	21%	20%	26%	19%	27%	18%	17%	27%	18%
	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%	9%	9%	11%	6%	2%	10%	7%	7%	14%	11%	5%	12%	17%	6%	12%	10%
	Own CCD 1/2	15%	14%	17%	20%	8%	10%	19%	9%	14%	21%	9%	13%	21%	10%	12%	18%	16%
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%	20%	17%	13%	27%	24%	14%	24%	21%	12%	12%	23%	14%	11%	23%	16%	10%
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%	18%	19%	15%	28%	25%	15%	28%	21%	15%	19%	25%	11%	18%	25%	13%	23%
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%	13%	10%	11%	13%	20%	10%	13%	11%	12%	13%	11%	12%	14%	12%	11%	13%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%		2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	1%	1%
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	4%	0%	1%	4%
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	19%	22%	27%	9%	4%	27%	9%	20%	24%	18%	16%	29%	13%	19%	25%	11%
	Own Women	25%	24%	29%	30%	16%	15%	30%	18%	23%	31%	29%	21%	30%	32%	17%	31%	32%
	Rent Men	22%	20%	22%	21%	27%	26%	20%	27%	25%	20%	9%	30%	14%	9%	28%	18%	18%
	Rent Women	25%	28%	23%	18%	38%	37%	18%	36%	26%	18%	33%	26%	21%	31%	30%	20%	26%
	(Other) Men	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%		2%	2%	1%	3%		2%	2%		1%	2%	2%
	(Other) Women	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	8%	1%	5%	2%	0%	5%	2%	1%	7%	1%	2%	5%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	8%	5%	5%	10%	4%	6%	8%	9%	1%	4%	9%	3%	7%	9%	5%	6%
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	20%	22%	17%	28%	31%	20%	22%	22%	22%	16%	25%	15%	18%	25%	18%	20%
	Five to ten years	19%	19%	21%	21%	17%	20%	20%	19%	18%	27%	13%	20%	21%	12%	22%	19%	14%
	Ten to twenty years	15%	17%	14%	14%	18%	11%	16%	15%	15%	20%	11%	15%	16%	13%	15%	18%	9%
	More than twenty years	29%	26%	31%	35%	17%	17%	32%	23%	28%	27%	38%	22%	37%	35%	21%	34%	34%
	All your life	7%	9%	5%	5%	10%	15%	4%	12%	7%	3%	13%	7%	7%	10%	7%	6%	12%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	5%	2%	0%	5%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	47%	48%	44%	55%	55%	46%	50%	49%	50%	34%	54%	40%	38%	56%	42%	40%
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	65%	63%	58%	72%	66%	62%	64%	64%	70%	45%	70%	56%	51%	71%	60%	49%
	More than 20 years	36%	34%	35%	40%	27%	32%	36%	35%	35%	30%	51%	29%	44%	44%	28%	40%	46%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	29%	32%	32%	31%	21%	33%	28%	32%	38%	11%	36%	27%	14%	36%	29%	17%
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%	33%	30%	26%	38%	38%	28%	35%	30%	30%	31%	32%	27%	35%	31%	29%	31%
	More than 20 years Men	14%	12%	13%	18%	7%	10%	16%	11%	15%	10%	16%	12%	18%	10%	12%	16%	13%
	More than 20 years Women	22%	22%	23%	23%	20%	22%	20%	24%	20%	19%	35%	16%	26%	34%	15%	24%	33%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 216 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTI	ON FUND S TAX
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
OWN VS RENT	Own	46%	45%	53%	42%	46%	53%	45%	50%	44%
	Rent	49%	51%	43%	47%	51%	40%	51%	45%	49%
	(Other)	4%	3%	3%	8%	3%	6%	4%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/refused)	1%	1%	1%	4%	0%	2%	1%	1%	4%
OWN VS RENT / REGION	Own CCD 5/6/8	22%	20%	27%	22%	20%	26%	22%	20%	25%
	Own CCD 3/4/7	9%	8%	12%	9%	9%	13%	7%	14%	6%
	Own CCD 1/2	15%	16%	14%	11%	17%	13%	16%	15%	13%
	Rent CCD 5/6/8	18%	21%	10%	16%	21%	13%	20%	17%	11%
	Rent CCD 3/4/7	19%	20%	15%	20%	21%	13%	21%	14%	26%
	Rent CCD 1/2	11%	10%	17%	10%	10%	14%	10%	15%	12%
	(Other) CCD 5/6/8	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	
	(Other) CCD 3/4/7	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	
	(Other) CCD 1/2	1%	1%		4%	0%	2%	1%		4%
OWN VS RENT / GENDER	Own Men	20%	19%	30%	9%	19%	27%	19%	25%	18%
	Own Women	25%	25%	22%	32%	26%	26%	26%	25%	25%
	Rent Men	22%	22%	27%	17%	22%	31%	23%	25%	12%
	Rent Women	25%	28%	15%	27%	27%	9%	25%	20%	37%
	(Other) Men	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	
	(Other) Women	2%	2%	2%	5%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	Less than two years	7%	8%	1%	9%	9%	2%	8%	4%	6%
BERKELEY	Two to five years	21%	20%	23%	22%	24%	11%	23%	19%	17%
	Five to ten years	19%	20%	22%	14%	19%	21%	19%	22%	18%
	Ten to twenty years	15%	15%	20%	11%	14%	21%	16%	17%	9%
	More than twenty years	29%	29%	28%	32%	26%	38%	26%	31%	37%
	All your life	7%	7%	6%	9%	7%	7%	7%	5%	10%
	(Don't know/refused)	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	10 years or fewer	47%	48%	46%	45%	52%	33%	50%	45%	41%
BERKELEY	20 years or fewer	63%	63%	66%	56%	66%	54%	65%	62%	50%
	More than 20 years	36%	36%	33%	41%	33%	44%	33%	36%	48%
HOW LONG LIVED IN	20 years or fewer Men	31%	28%	45%	19%	32%	35%	32%	34%	12%
BERKELEY / GENDER	20 years or fewer Women	30%	33%	20%	34%	32%	18%	31%	27%	36%
	More than 20 years Men	14%	14%	15%	10%	11%	25%	12%	17%	18%
	More than 20 years Women	22%	21%	19%	30%	22%	19%	21%	19%	30%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54

# Page 217 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			GE	NDER	Α	GE			AGE				GENDI	ER /AGE	
		TOTAL	Men	Women	Under 50	50 & over	Under 30	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 64	65 & over	Men <50	Women <50	Men 50+	Women 50+
RACE	White alone	61%	64%	60%	53%	71%	43%	61%	61%	67%	73%	58%	50%	72%	70%
	Black	9%	5%	12%	9%	9%	7%	13%	8%	9%	9%	5%	13%	7%	11%
	Latinx	10%	7%	13%	14%	6%	16%	9%	15%	8%	5%	9%	18%	3%	8%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	17%	11%	23%	4%	30%	17%	18%	5%	3%	26%	20%	5%	4%
	Native American	2%	3%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	4%	4%	0%	1%	5%	3%
	Middle Eastern	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	5%	3%	2%	4%		3%	2%	1%	2%
	(Other)	3%	4%	2%	1%	5%	1%		1%	4%	6%	1%	0%	8%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%		3%	3%	1%	1%	3%	3%
RACE/GENDER	White alone men	29%	64%		28%	30%	21%	35%	31%	35%	25%	58%		72%	
	White alone women	32%		60%	24%	41%	19%	24%	30%	32%	48%		50%		70%
	Black men	2%	5%		2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	4%	5%		7%	
	Black women	6%		12%	6%	7%	4%	11%	4%	8%	5%		13%		11%
	Latinx men	3%	7%		4%	1%	5%	4%	4%	1%	2%	9%		3%	
	Latinx women	7%		13%	9%	5%	10%	5%	12%	7%	3%		18%		8%
	API men	8%	17%		12%	2%	16%	8%	11%	3%	2%	26%		5%	
	API women	6%		11%	9%	2%	12%	8%	7%	3%	2%		20%		4%
	Native American men	1%	3%		0%	2%		1%		2%	2%	0%		5%	
	Native American women	1%		2%	1%	2%	1%		1%	2%	2%		1%		3%
	Middle Eastern men	1%	2%		2%	1%	3%		1%	1%		3%		1%	
	Middle Eastern women	1%		2%	1%	1%	2%		1%	3%			2%		2%
	Other men	2%	4%		0%	3%	1%			3%	3%	1%		8%	
	Other women	1%		2%	0%	2%			1%	1%	3%		0%		4%
RACE /AGE	White alone <50	28%	33%	24%	53%		43%	61%	61%			58%	50%		
	White alone 50+	33%	31%	36%		71%				67%	73%			72%	70%
	Black <50	5%	3%	6%	9%		7%	13%	8%			5%	13%		
	Black 50+	4%	3%	6%		9%				9%	9%			7%	11%
	Latinx <50	7%	5%	9%	14%		16%	9%	15%			9%	18%		
	Latinx 50+	3%	1%	4%		6%				8%	5%			3%	8%
	API <50	12%	15%	9%	23%		30%	17%	18%			26%	20%		
	API 50+	2%	2%	2%		4%				5%	3%			5%	4%
	Native American <50	1%	0%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%			0%	1%		
	Native American 50+	2%	2%	2%		4%				4%	4%			5%	3%
	Middle Eastern <50	2%	2%	1%	4%		5%	3%	2%			3%	2%		
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	1%	1%		2%				4%				1%	2%
	Other <50	0%	0%	0%	1%		1%		1%			1%	0%		
	Other 50+	2%	3%	2%		5%				4%	6%			8%	4%
	Count	500	226	265	265	235	110	85	70	105	130	129	126	97	138

# Page 218 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				RTY TRATION	REGISTI	RTY RATION / NDER	PARTY	REGISTR	ATION /	IDEC	LOGY		IDEOLOG	iΥ		LOGY /
		TOTAL	Democr at	No Party Prefere nce	Democr at Men	Democr at Women	Democr at Under 50	Democr at 50 & over	No Party Prefere nce Under 50	Progres sive /liberal	Modera te /conser vative	Progres sive	Liberal	Modera te	Progres sive /liberal Men	
RACE	White alone	61%	62%	58%	64%	62%	52%	72%	55%	66%	49%	70%	62%	53%	68%	66%
	Black	9%	10%	9%	6%	12%	9%	10%	10%	7%	15%	6%	9%	13%	7%	8%
	Latinx	10%	9%	12%	4%	13%	13%	6%	15%	10%	10%	11%	10%	6%	6%	14%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	14%	15%	19%	10%	24%	4%	19%	13%	18%	10%	17%	20%	14%	12%
	Native American	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%		3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%
	Middle Eastern	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	5%	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%
	(Other)	3%	3%		4%	2%	1%	5%		3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%		0%	3%	0%		3%		0%
RACE/GENDER	White alone men	29%	28%	34%	64%		26%	30%	33%	29%	27%	34%	24%	28%	68%	
	White alone women	32%	34%	23%		62%	25%	43%	20%	36%	22%	35%	38%	24%		66%
	Black men	2%	2%	3%	6%		2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	7%	
	Black women	6%	7%	6%		12%	7%	7%	6%	4%	13%	4%	5%	11%		8%
	Latinx men	3%	2%	7%	4%		3%	0%	9%	2%	6%	3%	2%	2%	6%	
	Latinx women	7%	7%	4%	.,,	13%	10%	5%	6%	8%	4%	7%	8%	4%		14%
	API men	8%	8%	6%	19%		14%	2%	10%	6%	13%	4%	8%	15%	14%	
	API women	6%	6%	7%	10,10	10%	9%	2%	7%	6%	5%	5%	8%	4%	,.	12%
	Native American men	1%	1%	3%	2%		0%	1%		1%		1%	1%		3%	
	Native American women	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%		1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2,,	2%
	Middle Eastern men	1%	1%		3%		2%	1%		1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	
	Middle Eastern women	1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	.,,	4%		1%
	Other men	2%	2%		4%		0%	3%		1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	
	Other women	1%	1%			2%	0%	2%		1%		2%	1%			3%
RACE/AGE	White alone <50	28%	26%	37%	29%	23%	52%		55%	30%	24%	34%	25%	28%	34%	26%
	White alone 50+	33%	36%	22%	34%	39%		72%		36%	25%	36%	37%	25%	33%	40%
	Black <50	5%	4%	7%	2%	6%	9%		10%	4%	8%	3%	4%	7%	3%	4%
	Black 50+	4%	5%	3%	3%	6%		10%		4%	7%	3%	4%	7%	4%	4%
	Latinx <50	7%	6%	10%	3%	9%	13%		15%	7%	7%	7%	8%	4%	5%	9%
	Latinx 50+	3%	3%	2%	1%	5%		6%		3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	1%	5%
	API <50	12%	12%	13%	16%	8%	24%		19%	11%	18%	6%	15%	20%	12%	10%
	API 50+	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%		4%		2%		3%	1%		3%	2%
	Native American <50	1%	1%		0%	1%	1%			1%		1%			0%	1%
	Native American 50+	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%		3%		2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%
	Middle Eastern <50	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	4%		1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	3%	1%	0%
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	1%		1%	1%		2%		1%	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%
	Other <50	0%	0%		0%	0%	1%			0%		1%			0%	0%
	Other 50+	2%	3%		4%	2%	.,,	5%		3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%
	Count	500	380	95	166	207	190	191	64	378	91	200	178	77	162	207

# Page 219 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					EDUCATION	ON		EDU	CATION /	SENDER	ED	UCATION	/AGE		REGION	1
		TOTAL	Post H. S.	Non- college grad	College graduat e or post- grad	4-year College grad	Post- graduat e	Non- college women	College men	College women	Non- college <50	College <50	College 50+	CCD 5/6/8	CCD 3/4/7	CCD 1/2
RACE	White alone	61%	59%	53%	65%	60%	69%	44%	64%	66%	49%	55%	76%	63%	58%	63%
	Black	9%	15%	19%	6%	7%	5%	25%	4%	7%	18%	6%	6%	3%	12%	14%
	Latinx	10%	11%	13%	9%	12%	7%	15%	6%	13%	18%	12%	6%	12%	12%	6%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	10%	8%	16%	18%	14%	11%	20%	12%	11%	26%	4%	16%	14%	11%
	Native American	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%		1%	4%	3%	3%	1%
	Middle Eastern	3%		2%	3%	2%	4%		2%	3%	3%	4%	2%	1%	4%	3%
	(Other)	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	0%	5%	4%	1%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%
RACE /GENDER	White alone men	29%	31%	28%	30%	30%	30%		64%		27%	29%	31%	29%	27%	31%
	White alone women	32%	26%	25%	34%	30%	38%	44%		66%	21%	25%	45%	33%	30%	32%
	Black men	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	1%		4%		1%	3%	1%	1%	4%	2%
	Black women	6%	12%	14%	4%	4%	4%	25%		7%	15%	3%	4%	2%	7%	11%
	Latinx men	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%		6%		8%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
	Latinx women	7%	8%	8%	6%	8%	5%	15%		13%	10%	8%	4%	9%	8%	3%
	API men	8%	3%	2%	9%	10%	9%		20%		4%	15%	3%	7%	9%	6%
	API women	6%	8%	6%	6%	8%	5%	11%		12%	7%	10%	1%	9%	4%	5%
	Native American men	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		3%			0%	2%	2%	0%	
	Native American women	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%		3%		1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	Middle Eastern men	1%		2%	1%	1%	1%		2%		3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Middle Eastern women	1%			1%	1%	2%			3%		1%	2%	0%	3%	0%
	Other men	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%		3%		1%		3%	3%	1%	1%
	Other women	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%		2%		0%	2%	1%	0%	2%
RACE /AGE	White alone <50	28%	30%	26%	29%	29%	30%	19%	34%	26%	49%	55%		22%	33%	33%
	White alone 50+	33%	29%	27%	35%	31%	39%	24%	31%	41%			76%	41%	25%	30%
	Black <50	5%	6%	9%	3%	4%	2%	14%	3%	3%	18%	6%		1%	6%	8%
	Black 50+	4%	9%	9%	3%	3%	3%	11%	1%	4%			6%	2%	6%	6%
	Latinx <50	7%	8%	10%	6%	9%	4%	10%	4%	9%	18%	12%		8%	9%	5%
	Latinx 50+	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	4%			6%	4%	3%	1%
	API <50	12%	7%	6%	14%	16%	12%	6%	18%	11%	11%	26%	101	14%	14%	7%
	API 50+	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	5%	3%	1%		407	4%	2%	401	4%
	Native American <50	1%	90/	001	1%	0%	1%	40/	0%	1%		1%	40/	0%	1%	0%
	Native American 50+	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	201	407	4%	3%	2%	1%
	Middle Eastern <50	2%		2%	2%	2%	2%		1%	1%	3%	4%	00/	1%	3%	2%
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	40/	407	1%		2%		1%	1%	40/	00/	2%	0%	1%	1%
	Other <50	0%	1%	1%	0%	00/	0%	20/	20/	0%	1%	0%	50/	40/	1%	0%
	Other 50+	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	0.4	400	5%	4%	0%	2%
	Count	500	99	120	370	175	195	68	172	191	64	199	172	210	150	140

