



Housing Advisory Commission

CONSENT CALENDAR  
October 27, 2020

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
 From: Housing Advisory Commission  
 Submitted by: Xavier Johnson, Chairperson, Housing Advisory Commission  
 Subject: Recommendation to Modify Policies Related to the Enforcement of the Berkeley Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance

### RECOMMENDATION

Approve modifications to policies related to the enforcement of the Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance, as follows:

- 1) Increase staffing to implement enforcement of the ordinance as part of the next budget;
- 2) Improve signage related to the ordinance in residential buildings;
- 3) Make the complaint process less onerous and more user-friendly, including enabling complainants to submit complaints electronically, providing complaint forms in different languages, and removing language requiring the statements to be "sworn," and considering other, less threatening language that still expects a complaint be provided under the best of appellant's knowledge;
- 4) Relax the current requirements around how the Ordinance-based complaint form must be completed in order to be processed (e.g., removing the requirement of providing two separate complaints from different individuals within a six-month period, if the building contains two or fewer units, removing the requirement of providing a sworn statement under penalty of perjury); and
- 5) Refer to the Community Health and Cannabis Commissions the question of whether the use of recreational (non-medical) cannabis should be incorporated into the Smoke-Free Housing Ordinance.

### POLICY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

No final action was taken by the Health, Life Enrichment, Equity & Community Committee. Item is automatically returning to the Council agenda pursuant to the 120-day time limit for items referred to policy committees.

### SUMMARY

At its July 11, 2019 meeting, the HAC took the following actions:

Action: M/S/C (Tregub/Sharenko) to recommend that City Council modify certain policies related to the enforcement of the Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance, as follows:

- 1) Increase staffing to implement enforcement of the ordinance as part of the next budget;
- 2) Improve signage related to the ordinance in residential buildings;
- 3) Make the complaint process less onerous and more user-friendly, including enabling complainants to submit complaints electronically, providing complaint forms in different languages, and removing language requiring the statements to be “sworn,” and considering other, less threatening language that still expects a complaint be provided under the best of appellant’s knowledge; and
- 4) Relax the current requirements around how the Ordinance-based complaint form must be completed in order to be processed (e.g., removing the requirement of providing two separate complaints from different individuals within a six-month period, if the building contains two or fewer units, removing the requirement of providing a sworn statement under penalty of perjury).

Vote: Ayes: Johnson, Lewis, Sargent, Tregub, and Wright. Noes: Lord and Sharenko. Abstain: None. Absent: Mendonca (excused), Owens (unexcused), Simon-Weisberg (excused), and Wolfe (excused).

Action: M/S/C (Tregub/Sharenko) to recommend that City Council modify certain policies related to the enforcement of the Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance, as follows:

- 5) Refer to the Community Health and Cannabis Commissions the question of whether the use of recreational (non-medical) cannabis should be incorporated into the Smoke-Free Housing Ordinance.

Vote: Ayes: Johnson, Sargent, Sharenko, Tregub, and Wright. Noes: Lewis and Lord. Abstain: None. Absent: Mendonca (excused), Owens (unexcused), Simon-Weisberg (excused), and Wolfe (excused).

#### FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION

Unknown direct costs. Staff time would be needed to implement these recommendations and to administer a possibly increased volume of complaints should the process of filing a complaint become less onerous. However, savings in staff time would potentially be realized as a result of implementing the efficiencies being proposed.

#### CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS

The HAC’s recommendation to modify certain policies related to the enforcement of the Berkeley Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance is a Strategic Plan Priority Project,

advancing our goal to create affordable housing and housing support service for our most vulnerable community members.

Ordinance No. 7,321-N.S., The Berkeley Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance was adopted in early 2014 and, as of May 1, 2014, prohibits smoking in 100% of multi-unit housing with two or more units. This also includes common areas such as private decks, balconies, and porches of units.<sup>1</sup> Enforcement of the ordinance is complaint-based and modeled after the “Events” section of the Community Noise Ordinance<sup>2</sup> and Barking Dog Ordinance, in that the standard for enforcement is “two non-anonymous citizen noise complaints.” In the case of the Smoke-Free Housing Ordinance, the City must “[receive] at least two complaints from residents of at least two separate units of the same multi-unit residence, or in the case of a two-unit multi-unit residence, from a resident of the other unit of a violation of [the Ordinance] by the same person provided notice...” in order for the complaints to be sustained. Further, both of these notices must be received within “a six month period following issuance of a [first] notice” to the resident allegedly in violation of the Ordinance.<sup>3</sup> The existing complaint form appears to only be available in English on the City website<sup>4</sup> and includes the following information that a complainant is required to acknowledge:

