BERKELEY CITY COUNCIL AGENDA & RULES COMMITTEE
SPECIAL MEETING

MONDAY, APRIL 24, 2023
2:30 P.M.

2180 Milvia Street, 6th Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704 – Redwood Room
1404 Le Roy Ave, Berkeley, CA 94708 – Teleconference Location

Committee Members:
Mayor Jesse Arreguin, Councilmembers Sophie Hahn and Susan Wengraf
Alternate: Councilmember Ben Bartlett

This meeting will be conducted in a hybrid model with both in-person attendance and virtual participation. For in-person attendees, face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and the mouth are encouraged. If you are feeling sick, please do not attend the meeting in person.

Remote participation by the public is available through Zoom. To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL - https://cityofberkeley-info.zoomgov.com/j/1605317726. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the "raise hand" icon on the screen. To join by phone: Dial 1-669-254-5252 or 1-833-568-8864 (Toll Free) and Enter Meeting ID: 160 531 7726. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

To submit a written communication for the Committee’s consideration and inclusion in the public record, email policycommittee@cityofberkeley.info.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Agenda & Rules Committee by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Committee meeting will be distributed to the members of the Committee in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.
AGENDA

Roll Call

Public Comment

Review of Agendas

1. Approval of Minutes: April 10, 2023

2. Review and Approve Draft Agenda:
   a. 5/9/23 – 6:00 p.m. Regular City Council Meeting

3. Selection of Item for the Berkeley Considers Online Engagement Portal

4. Adjournments In Memory

Scheduling

5. Council Worksessions Schedule

6. Council Referrals to Agenda Committee for Scheduling

7. Land Use Calendar

Referred Items for Review

8a. Discussion Regarding Impact of COVID-19 (novel coronavirus) on Meetings of Legislative Bodies

8b. Analysis of Return to In-Person Meetings of City Legislative Bodies

 Unscheduled Items

9. Discussion of Potential Changes and Enhancements to the City Council Legislative Process including the concepts referred by Council at the March 14, 2023 meeting

10. Modifications or Improvements to City Council Meeting Procedures (referred by Council at the March 14, 2023 meeting)

11. Strengthening and Supporting City Commissions: Guidance on the Development of Legislative Proposals

12. Discussion Regarding Design and Strengthening of Policy Committee Process and Structure (Including Budget Referrals)
Items for Future Agendas

- Requests by Committee Members to add items to future agendas

Adjournment – Next Meeting Monday, May 8, 2023

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Additional items may be added to the draft agenda per Council Rules of Procedure.

Rules of Procedure as adopted by Council resolution, Article III, C3c - Agenda - Submission of Time Critical Items

Time Critical Items. A Time Critical item is defined as a matter that is considered urgent by the sponsor and that has a deadline for action that is prior to the next meeting of the Council and for which a report prepared by the City Manager, Auditor, Mayor or council member is received by the City Clerk after established deadlines and is not included on the Agenda Committee’s published agenda.

If the Agenda Committee finds the matter to meet the definition of Time Critical, the Agenda Committee may place the matter on the Agenda on either the Consent or Action Calendar.

The City Clerk shall not accept any item past the adjournment of the Agenda Committee meeting for which the agenda that the item is requested to appear on has been approved.

Written communications addressed to the Agenda Committee and submitted to the City Clerk Department by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Committee meeting, will be distributed to the Committee prior to the meeting.

This meeting will be conducted in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code Section 54953 and applicable Executive Orders as issued by the Governor that are currently in effect. Members of the City Council who are not members of the standing committee may attend a standing committee meeting even if it results in a quorum being present, provided that the non-members only act as observers and do not participate in the meeting. If only one member of the Council who is not a member of the committee is present for the meeting, the member may participate in the meeting because less than a quorum of the full Council is present. Any member of the public may attend this meeting. Questions regarding this matter may be addressed to Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900.

COMMUNICATION ACCESS INFORMATION:

This meeting is being held in a wheelchair accessible location. To request a disability-related accommodation(s) to participate in the meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please contact the Disability Services specialist at (510) 981-6418 (V) or (510) 981-6347 (TDD) at least three business days before the meeting date. Attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various scents, whether natural or manufactured, in products and materials. Please help the City respect these needs.

I hereby certify that the agenda for this special meeting of the Berkeley City Council was posted at the display case located near the walkway in front of the Maudelle Shirek Building, 2134 Martin Luther King Jr. Way, as well as on the City’s website, on Thursday, April 20, 2023.

Mark Numainville, City Clerk
Communications

Communications submitted to City Council Policy Committees are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA, and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or policycommittee@cityofberkeley.info.
BERKELEY CITY COUNCIL AGENDA & RULES COMMITTEE
SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES

MONDAY, APRIL 10, 2023
2:30 P.M.

2180 Milvia Street, 6th Floor, Berkeley, CA 94704 – Redwood Room
1404 Le Roy Ave, Berkeley, CA 94708 – Teleconference Location

Committee Members:
Mayor Jesse Arreguin, Councilmembers Sophie Hahn and Susan Wengraf
Alternate: Councilmember Ben Bartlett

This meeting will be conducted in a hybrid model with both in-person attendance and virtual participation. For in-person attendees, face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and the mouth are encouraged. If you are feeling sick, please do not attend the meeting in person.

Remote participation by the public is available through Zoom. To access the meeting remotely using the internet: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Use URL - https://cityofberkeley-info.zoomgov.com/j/1615250967. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on "rename" to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the “raise hand” icon on the screen. To join by phone: Dial 1-669-254-5252 or 1-833-568-8864 (Toll Free) and Enter Meeting ID: 161 525 0967. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

To submit a written communication for the Committee’s consideration and inclusion in the public record, email policycommittee@cityofberkeley.info.

Written communications submitted by mail or e-mail to the Agenda & Rules Committee by 5:00 p.m. the Friday before the Committee meeting will be distributed to the members of the Committee in advance of the meeting and retained as part of the official record.
MINUTES

Roll Call: 2:34 p.m. Present: Hahn, Wengraf, Arreguin

Public Comment – 3 speakers

Review of Agendas

1. Approval of Minutes: March 29, 2023
   Action: M/S/C (Hahn/Wengraf) to approve the minutes of 3/29/23.
   Vote: All Ayes.

2. Review and Approve Draft Agenda:
   a. 4/25/23 – 6:00 p.m. Regular City Council Meeting
      Action: M/S/C (Arreguin/Hahn) to approve the agenda of 4/25/23 with the
      changes noted below.
      • Item Added: Traffic Safety Upgrades (Harrison) – Consent Calendar
      • Item 18 Waterfront Bike Park (Taplin) – Councilmember Wengraf added as a co-sponsor
      • Item 25 Psychedelic Drug Policy Reform (Community Health Commission) – Referred to
         the Health, Life Enrichment, Equity & Community policy committee
      • Item 26 Project Manager/Coordinator (Arreguin) – Moved to Action Calendar

   Order of Action Items
   Item 24 Prop 218 Procedures
   Item 26 Project Manager/Coordinator

3. Selection of Item for the Berkeley Considers Online Engagement Portal
   - None Selected

4. Adjournments In Memory – None

Scheduling

5. Council Worksessions Schedule
   Appointment of the Police Chief and Fire Chief added to the special meeting on
   April 25, 2023; start time changed to 4:30 pm

   Action: M/S/C (Arreguin/Wengraf) to schedule the Climate Action Plan and
   Resilience Update and Berkeley Economic Dashboards Update for the June 20,
   2023 worksession; and remove the Hopkins Corridor Plan from the list of
   unscheduled special meetings.
   Vote: All Ayes.

6. Council Referrals to Agenda Committee for Scheduling – received and filed

7. Land Use Calendar – received and filed
Referred Items for Review

8a. Discussion Regarding Impact of COVID-19 (novel coronavirus) on Meetings of Legislative Bodies

8b. Analysis of Return to In-Person Meetings of City Legislative Bodies

Action: 4 speakers. Discussion held.

Unscheduled Items

9. Discussion of Potential Changes and Enhancements to the City Council Legislative Process including the concepts referred by Council at the March 14, 2023 meeting

10. Modifications or Improvements to City Council Meeting Procedures (referred by Council at the March 14, 2023 meeting)

11. Strengthening and Supporting City Commissions: Guidance on the Development of Legislative Proposals

12. Discussion Regarding Design and Strengthening of Policy Committee Process and Structure (Including Budget Referrals)

Items for Future Agendas

- None

Adjournment

Action: M/S/C (Arreguin/Hahn) to adjourn the meeting.
Vote: All Ayes.

Adjourned at 3:23 p.m.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct record of the Agenda & Rules Committee meeting held on April 10, 2023.

________________________
Rose Thomsen, Deputy City Clerk
Communications

Communications submitted to City Council Policy Committees are on file in the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street, 1st Floor, Berkeley, CA, and are available upon request by contacting the City Clerk Department at (510) 981-6908 or policycommittee@cityofberkeley.info.
This meeting will be conducted in a hybrid model with both in-person attendance and virtual participation. For in-person attendees, face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and the mouth are encouraged. If you are feeling sick, please do not attend the meeting in person.

Live captioned broadcasts of Council Meetings are available on Cable B-TV (Channel 33) and via internet accessible video stream at http://berkeley.granicus.com/MediaPlayer.php?publish_id=1244.

Remote participation by the public is available through Zoom. To access the meeting remotely: Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone, or Android device: Please use this URL: <<INSERT ZOOM for GOV URL HERE>>. If you do not wish for your name to appear on the screen, then use the drop down menu and click on “rename” to rename yourself to be anonymous. To request to speak, use the “raise hand” icon by rolling over the bottom of the screen. To join by phone: Dial 1-669-254-5252 or 1-833-568-8864 (Toll Free) and enter Meeting ID: <<INSERT MEETING ID HERE>>. If you wish to comment during the public comment portion of the agenda, Press *9 and wait to be recognized by the Chair.

Please be mindful that the meeting will be recorded and all rules of procedure and decorum apply for in-person attendees and those participating by teleconference or videoconference.

To submit a written communication for the City Council’s consideration and inclusion in the public record, email council@cityofberkeley.info.

This meeting will be conducted in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code Section 54953 and applicable Executive Orders as issued by the Governor that are currently in effect. Any member of the public may attend this meeting. Questions regarding this matter may be addressed to Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6900. The City Council may take action related to any subject listed on the Agenda. Meetings will adjourn at 11:00 p.m. - any items outstanding at that time will be carried over to a date/time to be specified.
Preliminary Matters

Roll Call:

Land Acknowledgement Statement: The City of Berkeley recognizes that the community we live in was built on the territory of xučyun (Huchiun (Hooch-yoon)), the ancestral and unceded land of the Chochenyo (Cho-chen-yo)-speaking Ohlone (Oh-low-nee) people, the ancestors and descendants of the sovereign Verona Band of Alameda County. This land was and continues to be of great importance to all of the Ohlone Tribes and descendants of the Verona Band. As we begin our meeting tonight, we acknowledge and honor the original inhabitants of Berkeley, the documented 5,000-year history of a vibrant community at the West Berkeley Shellmound, and the Ohlone people who continue to reside in the East Bay. We recognize that Berkeley’s residents have and continue to benefit from the use and occupation of this unceded stolen land since the City of Berkeley’s incorporation in 1878. As stewards of the laws regulating the City of Berkeley, it is not only vital that we recognize the history of this land, but also recognize that the Ohlone people are present members of Berkeley and other East Bay communities today. The City of Berkeley will continue to build relationships with the Lisjan Tribe and to create meaningful actions that uphold the intention of this land acknowledgement.