# Page 220 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

					CITY C	OUNCIL D	ISTRICT					REGION	/ GENDE	R	
		TOTAL	CCD1	CCD2	CCD3	CCD4	CCD5	CCD6	CCD8	CCD 5/6/8 Men	CCD 5/6/8 Women	CCD 3/4/7 Men	CCD 3/4/7 Women	CCD 1/2 Men	CCD 1/2 Women
RACE	White alone	61%	73%	55%	59%	60%	60%	73%	54%	63%	62%	59%	58%	71%	60%
	Black	9%	9%	19%	18%	8%	2%		9%	3%	4%	9%	14%	5%	22%
	Latinx	10%	4%	7%	13%	8%	9%	11%	16%	6%	16%	7%	16%	6%	5%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	12%	10%	5%	18%	16%	12%	21%	16%	16%	20%	7%	14%	9%
	Native American	2%	1%	1%	5%	1%	5%	1%	3%	5%	1%	1%	4%		1%
	Middle Eastern	3%	3%	4%	2%	6%			4%	2%	1%	4%	5%	2%	1%
	(Other)	3%		5%	2%		7%	2%	2%	7%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%	1%	5%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%
RACE/GENDER	White alone men	29%	34%	28%	28%	30%	31%	28%	27%	63%		59%		71%	
	White alone women	32%	39%	26%	31%	30%	27%	43%	27%		62%		58%		60%
	Black men	2%	1%	3%	8%	1%			5%	3%		9%		5%	
	Black women	6%	6%	16%	10%	7%	2%		4%		4%		14%		22%
	Latinx men	3%	3%	3%	5%	1%	4%		5%	6%		7%		6%	
	Latinx women	7%	1%	4%	7%	7%	5%	11%	11%		16%		16%		5%
	API men	8%	6%	6%	3%	12%	6%	7%	10%	16%		20%		14%	
	API women	6%	6%	3%		5%	10%	6%	11%		16%		7%		9%
	Native American men	1%			1%		5%		2%	5%		1%			
	Native American women	1%		1%	4%	1%		1%	1%		1%		4%		1%
	Middle Eastern men	1%		2%	1%	3%			3%	2%		4%		2%	
	Middle Eastern women	1%	1%		1%	3%			1%		1%		5%		1%
	Other men	2%		1%	1%		4%	2%	2%	7%		1%		1%	
	Other women	1%		4%	1%		3%				2%		1%		4%
RACE/AGE	White alone <50	28%	36%	30%	31%	36%	21%	21%	25%	25%	18%	38%	28%	40%	28%
	White alone 50+	33%	37%	24%	28%	24%	39%	52%	29%	38%	44%	21%	30%	31%	32%
	Black <50	5%	5%	12%	7%	7%			4%	2%	1%	3%	9%	4%	11%
	Black 50+	4%	5%	8%	11%	1%	2%		5%	1%	3%	6%	5%	1%	11%
	Latinx <50	7%	4%	6%	9%	7%	6%	4%	14%	4%	11%	6%	11%	6%	3%
	Latinx 50+	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	3%	6%	3%	2%	6%	1%	4%		2%
	API <50	12%	8%	7%	5%	18%	14%	8%	21%	13%	15%	20%	7%	12%	3%
	API 50+	2%	5%	3%			2%	5%		3%	1%			2%	5%
	Native American <50	1%	1%		1%	1%		1%			1%	1%	1%		
	Native American 50+	2%		1%	4%		5%		3%	5%	1%		3%		1%
	Middle Eastern <50	2%	2%	2%	2%	5%			3%	2%		4%	3%		
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	1%	2%		2%			1%		1%		1%	2%	1%
	Other <50	0%		1%	1%							1%			1%
	Other 50+	2%		4%	1%		7%	2%	2%	7%	2%		1%	1%	3%
	Count	500	67	73	68	61	78	73	59	96	112	68	79	61	74

# Page 221 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			STATUS	DYMENT BEFORE ID-19		OYMENT ORE COV			DREN ER 18	PAR	ENTAL ST	TATUS	CHILDR EN ENROL LED IN BERKE LEY PUBLIC SCHOO LS	OWN \	VS RENT
		TOTAL	Employ ed	Not employ ed	Employ ed full- time	Employ ed part- time	Retired	Yes	No	Mother	Childle ss man	Childle ss woman	Yes	Own	Rent
RACE	White alone	61%	62%	61%	60%	66%	73%	59%	64%	50%	63%	65%	62%	74%	52%
	Black	9%	8%	12%	6%	12%	10%	17%	6%	24%	5%	8%	19%	5%	12%
	Latinx	10%	13%	5%	13%	12%	2%	14%	8%	18%	6%	11%	12%	5%	14%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	13%	16%	15%	6%	5%	11%	15%	11%	19%	11%	10%	10%	18%
	Native American	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	3%
	Middle Eastern	3%	3%	2%	4%	1%		4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
	(Other)	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	7%	0%	4%	1%	5%	3%	1%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	5%		2%		1%	2%		1%	2%
RACE/GENDER	White alone men	29%	33%	21%	36%	25%	23%	30%	29%		63%		30%	34%	24%
	White alone women	32%	28%	38%	25%	41%	50%	29%	33%	50%		65%	31%	40%	26%
	Black men	2%	2%	4%	1%	3%	5%	3%	2%		5%		3%	2%	3%
	Black women	6%	6%	8%	5%	9%	5%	14%	4%	24%		8%	15%	3%	9%
	Latinx men	3%	4%	1%	4%	4%		4%	3%		6%		1%	0%	5%
	Latinx women	7%	8%	4%	8%	8%	2%	11%	6%	18%		11%	11%	4%	9%
	API men	8%	7%	9%	8%	2%	2%	5%	9%		19%		5%	5%	10%
	API women	6%	6%	6%	7%	4%	3%	6%	5%	11%		11%	5%	5%	8%
	Native American men	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%		1%		3%			1%	2%
	Native American women	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		3%	1%	1%	2%
	Middle Eastern men	1%	1%	1%	2%			2%	1%		2%			1%	1%
	Middle Eastern women	1%	1%	1%	2%			2%	1%	3%		2%	2%	1%	1%
	Other men	2%	2%	2%	2%		3%		2%		5%			2%	2%
	Other women	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%	0%	1%	1%	370	3%	1%	2%	0%
RACE /AGE	White alone <50	28%	35%	15%	36%	30%	770	35%	26%	31%	31%	21%	35%	21%	35%
	White alone 50+	33%	27%	46%	24%	36%	73%	24%	37%	20%	32%	44%	27%	53%	17%
	Black <50	5%	5%	4%	4%	7%	1,5	12%	2%	17%	2%	2%	14%	1%	7%
	Black 50+	4%	3%	8%	2%	5%	10%	5%	4%	7%	3%	6%	5%	3%	6%
	Latinx <50	7%	9%	3%	9%	10%	1,0	11%	6%	12%	4%	7%	7%	2%	11%
	Latinx 50+	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%	3%	6%	2%	4%	5%	3%	3%
	API <50	12%	11%	13%	13%	6%		10%	12%	11%	17%	8%	10%	7%	18%
	API 50+	2%	2%	3%	2%		5%	1%	2%		2%	3%		4%	1%
	Native American <50	1%	0%	1%	1%			1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%		1%
	Native American 50+	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%		2%		2%	2%		1%	2%
	Middle Eastern <50	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%		2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	1%		2%			2%	0%	2%		1%	2%	2%	
	Other <50	0%	0%		1%			0%	0%	1%	0%		1%	0%	0%
	Other 50+	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	7%		3%		4%	3%		3%	1%
	Count	500	327	168	254	73	96	123	365	71	171	186	76	230	245

# Page 222 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

				OWN	VS RENT /	REGION		c	WN VS RE	NT / GEN	IDER		LONG LIV	
		TOTAL	Own CCD 5/6/8	Own CCD 1/2	Rent CCD 5/6/8	Rent CCD 3/4/7	Rent CCD 1/2	Own Men	Own Women	Rent Men	Rent Women	10 years or fewer	20 years or fewer	More than 20 years
RACE	White alone	61%	77%	74%	47%	54%	55%	76%	73%	54%	51%	57%	58%	69%
	Black	9%	1%	5%	7%	11%	24%	5%	5%	7%	18%	7%	8%	10%
	Latinx	10%	6%	4%	17%	14%	8%	1%	8%	12%	17%	11%	11%	9%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	9%	16%	27%	18%	5%	12%	9%	22%	15%	21%	19%	6%
	Native American	2%	1%		5%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	3%
	Middle Eastern	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	4%	4%	1%
	(Other)	3%	5%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%	2%		1%		5%	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%	1%
RACE /GENDER	White alone men	29%	36%	36%	19%	28%	26%	76%		54%		30%	30%	28%
	White alone women	32%	40%	37%	25%	25%	28%		73%		51%	26%	27%	40%
	Black men	2%		1%	3%	2%	4%	5%		7%		2%	2%	3%
	Black women	6%	1%	4%	4%	8%	19%		5%		18%	5%	6%	7%
	Latinx men	3%		1%	7%	4%	5%	1%		12%		3%	4%	2%
	Latinx women	7%	6%	3%	10%	10%	3%		8%		17%	8%	7%	7%
	API men	8%	4%	8%	12%	12%	4%	12%		22%		13%	11%	1%
	API women	6%	4%	7%	15%	4%	1%		9%		15%	8%	7%	4%
	Native American men	1%	1%		4%	1%		1%		4%		1%	1%	1%
	Native American women	1%			1%	2%	1%		1%		3%	0%	1%	1%
	Middle Eastern men	1%		2%	2%	2%		2%		3%		2%	2%	
	Middle Eastern women	1%	1%	1%		2%			2%		1%	1%	1%	1%
	Other men	2%	3%		2%	1%	1%	3%		3%		1%	1%	3%
	Other women	1%	2%	3%		1%			3%		1%	0%	1%	2%
RACE /AGE	White alone <50	28%	14%	32%	29%	38%	38%	27%	17%	39%	31%	43%	39%	10%
	White alone 50+	33%	62%	42%	19%	16%	17%	50%	56%	15%	20%	14%	19%	59%
	Black <50	5%		1%	3%	7%	13%	1%	1%	4%	9%	5%	5%	4%
	Black 50+	4%	1%	3%	4%	4%	11%	4%	3%	2%	9%	2%	3%	6%
	Latinx <50	7%	2%	3%	14%	12%	7%	1%	3%	9%	14%	9%	9%	3%
	Latinx 50+	3%	4%	1%	4%	3%	1%		5%	3%	3%	2%	1%	5%
	API <50	12%	5%	9%	25%	18%	5%	7%	6%	22%	13%	19%	18%	3%
	API 50+	2%	3%	7%	2%			5%	3%		1%	2%	2%	3%
	Native American <50	1%			1%	1%				1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	Native American 50+	2%	1%		5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%
	Middle Eastern <50	2%		1%	2%	4%	2%	1%		3%	1%	3%	3%	0%
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	1%	3%				1%	2%			1%	1%	1%
	Other <50	0%		1%		1%			0%	1%		0%	0%	0%
	Other 50+	2%	5%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	1%	4%
	Count	500	109	76	91	97	57	102	127	112	125	237	313	179

# Page 223 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			HOW L	ONG LIVE	D IN BERI	KELEY/		RACE		RACE	/GENDER	RAC	E/AGE	SOURC E OF NEWS ABOUT BERKE LEY	4.IMP/	ACT OF C	OVID-19
		TOTAL	20 years or fewer Men	20 years or fewer Women	More than 20 years Men	More than 20 years Women	White alone	Latinx	Asian Americ an or Pacific Islande r	White alone men	White alone women	White alone <50	White alone 50+	Berkele yside	Serious	Modera te	Minor /no impact
RACE	White alone	61%	62%	56%	72%	67%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	69%	55%	64%	74%
	Black	9%	4%	12%	8%	11%		6%	1%					6%	12%	6%	3%
	Latinx	10%	7%	15%	5%	11%		100%	5%					7%	13%	8%	7%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	23%	15%	3%	7%		8%	100%					14%	12%	17%	13%
	Native American	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%		8%	1%					1%	2%	3%	1%
	Middle Eastern	3%	4%	2%		2%		3%	3%					1%	4%	3%	
	(Other)	3%	2%	1%	7%	3%		2%						2%	4%	1%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%		2%	2%	1%		3%							3%	1%	3%
RACE /GENDER	White alone men	29%	62%		72%		47%			100%		53%	42%	35%	26%	30%	34%
	White alone women	32%		56%		67%	52%				100%	44%	58%	34%	28%	33%	39%
	Black men	2%	4%		8%									2%	4%	1%	1%
	Black women	6%	.,,	12%		11%		5%						4%	8%	5%	2%
	Latinx men	3%	7%	1270	5%	1170		29%	1%					3%	4%	3%	2%
İ	Latinx women	7%	1,0	15%	070	11%		68%	3%					4%	9%	5%	5%
	API men	8%	23%	1376	3%	1170		2%	54%					7%	6%	9%	8%
	API women	6%	23/0	15%	370	7%		5%	43%					7%	6%	8%	2%
	Native American men	1%	2%	1576	4%	1 /0		4%	43/0					1 /0	1%	1%	270
	Native American women	1%	270	2%	470	2%		3%						1%	1%	1%	1%
	Middle Feetens man	40/	40/						00/					00/	00/	00/	
	Middle Eastern men Middle Eastern women	1%	4%	2%		2%		2%	2%					0%	2% 1%	0% 2%	
				273		270		270									
	Other men	2%	2%		7%									1%	2%	1%	
	Other women	1%		1%		3%		2%						1%	2%		1%
RACE /AGE	White alone <50	28%	44%	35%	11%	8%	46%			52%	39%	100%		39%	27%	29%	30%
	White alone 50+	33%	18%	20%	60%	59%	54%			48%	61%		100%	30%	28%	35%	45%
	Black <50	5%	3%	7%	2%	5%		6%	1%					4%	6%	4%	1%
	Black 50+	4%	1%	6%	6%	6%		1%						2%	6%	2%	2%
	Latinx <50	7%	6%	13%	4%	3%		72%	4%					5%	9%	6%	6%
	Latinx 50+	3%	2%	2%	1%	8%		28%	1%					2%	4%	2%	1%
	API <50	12%	20%	15%	3%	2%		6%	85%					12%	9%	16%	9%
	API 50+	2%	3%			5%		2%	15%					2%	3%	1%	4%
	Native American <50	1%	0%	1%				5%	1%					0%	0%	1%	1%
	Native American 50+	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%		3%						0%	2%	2%	
	Middle Eastern <50	2%	3%	2%				1%	1%					1%	2%	2%	
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	1%	1%		2%		2%	2%						1%	1%	
	Other <50	0%	1%			1%		1%						0%	1%		
	Other 50+	2%	1%	1%	7%	3%		1%						2%	4%	1%	1%
	Count	500	153	152	70	108	307	50	70	145	159	141	166	190	235	188	75