1. I am a resident in a multi-unit residence within the City of Berkeley;
2. This Complaint is not confidential and may be shared with the person responsible for the violation;
3. If this is the 3rd complaint, City of Berkeley Code Enforcement staff will review the complaint and if they find the complaint contains enough information to move forward, they will consider the matter for further action;
4. If an administrative citation is issued, and the recipient(s) appeals, I will be called to testify at an administrative appeal hearing. I agree to make myself available to testify, and understand that if I fail to testify, the citation may be dismissed.”<sup>5</sup>

As part of the declaration, the complainant must also attest to the following statement: “I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.”<sup>6</sup>

## BACKGROUND

Over the prior twenty months, the Berkeley Housing Advisory Commission (HAC) received and heard several concerns from members of the public about the difficulty

<sup>1</sup> [https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Health\\_Human\\_Services/Public\\_Health/Smoke\\_Free\\_MUH.aspx](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Health_Human_Services/Public_Health/Smoke_Free_MUH.aspx)

<sup>2</sup> [https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Clerk/Level\\_3\\_-\\_City\\_Council/2009/1n2Dec/2009-12-08\\_Item\\_01\\_Ordinance\\_7122.pdf](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Clerk/Level_3_-_City_Council/2009/1n2Dec/2009-12-08_Item_01_Ordinance_7122.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> [https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Health\\_Human\\_Services/Level\\_3\\_-\\_Public\\_Health/TobaccoFreeMultiUnitOrdinance.pdf](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Health_Human_Services/Level_3_-_Public_Health/TobaccoFreeMultiUnitOrdinance.pdf)

<sup>4</sup> [https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Health\\_Human\\_Services/Public\\_Health/Smoke\\_Free\\_MUH.aspx](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Health_Human_Services/Public_Health/Smoke_Free_MUH.aspx)

<sup>5</sup> [https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Health\\_Human\\_Services/Level\\_3\\_-\\_Public\\_Health/SFMUH-ComplaintForm-02-28-18.pdf](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/uploadedFiles/Health_Human_Services/Level_3_-_Public_Health/SFMUH-ComplaintForm-02-28-18.pdf)

<sup>6</sup> *Ibid.*

they encountered in an attempt to bring the City of Berkeley to enforce its Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance. The HAC recommended to the City Council that a Berkeley Considers survey be conducted, an action that was adopted and completed.

The survey results point to similar challenges, primarily associated with:

- 1) The real or perceived difficulty of having a complaint sustained due to the standard applied to the complaint in order for the City to process it;
- 2) The real or perceived onerous nature of filling out and submitting the present complaint form in the manner required by the City;
- 3) The undesirable nature of pursuing action under the Ordinance against a neighboring property owner or tenant, particularly since the complaint is required to be non-anonymous; and
- 4) The perception that, even if the complaint process is followed as required, the City will not enforce it due to the high standard associated with enforcement and complaint-based nature of the enforcement mechanism.

At its March 2019 meeting, the HAC convened a Smoke-Free Housing Ordinance Subcommittee which met in April 2019. Members of the subcommittee reached consensus on several recommendations to the HAC, which were discussed at the April 2019 HAC meeting. Additional feedback was solicited from HAC members as well as members of the public at that meeting. Although the subcommittee did not meet a second time to finalize these recommendations, one of the members of the subcommittee discussed these recommendations with the Eviction Defense Center and the East Bay Community Law Center and modified the draft recommendations so that the idea of empowering inspectors to integrate proactive inspections at the same time that they are conducting other city-mandated inspections (e.g., the Rental Housing Safety Program), exploring the legality of allowing anonymous complaints to be processed, and relaxing the requirement of having to provide two separate complaints within a six-month period in buildings of *all* unit counts were removed from the proposed recommendations that were discussed and approved at the July meeting.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

Insofar as the ability of every occupant of multi-family housing to reside in a smoke-free environment has a nexus to environmental sustainability and environmental justice, these recommendations support the City of Berkeley's environmental sustainability goals.

### RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations above address the primary challenges associated with enforcement that have been previously described. A brief rationale for each recommendation is presented below.

- 1) Increase staffing to implement enforcement of the ordinance as part of the next budget;
- 2) Improve signage related to the ordinance in residential buildings;

The recommendations above were made at the request of several members of the public who credibly claimed that the current staffing level to enforce the ordinance and required signage are inadequate to meet the goals of this ordinance.

- 3) Make the complaint process less onerous and more user-friendly, including enabling complainants to submit complaints electronically, providing complaint forms in different languages, and removing language requiring the statements to be “sworn,” and considering other, less threatening language that still expects a complaint be provided under the best of appellant’s knowledge; and
- 4) Relax the current requirements around how the Ordinance-based complaint form must be completed in order to be processed (e.g., removing the requirement of providing two separate complaints from different individuals within a six-month period, if the building contains two or fewer units, removing the requirement of providing a sworn statement under penalty of perjury).

These four recommendations would address the following concerns that the HAC noted from members of the public as well as from survey responses:

- 1) The real or perceived difficulty of having a complaint sustained due to the standard applied to the complaint in order for the City to process it;
- 2) The real or perceived onerous nature of filling out and submitting the present complaint form in the manner required by the City;
- 3) The undesirable nature of pursuing action under the Ordinance against a neighboring property owner or tenant, particularly since the complaint is required to be non-anonymous; and
- 4) The perception that, even if the complaint process is followed as required, the City will not enforce it due to the high standard associated with enforcement and complaint-based nature of the enforcement mechanism.

The current process requires an extremely high bar of evidence and effort for a complainant, and in a situation in which the complainant resides in close quarters with the allegedly offending party, may expose the complainant to possible retaliation (due to the lack of anonymity of the complaint). In addition, while the correctness of a complaint is fundamental to its ability to be processed, using the same language in the complaint form that is seen in a sworn affidavit is likely to intimidate some would-be complainants from undergoing the process of completing and submitting the form.

Furthermore, while the Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance page on the City of Berkeley website currently includes several forms in Spanish as well as English, the complaint form itself is only available in English. No other languages besides English and Spanish were found anywhere on the site.<sup>7</sup> The requirement that only a hard copy can be submitted and that electronic submission mechanisms are not accepted is overly burdensome, in an age where even police reports can be filed online. The provision that three separate complaints (two of them from separate individuals) must be received within the span of six months shifts the burden of policing onto the complainants rather than City, which is charged with enforcing this ordinance. Each of these recommendations addresses these and related concerns mentioned above.

The final recommendation approved by a separate vote by the HAC is as follows:

- 5) Refer to the Community Health and Cannabis Commissions the question of whether the use of recreational (non-medical) cannabis should be incorporated into the Smoke-Free Housing Ordinance.”

The Smoke-Free Housing Subcommittee and several additional members of the HAC and public felt that, with the recent relaxation of state law around the use of recreational (non-medical) cannabis, it would be worthwhile for these two commissions, both comprised of subject matter experts in their respective fields, to study this question. Only further study rather than any concrete actions is recommended at this time.

#### ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED

Members of the HAC Smoke-Free Housing Subcommittee briefly discussed but dismissed the notion of making changes to the underlying Berkeley Smoke-Free Multi-Unit Housing Ordinance itself. Based on discussions with the eviction defense community, several elements were removed from the initial recommendations. These recommendations that are no longer proposed included the following:

---

<sup>7</sup> [https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Health\\_Human\\_Services/Public\\_Health/Smoke\\_Free\\_MUH.aspx](https://www.cityofberkeley.info/Health_Human_Services/Public_Health/Smoke_Free_MUH.aspx)

- 1) Empowering inspectors to integrate proactive inspections regarding the smoke-free Ordinance enforcement at the same time that the inspectors are conducting other city-mandated inspections (e.g., the Rental Housing Safety Program);
- 2) Exploring the legality of allowing anonymous complaints to be processed;
- 3) Relaxing the requirement of having to demonstrate two separate complaints within a six-month period in buildings of *all unit sizes*.

Therefore, though some of the recommended actions, if approved, may trigger the need to provide subtle adjustments to the enforcement of the Ordinance, none of the actions above alter the fundamental architecture of the Ordinance.

CITY MANAGER

See companion report.

CONTACT PERSON

Mike Uberti, Commission Secretary, HHCS, (510) 981-5114