Ceremonial Matters: In addition to those items listed on the agenda, the Mayor may add additional ceremonial matters.

City Manager Comments: The City Manager may make announcements or provide information to the City Council in the form of an oral report. The Council will not take action on such items but may request the City Manager place a report on a future agenda for discussion.

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters: Persons will be selected to address matters not on the Council agenda. If five or fewer persons wish to speak, each person selected will be allotted two minutes each. If more than five persons wish to speak, up to ten persons will be selected to address matters not on the Council agenda and each person selected will be allotted one minute each. Persons attending the meeting in-person and wishing to address the Council on matters not on the Council agenda during the initial ten-minute period for such comment, must submit a speaker card to the City Clerk in person at the meeting location and prior to commencement of that meeting. The remainder of the speakers wishing to address the Council on non-agenda items will be heard at the end of the agenda.

Consent Calendar

The Council will first determine whether to move items on the agenda for “Action” or “Information” to the “Consent Calendar”, or move “Consent Calendar” items to “Action.” Three members of the City Council must agree to pull an item from the Consent Calendar or Information Calendar for it to move to Action. Items that remain on the “Consent Calendar” are voted on in one motion as a group. “Information” items are not discussed or acted upon at the Council meeting unless they are moved to “Action” or “Consent”.

No additional items can be moved onto the Consent Calendar once public comment has commenced. At any time during, or immediately after, public comment on Information and Consent items, any Councilmember may move any Information or Consent item to “Action.” Following this, the Council will vote on the items remaining on the Consent Calendar in one motion.

For items moved to the Action Calendar from the Consent Calendar or Information Calendar, persons who spoke on the item during the Consent Calendar public comment period may speak again at the time the matter is taken up during the Action Calendar.
Public Comment on Consent Calendar and Information Items Only: The Council will take public comment on any items that are either on the amended Consent Calendar or the Information Calendar. Speakers will be entitled to two minutes each to speak in opposition to or support of Consent Calendar and Information Items. A speaker may only speak once during the period for public comment on Consent Calendar and Information items.

Additional information regarding public comment by City of Berkeley employees and interns: Employees and interns of the City of Berkeley, although not required, are encouraged to identify themselves as such, the department in which they work and state whether they are speaking as an individual or in their official capacity when addressing the Council in open session or workshops.

Consent Calendar

1. **Waiver of Sanctuary City Ordinance to Amend Westlaw Subscription**  
   **From:** City Manager  
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution waiving the contract prohibition of Chapter 13.105, Sanctuary City Contracting, in order to amend the City Attorney’s Office subscription to Westlaw to include case calendaring capabilities.  
   **Financial Implications:** See report  
   **Contact:** Farimah Brown, City Attorney, (510) 981-6950

2. **Amendment: FY 2023 Annual Appropriations Ordinance**  
   **From:** City Manager  
   **Recommendation:** Adopt the first reading of an Ordinance amending the FY 2023 Annual Appropriations Ordinance No. 7,851–N.S. for fiscal year 2023 based upon recommended re-appropriation of committed FY 2022 funding and other adjustments in the amount of $27,740,780 (gross) and $29,458,924 (net).  
   **Financial Implications:** See Council Report  
   **Contact:** Sharon Friedrichsen, Budget Manager, (510) 981-7000

3. **Appointment of Fire Chief**  
   **From:** City Manager  
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution confirming the appointment of David Sprague-Livingston as Fire Chief to be effective May 9, 2023 at an annual base salary of $268,320.00.  
   **Financial Implications:** See report  
   **Contact:** Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager, (510) 981-7000

4. **Appointment of Police Chief**  
   **From:** City Manager  
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution confirming the appointment of Jennifer Louis as Police Chief to be effective May 9, 2023 at an annual base salary of $301,820.48.  
   **Financial Implications:** See report  
   **Contact:** Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager, (510) 981-7000
5. **Formal Bid Solicitations and Request for Proposals Scheduled for Possible Issuance After Council Approval on May 9, 2023**  
   **From:** City Manager  
   **Recommendation:** Approve the request for proposals or invitation for bids (attached to staff report) that will be, or are planned to be, issued upon final approval by the requesting department or division. All contracts over the City Manager's threshold will be returned to Council for final approval.  
   **Financial Implications:** Various Funds - $7,305,000  
   **Contact:** Henry Oyekanmi, Finance, (510) 981-7300

6. **2023 Local Housing Trust Fund Application**  
   **From:** City Manager  
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to apply for and accept funds from the State of California's Local Housing Trust Fund program, in an amount not to exceed $5,000,000 and to apply such funds to the City's existing funding reservations for St. Paul Terrace (2024 Ashby Avenue) via the City's Housing Trust Fund program.  
   **Financial Implications:** See report  
   **Contact:** Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

7. **Contract No. 31900263 Amendment: Rebuilding Together East Bay-North Contract Continuation Recommendation**  
   **From:** City Manager  
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution: 1. Providing Rebuilding Together East Bay-North’s Safe at Home and Community Facility Improvement programs; General Funds for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 in the amounts of $98,275 for the Safe at Home Program and $24,575 for Community Facility Improvement, for a total amount of $122,850; and 2. Authorizing the City Manager to enter into any agreements or amendments to effectuate this action, including an amendment to Contract No. 31900263 with Rebuilding Together East Bay-North, for an amended amount not to exceed $614,250 and extending the contract term to June 30, 2024.  
   **Financial Implications:** See report  
   **Contact:** Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

8. **Contract: Optimas Services, Inc. for Consulting Services Related to Mental Health Medi-Cal Billing and the CalAIM Initiative**  
   **From:** City Manager  
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to enter into a contract and any amendments with Optimas Services, Inc. for an amount not to exceed $75,000 for consulting services related to Medi-Cal billing and the CalAIM Initiative.  
   **Financial Implications:** Various Funds - $75,000  
   **Contact:** Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400
9. **Contract No. 32300108 Amendment: Street Level Advisors, LLC for Housing Consulting Services**  
   **From: City Manager**  
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute an amendment to Contract No. 32300108 with Street Level Advisors, LLC increasing the contract by $50,000 for consulting services in a total amount not to exceed $99,500, with a contract end date of June 30, 2024.  
   **Financial Implications:** General Fund -$50,000  
   Contact: Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

10. **Contract: Pacific Site Management: Landscaping Services for Health, Housing, and Community Services Department**  
    **From: City Manager**  
    **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager or her designee to execute a contract, and any amendments or extensions, with Pacific Site Landscaping. The contract will be in an amount not to exceed $110,000 for the period July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2026.  
    **Financial Implications:** General Fund - $110,000  
    Contact: Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

11. **Contract: Resource Development Associates: Consultation Services for the Implementation of Results Based Accountability Framework**  
    **From: City Manager**  
    **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager or her designee to execute a contract, and any amendments or extensions, with Resource Development Associates (RDA) for consultation services to support implementation of a program assessment and planning initiative across all of the Department of Health, Housing, and Community Services (HHCS) Divisions. The contract will be in an amount not to exceed $380,000 for the period July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2025.  
    **Financial Implications:** Various Funds - $380,000  
    Contact: Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

    **From: City Manager**  
    **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager or her designee to execute a contract, and any amendments or extensions, with Hansine Fisher and Associates for Targeted Case Management (TCM) consulting services. The contract will be in an amount not to exceed $100,000 for the period July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024.  
    **Financial Implications:** Target Case Mgmt/Linkages Fund - $100,000  
    Contact: Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400
13. **Revenue Grant Agreements: Funding Support from Essential Access Health to Conduct Public Health Services**
   **From:** City Manager
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager or her designee to submit a grant application to Essential Access Health, to accept the grant, execute any resultant revenue agreement and amendment, and implement the projects and appropriation of funding for related expenses to conduct public health promotion, protection, and prevention services for the Essential Access Health revenue agreement in the projected amount of $180,000 for April 1, 2023 to March 30, 2024.
   **Financial Implications:** See report
   **Contact:** Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

14. **Revenue Grant Agreements: Funding Support from the State of California to Conduct Public Health Services**
   **From:** City Manager
   **Recommendation:** Adopt seven Resolutions authorizing the City Manager or her designee to submit grant agreements to the State of California, to accept the grants, and execute any resultant revenue agreements and amendments to conduct public health promotion, protection, and prevention services for the following five revenue agreements:
   1. Child Health and Disability Prevention (CHDP) Program, which includes Early Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) and Health Care Program for Children in Foster Care (HCPCFC), in the projected amount of $303,709 for FY 2024.
   2. Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health (MCAH) Program in the projected amount of $375,000 for FY 2024.
   3. Tobacco Trust Fund: There is no match required and this contract is expected to be for $300,000 in FY 2024.
   4. Immunization Program in the projected amount of $1,368,080 FY 2023 through FY 2027.
   5. Public Health Emergency Preparedness/Pandemic Flu/Cities Readiness Initiative (CRI) Program in the projected amount of $1,368,080 for FY 2023 through FY 2027.
   6. Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program (CLPPP) in the projected allocation of $107,374 per year for FY 2024 through FY 2026 with a total amount of $322,123.
   7. CalFresh Health Living (CFHL) Program in the projected amount of $487,170 for FY 2024 through FY 2027.
   **Financial Implications:** See report
   **Contact:** Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400
15. **Revenue Grant Agreements: Funding Support from Alameda County to Conduct Public Health Services**  
From: City Manager  
**Recommendation:** Adopt four Resolutions authorizing the City Manager or her designee to submit grant agreements to Alameda County, to accept the grants, and execute any resultant revenue agreements and amendments to conduct public health promotion, protection, and prevention services for the following four revenue agreements:  
1. Foster Care Program in the projected amount of $96,500 for Fiscal Year 2024.  
2. Berkeley High School and Berkeley Technology Academy Health Center Programs in the projected amount of $181,208 for Fiscal Year 2024.  
3. School Linked Health Services Program (Measure A Funding) in the projected amount of $200,011 for Fiscal Year 2024.  
4. Tobacco Prevention Program in the projected amount of $78,960 for Fiscal Year 2024.  
**Financial Implications:** See report  
Contact: Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

16. **Accept California Strengthening Public Health Initiative Funds from the State of California**  
From: City Manager  
**Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager or her designee to accept grant funds and to execute any resultant revenue agreement, any amendments, and extensions from the California Department of Public Health’s (CDPH) Strengthening Public Health Initiative in the projected amount of $650,928. This funding is to be utilized from December 1, 2022 through November 30, 2027.  
**Financial Implications:** See report  
Contact: Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