# Page 224 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY -- BERKELEY, CA -- MAY 2020

			INC CHAN	SEHOLD OME GED BY (ID-19		TO PAY R		FOR NEED	E TO PAY BASIC S NEXT EAR		AND EME			AND EME			OMPREHE	-
		TOTAL	Decrea sed	No change	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Not likely	Very likely	Somew hat /not likely	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
RACE	White alone	61%	52%	68%	70%	48%	41%	72%	44%	62%	65%	53%	59%	66%	57%	57%	67%	59%
	Black	9%	11%	6%	6%	13%	18%	5%	15%	6%	12%	20%	6%	11%	18%	7%	10%	13%
	Latinx	10%	13%	8%	7%	16%	21%	5%	18%	12%	6%	7%	12%	8%	5%	13%	9%	4%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	16%	12%	12%	19%	17%	11%	20%	16%	12%	7%	19%	9%	8%	18%	11%	13%
	Native American	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%		2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	Middle Eastern	3%	5%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%
	(Other)	3%	4%	2%	2%	2%		2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	6%	3%	2%	2%	1%	7%	2%	1%	8%	3%	1%	4%
RACE/GENDER	White alone men	29%	25%	29%	34%	21%	20%	35%	20%	30%	35%	14%	31%	30%	16%	31%	30%	19%
	White alone women	32%	26%	39%	35%	26%	21%	37%	24%	32%	29%	37%	28%	35%	38%	25%	35%	39%
	Black men	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%		2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	Black women	6%	8%	4%	3%	12%	18%	3%	11%	4%	9%	15%	4%	7%	16%	4%	7%	11%
	Latinx men	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	6%	2%	5%	4%	1%		4%	2%		5%	1%	
	Latinx women	7%	9%	6%	5%	10%	13%	4%	12%	8%	4%	7%	7%	6%	5%	7%	7%	4%
	API men	8%	6%	9%	8%	8%	4%	8%	7%	9%	5%	2%	11%	5%	1%	9%	7%	5%
	API women	6%	10%	3%	4%	10%	10%	3%	12%	6%	6%	5%	8%	4%	6%	8%	4%	8%
	Native American men	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%		2%	1%		2%	1%	
	Native American women	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Middle Eastern men	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Middle Eastern women	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%		1%	2%		0%	2%	1%
	Other men	2%	3%	0%	1%	2%		1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%
	Other women	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%
RACE/AGE	White alone <50	28%	25%	30%	28%	30%	23%	30%	26%	29%	32%	17%	30%	28%	20%	29%	31%	19%
	White alone 50+	33%	27%	38%	42%	18%	18%	42%	18%	33%	33%	36%	30%	37%	37%	28%	36%	41%
	Black <50	5%	6%	3%	3%	7%	14%	2%	9%	3%	5%	11%	4%	5%	9%	5%	4%	7%
	Black 50+	4%	5%	4%	3%	7%	4%	2%	6%	3%	7%	9%	2%	6%	9%	2%	6%	7%
	Latinx <50	7%	8%	7%	4%	12%	15%	3%	13%	9%	5%	4%	8%	8%	1%	9%	7%	2%
	Latinx 50+	3%	5%	1%	3%	3%	5%	2%	5%	3%	1%	3%	4%	0%	4%	4%	1%	3%
	API <50	12%	14%	10%	10%	17%	15%	10%	17%	14%	10%	7%	16%	7%	8%	16%	9%	10%
	API 50+	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%		3%	1%		2%	2%	4%
	Native American <50	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%		0%	1%	1%	1%		1%			1%		
	Native American 50+	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%		1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	Middle Eastern <50	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	2%		0%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%		1%	1%	
	Other <50	0%	0%	0%		1%			1%	0%			0%	0%		0%	0%	
	Other 50+	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%		2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%
	Count	500	219	244	307	177	53	313	175	326	113	60	268	178	53	209	221	68

# Page 225 of 239

#### CITY OF BERKELEY - BERKELEY, CA - MAY 2020

			16.	WILDFIRE	TAX	ACTIO	IMATE N FUND ND GAS		ATE ACTI	
		TOTAL	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK	Yes	No	Yes	No	Undeci ded/DK
RACE	White alone	61%	64%	57%	52%	63%	60%	64%	63%	44%
	Black	9%	6%	14%	21%	8%	6%	6%	12%	20%
	Latinx	10%	12%	8%	3%	10%	13%	11%	7%	12%
	Asian American or Pacific Islander	14%	14%	14%	14%	16%	9%	15%	11%	16%
	Native American	2%	2%	5%	1%	2%	6%	2%	3%	3%
	Middle Eastern	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	4%	2%
	(Other)	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3%	4%
RACE /GENDER	White alone men	29%	28%	38%	16%	28%	39%	29%	36%	12%
	White alone women	32%	35%	19%	34%	35%	20%	34%	26%	33%
	Black men	2%	1%	6%	3%	2%	2%	1%	4%	5%
	Black women	6%	4%	8%	18%	6%	4%	4%	8%	15%
	Latinx men	3%	4%	2%		2%	9%	4%	3%	
	Latinx women	7%	8%	6%	3%	8%	4%	7%	5%	11%
	API men	8%	7%	9%	7%	8%	5%	9%	5%	9%
	API women	6%	6%	5%	8%	7%	4%	6%	6%	8%
	Native American men	1%	1%	3%		1%	4%	1%	2%	
	Native American women	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%
	Middle Eastern men	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
	Middle Eastern women	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	4%	0%	2%	2%
	Other men	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%
	Other women	1%	1%		2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	
RACE /AGE	White alone <50	28%	29%	28%	21%	33%	17%	31%	28%	12%
	White alone 50+	33%	35%	29%	31%	31%	43%	33%	35%	32%
	Black <50	5%	3%	8%	9%	4%	3%	3%	6%	10%
	Black 50+	4%	3%	6%	12%	4%	3%	3%	6%	9%
	Latinx <50	7%	8%	8%	2%	7%	10%	8%	5%	7%
	Latinx 50+	3%	4%		1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%
	API <50	12%	12%	12%	13%	13%	9%	13%	10%	11%
	API 50+	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%		2%	1%	5%
	Native American <50	1%	1%	1%		0%	1%	0%	0%	2%
	Native American 50+	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	5%	2%	3%	1%
	Middle Eastern <50	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	Middle Eastern 50+	1%	1%	1%		1%	1%	0%	2%	
	Other <50	0%	0%			0%		0%		
	Other 50+	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%	4%
	Count	500	345	102	53	371	81	303	142	54



ACTION CALENDAR
June 9, 2020
(Continued from June 2, 2020)

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Submitted by: David A. White, Deputy City Manager

Subject: Presentation and Discussion of Community Survey Results and Direction About Next Steps

for Possible Ballot Measure Development

### RECOMMENDATION

Discuss results of the community survey and provide direction to the City Manager about the drafting of possible measures for inclusion on the November 2020 ballot.

### **SUMMARY**

At the April 28, 2020 City Council meeting, City Council asked staff to undertake a community survey to determine the ways in which the community has been impacted by COVID-19 and to include questions about the following possible ballot measures:

- A parcel tax, at varying levels per square foot, to address fire, emergency medical service, and public health needs. This tax requires a two-thirds vote for approval.
- A parcel tax for wildfire prevention activities. This tax requires a two-thirds vote for approval
- An increase in the utility user tax by 2.5 percent to generate resources for a climate action fund. A
  special tax requires a two-thirds vote for approval and a general tax requires approval by a simple
  majority of voters.
- A tax on wholesale distributors of gas and diesel fuel to generate resources for a climate action fund.

Lake Research Partners conducted the survey from May 16 through May 19. The results from the survey will not be available until after the filing deadline for this report. The final questionnaire is attached and a summary of the survey results will be provided to Council in a supplemental report.

If the City Council wishes to move forward with placing a revenue measure (or measures) on the ballot in the fall, city staff will need direction on the specifics of the measure(s) to allow adequate time to draft final ballot measure language for Council consideration and to meet County Registrar of Voter deadlines for the November 2020 ballot.

Discussion and Direction Regarding Potential Ballot Measures for the November 3, 2020 General Municipal Election

ACTION CALENDAR June 9, 2020

### FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION

The total cost of implementing the community survey is estimated at \$27,438 and staff does not anticipate spending more than \$30,000 under the contract with Lake Research Partners that was approved by City Council. The total amount authorized by City Council to administer two community surveys was an amount not-to-exceed \$75,000. City staff estimates generating savings of at least \$45,000 by implementing only one community survey.

### **CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS**

On April 28, 2020, City Council provided direction to city staff to move forward with a community survey with focused questions to understand the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on residents and the level of support for a number of revenue measures. Lake Research Partners designed and administered a 15-minute community survey for the City of Berkeley which was conducted by telephone (May 16-19, 2020) using professional interviewers. The results of the survey will be provided to City Council in a supplemental report. If the City Council wishes to move forward with placing a revenue measure (or measures) on the ballot in the fall, city staff will need direction on the specifics of the measure(s) to allow adequate time to draft final ballot measure language for Council consideration and to meet County Registrar of Voters deadlines for the November 2020 ballot.

In order to meet the deadlines set by the Alameda County Registrar of Voters to place items on the November ballot, the following timeline has been developed for the Council's consideration:

June 2, 2020 Action Calendar	Presentation and Discussion of Community Survey Results and Provide Direction on Ballot Language
July 14, 2020 Action Calendar	Draft Ballot Language to Council (from June 2 direction)
July 28, 2020 Action Calendar	Last Council meeting before recess; Adopt Final Ballot Language and Resolutions placing measures on the ballot
August 7, 2020	Last Day to Place a Measure on the Ballot

### BACKGROUND

On January 28, staff delivered a presentation to the City Council on fire and emergency services and various operational and system enhancements as well as funding options that included ballot measures, fees, and special studies. On February 4, 2020, staff provided an overview to the City Council on the ballot schedule and discussed the items that could be part of a community survey along with a variety of potential ballot measures that were being considered for the November 3, 2020 ballot. On February 11, 2020, staff delivered a subsequent presentation to City Council and received direction to survey the community on a number of revenue measures. The original timeline indicated that the first, of two, surveys would take place two weeks after the March 3, 2020 primary with city staff returning to City Council on April 14, 2020 with the results of the first survey. However, due to the local, state, and national emergencies that were declared as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and the shelter-in-place orders that were issued on March 17, 2020 and on March 31, 2020, the community survey was not administered. City staff provided an update to City Council on April 28, 2020 and received direction to survey the community on the impacts of COVID-19 and the following revenue measures:

• A parcel tax, at varying levels per square foot, to address fire, emergency medical service, and public health needs.

Discussion and Direction Regarding Potential Ballot Measures for the November 3, 2020 General Municipal Election

ACTION CALENDAR June 9, 2020

- A parcel tax for wildfire prevention activities.
- An increase in the utility user tax by 2.5 percent to generate resources for a climate action fund.
- A tax on wholesale distributors of gas and diesel fuel to generate resources for a climate action fund.

In addition to the revenue measures listed above, the following is a list of other measures that are being considered for the November 3, 2020 ballot:

- Police Charter Amendment. This item was scheduled for the City Council meeting on April 14, 2020. The Council voted to place the item on the November 3, 2020 ballot.
- Tax / User Fee on ride share companies for prearranged rides originating in the City of Berkeley.
- Addressing the GANN limit. This is an item that has to be placed on the ballot every four (4) years
  that allows the City to continue to spend the proceeds of various taxes that were previously
  approved by the voters. The City last placed this item on the 2016 ballot and City Council will be
  asked to place this item on the ballot on June 2, 2020.
- Eliminate the requirement in the Charter (Article VII, Section 37a) that requires the following: "Any sworn member of the fire department who is hired subsequent to January 1, 1995, may not reside greater than a radius of forty (40) air miles from the boundaries of the City of Berkeley."
- Revising certain sections of the Charter and Municipal Code to use gender neutral language (two
  measures one for the Charter and one for the BMC).
- City Council salaries. This item initially appeared on the April 28, 2020 City Council Agenda and was continued to the City Council meeting on June 2, 2020.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**

There are no identifiable environmental effects or opportunities associated with the action requested in this report.

### RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

Lake Research Partners has implemented the community survey at the direction of City Council and city staff requires feedback and additional direction in order to draft ballot language if the City Council would like to place any revenue measures on the ballot.

### ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED

None.

### **CONTACT PERSON**

David A. White, Deputy City Manager, 981-7012

#### Attachment:

1: City of Berkeley Community Survey Questionnaire



**ATTACHMENT 1** 

Strategy • Precision • Impact

City of Berkeley Community Survey Draft Questionnaire Phone and Text to Online May 2020

May 2020
N=500 Likely Nov 2020 General Election Voters
Target Length: 15 minutes Current length: 15 minutes
Hello. My name is I'm calling from McGuire Research. We are conducting a public opinion survey and would like to ask you some questions. We are not selling anything, and won't ask you for a contribution or donation.
May I please speak with (VOTER NAME FROM FILE)? ACCEPT NO SUBSTITUTES
<b>Q1.</b> Before we begin, I need to know if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place where you can talk safely?
Yes, cell and can talk safely
Q2. Could you please tell me your gender? [DO NOT READ OPTIONS]
Male       1         Female       2         Non-binary/other       3

Q3. Although it is some time from now, what are the chances of you voting in the November 2020 general election for President, Congress, and other offices? Are you almost certain to vote, will you probably vote, are the chances about 50-50, are you probably not going to vote, or are you definitely not going to vote?

Almost certain to vote	. 1
Probably will vote	. 2
50-50 [TERMINATE]	. 3
Probably not [TERMINATE]	
Definitely not [TERMINATE]	
Don't know [TERMINATE]	

# Page 230 of 239

about all of the effects, including financial concerns and physical and mental health, would you say the impact on your household has been very serious, fairly serious, moderate, minor, or no impact at all?
Very serious       1         Fairly serious       2         Moderate       3         Minor       4         No impact       5         (Don't know)       6
Q5. In your own words, what has been the single greatest challenge or difficulty for you and your household as a result of the coronavirus pandemic?
[RECORD VERBATIM – PROMPT FOR SPECIFIC CONCERN]
<b>Q6</b> . Before we were asked to shelter in place in mid-March, what was your employment status – were you: <b>[READ IN ORDER]</b>
you: [READ IN ORDER]  Employed full-time
you: <b>[READ IN ORDER]</b> Employed full-time
you: <b>[READ IN ORDER]</b> Employed full-time
you: [READ IN ORDER]  Employed full-time
you: [READ IN ORDER]  Employed full-time
you: [READ IN ORDER]         Employed full-time       1         Employed part-time       2         Unemployed       3         Retired       4         Homemaker or stay at home parent       5         Full-time student       6         On disability       7
you: [READ IN ORDER]  Employed full-time

Q7. [IF EMPLOYED (Q6 = 1 - 2)] I am going to read some ways in which people's work lives have I	been
affected by the coronavirus. Please tell me which of the following apply to you, you can give mu	ltiple
responses: [READ AND RANDOMIZE 1-7. ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES]	

I have been laid off or furloughed	. 1
My hours at work have been reduced	. 2
I am required to work from home by my employer	. 3
I am taking paid time off	. 4
I am taking unpaid time off	
I am a small business owner and my business is	
currently closed	. 6
I am a small business owner and my business is	
currently open	. 7
(Other: [OPEN END])	
(My work life has not changed)	. 9
· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

**Q8**. Now thinking about the monthly income of your household, including everyone in your home, and income from all sources including paid work, retirement, and deferred compensation, how much has your household income changed since we were asked to shelter in place in mid-March? Would you say that it has increased significantly, increased somewhat, there has been no change, decreased somewhat, or decreased significantly?

Decreased significantly	1
Decreased somewhat	2
No change	3
Increased somewhat	4
Increased significantly	5
(Not sure)	

**Q9**. Now thinking about your expected household income over the next year, how likely is it that you will be able to pay your rent or mortgage on time every month for the next year? Would you say that is very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

Very likely	1
Somewhat likely	2
Not very likely	
Not at all likely	
(Don't know)	

**Q10**. Again thinking about your expected household income over the next year, how likely is it that you will be able to pay for your other basic needs, like food, healthcare, utilities, and other essentials for the next year? Would you say that is very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

Very likely	1
Somewhat likely	2
Not very likely	
Not at all likely	
(Don't know)	

**Q11.** At this time, do you think the City of Berkeley should focus solely on responding to the coronavirus pandemic and providing basic city services, or do you think that the city should pursue other goals as well, such as supporting small businesses, increasing affordable housing, and addressing homelessness and the environment?