17. **Establish Classification and Salary: Electrical Supervisor and Communications Supervisor**  
From: City Manager  
**Recommendation:** Adopt two Resolutions:  
1. Establishing the represented classification of Electrical Supervisor with a monthly salary range of $9,666.8000 - $10,882.1055 effective May 9, 2023.  
2. Establishing the represented classification of Communications Supervisor with a monthly salary range of $9,666.8000 - $10,882.1055 effective May 9, 2023.  
**Financial Implications:** See report  
Contact: Aram Kouyoumdjian, Human Resources, (510) 981-6800
18. **Donation: Memorial Bench at Mortar Rock Park in memory of Charles D. Sooy**
   **From:** City Manager
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution accepting a cash donation in the amount of $3,400 for a memorial bench to be placed at Mortar Rock Park in memory of Charles D. Sooy.
   **Financial Implications:** $3,400 (revenue)
   **Contact:** Scott Ferris, Parks, Recreation and Waterfront, (510) 981-6700

19. **Amendments to Contract No. 32100138 with AnchorCM, and Contract No. 32100144 with Park Engineering, Inc. for On-Call Waterfront Project and Construction Management Services**
   **From:** City Manager
   **Recommendation:** Adopt two Resolutions authorizing the City Manager to execute amendments to Contract No. 32100138 with AnchorCM, and Contract No. 32100144 with Park Engineering, Inc., for on-call waterfront project and construction management Services by increasing the contract amount by $1,000,000 and duration by twelve months each, from June 30, 2024 through June 30, 2025, for a total not-to-exceed amount of $2,000,000 each.
   **Financial Implications:** Various Funds - $2,000,000
   **Contact:** Scott Ferris, Parks, Recreation and Waterfront, (510) 981-6700

20. **Contract: Elavon, Inc. for online credit card payment processing transaction services for the Recreation Division’s online registration system**
   **From:** City Manager
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute a contract with Elavon for online credit card payment processing transaction services for the Recreation Division’s online registration system, for an amount not to exceed $360,000 for the term commencing on or about June 1, 2023, through May 31, 2027.
   **Financial Implications:** Various Funds - $360,000
   **Contact:** Scott Ferris, Parks, Recreation and Waterfront, (510) 981-6700

21. **Amending Contract No. 32200098 with ERA Construction, Inc. for the O&K Docks Electrical Upgrade Project**
   **From:** City Manager
   **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to amend Contract No. 32200098 with ERA Construction, Inc. for the O&K Docks Electrical Upgrade Project by adding $138,000 for a total not-to-exceed contract amount of $1,338,000.
   **Financial Implications:** CIP Fund - $1,338,000
   **Contact:** Scott Ferris, Parks, Recreation and Waterfront, (510) 981-6700
Consent Calendar

22. **Agreement: East Bay Municipal Utility District for Sewer Service Billing and Collection**
    From: City Manager
    **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute a sole source agreement and any necessary amendments with the East Bay Municipal Utility District for sewer service billing and collection for the period July 1, 2023 to June 30, 2033, for an amount not to exceed $6.1 million.
    **Financial Implications:** See report.
    Contact: Liam Garland, Public Works, (510) 981-6300

23. **Contract No. 32000180 Amendment: Missionmark for Audit Management and Recommendation Tracking Software**
    From: Auditor
    **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute an amendment to Contract No. 32000180 with Missionmark for audit management and recommendation tracking software, increasing the amount by $100,000 for a total amount not to exceed $124,000 and extending the contract term to May 30, 2027.
    **Financial Implications:** See report
    Contact: Jenny Wong, Auditor, (510) 981-6750

24. **Approval of Additional Disaster and Fire Safety Commission Meeting**
    From: Disaster and Fire Safety Commission
    **Recommendation:** Adopt a Resolution approving the request from the Disaster and Fire Safety Commission to hold one additional meeting in calendar year 2023.
    **Financial Implications:** See report
    Contact: Keith May, Commission Secretary, (510) 981-3473

Action Calendar

*The public may comment on each item listed on the agenda for action. For items moved to the Action Calendar from the Consent Calendar or Information Calendar, persons who spoke on the item during the Consent Calendar public comment period may speak again during one of the Action Calendar public comment periods on the item. Public comment will occur for each Action item (excluding public hearings, appeals, and/or quasi-judicial matters) in one of two comment periods, either 1) before the Action Calendar is discussed; or 2) when the item is taken up by the Council.*

*A member of the public may only speak at one of the two public comment periods for any single Action item.*

*The Presiding Officer will request that persons wishing to speak line up at the podium, or use the "raise hand" function in Zoom, to determine the number of persons interested in speaking at that time. Up to ten (10) speakers may speak for two minutes. If there are more than ten persons interested in speaking, the Presiding Officer may limit the public comment for all speakers to one minute per speaker. Speakers are permitted to yield their time to one other speaker, however no one speaker shall have more than four minutes. The Presiding Officer may, with the consent of persons representing both sides of an issue, allocate a block of time to each side to present their issue.*

*Action items may be reordered at the discretion of the Chair with the consent of Council.*
Action Calendar – Scheduled Public Comment Period

During this public comment period, the Presiding Officer will open and close a comment period for each Action item on this agenda (excluding any public hearings, appeals, and/or quasi-judicial matters). The public may speak on each item. Those who speak on an item during this comment period may not speak a second time when the item is taken up by Council.

Action Calendar – Public Hearings

Staff shall introduce the public hearing item and present their comments. This is followed by five-minute presentations each by the appellant and applicant. The Presiding Officer will request that persons wishing to speak line up at the podium, or use the “raise hand” function in Zoom, to be recognized and to determine the number of persons interested in speaking at that time.

Up to ten (10) speakers may speak for two minutes. If there are more than ten persons interested in speaking, the Presiding Officer may limit the public comment for all speakers to one minute per speaker. Speakers are permitted to yield their time to one other speaker, however no one speaker shall have more than four minutes. The Presiding Officer may with the consent of persons representing both sides of an issue allocate a block of time to each side to present their issue.

Each member of the City Council shall verbally disclose all ex parte contacts concerning the subject of the hearing. Councilmembers shall also submit a report of such contacts in writing prior to the commencement of the hearing. Written reports shall be available for public review in the office of the City Clerk.

25. FY 2024 Proposed Budget Update and FY 2024 Proposed Budget Update
Public Hearing #1
From: City Manager
Recommendation: Accept the FY 2024 Proposed Budget Update for review and consideration by the City Council and conduct Public Hearing #1 on the FY 2024 Proposed Budget Update.
Financial Implications: See report
Contact: Sharon Friedrichsen, Budget Manager, (510) 981-7000
From: City Manager
Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing on the federal Program Year (PY) 2023, City Fiscal Year (FY) 2024, Annual Action Plan (AAP) for federal Housing and Urban Development Department (HUD) funds, including the allocation of federal funding for community agencies, and upon conclusion, adopt a Resolution: 1. Approving proposed funding allocations under the PY 2023 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG), and Home Investment Partnerships Program (HOME); and 2. Authorizing the execution of resultant agreements and amendments with community agencies for the above-mentioned funds; and 3. Allocating 10% for HOME program administration, up to 5% to support Community Housing Development Organization (CHDO) operations, and the remaining (approximately 85%) of the PY 2023 HOME funds to the Housing Trust Fund; and 4. Allocating 20% of the PY 2023 CDBG funds to Planning and Administration, up to 17.83% for public services, and the remaining to be distributed to Housing Services and Public Facility Improvements as outlined in Exhibit A with the Public Facility Improvements being a flexible line item should the HUD allocation, program income, or earlier unused funds, be more or less than estimated; and 5. Allocating the allowable 7.5% of the PY 2023 ESG to Administration, $6,676 to the HMIS system, up to the allowable 60% to emergency shelter/street outreach, and the remaining amount to Rapid Rehousing as outlined in Exhibit A to the report, and 6. Authorizing staff to finalize the PY 2023 Annual Action Plan for submission to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, including the planned expenditures of HUD funds, required HUD application forms and certifications, and all other HUD-required information, and; 7. Authorizing the City Manager to execute and submit all documents necessary to receive the City’s entitlement grants under the CDBG, ESG, and HOME Programs.
Financial Implications: See report
Contact: Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400
27. **Resolution of Intention to Amend the Miscellaneous CalPERS Contract to Effectuate PEPRA Cost Sharing Agreements**

*From: City Manager*

**Recommendation:**

1. Adopt the Resolution of Intention to amend the contract between the Board of Administration, California Public Employees’ Retirement System and the City Council for the City of Berkeley pursuant to California Government Code Section 20516 to effectuate changes to the cost sharing agreement between the City and PEPRA members of Service Employees International Union, Local 1021 Maintenance and Clerical (SEIU MC), SEIU Local 1021 Community Services & Part-Time Recreation Leaders Association (CSU/PTRLA), Public Employees Union Local 1 (Local 1), and the Unrepresented Employees group.

2. Adopt first reading of an Ordinance amending the City’s contract with CalPERS to effectuate changes to the cost sharing agreement between the City and PEPRA members of Service Employees International Union, Local 1021 Maintenance and Clerical (SEIU MC), SEIU Local 1021 Community Services & Part-Time Recreation Leaders Association (CSU/PTRLA), Public Employees Union Local 1 (Local 1), and the Unrepresented Employees group.

Council adopted Resolution No. 70,156-N.S. at its December 14, 2021 meeting authorizing the FY 2021-22 amendment to the City’s CalPERS Miscellaneous contract. Council must now pass another Resolution of Intention to approve the FY 2023-24 contract amendment between the City and the Public Employees’ Retirement System Board of Administration.

**Financial Implications:** See report

*Contact:* Aram Kouyoumdjian, Human Resources, (510) 981-6800

---

**Information Reports**


*From: City Manager*

*Contact:* Henry Oyekanmi, Finance, (510) 981-7300

29. **Annual Report on the Ronald V. Dellums Fair Chance Access to Housing Ordinance**

*From: City Manager*

*Contact:* Lisa Warhuus, Health, Housing, and Community Services, (510) 981-5400

30. **LPO NOD: 1919 Addison Street, #LMSAP2022-0012**

*From: City Manager*

*Contact:* Jordan Klein, Planning and Development, (510) 981-7400

31. **LPO NOD: 0 Center Street – Civic Center Park, #LMSAP2023-0001**

*From: City Manager*

*Contact:* Jordan Klein, Planning and Development, (510) 981-7400

---

**Public Comment – Items Not Listed on the Agenda**
Adjournment

NOTICE CONCERNING YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS: If you object to a decision by the City Council to approve or deny a use permit or variance for a project the following requirements and restrictions apply:
1) No lawsuit challenging a City decision to deny (Code Civ. Proc. §1094.6(b)) or approve (Gov. Code 65009(c)(5)) a use permit or variance may be filed more than 90 days after the date the Notice of Decision of the action of the City Council is mailed. Any lawsuit not filed within that 90-day period will be barred. 2) In any lawsuit that may be filed against a City Council decision to approve or deny a use permit or variance, the issues and evidence will be limited to those raised by you or someone else, orally or in writing, at a public hearing or prior to the close of the last public hearing on the project.

Archived indexed video streams are available at:
Channel 33 rebroadcasts the following Wednesday at 9:00 a.m. and Sunday at 9:00 a.m.

Communications to the City Council are public record and will become part of the City’s electronic records, which are accessible through the City’s website. Please note: e-mail addresses, names, addresses, and other contact information are not required, but if included in any communication to the City Council, will become part of the public record. If you do not want your e-mail address or any other contact information to be made public, you may deliver communications via U.S. Postal Service to the City Clerk Department at 2180 Milvia Street. If you do not want your contact information included in the public record, please do not include that information in your communication. Please contact the City Clerk Department for further information.

Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the City Council regarding any item on this agenda will be made available for public inspection at the public counter at the City Clerk Department located on the first floor of City Hall located at 2180 Milvia Street as well as posted on the City’s website at https://berkeleyca.gov/.

Agendas and agenda reports may be accessed via the Internet at:
and may be read at reference desks at the following locations:
City Clerk Department - 2180 Milvia Street, First Floor
Tel: 510-981-6900, TDD: 510-981-6903, Fax: 510-981-6901
Email: clerk@cityofberkeley.info

Libraries: Main – 2090 Kittredge Street,
Claremont Branch – 2940 Benvenue, West Branch – 1125 University,
North Branch – 1170 The Alameda, Tarea Hall Pittman South Branch – 1901 Russell

COMMUNICATION ACCESS INFORMATION:
This meeting is being held in a wheelchair accessible location.
To request a disability-related accommodation(s) to participate in the meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please contact the Disability Services specialist at (510) 981-6418 (V) or (510) 981-6347 (TDD) at least three business days before the meeting date.

Attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various scents, whether natural or manufactured, in products and materials. Please help the City respect these needs.

Captioning services are provided at the meeting, on B-TV, and on the Internet. In addition, assisted listening devices for the hearing impaired are available from the City Clerk prior to the meeting, and are to be returned before the end of the meeting.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Page 21
To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Jenny Wong, City Auditor

Subject: Contract No. 32000180 Amendment: Missionmark for Audit Management and Recommendation Tracking Software

RECOMMENDATION
Adopt a Resolution authorizing the City Manager to execute an amendment to Contract No. 32000180 with Missionmark for audit management and recommendation tracking software, increasing the amount by $100,000 for a total amount not to exceed $124,000 and extending the contract term to May 30, 2027.

Missionmark is a comprehensive audit management software which is utilized by the City Auditor’s Office to properly track, manage, and maintain records regarding audit work and maintain a public dashboard for audit recommendation implementation tracking.

FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION
The contract amendment with Missionmark will add $93,000 to the contract and extend the contract for an additional five years.

Funding for the amendment and additional years will be included in the General Fund budget code 011-12-122-000-0000-000-411-612-990.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Contract Amount</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Contract Amendment</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2023 – May 30, 2024</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2024 – May 30, 2025</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2025 – May 30, 2026</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 2026 – May 30, 2027</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total New Contract Amount</td>
<td>$124,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The increase in June 2024 is to account for 6 users rather than 5 users in prior years.

CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS
The Berkeley City Auditor has Charter authority and responsibility to conduct performance and financial audits or special studies of all phases of the City of Berkeley government in accordance with government auditing standards. The Auditor is required to retain workpaper files concerning all reports for at least three years.

On January 21, 2020, the City entered into Contract No 32000180 with Missionmark to procure its audit management and recommendation tracking software to better manage audit records and to increase transparency through the public recommendation dashboard. The original contract was not to exceed $4,000.

On May 20, 2020, the City amended Contract No 32000180 with Missionmark to secure the software at a discounted rate through May 31, 2023. This amendment increased the contract NTE to $24,000.

The funds in the contract with Missionmark have been exhausted. Moreover, the Auditor’s Office would like to continue to use the audit management software; therefore, a contract amendment is necessary to continue this contract through May 2027 with additional funding.

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY AND CLIMATE IMPACTS
There are no identifiable environmental sustainability and climate effects or opportunities associated with the subject of this report.

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION
Missionmark has provided a robust platform through which auditors within the Auditor’s Office can document, track, and manage audits and audit recommendations. The software is utilized by users outside the Auditor’s Office as well, to track progress on audit recommendations.

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED
None.

CONTACT PERSON
Jenny Wong, City Auditor, 510-981-6750
Attachment:
1: Resolution
RESOLUTION NO. ##,###-N.S.

CONTRACT NO. 32000180 AMENDMENT: MISSIONMARK FOR AUDIT MANAGEMENT AND RECOMMENDATION TRACKING SOFTWARE

WHEREAS, the Missionmark audit management software allows staff the use of modernized and evolving technology to manage audits and maintain proper records of audit work and recommendation implementation;

WHEREAS, on January 21, 2020, the City entered into Contract No. 32000180 with Missionmark to procure its audit management software to centralize and better manage its audit records;

WHEREAS, amending the existing contract with Missionmark to continue the use of its audit management software is essential for the City Auditor’s Office;

WHEREAS, an additional $100,000 is needed to continue the use of Missionmark until the end of May 2027; and

WHEREAS, funds are available and will be budgeted in future fiscal years in an amount not to exceed $124,000 through May 30, 2027 in budget code 011-12-122-000-0000-000-411-612-990.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that the City Manager is authorized to amend Contract No. with Missionmark for use of their audit management software, increasing the amount by $100,000 for a total amount not to exceed $124,000, and extending the contract term through May 30, 2027. A record signature copy of said contract and any amendments are to be on file in the Office of the City Clerk.
To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Disaster and Fire Safety Commission

Submitted by: Weldon Bradstreet, Chairperson, Disaster and Fire Safety Commission

Subject: Approval of Additional Disaster and Fire Safety Commission Meeting

RECOMMENDATION
Adopt a Resolution approving the request from the Disaster and Fire Safety Commission (the “Commission”) to hold one additional meeting in calendar year 2023.

FISCAL IMPACTS OF RECOMMENDATION
 Minimal staff time and resources.

BACKGROUND
On December 12, 2014, Berkeley City Council adopted Resolution No. 66,861-N.S. that established a Commission Meeting Frequency Schedule for 2015. The Disaster and Fire Safety Commission was allocated a maximum of ten meetings a year. Additional meetings require Council approval.

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY AND CLIMATE IMPACTS
None.

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION
On January 25, 2023, the Berkeley Fire Department offered the Commission an opportunity to receive presentations on the final draft report on the Standards of Coverage Analysis and Community Risk Assessment, and the Fire Facilities Master Plan at a future Commission meeting.

Measure FF funded both the studies and since the Commission is charged with oversight of Measure FF expenditures by the Berkeley Fire Department, it is appropriate that the Commission receive presentations on the above referenced studies as part of the Commission’s regular oversight activities. The Commission will require an extra meeting during 2023 to receive these presentations, as neither presentation will fit within the Commission’s current meeting schedule. An extra meeting would also provide further opportunity for public comment on the Standards of Coverage Analysis and the Fire Facilities Master Plan.
On March 22, 2023, the Commission passed a motion to request approval for an additional meeting.

Motion to request from City Council one additional meetings for 2022: Bedolla, Second: Gordon, Vote: (5 Ayes: Bradstreet, Gordon, Bedolla, Dean, Murphy;; 0 Noes;; 2 Absent: Stein, Raine;; 0 Abstain;)

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED
None.

CITY MANAGER
The City Manager concurs with the content and recommendations of the Commission’s Report.

CONTACT PERSON
Keith May, Interim Deputy Fire Chief, Berkeley Fire Department, 510-981-5508

Attachments:
1: Resolution
RESOLUTION NO. ##,###-N.S.

APPROVAL FOR ONE ADDITIONAL DISASTER AND FIRE SAFETY COMMISSION MEETING IN CALENDAR YEAR 2023

WHEREAS, on December 12, 2014, the Berkeley City Council adopted Resolution No. 66,861-N.S. that established a Commission Meeting Frequency Schedule which limited the Disaster and Fire Safety Commission to a frequency of 10 meetings during calendar year 2023; and

WHEREAS, at the January 25, 2023, meeting of the Disaster and Fire Safety Commission, the Berkeley Fire Department offered the Disaster and Fire Safety Commission an opportunity to receive presentations on the final draft report on the Standards of Coverage Analysis and Community Risk Assessment, and the Fire Facilities Master Plan, at a future Commission meeting, and

WHEREAS, Measure FF funded the studies and the Disaster and Fire Safety Commission provides oversight of Measure FF expenditures by the Berkeley Fire Department, and

WHEREAS, the Disaster and Fire Safety Commission passed a motion on March 22, 2023, to request from City Council one additional meeting for 2023: Bedolla, Second: Gordon, Vote: (5 Ayes: Bradstreet, Gordon, Bedolla, Dean, Murphy;; 0 Noes;; 2 Absent: Stein, Raine;; 0 Abstain;)

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that the Council authorized the Disaster and Fire Safety Commission to convene for one additional meeting in calendar year 2023.
### Upcoming Worksessions and Special Meetings

*start time is 6:00 p.m. unless otherwise noted*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheduled Dates</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr 25 (5:00pm)</td>
<td>1. Results of Referral Prioritization Process (RRV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 16 (WS)</td>
<td>1. Fire Facilities Study Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| June 20 (WS)    | 1. Climate Action Plan and Resilience Update  
|                 | 2. Berkeley Economic Dashboards Update |
| July 18         | 1. Draft Waterfront Specific Plan (tentative) |

### Unscheduled Workshops and Special Meetings

None

### Unscheduled Presentations (City Manager)

None
1. **17. City Policies for Managing Parking Around BART Stations** *(Referred to the Agenda & Rules Committee for scheduling on November 29, 2022.)*  
**From: City Manager**  
Contact: Liam Garland, Public Works, (510) 981-6300  
(Note: schedule with tentative special meeting in May 2023 on Ashby BART TOD)

2. **37. Unfunded Liability Obligations and Unfunded Infrastructure Needs** *(Referred to the Agenda & Rules Committee for scheduling on April 11, 2023.)*  
**From: City Manager**  
**Recommendation:** That the City Council takes the following actions:  
1. Accept the Unfunded Liability Obligations and Unfunded Infrastructure Needs report;  
2. Receive a presentation on Pensions and Other-Post Employment Benefits tonight and provide staff with direction;  
3. Schedule for either the April 25, 2023 City Council Meeting or at a later date to be determined a presentation and discussion on Unfunded Infrastructure Needs.  
**Financial Implications:** See report  
Contact: Sharon Friedrichsen, Budget Manager, (510) 981-7000
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Board/ Commission</th>
<th>Appeal Period Ends</th>
<th>Public Hearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOD – Notices of Decision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Hearings Scheduled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>469 Kentucky Avenue (single family dwelling)</td>
<td>ZAB</td>
<td>5/23/2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remanded to ZAB or LPC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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for Supplemental Packet 2

Meeting Date: November 10, 2020

Item Number: 20

Item Description: Annual Commission Attendance and Meeting Frequency Report

Submitted by: Mark Numainville, City Clerk

The attached memo responds to issues and questions raised at the October 26 Agenda & Rules Committee Meeting and the October 27 City Council Meeting regarding the ability of city boards and commissions to resume regular meeting schedules.
November 9, 2020

To: Mayor and Council

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Subject: Commission Meetings Under COVID-19 Emergency (Item 20)

This memo provides supplemental information for the discussion on Item 20 on the November 10, 2020 Council agenda. Below is a summary and update of the status of meetings of Berkeley Boards and Commissions during the COVID-19 emergency declaration and the data collected by the City Manager on the ability of commissions to resume meetings in 2021.