Solely coronavirus outbreak and basic services	1
Pursue other goals as well	2
(Neither)	
(Not sure)	

**Q12.** Now I'm going to read you some different areas where investment in the City of Berkeley may be needed in the next few years. Please tell me how important you feel it is for the City of Berkeley to address each of the following: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, not too important, or not important at all. If you are not sure how you feel about a particular item, please say so. **[RANDOMIZE]** 

**AFTER EACH**: And would you say that extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important. If you are not sure how you feel about a particular item, please say so.

### Column:

Extremely important	1
Very important	
Somewhat important	
Not too important	
Not important at all	
(Don't know)	6

- a. Climate change and sustainability
- b. Fire safety and emergency medical response, including training for first responders, additional ambulances, paramedics, and modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system
- c. Wildfire prevention, including vegetation management, an outdoor warning system, fire safety, and evacuation planning
- d. Improving response to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information
- e. Affordable housing and homeless services

Now, I'm going to read some ballot measures that may appear on the ballot in Berkeley this

November. Here's the first one.

# [ROTATE Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16 WITH Q17, Q18; Q14, Q15, Q16 ALWAYS FOLLOW Q13 IN ORDER; ALSO ROTATE Q17 WITH Q18]

Q13. [FIRE AND EMERGENCY ESSENTIAL] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and emergency tax of 8 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 150 dollars per year on an average home, generating 6.4 million dollars annually, to fund essential local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, and upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities, and modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly	1
Yes - not so strongly	
Lean yes	3
Undecided	
Lean no	5
No - not so strongly	6
No - strongly	7
(Don't know)	
(Refused)	

Now I am going to ask you a different version of this same measure.

**Q14**. [FIRE AND EMERGENCY PLUS PUBLIC HEALTH] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and emergency tax of \$0.17 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 325 dollars per year on an average home, generating 14 million dollars annually, to fund local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities, modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system, and expand funding so that the City can better respond to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including expanded funding for contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly	1
Yes - not so strongly	
Lean yes	3
Undecided	4
Lean no	5
No - not so strongly	6
No - strongly	7
(Don't know)	8
(Refused)	9
·	

Now I am going to ask you another version of this same measure.

Q15. [COMPREHENSIVE HEALTH AND SAFETY] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a fire and health and safety tax of 26.3 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 500 dollars per year on an average home, generating 21.4 million dollars annually, to fund local firefighter and paramedic emergency response, including new ambulances, additional hiring and training for firefighters and paramedics, upgrading or replacing safety equipment, including vehicles, facilities modernizing the 9-1-1 dispatch system, expand funding so that the City can better respond to public health emergencies such as the coronavirus pandemic, including expanded funding for contact tracing, vaccines, testing, education and health information, and to fund wildfire prevention, fire safety, an outdoor emergency warning system, and evacuation planning?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Now I am going to ask about a different measure related to wildfire services.

**Q16**. [WILDFIRE TAX] Shall the City of Berkeley enact a wildfire prevention parcel tax of 2.5 cents per square foot of building on property owners, estimated at 47 dollars per year on an average home, generating 2 million dollars annually, to fund wildfire prevention, fire safety, an outdoor emergency warning system, and evacuation planning?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]**: Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly	1
Yes - not so strongly	2
Lean yes	
Undecided	
Lean no	5
No - not so strongly	6
No - strongly	7
(Don't know)	
(Refused)	

**Q17**. [**CLIMATE ACTION FUND OIL AND GAS**] Shall the City of Berkeley create a Climate Action Fund to provide incentives for Berkeley residents and businesses to reduce global warming emissions? Money for the Climate Action Fund would come from a tax on wholesale distributors of gas and diesel fuel, for measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and local air pollution, including increasing electric vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and other clean transportation options, increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy use in homes and businesses, and investing in clean and reliable back-up power for emergency services facilities and other general municipal services?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly	1
Yes - not so strongly	2
Lean yes	3
Undecided	4
Lean no	5
No - not so strongly	6
No - strongly	7
(Don't know)	8
(Refused)	

Now I am going to ask you another version of this same measure.

Q18. [CLIMATE ACTION FUND UTILITY USERS TAX] Shall the City of Berkeley create a Climate Action Fund that would be funded by an increase in the Utility Users Tax from 7.5% to 10%, that would generate 4 million dollars annually for measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and local air pollution, including increasing electric vehicle, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and other clean transportation options, increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy use in homes and businesses, and investing in clean and reliable back-up power for emergency services facilities and other general municipal services?

If the election were held today, would you vote yes or no on this measure, or are you undecided?

**[IF YES/NO]:** And do you support/oppose this measure strongly or not so strongly? **[IF UNDECIDED]:** Well, to which side do you lean?

Yes - strongly	1
Yes - not so strongly	2
Lean yes	3
Undecided	
Lean no	5
No - not so strongly	
No - strongly	
(Don't know)	

### Page 237 of 239

(Refused)9
Finally, I would like to ask you a few questions for statistical purposes only.
Q19. [T] In terms of local politics, do you consider yourself progressive, liberal, moderate, or conservative?
Progressive       1         Liberal       2         Moderate       3         Conservative       4         (Don't know)       5         (Refused)       6
Q20. [T*] From which one of these sources do you get most of your news about Berkeley? [RANDOMIZE 1-10]
East Bay Times or Berkeley Voice
Q21. [T*] What is the last year of schooling that you have completed? [DO NOT READ]
1-11th grade       1         High school graduate       2         Non-college post H.S       3         Some college       4         Associate's degree       5         College graduate       6         Post-graduate school       7         (Don't know/refused)       8
Q22. [T] Do you have any children 18 years of age or younger living at home with you?
Yes

### Page 238 of 239

Q23. [IF Q22=YES] [T] Are any of your children current	y enrolled in Berkeley public schools?
Yes	1
No	
(Don't know/refused)	
Q24. [T] Do you own your own home or do you rent?	
Own	1
Rent	
(Other)	
(Don't know/refused)	
Q25. [T] How long have you lived in Berkeley? [DO NO	T READ, RECORD WITHIN RANGE]
Less than two years	1
Two to five years	2
Five to ten years	3
Ten to twenty years	
More than twenty years	5
All your life	6
(Don't know/refused)	7
Q26. Just to make sure we have a representative sample a Latino, Hispanic, or Spanish-speaking background?	e, could you please tell me whether you are from
Yes, Latino/Latina/Hispanic No, not Latino/Latina/Hispanic(Don't know/Refused)	2
Q27. [ASK ALL] [T*] And please tell me which one, or you identify with. [RANDOMIZE/READ CHOICES]	more than one, of these racial or ethnic groups
[ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES]	
[IF "OTHER" OR "BIRACIAL" OR "MULTI-RACIAL":] with the most?	Well which two or three of these do you identify
White or Caucasian	
Black or African American	2
Latino/Latina or Hispanic	
Asian American or Pacific Islander	
Native American	5
Middle Eastern	6
(Other)	
(Don't know/Refused)	8

#### RECORD PARTY REGISTRATION AND AGE FROM FILE

THIS COMPLETES OUR SURVEY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME, AND HAVE A PLEASANT (DAY/EVENING).



ACTION CALENDAR
June 9, 2020
(Continued from June 2, 2020)

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Submitted by: Mark Numainville, City Clerk

Subject: Placing Charter Amendment Measure on the November 3, 2020 Ballot

Related to Full-Time Status and Salaries for the Mayor and

Councilmembers

#### RECOMMENDATION

1. Adopt a Resolution submitting an Amendment to Article V of the City Charter regarding the full-time status and salaries for the Mayor and City Council to a vote of the electors at the November 3, 2020 General Municipal Election.

2. Designate, by motion, specific members of the Council to file ballot measure arguments on this measure as provided for in Elections Code Section 9282.

#### FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION

The table below shows the year-by-year costs for elections since 2012. Significant cost increases occurred in 2012 and 2014 due primarily to general year-over-year increases, and the addition of two more required languages (Vietnamese and Tagalog). The cost increase in 2016 is due to a new, permanent surcharge from the Registrar of Voters to fund the County's voting equipment replacement account.

	Election				
Date	Nov. 2012	Nov. 2014	Nov. 2016	Mar. 2017	Nov. 2018
No. of Measures	10	7	11	0	4
No. of Candidates	26	23	29	2	30
General Costs	\$367,884	\$392,331	\$706,901	-	\$385,246
RCV Costs	\$101,041	\$189,148	\$181,954	-	\$185,578
Total Costs	\$468,925	\$581,479	\$888,855	\$85,628	\$570,824

#### **CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS**

At the May 29, 2018 City Council meeting, the council discussed the results of a second community survey and proposed language for an amendment to the City Charter regarding the salary and full-time status of the City Council. The Council directed the City Manager to return with draft ballot measure language for the November 6, 2018 General Election. The Council elected not to place this measure on the November 2018 ballot.

On February 4, 2020, during a City Council work session on potential ballot measures, a majority of the Council expressed interest in placing a measure on the ballot to amend the City Charter to make the office of Mayor and City Councilmember full-time positions, with remuneration set at a level reflecting full-time employment. The proposed Charter Amendment would provide that the office of Mayor and Councilmember are full-time positions, and that the Personnel Board shall set the salary of the Mayor and Councilmembers initially after adoption and every five years thereafter based on a salary survey of other full-time Mayors and City Councils in California and it shall consider the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

Remuneration may be reduced in defined instances, including unexcused absences from Council meetings or negotiated salary reductions for City employees. If adopted, the attached resolution will request that the Registrar of Voters place the matter on the ballot for consideration by Berkeley voters.

Additionally, according to California Elections Code 9282(b), for measures placed on the ballot by the legislative body, the legislative body, or a member or members of the legislative body authorized by that body, or an individual voter who is eligible to vote on the measure, or bona fide association of citizens, or a combination of voters and associations, may file a written argument for or against any city measure.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The current Charter provisions do not designate the offices of Mayor and Councilmember as full-time positions. This amendment would designate the offices as full-time and task the Personnel Board with setting the salaries of Mayor and Council based on surveys of other full-time Mayors and City Councils.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**

There are no identifiable environmental effects or opportunities associated with the subject of this report.

#### RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

The City Clerk is presenting this action in accordance with the direction given by Council.

#### ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED

None

ACTION CALENDAR June 9, 2020

## **CONTACT PERSON**

Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900

Attachments:

1: Resolution

Exhibit A: Text of Measure

Page 3 261

#### RESOLUTION NO. -N.S.

SUBMITTING TO THE BERKELEY ELECTORATE AN AMENDMENT TO THE SALARY PROVISIONS IN ARTICLE V OF THE BERKELEY CITY CHARTER ON THE NOVEMBER 3, 2020 BALLOT

WHEREAS, the Berkeley City Council has elected to submit to the voters at the November 3, 2020 General Municipal Election, a measure to amend Article V of the Berkeley Charter related to the salary for Mayor and City Council; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of Section 10002 and 10403 of the Elections Code of the State of California, the Alameda County Board of Supervisors is requested to consolidate the City of Berkeley General Municipal Election with the Statewide General Election to be held November 3, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the City of Berkeley hereby requests that the Alameda County Board of Supervisors permit the Registrar of Voters of Alameda County to perform services in connection with said election at the request of the City Clerk. These services to include all necessary services related to official ballot creation, sample ballot and voter information pamphlet preparation, vote-by-mail, polling places, poll workers, voter registration, voting machines, canvass operations, and any and all other services necessary for the conduct of the consolidated election; and

WHEREAS, the Council desires to submit this measure to be placed upon the ballot at said consolidated election.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that the Board of Supervisors of Alameda County is hereby requested to include on the ballots and sample ballots the measure enumerated above to be voted on by the voters of the qualified electors of the City of Berkeley.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the full text of the measure shall be printed in the Voter Information Pamphlet mailed to all voters in the City of Berkeley.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the above enumerated measure requires a majority vote threshold for passage.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk is hereby directed to cause the posting, publication and printing of notices, pursuant to the requirements of the Charter of the City of Berkeley, the Government Code and the Elections Code of the State of California.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk is hereby directed to obtain printing, supplies and services as required.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk is hereby authorized to enter into any contracts necessary for election consulting services, temporary employment services,

printing services, and any such other supplies and services as may be required by the statutes of the State of California and the Charter of the City of Berkeley for the conduct of the November General Municipal Election.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9285 (b), the City Council hereby adopts the provisions of Elections Code Section 9285 (a) providing for the filing of rebuttal arguments for city ballot measures.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City will reimburse the Registrar of Voters for the costs associated with placing the measure on the ballot.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that said proposed Charter Amendment measure shall appear and be printed upon the ballots to be used at said election as follows:

CITY OF BERKELEY CHARTER AMENDMENT	
Shall the measure amending the City Charter to provide that the offices of Mayor and Councilmember are full-time positions and shall receive a	YES
salary for performance of their official duties set by the Personnel Board based on salaries of other full-time California Mayors and Councils, but which may be lowered in defined instances, including for unexcused absences from Council meetings or negotiated salary reductions for City employees, be adopted?	NO

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the text of the Charter Amendment be shown as Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

**Exhibits** 

A: Text of Charter Amendment

Exhibit A

# AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE V OF THE BERKELEY CITY CHARTER RELATED TO FULL-TIME STATUS AND SALARIES FOR THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

The People of the City of Berkeley hereby amend Section 19 of the Charter of the City of Berkeley to read as follows:

<u>Section 1</u>. Section 19 of Article V of the Charter of the City of Berkeley is amended to read as follows:

#### Section 19. Salaries.

The Mayor and Councilmembers shall receive remuneration for the performance of their official duties.—at the rate of up to \$1,800 per month, and the Mayor shall receive up to \$2,850 per month, effective the Council term beginning in December 1998. Such amount shall be adjusted upward by the increase in the cost of living for the San Francisco Bay Area as verified by official United States economic reports. The office of Mayor and Councilmember are full-time positions. The Personnel Board shall set the salary of the Mayor and Councilmembers initially after the effective date of this Charter Amendment and every five years based on a salary survey of other full-time California Mayors and City Councils and it shall consider the Consumer Price Index (CPI).

The Personnel Board shall establish dates for an appropriate five-year cycle for making the determinations required by this Section, in order to efficiently coordinate with City budget processes and related procedures. In order to institute this five-year cycle, the initial determination may be for less than a five-year period, as determined by the Personnel Board.

If the City and employee organizations agree to amend the compensation provisions of existing memoranda of understanding to reduce costs, the Personnel Board shall review and amend the Mayor and Councilmembers' salary as necessary to achieve comparable cost savings in the affected fiscal year or years.

Either the Mayor or any Councilmember may, at his or her sole discretion, reduce the remuneration paid himself or herself. In any such case, the difference between the reduced amount actually paid to such Mayor or Councilmember and the amount of remuneration authorized by this Article shall be appropriated as part of the budget of the Mayor or Councilmember taking the voluntary reduction in remuneration and such differential may be expended for any purpose otherwise authorized for the expenditure of sums so budgeted. If the Mayor or any member of the Council is absent from one or more regular meetings of the Council during any calendar month, unless excused by the Council in order to attend to official business of the City, or unless excused by the Council as a result of illness from attending no more than two regular meetings in any

#### Page 7 of 7

calendar year, he or she shall be paid for each regular meeting attended during such months in an amount equal to the monthly remuneration divided by the number of regular meetings held during such month.

For each member of the Council and the Mayor, a sum, as established by the Personnel Board, shall be deducted from the salary of such member for each regular or special meeting of the full Council, which they fail to attend in each such calendar month; provided, however, that such deduction shall not be made for their failure to attend any meeting during which they are away on authorized City business, or from which they are absent because of their own illness or the illness or death of a "close family member" as defined in the City's bereavement leave policy.



ACTION CALENDAR
June 9, 2020
(Continued from June 2, 2020)

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Energy Commission

Submitted by: Cate Leger, Chairperson, Energy Commission

Subject: Recommendation to Prepare a City Ballot Measure to Create a Climate

Action Fund, in response to the Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley referral

#### RECOMMENDATION

The Commission recommends that the City Council develop a referendum and seek approval for it on the 2020 ballot to create a Climate Action Fund, which would support actions to achieve the Berkeley Climate Action Plan, to become Fossil Fuel Free, and to respond to the Climate Emergency.

#### FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION

Development of the referendum would involve work time of staff and City Council members, plus members of the public. The Council should survey voters about fundraising options, as part of polling on ballot measures. Adoption of the referendum by voters would result in a new Climate Action Fund of \$5 million to \$10 million per year to be spent on measures to reduce carbon pollution.

#### **CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS**

On June 12, 2018, the Council adopted a goal of creating a "Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley" and a "Declaration of a Climate Emergency," which together reinforced the Council's desires to make Berkeley a global leader on reducing the threat of climate change.

Rising greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere are leading to rising global average temperatures and greater incidence of drought, wildfire, extreme weather events, and other impacts. Berkeley is a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, due to heavy reliance of its citizens on gasoline and diesel vehicles, natural gas in homes and businesses, consumption of goods with high levels of "embedded emissions" from manufacturing and distribution, and other sources. New technologies, along with changes to infrastructure and human behavior, offer significant potential to cut fossil fuel use and carbon emissions in Berkeley.

The Energy Commission submitted to Council "Recommendations for a Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley" in January 2019, in response to the Council's Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley proclamation and Declaration of a Climate Emergency. In that report, the Commission

recommended, among other things, that the Council put a referendum on the November 2020 ballot that "would include binding mandates and specific priorities for emissions reductions." This recommendation provides further ideas about the content of that referendum.

At its meeting of February 26, 2020, the Energy Commission voted to recommend to the City Council that a referendum be placed on the ballot to ask voters to create an ongoing funding stream for carbon reduction activities, called a Climate Action Fund, with annual revenues of \$5 million to \$10 million. (Moved by Paulos, second by Stromberg. Ayes: Zuckerman, Bell, Weems Paulos, Stromberg, O'Hare; Nays: None; Abstentions: None; Absent: Schlachter Leger, Gil; 6-0-0-3).

The key issues for Council to explore are 1) how to raise revenues for the Fund, and 2) how to spend the funds. The Council should initiate a public process to explore funding and spending options. The Commission recommends the following principles: Revenues for the Fund should be raised in accord with the "polluter pays principle," such as by imposing a higher price on fossil fuels, and as progressively as possible, with reduced burdens on low-income citizens. Preliminary ideas for funding sources include:

- An increase in the Utility Users Tax (UUT) for natural gas consumption, along with a reduction in the UUT for electricity, to encourage switching from a fossil fuel to renewable electricity;
- A tax on "transportation network companies" like Uber and Lyft, who have caused a drop in transit use and an increase in carbon emissions and traffic congestion, and on delivery services and fleets;
- Taxes aimed at internal combustion vehicles, such as a tax on gasoline and diesel fuel, vehicle registration fees, oil changes and smog inspections; and
- An increase in parking fees and a tax on privately-owned parking lots.

Funds would be administered by City offices with input from current Commissions or a new expert panel, similar to the panels that guide funding for the Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Tax and Measure O. The Fund would be spent on activities that reduce climate emissions, as described in the Berkeley Climate Action Plan. Funds would be used to fill gaps in regional, state, and federal policy, and leverage local, state, federal, philanthropic, and private-sector funds. Proposals for funding would be accepted from businesses, non-profits, and government agencies, and scored based on a) their effectiveness at reducing carbon emissions, b) equity benefits, c) cost effectiveness, and d) local economic benefits. Funds would not be used to backfill existing City budgets. Some potential areas for funding could include:

- Electric mobility and charging infrastructure;
- Renewable energy in homes and businesses;

- Accelerated deployment of bicycle, micro-mobility and pedestrian improvements, such as protected bike and micro-mobility lanes, and safer street crossings; and
- Building electrification and energy efficiency.

Funding allocation strategies would be reassessed annually. Berkeley would join other communities with similar voter-approved funds, including Boulder, Colorado; Athens, Ohio; and Portland, Oregon.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The City of Berkeley adopted the Climate Action Plan in June 2009. While the City has made good progress in some areas, it has lagged overall and is behind schedule in achieving interim goals. In addition, many of the gains have been caused by state and federal policy and market and technology developments, rather than by City actions.

One impediment to greater progress on the Climate Action Plan is the lack of dedicated funding for it. While City departments sometimes implement measures that cut carbon emissions, their budgets do not have line items for climate action, and rarely are actions prioritized solely because of the carbon reduction benefits. Instead, the City's sustainability programs are often forced to seek support from outside funding sources, such as state and philanthropic grants.

Having a dedicated funding source would give the City greater ability to be proactive; to take advantage of local opportunities and create more local benefits; to expand upon or fill in gaps left by state, regional and federal policies; and to leverage outside funding opportunities.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**

These recommendations are intended to accelerate citywide reductions in greenhouse gases and reduce the impact of global warming.

#### RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

Creation of a Climate Action Fund would increase the City's ability to meet the goals of the Climate Action Plan, the Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley declaration, and the Climate Emergency declaration.

#### ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED

The Commission's report to Council on the Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley and Climate Emergency resolutions explored many options. The idea for a climate referendum was included as a "fast track proposal." This memo supplements the previous Energy Commission recommendation.

#### **CITY MANAGER**

The City Manager takes no position on the content and recommendations of the Commission's Report.

ACTION CALENDAR June 9, 2020

### **CONTACT PERSON**

Billi Romain, Energy Commission Secretary, (510) 981-7432

#### Attachments:

1: Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley Report of the Berkeley Energy Commission, January 23, 2019

# **Fossil Free Berkeley Report**

Berkeley Energy Commission January 23, 2019

## **Council Referral**

On June 12, the Berkeley City Council passed item 30 "Fossil Free Berkeley" which refers "to the Energy Commission and Transportation Commission consideration of the proposed resolution or similar action to further implement the Climate Action Plan and establish the goal of becoming a Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley, and further consider:

Establishing a date by which we are committed to being a Fossil Fuel Free City;

Opposing further transportation of oil, gas, and coal;

Fully implementing Berkeley Deep Green Building, raising the citywide LEED certification requirement above the current LEED Silver, and applying the same requirements to newly constructed city facilities, and major renovations;

Requiring all future City government procurements of vehicles to minimize emissions, and establishing a goal and plan for transitioning the city's vehicle fleet to all electric vehicles;

Establishing a goal and plan for transitioning to 100% renewable energy for municipal operations and a community wide goal of 100% reductions by 2030;

Formally opposing the recent expansion of offshore drilling by the Trump Administration; and

Calling for region-wide solutions to carbon emissions, including rapid adoption of renewable energy sources, affordable densification of cities and low-emissions public transportation infrastructure."

On June 12, the Berkeley City Council also passed item 49 "Declaration of a Climate Emergency" which refers "to the Energy Commission to study and report back to Council on **a** path for Berkeley to become a "Carbon Sink" as quickly as possible, and to propose a deadline for Berkeley to achieve this goal" ideally by 2030.

This Report is the Energy Commission's response to Council's June 12 referrals.


# **Executive Summary**

The City Council's Climate Emergency Resolution lists record breaking climate related catastrophes and urges 'out of the box' thinking for solutions.

As if intended to support the Council's climate emergency declaration, the UN IPCC issued a heart rattling Special Report (IPCC-SR15, 10/9/2018) noting global temperatures are rising faster than predicted an myriad of cascading effects are happening sooner, and reiterating a worldwide goal to keep warming to no more than 1.5 °C. It asserts Greenhouse pollution must be reduced 45 percent from 2010 levels by 2030 and 100 percent by 2050.


The trajectory of the Berkeley Climate Action Plan's 2020 emission reduction targets, extended to 2030, is roughly in line with the IPCC-SR15 goal. However, according to the city's 2018 Annual Progress Update Berkeley is significantly behind in achieving the Climate Action Plan 2020 reduction goals, let alone extending that trajectory through 2030 as recommended by IPCC-SR15, or doubling down to become 100% fossil free by 2030 as to be considered in the Fossil Fuel Free Berkeley Resolution Council adopted in June.

#### IPCC and Fossil Free by 2030 goals superimposed on 2017 CAP update



#### 272

Clearly in order to meet <u>any</u> of these 2030 goals we need a sea change in commitment. Specifically, we must exert the will to honestly accept and meet the challenge we face. The 2018 CAP Update shows where we need to act:



Given statutory limitations on specific authorities held by the City, the Energy Commission is not able to determine a date by which Berkeley could be completely fossil fuel free. However, aiming to be fossil fuel free by 2030 to the fullest extent possible is a compelling goal. Urgency prompts the Commission to recommend aggressively prioritizing options with high early impacts. Lastly, Berkeley will only become a carbon sink if it is also virtually fossil free. The City has little capacity to sequester carbon.

# Four Fast Track Proposals

- Opt all East Bay Community Energy accounts to 100% renewable electricity in 2019. This would result in an immediate 10% reduction in GHGs.
- Integrate greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction goals into the objectives and responsibilities of every city department. Amend funding priorities to support this initiative.

- Develop an updated Climate referendum to put before the voters that doesn't soft pedal very challenging proposals and why they are necessary. A successful referendum campaign would provide the platform for massive public education and support Council decision making. This referendum would be submitted to the voters in November 2020 and would include binding mandates and specific priorities for emissions reductions.
- Lead a regional effort to make changes to the Utility Users Tax structure in order to assess taxes on natural gas usage separately from electricity usage. Once complete, the City should submit a referendum to voters that would raise the tax on natural gas usage and dedicate the funds to decarbonization efforts.

### Summary of Recommendations

#### **Citywide Transportation**

- 1. Accelerate infrastructure changes to support walking, biking, and small electric and human powered vehicles.
  - a. Build all high priority projects in the city's bicycle, pedestrian, and BeST plans including tier 1 projects in the bike plan by 2025.
  - Re-prioritize road and sidewalk capital expenditures to accelerate changes in favor of walking, human powered vehicles, and other low carbon footprint mobility alternatives.
  - c. Add 3 FTE to the Transportation Division to expedite implementation.
- 2. Adopt financial incentives and disincentives to reduce transportation carbon emissions such as: free transit passes for youth, restricted vehicle access to certain streets, and additional parking fees. Funds raised would be used to support fossil fuel free transportation programs.
- 3. Explore developing Berkeley shuttle services similar to the Emery Go-Round using EVs.
- 4. Develop effective communication and education strategies. Continue to expand programs that encourage residents to shift to fossil fuel free modes of transport.

#### **Residential and Commercial Buildings**

- Opt all accounts in Berkeley up to 100% renewable EBCE electricity with a
  policy of no added cost for CARE customers and an outreach campaign to
  enroll all eligible customers in the CARE program. This is the most significant
  immediate thing the city can to do reduce greenhouse gas emissions. A ton of
  GHG gases eliminated in 2019 is far more impactful in slowing climate change
  than a ton eliminated in 2025 or even in 2020 because of the impact of positive
  feedback loops.
- Expand BESO and include electrification along with energy efficiency. Consider instituting more triggers that require an energy audit, more detailed energy

- audits, not allowing the seller to transfer the audit to the buyer, and required implementation of some of the measures recommended in the energy audit.
- 3. Stop expansion of natural gas infrastructure by prohibiting gas cooktops and dryers in new residences. Place a moratorium on new gas hook ups if possible.
- 4. Funding options for electrification and energy efficiency upgrades:
  - a. Sales transfer tax rebates, similar to the seismic rebate but tied to implementation of BESO recommendations.
  - b. A new, very low interest revolving loan fund.
  - Strategic relaxation of the Planning Code, such as density and/or parking requirements, or accelerated review in exchange for electrification and energy efficiency measures.
- 5. Develop an effective communication and education strategy that reaches the Berkeley community at large. This strategy should include updating the City's website to reflect the City's prioritization of electrification, and low carbon footprint and low toxic construction. Updated green building information should be easily found on the Permit Service Center home page. The City's website needs to offer clear guidance reflecting the urgency of the climate crisis.

#### **Regional Action**

- Lead a regional effort to make changes to the Utility Users Tax structure in order to assess taxes on natural gas usage separately from electricity usage. The City Council adopted a resolution in favor of this change and is awaiting support from other cities in the region to share the fees PGE would charge to modify the billing. It is time to look aggressively for the necessary funds and initiate the process. Once complete, the City should submit a referendum to voters that would raise the tax on natural gas usage and dedicate the funds to decarbonization efforts.
- 2. Encourage the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) to adopt rules with future effective dates to prohibit sale of gas powered appliances. It has used the authority in the past to prohibit the sale of polluting products like high VOC paints and to restrict installation of wood burning fireplaces. Prohibiting sale of gas powered appliances would support electrification.
- Increase regional and support state efforts to expand availability of low global warming potential refrigerant heat pump space and water heaters for the retrofit markets.
- 4. Initiate regional policy consistent with fossil free goals for ride hailing services and the introduction of autonomous vehicles. Support state programs that restrict the use of fossil fuel by ride hailing services and autonomous vehicles. Regulate these services to reduce overall per capita VMT.
- 5. Explore viability of reducing R-1 zoning to increase housing availability, opportunities for home ownership and improve transit access through increasing densification. Such transit oriented development can be adopted

throughout the region to reduce development pressure on open spaces, provide more housing near jobs, and provide the density to support expansion of regional transit.

# **Analysis**

# I. Establishing a date by which we are committed to being a Fossil Fuel Free City

#### Recommendations

- Consider a new ballot initiative for updating the Climate Action Plan in order to engage Berkeley residents in the comprehensive and ambitious efforts that will be needed.
- The City should take aggressive, immediate, and sustained action to achieve the goal of a fossil free Berkeley to the fullest extent possible while simultaneously calling for necessary and immediate complementary emergency actions by other local, regional (e.g. MTC/ABAG, BAAQMD, RayREN) state and federal governmental bodies.

#### **Discussion**

The Energy Commission believes that the Berkeley Residents who initiated "Fossil Free Berkeley" intend it to apply to the entire city, not just municipal operations. Our comments reflect this point of view.

The two Council items 30 and 49 taken together suggest a goal of 2030 for Berkeley to become fossil free. It should be noted that this is far more ambitious than recommendations by the IPCC and recently adopted state laws<sup>1</sup> which taken together would suggest a goal of 50% reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 2030.

In some ways, Berkeley is better positioned than many cities to take the initiative to make accelerated and meaningful reductions in fossil fuel consumption.

• Unlike many other GHG emissions sectors, techniques for eliminating building GHGs--specifically improving energy efficiency, electrifying remaining energy uses, and using renewably generated electricity--are all commercially available, and can improve comfort and safety and offer property owners economic savings over time. Energy efficiency programs have been around for decades and the city's unique BESO energy audit program helps property owners prioritize efficiency upgrade spending. Because of recent developments in heat pump technologies making electric heat pump space and water heating more than 3 times as efficient as their gas equivalents and the dramatic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SB 100 commits state utilities to provide 60% renewable electricity by 2030, and zero carbon electricity by 2045.

AB 3232 charges the California Energy Commission with assessing how to reduce emissions from the state's building stock by 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030.

SB 1477 will expand the accessibility of clean heating technologies by promoting them in the market with incentives and training.

Executive Order B-55-18 commits California to economy-wide carbon neutrality by 2045.

increase of renewables on the electricity grid, all electric homes, even without solar panels, can produce substantially less GHGs than natural gas powered ones.

Berkeley's size, density, mild and dry climate, and mass transit infrastructure
make it ideally suited for an accelerated reduction in transportation related
GHGs. The recent commercial introduction of vehicle sharing programs and
proliferation of small electric vehicles such as electric bikes, scooters, and
tricycles solve two of the main long time challenges to rethinking the
transportation picture in Berkeley. They dramatically reduce costs of electric
transport and offer small scale power assisted options, particularly for hills
residents.

According to the 2017 Bicycle Plan a "2015 survey of Berkeley residents showed 90 percent of Berkeley residents already bicycle or would consider bicycling if the right bikeway facility or roadway conditions were available. That is a larger percentage than any other city that has conducted a similar study, including Portland...."

 Finally, residents voted overwhelming in favor of the Berkeley Climate Action plan in 2006 and are likely to support new targeted programs to accelerate reductions in GHGs.