On March 10, 2020 the City Council ratified the proclamation of the Director of Emergency Services for a state of local emergency related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The emergency proclamation has been renewed twice by the Council and remains in effect.

On March 17, 2020 the City Council adopted Resolution No. 69,331-N.S. which placed limitations of the meetings of City legislative bodies, including all boards and commissions. The resolution allows for commissions to meet to conduct time-sensitive, legally mandated business with the authorization of the City Manager. Since that time, several commissions have obtained this approval and held meetings; many other commissions have not met at all since March.

The City Manager has periodically reviewed the status of commission meetings with the City Council Agenda & Rules Committee. Recently, at the October 12, 2020 Agenda & Rules Committee meeting, the City Manager presented a proposal to allow all commissions to meet under limited circumstances. The Committee voted to endorse the City Manager’s recommendation.

Effective October 12, 2020, all City boards and commissions may meet once to develop and finalize their work plan for 2021 and to complete any Council referrals directly related to the COVID-19 pandemic response. A second meeting may be held to
complete this work with specific authorization by the City Manager. It is recommended that the meeting(s) occur by the end of February 2021.

Commissions that have been granted permission to meet under Resolution No. 69,331-N.S. may continue to meet pursuant to their existing authorization, and may also meet to develop their 2021 work plan.

Commissions that have not requested meetings pursuant to the Resolution No. 69,331-N.S. may meet pursuant to the limitations listed above.

In response to questions from the Agenda & Rules Committee and the Council, the City Manager polled all departments that support commissions to obtain information on their capacity to support the resumption of regular commission meetings. The information in Attachment 1 shows the information received from the departments and notes each commission’s ability to resume a regular, or semi-regular, meeting schedule in 2021.

In summary, there are 24 commissions that have staff resources available to support a regular meeting schedule in 2021. Seven of these 24 commissions have been meeting regularly during the pandemic. There are five commissions that have staff resources available to support a limited meeting schedule in 2021. There are seven commissions that currently do not have staff resources available to start meeting regularly at the beginning of 2021. Some of these seven commissions will have staff resources available later in 2021 to support regular meetings. Please see Attachment 1 for the full list of commissions and their status.

With regards to commission subcommittees, there has been significant discussion regarding the ability of staff to support these meetings in a virtual environment. Under normal circumstances, the secretary’s responsibilities regarding subcommittees is limited to posting the agenda and reserving the meeting space (if in a city building). With the necessity to hold the meetings in a virtual environment and be open to the public, it is likely that subcommittee meetings will require significantly more staff resources to schedule, train, manage, and support the work of subcommittees on Zoom or a similar platform. This additional demand on staff resources to support commission subcommittees is not feasible for any commission at this time.

One possible option for subcommittees is to temporarily suspend the requirement for ad hoc subcommittees of city commissions to notice their meetings and require public participation. Ad hoc subcommittees are not legislative bodies under the Brown Act and are not required to post agendas or allow for public participation. These requirements are specific to Berkeley and are adopted by resolution in the Commissioners’ Manual. If it is the will of the Council, staff could introduce an item to temporarily suspend these
requirements which will allow subcommittees of all commissions to meet as needed to develop recommendations that will be presented to the full commission.

The limitations on the meetings of certain commissions are due to the need to direct staff resources and the resources of city legislative bodies to the pandemic response. Some of the staff assigned as commission secretaries are engaged in work with the City Emergency Operations Center or have been assigned new duties specifically related to the impacts of the pandemic.

Meeting frequency for boards and commissions will continue to be evaluated on a regular basis by the City Manager and the Health Officer in consultation with Department Heads and the City Council.

Attachments:
1. List of Commissions with Meeting Status
2. Resolution 69,331-N.S.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair Campaign Practices Commission</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Sam Harvey</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Have been meeting regularly under COVID Emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Government Commission</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Sam Harvey</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Have been meeting regularly under COVID Emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Care Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Wed.</td>
<td>Amelia Funghi</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Review Commission</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2nd &amp; 4th Wed.</td>
<td>Katherine Lee</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Have been meeting regularly under COVID Emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster and Fire Safety Commission</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Keith May</td>
<td>FES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Thur.</td>
<td>Roberto Terrones</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2nd Wed.</td>
<td>Josh Jacobs</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Services Panel of Experts</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1st Wed.</td>
<td>Josh Jacobs</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Welfare &amp; Community Action Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Wed.</td>
<td>Mary-Claire Katz</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Commission</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4th Thur.</td>
<td>Jamie Works-Wright</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Product Panel of Experts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Dechen Tsering</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Arts Commission</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Jennifer Lovvorn</td>
<td>OED</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmwood BID Advisory Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Contact Secretary</td>
<td>Kieron Slaughter</td>
<td>OED</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Administration Board</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Contact Secretary</td>
<td>Kieron Slaughter</td>
<td>OED</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano Avenue BID Advisory Board</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Contact Secretary</td>
<td>Eleanor Hollander</td>
<td>OED</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Review Committee</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Anne Burns</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Have been meeting regularly under COVID Emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Billi Romain</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks Preservation Commission</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1st Thur.</td>
<td>Fatema Crane</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Have been meeting regularly under COVID Emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1st Wed.</td>
<td>Alene Pearson</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Have been meeting regularly under COVID Emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning Adjustments Board</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2nd &amp; 4th Thur.</td>
<td>Shannon Allen</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Have been meeting regularly under COVID Emergncy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Waterfront Commission</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2nd Wed.</td>
<td>Roger Miller</td>
<td>PRW</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Disability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1st Wed.</td>
<td>Dominika Bednarska</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Commission</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1st Thur.</td>
<td>Joe Enke</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Waste Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Mon.</td>
<td>Heidi Obermeit</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on the Status of Women</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Shallon Allen</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>YES - LIMITED</td>
<td>Secretary has intermittent COVID assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Aging</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Wed.</td>
<td>Richard Castrillon</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>REDUCED FREQUENCY</td>
<td>Significant Dept. resources assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Advisory Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1st Thur.</td>
<td>Mike Uberti</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>REDUCED FREQUENCY</td>
<td>Significant Dept. resources assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure O Bond Oversight Committee</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Monday</td>
<td>Amy Davidson</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>REDUCED FREQUENCY</td>
<td>Significant Dept. resources assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Commission</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Farid Javandel</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td>REDUCED FREQUENCY</td>
<td>Staff assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children, Youth, and Recreation Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Monday</td>
<td>Stephanie Chu</td>
<td>PRW</td>
<td>NO - SEPT 2021</td>
<td>Staff assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2nd Mon.</td>
<td>Ginsi Bryant</td>
<td>PRW</td>
<td>NO - SEPT 2021</td>
<td>Staff assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Environmental Advisory Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2nd Thur.</td>
<td>Viviana Garcia</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>NO - JUNE 2021</td>
<td>Staff assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1st Thur.</td>
<td>VACANT</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>NO - JAN. 2022</td>
<td>Staff vacancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace and Justice Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1st Mon.</td>
<td>VACANT</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Staff vacancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Labor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Wed., alternate month</td>
<td>Kristen Lee</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Staff assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1st Mon.</td>
<td>La Tanya Bellow</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Staff assigned to COVID response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOLUTION NO. 69,331-N.S.

RATIFYING THE RECOMMENDATIONS ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER REGARDING MEETINGS OF BERKELEY LEGISLATIVE BODIES IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID-19 (NOVEL CORONAVIRUS) PANDEMIC

WHEREAS, on March 3, 2020, pursuant to Berkeley Municipal Code section 2.88.040, the City Manager, serving as the Director of Emergency Services, proclaimed the existence of a local emergency; and

WHEREAS, the proclamation was warranted by virtue of the extreme peril to the safety of persons and property in the City caused by pandemic in the form of the global spread of a severe acute respiratory illness caused by a novel (new) coronavirus ("COVID-19"), including confirmed cases in California and the San Francisco Bay Area, and presumed cases in Alameda County prompting the County to declare a local health emergency; and

WHEREAS, the proclamation of the Director of Emergency Services was ratified by the City Council on March 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the continued spread of COVID-19 and increase in community transmission cases in surrounding counties warrant further measures be taken by the City to protect the community; and

WHEREAS, the Public Health Officer has issued guidelines for limiting mass gatherings; and

WHEREAS, certain limitations on the meetings of legislative bodies in the City of Berkeley is warranted; and

WHEREAS, the continued essential functions of the City and certain legislative bodies must continue for time-sensitive, legally mandated actions; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Emergency Services presented recommendations to the Agenda & Rules Committee on March 12, 2020 regarding the meetings of legislative bodies; and

WHEREAS, the Agenda & Rules Committee recommended that said recommendations be forwarded to the City Council for acknowledgement and ratification.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that the following recommendations issued by the Director of Emergency Services and the Public Health Officer regarding limitations and practices for legislative bodies of the City of Berkeley are hereby acknowledged and ratified:
Section 1. Boards and Commissions
Commissions listed below may continue to meet only if they have time-sensitive, legally mandated business to complete, as determined by the Director of Emergency Services. The City may consider teleconferencing for these commissions, if feasible.

Design Review Committee
Fair Campaign Practices Commission
Housing Advisory Commission (limited to quasi-judicial activities)
Joint Subcommittee on the Implementation of State Housing Laws
Landmarks Preservation Commission
Open Government Commission
Personnel Board
Planning Commission
Police Review Commission
Zoning Adjustments Board

Commissions in Category B shall not meet for a period of 60 days. This will be re-evaluated at the Agenda & Rules Committee meeting on April 13, 2020. A Commission in Category B may convene a meeting if it has time-sensitive, legally-mandated business to complete, as determined by the Director of Emergency Services.