The challenges to accelerating GHG reductions cannot be overstated. They are technological, political and social. And, the more ambitious the reduction goals the greater the challenges. While Berkeley is better set up to meet a goal of 100% reduction by 2030 than many communities, it is still a very difficult task.

- The vast majority of buildings rely on natural gas for operation. Every one of them will need to be shifted from gas to all electric operation. Every fossil fuel operated vehicle on the roads will need to be eliminated. How do we motivate ourselves to electrify our buildings and give up our fossil fuel vehicles?
- As much as a quarter of Berkeley's past GHG reductions are a result of state programs such as the renewable fuels portfolio standard. To push ahead with an accelerated GHG reduction goal, the city will need to rely on local programs.
- There are real technological hurdles that need to be solved before complete electrification of the California or US economy can occur. It is hoped these problems will be solved by 2030 or much sooner. While they do not prohibit Berkeley from being fossil free by 2030 as an isolated entity, they do drive up the cost for some of the needed technologies, particularly in relationship to vehicles and battery storage. In addition, regional and state governments will be reluctant to set goals without confidence that the technologies are in place to meet them, so Berkeley will likely be out of step with others the more aggressively it pursues accelerated GHG reductions.

Finally, the urgency of the climate crisis requires use of the simplest, cheapest and most available tools at hand to achieve high early results. A ton of GHG gases eliminated in 2019 is far more impactful in slowing climate change than a ton eliminated in 2025 or even in 2020. Because of positive feedback loops, the effects of GHG emissions are amplified. For example warmer, dryer forests burn more which releases more CO2 which contributes to more forest fires. Establishment of new manufacturing facilities and a city scale power company would take decades. It will be far more effective to work with existing programs such as East Bay Community Choice Energy, BESO, and the Berkeley Bicycle Plan.

#### II. Opposing further transportation of oil, gas, and coal

#### Recommendations

- In order to put the brakes on the transport of refinery feedstock and refined products traveling though Berkeley, call for a plan to a responsibly wind down all Bay Area refineries as California demand wanes.
- 2. Consider a ban on the storage and transport of coal within the City

#### **Discussion**

It should be noted that the City of Berkeley has already adopted a more specific position in opposition to transport of oil, gas and coal: joining neighboring communities in September in calling for a ban on coal shipments through East Bay Communities.

Unfortunately, the Federal Government has jurisdiction over rail transport limiting the City's options for preventing travel by rail through Berkeley.

Eliminating transport of fossil fuels would require the shutdown of all Bay Area oil refineries, because their products are trucked to and through Berkeley for cars, trucks, planes and trains operating in the Bay Area. It would also mean that all ground vehicles, including trains would have to be converted to run on 100% carbon-free electricity, and air transport be fueled by bio-fuel or by imported fossil fuels.

Regarding the shutdown of local refineries, Communities for a Better Environment has drafted a California Refinery Study and will soon launch a campaign to responsibly wind down all California refineries by 2035, by requiring annual emission reductions of 5% beginning in 2020. Mayors of Benicia and Richmond, home to the Valero and Chevron refineries, are already making public statements in support of winding down Bay Area refineries. As California electrifies it vehicles, we must ensure refineries are not permitted to maintain or increase refining activities such that fossil fuel exports increase and frontline communities remain subject to the health consequences of this dirty, outdated industrial sector.

III. Fully implementing Berkeley Deep Green Building plan, raising the citywide LEED certification requirement above the current LEED Silver,

# and applying the same requirements to newly constructed city facilities, and major renovations

#### **Municipal Buildings Recommendations**

- Immediately convene a citywide departmental summit including Public Works and Planning and Development to establish a timeline and budget for electrifying all city owned buildings and installing solar plus storage at City buildings wherever possible.
- 2. Review and re-prioritize all funds currently earmarked for capital improvements to facilitate rapid electrification of municipal buildings.
- 3. Work with East Bay Community Energy to secure grants for solar with storage.
- 4. Use the 2 x 2 process to coordinate with BUSD in establishing a fossil fuel free goal and providing BUSD with technical and policy assistance to achieve it.
- 5. Set higher goals for municipal buildings related to indoor air quality, lowered carbon footprint, and all electric as outlined in Berkeley Deep Green Building and Healthy Building Network's HomeFree Spec guidance.<sup>2</sup> In addition to developing expertise that can be shared with Berkeley residents and property owners, these changes would have health, environmental, and economic benefits. The City can decide the standards which municipal buildings must be built or remodeled to. It is our understanding that currently, there is no requirement beyond meeting minimum state building codes.

#### Residential and Commercial Buildings Recommendations

- 1. Develop options for expanding the coverage of the current LEED requirements to other areas of the City including mandatory points in certain sections.
- Strategically relax the Planning Code, such as density and/or parking requirements or accelerated permit review in exchange for electrification and energy efficiency measures.
- 3. Place moratorium on natural gas cooktops and dryers in new residences or on new gas hook ups if possible.
- 4. Institute a transfer tax rebate for energy efficiency upgrades and electrification at time of sale.
- 5. Ensure every plan checker is trained in methods of electrification, and instructed to present that information to property owners at the beginning of the permit application process. In this way, every interaction with property owners becomes an opportunity to educate them on their options for home energy efficiency and

280

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://homefree.healthybuilding.net/reports

- electrification and their importance. Building owners need to understand the importance of reducing energy consumption and electrification and to switch out fossil fuel appliances for electric whenever possible.
- 6. Expand BESO and shift focus to include electrification along with energy efficiency. To be considered are: instituting more triggers that require an energy audit, more detailed energy audits, not allowing the seller to transfer the audit to the buyer, and required implementation of some of the measures recommended in energy audit.
- 7. Develop an effective communication and education strategy that reaches the Berkeley community at large. This strategy should include updating the City's website to reflect the City's prioritization of electrification, and low carbon footprint and low toxic construction. Updated green building information should be easily found on the Permit Service Center home page. Many architects, builders and homeowners begin the design process online, making key decisions based on information found online. It is critical the City's website offer clear guidance reflecting the urgency of the climate crisis.
- 8. Work with PG&E to develop a plan for eventually shutting down natural gas service in Berkeley. Priority should be given to areas most vulnerable to the effects of climate change and earthquakes and those where infrastructure has not yet been upgraded to plastic. Funds that would be spent on upgrading gas infrastructure can instead be used for electrifying buildings and under-grounding electrical lines.
- 9. Consider the development of a long term funding plan such as a very low interest revolving loan fund to assist property owners to decarbonize their buildings.
- 10. The City should work with the BAAQMD to adopt rules with future effective dates to prohibit sale of gas powered appliances.
- 11. Increase regional and support state efforts to expand availability of low global warming potential refrigerant heat pumps space and water heaters for retrofit markets.

#### **Discussion**

The Berkeley Deep Green Building (BDGB) initiative, adopted by the City Council in 2017, outlines best practices for green building including zero net energy and all electric construction, low carbon footprint and low toxicity building materials, and water conservation. City staff has provided a detailed analysis and review of progress in implementation. See the <a href="Energy Commission">Energy Commission</a> Agenda from 4-25-18 for copy of this review.

Energy efficiency measures including: low toxic, low carbon footprint insulation, air sealing, and replacing incandescent with LED lights, have long been recognized as important to greenhouse gas reduction. BDGB argues in addition that going all electric is foundational to achieving fossil fuel free goals. Historically energy efficiency standards and incentive programs have been based on the assumption that natural

gas appliances have lower environmental impacts than electric appliances. However, this is no longer the case. The dramatic increase of renewables in supplying electricity and the development of heat pump technologies for space and water heating, which are more than 3 times as efficient as their gas equivalents, have turned this balance around. If the significant fugitive emissions from gas infrastructure and their concomitant climate changing and indoor air quality impacts are added to the equation, the scale definitely tips in favor of all electric buildings.

Natural gas is also a safety issue in Berkeley. The recent gas line explosions around Lawrence Massachusetts are only the most recent in a long line of such incidents. Even though PG&E is working to upgrade existing infrastructure, rising sea levels in West Berkeley and the overdue earthquake on the Hayward fault threaten Berkeley. Electricity infrastructure has its safety issues as well. Money saved on gas infrastructure could be used on improving the safety and reliability of electric power.

One of the stumbling blocks to a fossil free California is energy storage. All electric, energy efficient buildings can be key in addressing this problem by reducing overall energy demand and drawing energy for space and water heating in the middle of the day when it is most abundant and storing it for use in the evening after the sun goes down. As a quarter of all energy used in the home is for water heating, state policymakers and manufacturers are already working on ways to incorporate tanked electric water heaters into energy management programs.

Heat pump space and water heaters are commercially available and can be economical. Recent studies of homes by Rocky Mountain Institute and NRDC³ have found that all electric construction can be cost effective, especially in new construction where there are significant savings from not installing natural gas plumbing and infrastructure. All electric construction can also be economical in remodels in cases were natural gas equipment is older and needs replacing and where electrification is coupled with solar PV installation.

As the city is largely built out, construction tends to focus on remodels and new construction of high rise apartment buildings. Every effort needs to be made to guide these projects to be all electric. Currently it appears the economics for high rise residential buildings in Berkeley favor electric heating and air conditioning paired with central gas heat for water. Though adding significant cost to construction, some developers will run natural gas to individual units for the perceived increased value of a gas cooktop. It should be noted that building owners who install natural gas heating and appliances now will be left with stranded assets as society is quickly shifting to all electric operation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> <u>https://rmi.org/insight/the-economics-of-electrifying-buildings/</u> https://www.nrdc.org/experts/pierre-delforge/new-report-heating-next-clean-energy-frontier-ca

The biggest challenge in Berkeley is electrifying existing buildings -- particularly where no work is anticipated or no permit is obtained for the work. This is a major source of greenhouse gases in our city and across the state. Several state level assistance programs can help property owners with improvements. However they generally fall short of amounts needed and currently rebates are not available for switching gas appliances to electric.

California has been a leader in improving energy efficiency and expanding renewable electricity generation. Several state laws from 2018 will continue that effort:

- SB 100 commits state utilities to provide 60% renewable electricity by 2030, and zero carbon electricity by 2045.
- AB 3232 charges the California Energy Commission with assessing how to reduce emissions from the state's building stock by 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030.
- SB 1477 will expand the accessibility of clean heating technologies by promoting them in the market with incentives and training.
- Executive Order B-55-18 commits California to economy-wide carbon neutrality by 2045.

While California has been a leader in improving energy efficiency, state laws and regulations have been slow to guide and in some cases act as barriers to the transition to all-electric construction. Many of these barriers are obscure and buried deep in regulatory policy:

- 3 prong test. The 3 prong test is policy established in the early 1990s originally intended to ensure fuel switching did not occur that caused adverse effects on the environment. At the time it generally meant discouraging shifts from natural gas to electric. However the policy assumptions continue to serve the same purpose even as the climate impacts of the two fuels have completely changed places. This policy is the core of why PG&E will not provide energy upgrade rebates when changing gas to electric heat.
- Title 24 assumptions. Title 24 is the shorthand name for the energy efficiency standards of the California Building Code. These are updated every 3 years and currently include several assumptions that favor gas heating and air conditioning over electric.
- Energy rate structure. Retail prices for natural gas do not reflect the GHG emissions of gas compared to electricity, or the grid benefits of flexible electric loads like tanked electric water heaters.

Of these barriers, only the assumptions in title 24 have begun to shift in PG&E territory. The standards that will go into effect in 2020 will no longer penalize use of

heat pump water heaters in low rise residential construction. However many other assumptions within the new standards will continue to support use of natural gas such as the climate benefits of electricity in the TDV and the lack of credit given to tanked electric water heaters for energy storage.

At the regional level, BAAQMD has the authority to regulate air pollution including GHGs. It has used the authority in the past to prohibit the sale of polluting products like high VOC paints. It could prohibit sale of gas powered appliances to support electrification and elimination of GHG emissions.

Working within state level constraints, planning staff have developed and pushed policies that improve the energy efficiency of buildings in Berkeley and encourage a shift to all electric, carbon free operation. Policies they have developed unique to Berkeley include:

- New non-residential construction and additions in the downtown area need to be LEED Gold or equivalent.
- Free advice and consultation on green building design and strategies.
- Building renovation and new construction over 10,000 square feet needs to have an energy analysis and a completed green building checklist.
- Under the BESO program, at time of sale for residences and more frequently for commercial properties, owners must complete an energy audit of the building.

City staff are pursuing many additional efforts:

- Reviewing the BESO program to improve effectiveness. Scope of review to
  include requiring energy audits sooner for more properties, expanding the
  triggers that require an audit to include remodeling, more detailed energy
  audits including electrification, elimination of the option of allowing the buyer to
  perform the audit, and implementation of some of the upgrades recommended
  by the energy audits.
- Expanding heat pump water heater availability through collaboration on BayRen's mid-market expansion grant program.
- Pursuing "reach" building codes for the 2020 building codes that give regulatory advantage to all electric construction. The most important priority for this effort is new multi-unit high rise apartment buildings and major remodels.
- Advocating for state level policies that allow building owners to receive energy efficiency rebates when switching fuels.

 Advocating for removal of all biases against electrification within the state building energy codes including Total Daily Value (TDV) and computer modeling assumptions.

Care should be taken that solutions do not create additional problems. Many building materials are coming under increasing scrutiny for their long trail of environmental and health impacts, such as polystyrene and PVC plastics and organo-halogenated materials. Others have such a high global warming footprint, such as certain foam plastic insulations that their use minimizes the GHG reduction benefits of the projects. The refrigerants commonly used in most heat pumps in the U.S.A. also have very high global warm potential. While heat pumps still have dramatic energy saving benefits over other options, phase out of these chemicals under state Air Resources Board programs will improve their GHG benefits.

IV. Requiring all future City government procurements of vehicles to minimize emissions, and establishing a goal and plan for transitioning the city's vehicle fleet to all electric vehicles

See V. for discussion and recommendation concerning 100% renewable energy for municipal vehicles.

V. Establishing a goal and plan for transitioning to 100% renewable energy for municipal operations and a community wide goal of 100% reductions by 2030.

See III. for discussion and recommendation concerning 100% renewable energy for buildings.

#### **Municipal Transportation Recommendations**

- Assess the city's transportation vehicle needs and develop an aggressive timeline
  for transitioning to all electric.<sup>4</sup> This assessment would include consideration of: 1)
  Switching to lower carbon transport options such as electric carts or bicycles where
  possible and 2) the timing of technology development and commercialization for
  car batteries.
- 2. Immediately switch diesel vehicles to run on renewable diesel in the interim until fossil fuel free options are available for the tasks they perform.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ref: San Francisco Ordinance 115-17 Administrative Code Section 4.10-1:

c) By December 31, 2022, all light duty vehicles in the City fleet must be Zero Emission Vehicles in compliance with Environment Code Section 404, unless there is a waiver. exemption, or applicable exception. detailed in Environment Code Chapter 4.

#### **Citywide Transportation Recommendations**

The Energy Commission would like to coordinate recommendations with the Transportation and Public Works Commissions to accelerate a reduction in fossil fuel vehicles in Berkeley. To begin the process, the Energy Commission makes the following recommendations:

- Re-prioritize road and sidewalk capital expenditures to accelerate changes in favor of walking, human powered vehicles, and other low carbon footprint mobility alternatives. The Council should amend funding priorities to reflect the climate emergency.
- Adopt financial incentives and disincentives to reduce transportation carbon emissions such as: free transit passes for youth, restricted vehicle access to certain streets, and additional parking fees. Funds raised would be used to support fossil fuel free transportation programs.
- 3. Develop and implement a transit plan in support of the Climate Action Plan. The transit plan could include detailed accountability metrics such as required dates for identified new routes, dates for replacement of fossil fueled busses and shuttles with electric busses and shuttles, and smaller intra-neighborhood subsidiary transit (shuttles). The city should explore developing its own shuttle services similar to the Emery Go-Round using EVs as part of the transit plan.
- 4. Add 3 FTE to the Transportation Division to expedite implementation of the city's bicycle, pedestrian, and BeST plans.
- 5. Build all high priority projects in the city's bicycle, pedestrian, and BeST plans including tier 1 projects in the bike plan by 2025.
- Develop a communication strategy to inform residents of fossil free and lower carbon footprint personal mobility options and the desirability of prioritizing these options.
- Continue to develop and expand programs that encourage residents to shift to fossil fuel free modes of transport, such as electric bike and scooter sharing, Waterside Workshop, and Safe Routes to School.
- 8. Work with State authorities to prohibit operation of autonomous vehicles within city limits unless they are electric vehicles.
- Use the 2x2 process to encourage the BUSD to develop a plan for phasing out fossil fuel vehicles and supporting families to safely get to and from school without cars.
- 10. Lobby and work collaboratively with public and private transportation providers and the commercial sector to convert all vehicle fleets to electric power.

11. Support state programs that restrict the use of fossil fuel vehicles by ride hailing services such as Uber and Lyft.

#### **Discussion**

One of the greatest challenges we face is how to eliminate emissions from transportation. By far the most promising way to make transportation renewable is with electric vehicles.

The vast majority of fossil fuel powered vehicles operated in the city are owned by individuals and companies and government entities outside of the city simply driving through the city or entering the city for business or pleasure. For the purposes on this report, the fossil fuel free goal will be focused on reducing fossil fueled vehicular traffic on city streets. It should be noted that for Berkeley to be truly fossil free, all ground vehicles, including trains, must be converted to electric power. We recognize the City has no independent way to get Amtrak and freight trains off fossil fuels.

The Commission believes that the goal of 100% emission reduction from vehicles is most likely to happen using batteries. Fuels other than electricity are possible but less likely to be adopted. Biofuels have a limited role because of lack of feedstock availability without associated environmental damage (the food vs. fuel problem).

Electric automobiles are quieter and more economical to operate than gas cars. Although only 2% of new car sales in the United States in 2018 were electric, that represented an 81% increase in sales over 2017. Electric auto sales were about 6% of new cars in California in 2018, and reached 10% in December. Because of their lower operating and maintenance costs, electric cars are competitive in lifetime costs of ownership. Residents of homes without garages (of which there are many in Berkeley), and apartments without charging stations, face a serious challenge to find a place to plug in. We encourage further city action on this.

Another option is hydrogen. To be emission-free the hydrogen has to be produced from renewable electricity or directly from sunlight with a catalyst. The problem is that hydrogen storage is very expensive either as a liquid or as a high pressure gas, both because it is energy intensive and because the container is expensive. Furthermore, the likelihood of leakage is much higher than, say, natural gas and the likelihood of explosive ignition in the presence of oxygen is also much higher than natural gas.

One biofuel that can play a useful role in Berkeley as bridge to electrification is renewable diesel. Renewable diesel though made entirely from vegetable oils is not biodiesel. It is processed to meet the exact performance specifications required for diesel motors. It does not void manufacturer warranties and can be used in any diesel vehicle. The emissions are much cleaner, the carbon footprint is lower and it is cheaper than diesel. While its use should be minimized because of the potential food vs fuel concerns, it can be used immediately in all city diesel vehicles until they can be replaced with fossil fuel free alternatives.

The city already has advocated walking, human powered vehicles, electric vehicles and mass transportation accessibility to all in its 2009 Climate Action Plan. In achieving a fossil fuel free goal, there are important timing issues. Several significant transportation changes are just over the horizon that will dramatically reshape our city street experience including:

- Expanded ride hailing operations such as Uber and Lyft, especially as autonomous vehicle operation is perfected;
- Docked and undocked ride sharing vehicles; and
- Proliferation of varied electric vehicles including electric golf carts, bicycles, tricycles, stand-up scooters, hoverboards, Segways, and wheelchairs.
- Breakthroughs in battery technologies that will dramatically lower the cost and improve performance of electric vehicles.

The city should be careful about engaging in longer term contracts and that decisions be revisited regularly as new technologies mature and the economics change for different transportation modes.

# VI. Formally opposing the recent expansion of offshore drilling by the Trump Administration

#### Offshore Drilling Recommendation

Formally endorse California laws intended to block offshore drilling if it has not done so already.

#### **Discussion**

The State legislature has passed and the Governor has signed SB 834 (an act to add Section 6245 to the Public Resources Code, relating to state lands) and SB 1775 (an act to add Section 6245 to the Public Resources Code, relating to state lands). Both Sections are entitled State lands: leasing: oil and gas. These new laws are intended to block the Trump administration's plan to expand offshore oil drilling by prohibiting new leases for new construction of oil and gas-related infrastructure, such as pipelines, within state waters if the federal government authorizes any new offshore oil leases.

# VII. Calling for region-wide solutions to carbon emissions, including rapid adoption of renewable energy sources, affordable densification of cities and low-emissions public transportation infrastructure

The Council has rightly included the need for regional coordination to address energy supply, housing and transportation. It's safe to say all Bay Area cities are grappling with these issues in one way or another, with significant disparities among them in both priorities and resources. It will take trust, willingness to move away from a

provincial mentality, leadership from MTC/ABAG and BAAQMD and probably some State action to facilitate deep progress in these areas.

# VII.1. Renewable Energy Sources

#### **Renewable Energy Sources Recommendations**

- Opt up all Berkeley's municipal, commercial and residential accounts to EBCE's<sup>5</sup>
  100% Renewable electricity with a policy of no added cost for CARE customers
  and an outreach campaign to enroll all eligible customers in the CARE program in
  2019.
- 2. Partner with all cities in CCAs to influence state legislators, the Governor, and CPUC Commissioners to develop guiding legislation, policies, and rules that support the continued existence of CCAs.

#### Discussion

It is critical to move toward 100% clean energy generation sources as soon as possible in order to fully realize GHG emission reductions through "fuel switching" from combustion to electricity in all spheres. There is long established worldwide consensus that the path to climate stabilization requires, in this order:

- 1. Deep reductions in energy demand through conservation and efficiency,
- 2. Conversion to clean electricity generation, and
- 3. Massive electrification.

Once established, a CCA is authorized to automatically enroll all accounts in its jurisdiction in the new energy program. Customers have the option of changing the product they are enrolled in or switching back to PG&E. EBCE currently offers three electricity supply products to its residential, commercial and municipal customers:

- Bright Choice a mix of electricity generated by fossil fuels, renewable sources and large scale hydro, which the State of California does not classify as renewable. It is offered at a slightly lower in price than electricity from PG&E;
- Brilliant 100 a mix of renewable energy and large hydropower at the same price as PG&E power; and
- Renewable 100 100% renewable energy at a slightly higher price.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> A regional approach to increase reliance on renewable energy sources is possible through our new energy provider: East Bay Community Energy (EBCE). EBCE was initiated under a state law passed in 2002 that allowed government jurisdictions to create agencies (called Community Choice Aggregators or CCAs) to purchase power on their residents' behalf as a way to provide energy options to Californians. As a local government agency, EBCE is not for profit and is entirely devoted to the community. Even before EBCE was providing electricity, it was developing a plan to invest locally in energy development. In July 2018, the Board of EBCE adopted a groundbreaking Local Development Business Plan which spells out strategies for local clean energy, energy efficiency, and energy storage projects specifically to help address the environmental, economic, and social justice needs of the East Bay community.

Both Berkeley (through BESO and other programs) and California (largely through frequent Energy Code updates) have long standing, successful conservation and efficiency requirements. We are national leaders in this and continue to press forward with program improvements and new initiatives. Now that a 100% renewable option is available from EBCE, Berkeley can immediately convert the entire city to clean electricity generation, and turn its focus to the challenge to 'electrifying everything.' Shifting accounts to 100% renewable will reduce community-wide GHG emissions by a whopping 10%.6

Under the Climate Emergency Resolution, Council has signaled the intention to act boldly. Berkeley has already fallen significantly behind in achieving it's 2050 GHG emission reduction goal as set forth in the 2009 Climate Action Plan. Opting all its EBCE customers to the Renewable 100 plan is the single most impactful and timely action the City can take in 2019, both because of immediate emission reductions, and to avoid GHG emissions from future increases in demand due to electrification. It is critical to do this now because by the end of 2020, EBCE will be required to sign long term contracts for 65% of its supply portfolio. Once these long term contracts are signed, it will be more difficult for EBCE to shift the sources of its power mix. For these reasons, the Energy Commission recommends that Berkeley move to 100% renewable electricity in 2019.

While EBCE energy mix options were being established last spring, the Berkeley City Council, as did most EBCE cities, chose to enroll all residential and commercial accounts in Bright Choice. Berkeley enrolled its municipal accounts in Brilliant 100. The City of Albany enrolled all accounts in Brilliant 100, Hayward enrolled its residential accounts in Brilliant 100, and the City of Piedmont enrolled all accounts in Renewable 100. We note that ten jurisdictions in Los Angeles and Ventura counties served by Clean Power Alliance (CPA, a CCA) were enrolled in Green Power, its 100% renewable product, as the default. These ten jurisdictions cover a third of CPA's one million customers.<sup>8</sup>

CPA, like EBCE, also has a Community Advisory Committee to help prioritize local renewable energy development and job creation, rebates and incentives. For California's progressive cities and counties, enrollment in 100% renewable energy is a climate action whose time has clearly come. Because 35% of EBCE's power purchase agreements are not required to be long term and electrification will increase demand, we anticipate ample opportunities for EBCE to make significant investments in local

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Berkeley Climate Action Plan Annual Progress Update, Office of Energy and Sustainable Development, Planning Department, Slide 5, December 6, 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Berkeley Climate Action Plan Annual Progress Update, Office of Energy and Sustainable Development, Planning Department, Slide 14, December 7, 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Clean Power Exchange, Alliance will provide clean, competitive energy, January 12, 2019 <a href="https://cleanpowerexchange.org/alliance-will-provide-clean-competitive-energy/">https://cleanpowerexchange.org/alliance-will-provide-clean-competitive-energy/</a>

energy development. As the local development market matures, there will be rolling opportunities to incorporate locally generated power into long term contracts.

There were initial concerns that new EBCE customers would opt out and go back to PG&E. There were also worries that customers would opt out if enrolled in a cleaner mix of energy generation priced at the same or slightly higher cost than PG&E rates. Both of these fears have been shown to be unfounded for the inner East Bay cities of Alameda County. In fact, among all Alameda County cities in EBCE, only the City of Livermore, at 5.56%, has had an opt out rate greater than 2.07%. Piedmont's experience in making Renewable 100 the default level is instructive. As of December 2018, 6.8% of customers opted down to Brilliant 100 or Bright Choice, and only 2.07% opted out and went back to PG&E. The takeaway is that few customers took any action, and of those who did, the overwhelming majority (77.7%) chose to stay in EBCE.

Concerns have also been raised that opting all customers to the 100% Renewable product would harm low-income customers. The Energy Commission recommends that EBCE follow CPA's lead in which "customers in 100 percent renewable energy communities who are enrolled in CARE, FERA or Medical Baseline will get Green Power at no extra charge." We understand that EBCE is reporting strong net revenues which could be allocated to subsidize CARE customers. Alternatively, non-CARE customers could absorb the additional cost. Furthermore, the value of the non-binding nature of the enrollments is that price sensitive customers can opt down. Unlike an increase in property taxes, nonCARE customers who cannot afford to pay any more for power can simply opt down to the lower priced option.

It has recently come to light that Bright Choice power may in fact have a higher carbon content that electricity provided by PG&E.<sup>11</sup> The City Council has the opportunity right now, while the nascent EBCE is locking in long term contracts for power, to opt all accounts to fossil fuel free power to ensure that joining the CCA does in fact reduce citywide GHGs.

The political landscape for CCAs is fraught with heavy opposition from PG&E and its entrenched allies in State government even as they supply electricity that is cleaner and cheaper than their for-profit counterparts.<sup>12</sup> Berkeley needs to partner with all Bay

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> EBCE Enrollment Update, December 5, 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Clean Power Exchange, Alliance will provide clean, competitive energy, January 12, 2019 <a href="https://cleanpowerexchange.org/alliance-will-provide-clean-competitive-energy/">https://cleanpowerexchange.org/alliance-will-provide-clean-competitive-energy/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See comments in: <a href="https://www.berkeleyside.com/2018/12/11/why-does-your-december-electricity-bill-look-different">https://www.berkeleyside.com/2018/12/11/why-does-your-december-electricity-bill-look-different</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> A 2016 UCLA study found that CCAs in California offered 25% more renewable energy compared to the investor-owned utility (IOU) in the same area resulting in an estimated reduction of 600,000 metric tons of CO2 in 2016.

Area cities in CCAs to work with our elected representatives to defeat legislative threats and overcome obstacles at the California Public Utilities Commission. Also, the CCA's themselves need to ensure unity and coordinated responses to initiatives aimed at undermining success.

#### VII.2. Affordable Densification of Cities

#### Affordable Densification Recommendations

- Work with MTC/ABAG, BART cities and counties to reframe and expand Transit
  Oriented Development concepts to conform with internationally used approaches
  that look beyond infill at already heavily used transit hubs, and prioritize infill
  housing everywhere developed in concert with expanded transportation strategies
  and expanded services (educational, recreational, commercial and environmental
  enhancement).
- 2. Work with Bay Area cities and counties to develop a regional funding mechanism to subsidize low income and affordable housing in all jurisdictions.
- 2. Explore viability of reducing R-1 zoning to increase housing availability, opportunities for home ownership and improve transit access through increasing densification. In addition, support adoption of such transit oriented development throughout the region to reduce development pressure on open spaces, provide more housing near jobs, and provide the density to support expansion of regional.

#### **Discussion**

In order to provide affordable densification we need massive housing construction, housing subsidies and expanded transit opportunities. The high cost of living in the Bay Area includes the high cost of construction. If we want to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and the unhealthy stress of long commutes we must find ways to subsidize housing for average people, because at the present time people living on average incomes who do not already own homes cannot afford to live in the Bay Area either as renters or homeowners, forcing many into ever longer vehicular commutes. This is something that needs to be addressed by both the region and the state. There is too much disparity in wealth across the region for the problem to be completely solved by individual cities.

A desire for walkable neighborhoods and transit access has contributed to gentrification in Berkeley and San Francisco. This new gentrification is fueled by the migration of young professionals from the suburbs to these two cities in particular because they both have ample neighborhood scale services. Remarkably, the median price paid per square foot of living space is no longer significantly higher in most R-1 zones where access to transit is often limited.<sup>13</sup> This indicates that the hunger for the amenities of a more urban lifestyle is widespread. It's quite possible that there is an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> (https://www.trulia.com/real\_estate/Berkeley-California/market-trends/)

untapped openness to neighborhood-scale services and transit development in existing suburbs too. This possibility needs to be explored. Any such nascent cultural shifts should be identified and reinforced. The suburbs have already absorbed job growth in the form of large business parks. Likewise, rails to trails conversions have acculturated suburban residents to walking and biking where convenient. Managed thoughtfully, initiatives to increase suburban infill housing coupled with increased transit, active transportation options and some small scale services could be welcome developments.

The push for housing densification in the Bay Area has relied on a concept of transitoriented development (TOD) defined by MTC as [emphases added]:

"the clustering of homes, jobs, shops and services near *rail stations, ferry terminals* or bus stops with high-frequency service"

#### defined by BART as:

"mixed-use, higher density development adjacent to frequent transit."

and directed by Berkeley's General Plan to:

"[e]ncourage and maintain zoning that allows greater commercial and residential density and reduced residential parking requirements in *areas with above-average transit service* such as Downtown Berkeley."

This perspective pre-supposes that densification is not a serious goal beyond existing heavily used transit corridors, or beyond cities that are already dense. Plan Bay Area forecasts the need for 800,000 new housing units by 2040. It seems doubtful that so much new housing can be built only around existing transit lines. Recent state legislation for infill housing fell victim to this kind of limited thinking.

In other parts of the world, TOD includes community scale planning with new transit service in mind, not just placing new homes near existing heavily used transit. We need to expand the mindset of housing development in the Bay Area to one of transit coordinated development (TCD). We need suburban infill housing developed in concert with public transit strategies, and educational, recreational and commercial services. Infill housing and transit alone do not address human needs for social, commercial and fitness activities. Enhancement of ecological surroundings is also important. A comprehensive TCD approach would improve the quality of life in many ways, serve as an attractor to development and significantly reduce GHG emissions.