Category B
Animal Care Commission
Cannabis Commission
Civic Arts Commission
Children, Youth, and Recreation Commission
Commission on Aging
Commission on Disability
Commission on Labor
Commission on the Status of Women
Community Environmental Advisory Commission
Community Health Commission
Disaster and Fire Safety Commission
Elmwood Business Improvement District Advisory Board
Energy Commission
Homeless Commission
Homeless Services Panel of Experts
Housing Advisory Commission
Human Welfare and Community Action Commission
Measure O Bond Oversight Committee
Mental Health Commission
Parks and Waterfront Commission
Peace and Justice Commission
Public Works Commission
Solano Avenue Business Improvement District Advisory Board
Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Product Panel of Experts
Transportation Commission
Youth Commission
Zero Waste Commission
Loan Administration Board

Section 2. City Council Policy Committees
The Agenda & Rules Committee and the Budget & Finance Committee may continue to meet to fulfill their legislative and advisory responsibilities. All other Policy Committees (Facilities, Infrastructure, Transportation, Environment & Sustainability, Public Safety, Land Use, Housing & Economic Development, and Health, Life Enrichment Equity & Community) are suspended indefinitely. The 120-day deadline to consider an item will be tolled during the suspension of business.

Section 3. City Council
For City Council meetings, the City will continue to advise and implement social distancing by limiting the capacity of the Council Chambers, providing an overflow room, attempting to limit the duration of the meeting, only conducting essential business, and limiting or suspending ceremonial items. The City will adhere to and implement the provisions of the Governor's Executive Order N-25-20 related to the Brown Act and the utilization of technology to facilitate participation.

The foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Berkeley City Council on March 17, 2020 by the following vote:

Ayes: Bartlett, Davila, Droste, Hahn, Harrison, Kesarwani, Robinson, Wengraf, and Arreguin.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

Attest: Mark Numainville, City Clerk

Jesse Arreguin, Mayor

Resolution No. 69,331-N.S.
October 22, 2020

To: Berkeley Boards and Commissions

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Subject: Commission Meetings During COVID-19 Emergency

This memo serves to provide a summary and update of the status of meetings of Berkeley Boards and Commissions during the COVID-19 emergency declaration.

On March 10, 2020, the City Council ratified the proclamation of the Director of Emergency Services for a state of local emergency related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The emergency proclamation has been renewed twice by the Council and remains in effect.

On March 17, 2020, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 69,331-N.S. which placed limitations of the meetings of City legislative bodies, including all boards and commissions. The resolution allows for commissions to meet to conduct time-sensitive, legally mandated business with the authorization of the City Manager. Since that time, several commissions have obtained this approval and held meetings; many other commissions have not met at all since March.

The City Manager has periodically reviewed the status of commission meetings with the City Council Agenda & Rules Committee. Recently, at the October 12, 2020, Agenda & Rules Committee meeting, the City Manager presented a proposal to allow all commissions to meet under limited circumstances. The Committee voted to endorse the City Manager’s recommendation.

Effective October 12, 2020, all City boards and commissions may meet once to develop and finalize their work plan for 2021 and to complete any Council referrals directly related to the COVID-19 pandemic response. A second meeting may be held to complete this work with specific authorization by the City Manager. It is recommended that the meeting(s) occur by the end of February 2021.

Commissions that have been granted permission to meet under Resolution No. 69,331-N.S. may continue to meet pursuant to their existing authorization, and may also meet to develop their 2021 work plan.

Commissions that have not requested meetings pursuant to the Resolution No. 69,331-N.S. may meet pursuant to the limitations listed above.
To assist commissions with the development of their work plan and to provide the City Council with a consistent framework to review the work plans, the City Manager has developed the following items to consider in developing the work plan that is submitted to the City Council agenda.

Prompts for Commissions to use in work plan:

- What commission items for 2021 have a direct nexus with the COVID-19 response or are the result of a City Council referral pertaining to COVID-19?
- What commission items for 2021 are required for statutory reasons?
- What commission items for 2021 are required for budgetary or fund allocation reasons?
- What commission items for 2021 support council-adopted or voter-adopted mission critical projects or programs?
- What are the anticipated staff demands (above and beyond baseline) for analysis, data, etc., to support commission work in 2021 (baseline duties = posting agendas, creating packets, attend meetings, minutes, etc.)?

The limitations on commission meetings are due to the need to direct staff resources and the resources of city legislative bodies to the pandemic response. Many of the staff assigned as commission secretaries are engaged in work with the City Emergency Operations Center or have been assigned new specific duties related to the impacts of the pandemic.

Meeting frequency for boards and commissions will continue to be evaluated on a regular basis by the City Manager in consultation with Department Heads and the City Council. More frequent meetings by commissions will be permitted as the conditions under COVID-19 dictate.

Thank you for your service on our boards and commissions. The City values the work of our commissions and we appreciate your partnership and understanding as we address this pandemic as a resilient and vibrant community.

Attachments:
1. Resolution 69,331-N.S.
2. List of Commissions with Meeting Data

cc: Mayor and City Councilmembers
    Senior Leadership Team
RESOLUTION NO. 69,331-N.S.

RATIFYING THE RECOMMENDATIONS ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND THE PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICER REGARDING MEETINGS OF BERKELEY LEGISLATIVE BODIES IN RESPONSE TO THE COVID-19 (NOVEL CORONAVIRUS) PANDEMIC

WHEREAS, on March 3, 2020, pursuant to Berkeley Municipal Code section 2.88.040, the City Manager, serving as the Director of Emergency Services, proclaimed the existence of a local emergency; and

WHEREAS, the proclamation was warranted by virtue of the extreme peril to the safety of persons and property in the City caused by pandemic in the form of the global spread of a severe acute respiratory illness caused by a novel (new) coronavirus ("COVID-19"), including confirmed cases in California and the San Francisco Bay Area, and presumed cases in Alameda County prompting the County to declare a local health emergency; and

WHEREAS, the proclamation of the Director of Emergency Services was ratified by the City Council on March 10, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the continued spread of COVID-19 and increase in community transmission cases in surrounding counties warrant further measures be taken by the City to protect the community; and

WHEREAS, the Public Health Officer has issued guidelines for limiting mass gatherings; and

WHEREAS, certain limitations on the meetings of legislative bodies in the City of Berkeley is warranted; and

WHEREAS, the continued essential functions of the City and certain legislative bodies must continue for time-sensitive, legally mandated actions; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Emergency Services presented recommendations to the Agenda & Rules Committee on March 12, 2020 regarding the meetings of legislative bodies; and

WHEREAS, the Agenda & Rules Committee recommended that said recommendations be forwarded to the City Council for acknowledgement and ratification.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that the following recommendations issued by the Director of Emergency Services and the Public Health Officer regarding limitations and practices for legislative bodies of the City of Berkeley are hereby acknowledged and ratified:
Section 1. Boards and Commissions
Commissions listed below may continue to meet only if they have time-sensitive, legally mandated business to complete, as determined by the Director of Emergency Services. The City may consider teleconferencing for these commissions, if feasible.

Design Review Committee
Fair Campaign Practices Commission
Housing Advisory Commission (limited to quasi-judicial activities)
Joint Subcommittee on the Implementation of State Housing Laws
Landmarks Preservation Commission
Open Government Commission
Personnel Board
Planning Commission
Police Review Commission
Zoning Adjustments Board

Commissions in Category B shall not meet for a period of 60 days. This will be re-evaluated at the Agenda & Rules Committee meeting on April 13, 2020. A Commission in Category B may convene a meeting if it has time-sensitive, legally-mandated business to complete, as determined by the Director of Emergency Services.

Category B
Animal Care Commission
Cannabis Commission
Civic Arts Commission
Children, Youth, and Recreation Commission
Commission on Aging
Commission on Disability
Commission on Labor
Commission on the Status of Women
Community Environmental Advisory Commission
Community Health Commission
Disaster and Fire Safety Commission
Elmwood Business Improvement District Advisory Board
Energy Commission
Homeless Commission
Homeless Services Panel of Experts
Housing Advisory Commission
Human Welfare and Community Action Commission
Measure O Bond Oversight Committee
Mental Health Commission
Parks and Waterfront Commission
Peace and Justice Commission
Public Works Commission
Solano Avenue Business Improvement District Advisory Board
Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Product Panel of Experts
Transportation Commission
Youth Commission
Zero Waste Commission
Loan Administration Board

Section 2. City Council Policy Committees
The Agenda & Rules Committee and the Budget & Finance Committee may continue to meet to fulfill their legislative and advisory responsibilities. All other Policy Committees (Facilities, Infrastructure, Transportation, Environment & Sustainability, Public Safety, Land Use, Housing & Economic Development, and Health, Life Enrichment Equity & Community) are suspended indefinitely. The 120-day deadline to consider an item will be tolled during the suspension of business.

Section 3. City Council
For City Council meetings, the City will continue to advise and implement social distancing by limiting the capacity of the Council Chambers, providing an overflow room, attempting to limit the duration of the meeting, only conducting essential business, and limiting or suspending ceremonial items. The City will adhere to and implement the provisions of the Governor’s Executive Order #N-25-20 related to the Brown Act and the utilization of technology to facilitate participation.

The foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Berkeley City Council on March 17, 2020 by the following vote:

Ayes: Bartlett, Davila, Droste, Hahn, Harrison, Kesarwani, Robinson, Wengraf, and Arreguin.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

Jesse Arreguin, Mayor

Attest: Mark Numainville, City Clerk

Resolution No. 69,331-N.S.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boards and Commissions</th>
<th>Meetings Held Under COVID Emergency (through 10/11)</th>
<th>Scheduled Meetings in October</th>
<th>Regular Mtg. Date</th>
<th>Secretary</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zoning Adjustments Board</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2nd &amp; 4th Thur.</td>
<td>Shannon Allen</td>
<td>PLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Review Commission</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2nd &amp; 4th Wed.</td>
<td>Katherine Lee</td>
<td>CM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair Campaign Practices Commission</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Sam Harvey</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Review Committee</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Anne Burns</td>
<td>PLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmarks Preservation Commission</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1st Thur.</td>
<td>Fatema Crane</td>
<td>PLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Government Commission</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Sam Harvey</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Services Panel of Experts</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1st Wed</td>
<td>Brittany Carnegie</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaster and Fire Safety Commission</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Keith May</td>
<td>FES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks and Waterfront Commission</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2nd Wed.</td>
<td>Roger Miller</td>
<td>PRW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1st Wed.</td>
<td>Alene Pearson</td>
<td>PLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Commission</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1st Thur.</td>
<td>Joe Enke</td>
<td>PW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Arts Commission</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Jennifer Lovvorn</td>
<td>OED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solano Avenue BID Advisory Board</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Secretary</td>
<td>Eleanor Hollander</td>
<td>OED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmwood BID Advisory Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Secretary</td>
<td>Kieron Slaughter</td>
<td>OED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Subcom. on Implementation of State Housing Laws</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Alene Pearson</td>
<td>PLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Commission</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>4th Thur.</td>
<td>Jamie Works-Wright</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel Board</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1st Mon.</td>
<td>La Tanya Bellow</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Commission</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Farid Javandel</td>
<td>PW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Care Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Wed.</td>
<td>Amelia Funghi</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabis Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1st Thur.</td>
<td></td>
<td>PLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children, Youth, and Recreation Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Monday</td>
<td>Stephanie Chu</td>
<td>PRW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Aging</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Wed.</td>
<td>Richard Castrillon</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Disability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1st Wed.</td>
<td>Dominika Bednarska</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on Labor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Wed., alternate months</td>
<td>Nathan Dahl</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on the Status of Women</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Shallon Allen</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Environmental Advisory Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2nd Thur.</td>
<td>Viviana Garcia</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Thur.</td>
<td>Roberto Terrones</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Wed.</td>
<td>Billi Romain</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2nd Wed.</td>
<td>Brittany Carnegie</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Advisory Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1st Thur.</td>
<td>Mike Uberti</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Welfare &amp; Community Action Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Wed.</td>
<td>Mary-Claire Katz</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Administration Board</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Contact Secretary</td>
<td>Kieron Slaughter</td>
<td>OED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure O Bond Oversight Committee</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Monday</td>
<td>Amy Davidson</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peace and Justice Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1st Mon.</td>
<td>Nina Goldman</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Product Panel of Experts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3rd Thur.</td>
<td>Dechen Tsering</td>
<td>HHCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2nd Mon.</td>
<td>Ginsi Bryant</td>
<td>PRW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero Waste Commission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4th Mon.</td>
<td>Heidi Obermeit</td>
<td>PW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
October 31, 2022