Note that a substantial amount of new housing units in the suburbs will need to be subsidized for the reasons described above. Affordable and workforce housing is critical for every Bay Area city and county. Plan Bay Area has set forth affordable housing goals for the whole region, but so far every city is failing. Taking a comprehensive TCD approach would make such infill projects more relevant and attractive to existing residents.

One action cities such as Berkeley can take is to change zoning restrictions to eliminate R-1 zoning. Berkeley's General Plan institutionalizes R-1 low density housing:

"These areas are generally characterized by single-family homes. Appropriate uses for these areas include: residential, community services, schools, home occupations, recreational uses, and open space and institutional facilities. Building intensity will range from one to 10 dwelling units per net acre, not including secondary units, and the *population density will generally not exceed 22 persons per acre*." [Emphasis added.]

The recent move to allow Accessory Dwelling Units is too restrictive to increase density to the extent needed on the land that is most available. It also preserves privilege, in failing to foster home ownership for additional residents.

Berkeley's R-1 zoning is visually correlated with the legacy of red-lining. Its perpetuation restricts growth in areas with the most open land that could support densification. There is quite a lot of aging housing stock in the Berkeley that needs significant renovation, including in R-1 zones. Under current policies, large houses in R-1 cannot be subdivided to allow for more occupants. As a result when modernized they grow larger and more luxurious, a sort of "deep gentrification." It's well documented, but rarely acknowledged, that such consumption drives GHG emission increases.

If the zoning was changed and subsidies provided, we could see small scale condo development like is happening in areas with higher density zoning, and much lower average household CO2e emissions because all the infill would be natural gas free as well as house more people. We could also reverse gentrification and truly become a city that prioritizes diversity. Increased density in R-1 areas would facilitate increased transit service and car sharing, and reduce congestion in shopping corridors. The fact is, many people actually spend little free time in their homes and gardens, preferring to recreate elsewhere, and even when self or contractually employed, preferring to go to work spaces and coffee shops with other people. Children in R-1 zones don't generally play in their neighborhoods, but are shuttled daily to many activities, increasing VMT. Densifying housing in R-1 areas could eventually prompt further zoning changes along the more major roads already served by public transit leading to infill services and commercial development there as well such as the two small and well used commercial districts in Kensington. The result could very well be both environmentally preferable and lead to an increase in our city-wide happiness quotient. Human happiness is correlated with low economic disparity. Our zoning ordinances should be reviewed to see how they amplify disparity and/or inhibit community happiness and act as a bias toward creating GHGs.

## VII.3. Low Emissions Public Transportation Infrastructure

**Public Transportation Recommendations** 

The Energy Commission would like to coordinate recommendations with the Transportation and Public Works Commissions for accelerating a reduction in fossil fuel vehicles in Berkeley. To begin the process, the Energy Commission makes the following recommendations.

- 1. Work with AC Transit to convert all public transit to EVs.
- 2. Work with AC Transit and major employers to expand existing bus service and add all manner of appropriately sized bus and shuttle services, including into the suburbs.
- Work to create dedicated bus/shuttle-only lanes on all bridges, freeways and major streets.
- 4. Work to normalize ride sharing.
- 5. Work with MTC, regional transit providers and the state to augment subsidies such that public transit is affordable for all.
- 6. Lobby the state to regulate ride hailing services to reduce overall per capita VMT.

#### **Discussion**

MTC distributes enormous sums of money and wields huge power over regional transportation decisions but has not seriously addressed how the region can mitigate climate pollutants from transportation. As a start we need to press MTC to set clean transportation goals commensurate with the damage to our climate that dirty transportation has wrought and the urgency to make drastic emission cuts by 2030. The goal setting process must include a planning document showing the path to take, and policy commitment to achieve the goals.

The Bay Area's freeways are already some of the most crowded in the nation. As housing affordability has worsened, more people are commuting farther distances to their Bay Area jobs. According to MTC, time spent in weekly traffic in the Bay Area shot up 80% between 2010 and 2016. All this traffic is increasing transportation emissions, with no end in sight. Clearly there is a need for increased transportation options, and they need to be carbon free. To expand clean public transits as quickly as possible, light rail is not likely to play a large role. EV buses and shuttles can be built and routed in the time frame we need.

Given the number of tech workers (living all over the region, including the suburbs) who now take buses to their jobs, it is clear that old ideas about who will use bus transit is completely obsolete.

Like housing, transportation is an equity issue. All driving services, public or private, should be required to provide a living wage to drivers. Likewise, we cannot expand public transportation services without massive investment to assure affordability for all. This is a wealthy region that can afford such investments. Significant wealth generated

in this region is also sent to Sacramento. We need the state to assist in subsidizing the transition to clean, affordable public transit available to all.

On June 12, the Berkeley City Council also passed item 49 "Declaration of a Climate Emergency" which refers "to the Energy Commission to study and report back to Council on a path for Berkeley to become a "Carbon Sink" as quickly as possible, and to propose a deadline for Berkeley to achieve this goal."

#### **Carbon Sink Recommendations**

- 1. Plant more trees.
- 2. Apply compost (and biochar where possible) to city parks, median strips and generally all planted areas.
- 3. Support use of low carbon construction materials both in municipal buildings and commercial and residential projects.
- 4. Support urban farming: for example through recently adopted urban farming policies and also planting suitable edible perennials in public spaces.
- 5. Support citywide programs, such as the Ecology Center's farmers market program, that give all residents access to fresh, organic, regionally grown foods.

#### **Discussion**

Carbon sequestration is an essential component of comprehensive state, national and global efforts to meet climate change reduction goals. The October 9, 2018 UN IPCC report recommends that at least 1000 gigatons of CO2 be removed from the atmosphere and sequestered by the end of the century. A wide range of strategies are being looked at to remove and sequester atmospheric carbon. The most promising strategies, biological sequestration, rely on natural processes, including afforestation and carbon farming. The California Air Resources Board is already providing Cap and Trade funds to support and expand these promising approaches to carbon sequestration.

Because of the density of habitation, Berkeley is unlikely to be able to be a carbon sink until annual emissions have been reduced by about 99%. Citywide CO2 emissions totaled 640,000 metric tons in 2015. With roughly 6 square miles of space not covered with buildings and roads, only a very small fraction of these annual emissions could be offset with biological sequestration.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Background for Carbon Sink section:

Carbon sequestering buildings: While using rapidly renewable materials such as wood, straw and bamboo can sequester carbon in buildings, the amount is quickly offset by the vastly greater energy intensity of metals, plastics and concrete required in taller buildings and

While not having significant climate benefits, carbon sequestering strategies such as afforestation and application of biochar to the soil can have health and resilience benefits for the city residents improving air quality and local sources of food.

seismically active zones. In Berkeley, the effects of low carbon footprint construction can at best lower the carbon footprint of an individual building, which is important. However, it cannot provide a means to offset carbon emissions in the city generally.

Biological sequestration in soil: It is practical to sequester carbon from the atmosphere in two ways, changing farming practices to capture more carbon in soils, and reversing deforestation. (It is also possible to capture CO2 from the air but because of the low concentration of CO2 in the air, the cost is prohibitive. Sequestering the captured CO2 is also expensive, , requiring either mineralization or pressurization in a natural cavern (think Aliso Canyon) which is not present in Berkelev.)

Berkeley is 10.5 square miles. If 40% is impervious surfaces, then approximately 6.3 square miles would be available for carbon sequestration.

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Impervious\_surface#Total\_impervious\_area) If the City and its residents were to implement ambitious carbon building land management practices, the land could optimistically sequester 2 metric tons of CO2 per acre annually or about 8000 metric tons of CO2.(Soil Carbon Restoration: Can Biology do the Job? by Jack Kittredge, policy director, NOFA/Mass www.nofamass.org August 14, 2015) This compares to annual emissions of approximately 640,000 metric tons.

Purchasing carbon offsets: Carbon offsets cost between \$5.50 and \$29 per ton of CO2. Taking the average, it would cost \$1.1 mill to offset 640,000 metric tons or about \$90 per resident. (https://www.whatitcosts.com/carbon-offsets-cost-prices/) However, purchasing carbon offsets should be discouraged since it transfers money away from Berkeley without addressing our local objective of becoming fossil free.



ACTION CALENDAR
June 9, 2020
(Continued from June 2, 2020)

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Submitted by: Lisa Warhuus, Director, Health, Housing and Community Services

Subject: Proposed Amendment to Berkeley's Minimum Wage Ordinance: Berkeley

Municipal Code Chapter 13.99

#### RECOMMENDATION

Adopt first reading of an Ordinance amending Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 13.99, revising Section 13.99.040 to reinstate the exemption for youth job training programs, and freezing the youth wages at \$14.50 per hour for FY21, then increase the wage annually according to the CPI as will occur with the Berkeley Minimum wage.

# FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION

On July 1, 2019, Berkeley's minimum wage increased to \$14.50 per hour and on July 1, 2020, it will increase to \$15.75. If youth job training programs are not exempted from Berkeley's Minimum Wage Ordinance (MWO), additional funds will be needed to meet the demand for the City's YouthWorks program, operated by the Health, Housing & Community Services Department (HHCS) in FY21, and non-profit agencies that serve youth through training programs will be adversely impacted. Due to COVID-19, the YouthWorks winter and extended programs ended early and as such additional funds for FY2020 are not needed.

## CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS

In August 2016 City Council adopted amendments to Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 13.99, which included eliminating an exemption for youth job training programs from increases in the minimum wage, and setting a separate graduated wage rate for youth job training programs until it reached the City's regular minimum wage rate schedule. Prior to this action, the YouthWorks program had been following the State minimum wage.

In November 2018 staff submitted a recommendation to Council to freeze the youth job training wage rate at \$13.25. Council voted instead to allocate \$86,924 in additional funding for the YouthWorks program for FY2019 and to refer additional allocations of funding to the FY20 budget process. No additional funding for FY20 was added to the program during the FY20-21 budget process and Council set funding for YouthWorks at \$575,270 for FY20 and \$543,725 for FY21.

Adopting this recommendation will also avoid a wage compaction issue, discussed in the Rationale For Recommendation section of this report.

## **BACKGROUND**

The City of Berkeley YouthWorks program provides year-round access to temporary jobs for youth ages 14-25. The summer and winter programs provide after-school jobs for up to 7 weeks in duration, and transition age youth (aged 18-25) may work up to 6 months provided they are engaged in an academic pursuit, including vocational training. The goal of the YouthWorks program is to provide a variety of employment opportunities that allow participants the opportunity to build their employability skills. In addition to the hands-on training youth receive at their worksites, they also receive training in resume building, workplace skills and financial literacy, and continued staff involvement with the employers throughout the placement to address issues as they arise.

All youth work in City departments and community-based agencies. This spring, YouthWorks and the Berkeley Fire Department will partner on a new Vegetation Management Crew (VMC) program, providing hands-on training for up to five transitionage youth. Although the start of the program has been delayed due to COVID-19, there are at least three participants ready to begin as soon as the YouthWorks program can resume.

Youth work an average of 145 hours in the summer and 80 hours in the winter. They receive paid orientation in addition to paid workplace skills and sexual harassment training. This additional support is typically not provided with regular jobs.

Council first adopted the Minimum Wage Ordinance (MWO) (B.M.C. 13.99) on June 24, 2014. The ordinance exempted job training program participants up to 25 years of age in youth job training programs operated by Non-Profit Corporations or governmental agencies (B.M.C. 13.99.130). Because of the exemption, the city's YouthWorks program adhered to the State of California's Minimum Wage, which is currently \$13 per hour and which will incrementally increase to \$15.00 per hour by January 1, 2022 (for large employers) and thereafter will increase according to the Consumer Price Index (CPI) which has been averaging approximately 3% annually.

On August 31, 2016, City Council amended the Minimum Wage Ordinance (B.M.C. 13.99) to increase Berkeley's minimum wage. The amendment removed exemptions for job training programs for youth.

Other training programs in Berkeley impacted by the Minimum Wage Ordinance include Biotech Partners, Berkeley High School's Workability, Berkeley Youth Alternatives, the Ecology Center's Youth Environment Academy, UC Theatre Concert Career Pathways and Youth Spirit Artworks. Except for the Workability program, the above-named programs receive funding from the City of Berkeley and it is likely that these entities will

need additional funding to accommodate youth wage rate increases. Other jurisdictions have implemented similar exemptions such as the City of Emeryville which has a "learner" exemption that applies to workers of any age. The City of Richmond has exempted their city-operated YouthWorks Program.

This recommendation in no way is meant as a diminishment of the importance of the YouthWorks program and the positive impact participants have in their workplaces. Rather, it distinguishes training programs from other minimum wage jobs which do not have the training and support components integral to our success.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY**

There are no identifiable environmental effects or opportunities associated with the subject of this report.

#### RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

In addition to the annual financial impacts to the City's budget, there is concern that the increase will create wage compaction between youth workers and other City workers who may be earning the same wage, but who have more responsibility and a longer tenure than the youth workers. This is especially apparent in the City's Recreation programs, wherein youth workers would earn the same wage as Playground Leader Trainees starting in FY22, as shown below in Table 2.

Table 1: Schedule for Berkeley & State Minimum Wage Rates 2020-2023

	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23
CA Minimum Wage (26 employees or more) eff. 1/1 of each year	\$13	\$14	\$15	\$15
CoB Minimum Wage eff. 7/01 of each year*	\$15.59	\$16.07	\$16.54	\$17.04
YouthWorks wage rate eff. 7/01 of each year*	\$14.50	\$15.75	\$16.54	\$17.04
Playground Leader Trainee wage	\$15.59	\$16.07	\$16.54	\$17.04
*These wage rates are projections based on CPI increase of 3%.				

YouthWorks does not provide permanent employment for young people. It is a job training program providing soft skills and coaching which is focused on preparing young people to obtain jobs in the regular wage market. Most participants in YouthWorks work up to 7 weeks during the summer or winter months, and earn an average of \$1,416. The goal of the program is to graduate participants into more permanent employment.

## ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED

Council could freeze wages for youth employment programs at \$15.75, the level it is scheduled to reach on July 1, 2020 (FY21). This action would require additional funding for the YouthWorks program for FY21. Council could also take no action and allow the

Berkeley Minimum Wage to increase according to schedule. This will require annual increases to the YouthWorks budget.

# **CONTACT PERSON**

Delfina Geiken, Employment Programs Administrator, HHCS, 510-981-7551 Kristen Lee, Manager, Housing & Community Services Division, HHCS, 510-981-7427

## Attachments:

- 1: Ordinance Track changes
- 2: Ordinance Clean version

## ORDINANCE NO. -N.S.

# AMENDING SECTION 13.99.040 OF THE BERKELEY MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING MINIMUM WAGE

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Berkeley as follows:

<u>Section 1.</u> That Berkeley Municipal Code Sub-Section 13.99.040.C is amended to read as follows:

C.

For the Employer YouthWorks and for job training participants up to 25 years of age in youth job training programs operated by a Nonprofit Corporation or a governmental entity, the Minimum Wage shall remain at \$14.50, and will increase thereafter based on the <a href="State of California wage\_Consumer Price Index">State of California wage\_Consumer Price Index</a>-annual increases.

<u>Section 2.</u> Copies of this Ordinance shall be posted for two days prior to adoption in the display case located near the walkway in front of the Maudelle Shirek Building, 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way. Within 15 days of adoption, copies of this Ordinance shall be filed at each branch of the Berkeley Public Library and the title shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation.

## ORDINANCE NO. -N.S.

# AMENDING SECTION 13.99.040 OF THE BERKELEY MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING MINIMUM WAGE

BE IT ORDAINED by the Council of the City of Berkeley as follows:

<u>Section 1.</u> That Berkeley Municipal Code Sub-Section 13.99.040.C is amended to read as follows:

C. For the Employer YouthWorks and for job training participants up to 25 years of age in youth job training programs operated by a Nonprofit Corporation or a governmental entity, the Minimum Wage shall remain at \$14.50 and will increase thereafter based on the Consumer Price Index annual increases.

<u>Section 2.</u> Copies of this Ordinance shall be posted for two days prior to adoption in the display case located near the walkway in front of the Maudelle Shirek Building, 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way. Within 15 days of adoption, copies of this Ordinance shall be filed at each branch of the Berkeley Public Library and the title shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation.

Page 2 304