To: Agenda & Rules Committee

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Subject: Return to In-Person City Council Meetings and Status of Meetings of City Legislative Bodies

This memo provides an update regarding the return to in-person meetings by the City Council and other legislative bodies.

On October 19, 2022 the Agenda & Rules committee discussed the return to in-person meetings and recommended that the City Council return to in-person meetings starting with the December 6, 2022 meeting. The in-person meetings of the City Council will continue to allow for remote participation by the public.

Governor Newsom announced that he will end the statewide emergency declaration for COVID-19 on February 28, 2023. Rescinding the emergency declaration will end the exemptions to the Brown Act that were codified in AB 361. These exemptions allowed for remote participation by members of the legislative bodies without the need to notice the remote participation location or make the remote location accessible to the public.

In the past legislative session, AB 2449 was signed into law to extend the Brown Act exemptions in AB 361, but only for certain circumstances and for a limited duration of time. The provisions of AB 2449 are cumbersome and complicated and do not provide any long-term extension of the Brown Act exemptions used during the statewide declared emergency. A summary of AB 2449 is attached to this memo.

After February 28, 2023, if a member of the City Council participates remotely, but does not qualify for the exemptions in AB 2449, the remote location will be listed on the agenda, and the remote location must be available to the public.

Hybrid Meetings of the City Council
Since the start of the pandemic in March of 2020, the City Council has held six hybrid meetings from the Boardroom. These hybrid meetings allowed for in-person participation and virtual participation for the public and the City Council. The meetings
were successful from a technology and logistics standpoint and a regular return to hybrid meetings should be manageable from a staff and meeting management perspective. Resources and processes will be continuously evaluated by staff throughout the transition to a regular hybrid meeting structure.

For the hybrid meetings staff developed meeting protocols for members of the public in attendance and the City Council. With the changing public health conditions related to COVID-19, these meeting protocols need to be reviewed and revised prior to the December 6 meeting. The current version of the protocols that were last used in June 2022 are attached for review.

City staff will continue to test the Boardroom technology with the IT Department, BUSD IT, and Berkeley Community Media to ensure smooth functionality. Communication with the public about the return to in-person (hybrid) meetings will be sent out through multiple channels in advance of December 6.

**Status of Other Legislative Bodies**
City boards and commissions have been meeting virtual-only during the state declared emergency. When the state declared emergency expires on February 28, 2023, these bodies will return to in-person only meetings.

With over 30 commissions, there are approximately 350 commission meetings per year. Often there are multiple commissions meeting on the same day. The City does not currently have the videoconference infrastructure in place to provide for hybrid meetings for commissions. In addition, in a hybrid setting it is more difficult to manage and conduct meetings while attempting to provide meaningful participation by commissioners and the public. City staff will communicate with commission secretaries and commissioners to facilitate the transition back to in-person meetings. Staff will also analyze the costs for expanding videoconference capabilities throughout the City.

City Council policy committees may have the potential to meet in a hybrid format after February 28, 2023. In order to accommodate hybrid meetings, the videoconference capabilities in 2180 Milvia will need to be significantly expanded. This analysis is currently underway.

For both commissions and policy committees, the videoconference aspect of the meeting is for the public only. The members of the legislative bodies will be at the physical meeting location as previously discussed.

PM/
Encl.:  
CC:
Summary of AB 2449 (Att. 1)

Current Law
Under current law [AB 361 (R. Rivas), Chapter 165, Statutes of 2021], The exemptions included in AB 361 only apply during a declared state of emergency as defined under the California Emergency Services Act. (Gov. Code §§ 52953(e)(1), (e)(4).) In addition, one of the following circumstances must apply:

- State or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing.
- The legislative body is meeting to determine whether, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.
- The legislative body has determined that, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person presents imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

With the lifting of the State of Emergency, the provisions of AB 361 cannot be met, and therefore localities must return to pre-pandemic Brown Act provisions.

Recently Enacted Legislation on Remote Meetings
The State legislature recently enacted, and the Governor signed AB 2449 (Rubio) [Chapter 285, Statutes of 2022] which provides under incredibly limited circumstances, the ability to have a minority amount of a Brown Act body members participate remotely. The measure is slated to sunset January 1, 2026.

General Requirements
1. A quorum of the council must participate in person at its public meeting site within the boundaries of the jurisdiction (e.g., city hall/council chambers).

2. A member who wishes to participate remotely must have either “just cause” or “emergency circumstances.”

“Just cause” is defined as:
• A childcare or caregiving need of a child, parent, grandparent, grandchild, sibling, spouse, or domestic partner that requires the councilmember to participate remotely.
• A contagious illness that prevents a member from attending in person.
• A need related to a physical or mental disability not otherwise accommodated under the ‘reasonable accommodation’ provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
• Travel while on official business of the legislative body or another state or local agency.

“Emergency circumstances” is defined as “a physical or family medical emergency that prevents a member from attending in person.”

Procedures and Limitations
A. When using the ‘Just cause’ exception:
1. The elected/appointed official must provide a general description of the circumstances relating to their need at the earliest opportunity possible, including at the start of the meeting.

2. A councilmember may not appear remotely due to “just cause” for more than two meetings per calendar year.
B. When using the ‘emergency circumstances’ exception:
   1. The elected/appointed official must give a general description of the emergency circumstances, but the member is not required to disclose any medical diagnosis, disability, or personal medical information.
   2. The governmental body must take action to approve the request prior to the remote participant being able to participate in any further business.

C. In all circumstances the following must occur:
   1. The elected/appointed official must disclose at the meeting before any action is taken whether any other individuals 18 years of age or older are present in the room at the remote location with the member, and the general nature of the member’s relationship with any such individuals.
   2. The member must participate through both audio and visual technology (e.g., the member must be on-screen).

D. Limited use despite narrow circumstances:
   1. A member cannot attend meetings remotely for a period of more than three consecutive months or 20 percent of the regular meetings for the local agency within a calendar year, or more than two meetings if the legislative body regularly meets fewer than 10 times per calendar year.
Hybrid Meeting Policies for City Council Meetings
Revised May 2022

The policy below covers the conduct of hybrid City Council meetings (in-person and remote participation) held in accordance with the Government Code and any relevant Executive Orders or State declared emergencies. These administrative policies supplement the City Council Rules of Procedure and Order.

City Council policy committees and city boards and commissions will continue to meet in a virtual-only setting until the City Council makes the required findings under state law that in-person meetings may resume.

I. Vaccination Status

Prior to entry, all in-person attendees at the meeting location must present valid proof of “up-to-date” COVID-19 vaccination or a verified negative test conducted within one day prior for an antigen test or two days prior for a PCR test. An attendee is “up-to-date” with their vaccinations if:

- It has been less than 2 months after receiving the initial dose of their Johnson & Johnson Vaccine.
- It has been less than 5 months after receiving the second dose of their two-dose Pfizer or Moderna initial series.
- The attendee has received a booster.

Pre-entry negative testing

Definition: Testing must be conducted within one day for an antigen test and within two days for a PCR test prior to entry into an event. Results of the test must be available prior to entry into the facility or venue. Children under 2 years of age are exempt from the testing requirement, consistent with CDC guidance.

Verification: See current CDPH Updated Testing Guidance and CDPH Over-the-Counter Testing Guidance for acceptable methods of proof of negative COVID-19 test result and information on Over-the-Counter tests. Note: Self-attestation may not be used to verify negative test result, even when using Over-the-Counter (or at home tests) for entry into Indoor Mega Events.

https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Beyond-Blueprint-Framework.aspx

II. Health Status Precautions

If a person who desires to attend the meeting in-person is feeling sick, including but not limited to, cough, shortness of breath or difficulty breathing,
fever or chills, muscle or body aches, vomiting or diarrhea, or new loss of
taste or smell, they will be advised to attend the meeting remotely.

If an in-person attendee has been in close contact, as defined below, with a
person who has tested positive for COVID-19 in the past five days, they are
advised to attend the meeting remotely.

Close contact is defined as being within approximately 6 feet for greater than
15 minutes over 24 hours within 2 days before symptoms appear (or before a
positive test for asymptomatic individuals); or having contact with COVID-19
droplets (e.g., being coughed on while not wearing recommended personal
protective equipment).

A voluntary sign in sheet will be available at the meeting entry for in-person
attendees. This will assist with contact tracing in case of COVID-19 contact
resulting from the meeting.

III. Face Coverings/Mask
Face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and mouth are required for
all attendees at an in-person City Council meeting. Face coverings will be
provided by the City and available for attendees to use at the meeting.
Members of the City Council, city staff, and the public are required to wear a
mask at all times, except when speaking publicly from the dais or at the public
comment podium.

If an attendee at a Council meeting is not wearing a mask, a mask will be
offered to them to use. If the attendee refuses to wear a mask, a recess will
be called in order to provide guidance to the attendee on the requirement and
their options for attending remotely and in-person.

Private security personnel will be the primary person for requesting
compliance. If removal of a non-compliant person is needed, law
enforcement personnel will perform this task.

IV. Physical Distancing
Currently, there are no physical distancing requirements in place by the State
of California or the Local Health Officer for an indoor event similar to a
Council meeting.

Audience seating capacity will be at regular allowable levels per the Fire
Code. The relevant capacity limits will be posted at the meeting location.
However, all attendees are requested to be respectful of the personal space of other attendees. An area of the public seating area will be designated as “distanced seating” to accommodate persons with a medical status that requires distancing and for those that choose to distance for personal health reasons.

Conference room capacity is limited to 15 persons.

City staff will present remotely in order to reduce the number of persons in the Boardroom and back conference area.

Distancing is encouraged for the dais and partitions will be used as needed for the seating positions on the dais.

V. Protocols for Remote Participation by Mayor or Councilmembers
Upon the repeal of the state-declared emergency, all standard Brown Act requirements will be in effect for members of the Council participating remotely. For the Mayor and Councilmembers participating remotely, the remote location must be accessible to the public and the public must be able to participate and give public comment from the remote location.

- A Councilmember at a remote location will follow the same policies as the Boardroom with regards to vaccination status and testing requirements, health status precautions, and masking requirements.
- A Councilmember at a remote location may impose reasonable capacity limits at their location.

VI. Hand Washing/Sanitizing
There are hand sanitizing stations placed at the entry and strategically throughout the Boardroom. The bathrooms have soap and water for handwashing.

VII. Air Flow/Circulation/Sanitizing
Berkeley Unified Facilities Staff performs a vigorous cleaning process after each use of the Boardroom. BUSD upgraded all HVAC filtration to MERV13, and with the inclusion of Needlepoint BiPolar Ionization, is achieving a rating that is closer to MERV18. Additionally, BUSD installed indoor air quality monitoring sensors in all facilities that constantly monitor Volatile Organic Compounds, CO$_2$, Relative Humidity, and Temperature. The sensors and alarms allow BUSD to ensure that all systems are working properly and as
designed. If a sensor trips an alarm, a work order request is generated immediately to ensure the system is repaired expeditiously.

VIII. Overflow in Gymnasium
An overflow indoor seating area will be available at the West Campus Gymnasium if staff determines that attendance is likely to exceed the capacity of the Boardroom. The capacity of the gymnasium is 200 persons. The overflow area will have a broadcast of the meeting in progress to allow participants to follow the proceedings and move to the Boardroom at the appropriate time to provide public comment if desired. This area will be monitored by the BUSD security personnel.

IX. In-Meeting Procedures

Revised and Supplemental Materials
All revised and supplemental materials for items on the agenda submitted after 12:00pm (noon) the day prior to the meeting must be submitted to the City Clerk in both paper AND electronic versions.

- **Paper**: 42 copies delivered to the Boardroom (distributed per normal procedure)
- **Electronic**: e-mailed to the Agenda Inbox (posted online)

Communications from the Public
The public may submit communications in hard copy at the Boardroom or electronically to clerk@cityofberkeley.info. To ensure that both in-person and remote Councilmembers receive the communication, the public should submit 10 copies at the Boardroom and send the electronic version to the e-mail listed above.
Hybrid Meeting Policies for City Council Meetings
Revised May 2022

The policy below covers the conduct of hybrid City Council meetings (in-person and remote participation) held in accordance with the Government Code and any relevant Executive Orders or State declared emergencies. These administrative policies supplement the City Council Rules of Procedure and Order.

City Council policy committees and city boards and commissions will continue to meet in a virtual-only setting until the City Council makes the required findings under state law that in-person meetings may resume.

I. Vaccination Status

Prior to entry, all in-person attendees at the meeting location must present valid proof of “up-to-date” COVID-19 vaccination or a verified negative test conducted within one day prior for an antigen test or two days prior for a PCR test. An attendee is “up-to-date” with their vaccinations if:

- It has been less than 2 months after receiving the initial dose of their Johnson & Johnson Vaccine.
- It has been less than 5 months after receiving the second dose of their two-dose Pfizer or Moderna initial series.
- The attendee has received a booster.

Pre-entry negative testing

Definition: Testing must be conducted within one day for an antigen test and within two days for a PCR test prior to entry into an event. Results of the test must be available prior to entry into the facility or venue. Children under 2 years of age are exempt from the testing requirement, consistent with CDC guidance.

Verification: See current CDPH Updated Testing Guidance and CDPH Over-the-Counter Testing Guidance for acceptable methods of proof of negative COVID-19 test result and information on Over-the-Counter tests. Note: Self-attestation may not be used to verify negative test result, even when using Over-the-Counter (or at home tests) for entry into Indoor Mega Events.

https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Beyond-Blueprint-Framework.aspx

II. Health Status Precautions

If a person who desires to attend the meeting in-person is feeling sick, including but not limited to, cough, shortness of breath or difficulty breathing,
fever or chills, muscle or body aches, vomiting or diarrhea, or new loss of
taste or smell, they will be advised to attend the meeting remotely.

If an in-person attendee has been in close contact, as defined below, with a
person who has tested positive for COVID-19 in the past five days, they are
advised to attend the meeting remotely.

Close contact is defined as being within approximately 6 feet for greater than
15 minutes over 24 hours within 2 days before symptoms appear (or before a
positive test for asymptomatic individuals); or having contact with COVID-19
droplets (e.g., being coughed on while not wearing recommended personal
protective equipment).

A voluntary sign in sheet will be available at the meeting entry for in-person
attendees. This will assist with contact tracing in case of COVID-19 contact
resulting from the meeting.

III. Face Coverings/Mask
Face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and mouth are required for
all attendees at an in-person City Council meeting. Face coverings will be
provided by the City and available for attendees to use at the meeting.
Members of the City Council, city staff, and the public are required to wear a
mask at all times, except when speaking publicly from the dais or at the public
comment podium.

If an attendee at a Council meeting is not wearing a mask, a mask will be
offered to them to use. If the attendee refuses to wear a mask, a recess will
be called in order to provide guidance to the attendee on the requirement and
their options for attending remotely and in-person.

Private security personnel will be the primary person for requesting
compliance. If removal of a non-compliant person is needed, law
enforcement personnel will perform this task.

IV. Physical Distancing
Currently, there are no physical distancing requirements in place by the State
of California or the Local Health Officer for an indoor event similar to a
Council meeting.

Audience seating capacity will be at regular allowable levels per the Fire
Code. The relevant capacity limits will be posted at the meeting location.
However, all attendees are requested to be respectful of the personal space of
other attendees. An area of the public seating area will be designated as
“distanced seating” to accommodate persons with a medical status that
requires distancing and for those that choose to distance for personal health reasons.

Conference room capacity is limited to 15 persons.

City staff will present remotely in order to reduce the number of persons in the Boardroom and back conference area.

Distancing is encouraged for the dais and partitions will be used as needed for the seating positions on the dais.

V. Protocols for Remote Participation by Mayor or Councilmembers
Upon the repeal of the state-declared emergency, all standard Brown Act requirements will be in effect for members of the Council participating remotely. For the Mayor and Councilmembers participating remotely, the remote location must be accessible to the public and the public must be able to participate and give public comment from the remote location.

- A Councilmember at a remote location will follow the same policies as the Boardroom with regards to vaccination status and testing requirements, health status precautions, and masking requirements.
- A Councilmember at a remote location may impose reasonable capacity limits at their location.

VI. Hand Washing/Sanitizing
There are hand sanitizing stations placed at the entry and strategically throughout the Boardroom. The bathrooms have soap and water for handwashing.

VII. Air Flow/Circulation/Sanitizing
Berkeley Unified Facilities Staff performs a vigorous cleaning process after each use of the Boardroom. BUSD upgraded all HVAC filtration to MERV13, and with the inclusion of Needlepoint BiPolar Ionization, is achieving a rating that is closer to MERV18. Additionally, BUSD installed indoor air quality monitoring sensors in all facilities that constantly monitor Volatile Organic Compounds, CO₂, Relative Humidity, and Temperature. The sensors and alarms allow BUSD to ensure that all systems are working properly and as designed. If a sensor trips an alarm, a work order request is generated immediately to ensure the system is repaired expeditiously.

VIII. Overflow in Gymnasium
An overflow indoor seating area will be available at the West Campus Gymnasium if staff determines that attendance is likely to exceed the capacity of the Boardroom. The capacity of the gymnasium is 200 persons. The
overflow area will have a broadcast of the meeting in progress to allow participants to follow the proceedings and move to the Boardroom at the appropriate time to provide public comment if desired. This area will be monitored by the BUSD security personnel.

IX. Food Provided for Elected Officials and Designated Staff
- No buffet dinner provided. Box lunches only. Maximum of 16 (Mayor & Council [9], City Manager, City Attorney, City Clerk [2], Deputy City Managers [2], BCM Staff)
- Individually packaged snacks will be provided on a common table and drinks will be available in the refrigerator.

X. In-Meeting Procedures

Revised and Supplemental Materials
All revised and supplemental materials for items on the agenda submitted after 12:00pm (noon) the day prior to the meeting must be submitted to the City Clerk in both paper AND electronic versions.
- Paper: 42 copies delivered to the Boardroom (distributed per normal procedure)
- Electronic: e-mailed to the Agenda Inbox (posted online)

Communications from the Public
The public may submit communications in hard copy at the Boardroom or electronically to clerk@cityofberkeley.info. To ensure that both in-person and remote Councilmembers receive the communication, the public should submit 10 copies at the Boardroom and send the electronic version to the e-mail listed above.
Hybrid Meeting Policies for City Council Meetings
Revised May 2022

The policy below covers the conduct of hybrid City Council meetings (in-person and remote participation) held in accordance with the Government Code and any relevant Executive Orders or State declared emergencies.

City Council policy committees and city boards and commissions will continue to meet in a virtual-only setting until the City Council makes the required findings under state law that in-person meetings may resume.

I. Vaccination Status
Prior to entry, all in-person attendees at the meeting location must present valid proof of “up-to-date" COVID-19 vaccination or a verified negative test conducted within one day prior for an antigen test or two days prior for a PCR test. An attendee is “up-to-date” with their vaccinations if:

- It has been less than 2 months after receiving the initial dose of their Johnson & Johnson Vaccine.
- It has been less than 5 months after receiving the second dose of their two-dose Pfizer or Moderna initial series.
- The attendee has received a booster.

Pre-entry negative testing
Definition: Testing must be conducted within one day for an antigen test and within two days for a PCR test prior to entry into an event. Results of the test must be available prior to entry into the facility or venue. Children under 2 years of age are exempt from the testing requirement, consistent with CDC guidance.

Verification: See current CDPH Updated Testing Guidance and CDPH Over-the-Counter Testing Guidance for acceptable methods of proof of negative COVID-19 test result and information on Over-the-Counter tests. Note: Self-attestation may not be used to verify negative test result, even when using Over-the-Counter (or at home tests) for entry into Indoor Mega Events.

https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Beyond-Blueprint-Framework.aspx

II. Health Status Precautions
If a person who desires to attend the meeting in-person is feeling sick, including but not limited to, cough, shortness of breath or difficulty breathing,
fever or chills, muscle or body aches, vomiting or diarrhea, or new loss of taste or smell, they will be advised to attend the meeting remotely.

If an in-person attendee has been in close contact, as defined below, with a person who has tested positive for COVID-19 in the past five days, they will be advised to attend the meeting remotely.

Close contact is defined as being within approximately 6 feet for greater than 15 minutes over 24 hours within 2 days before symptoms appear (or before a positive test for asymptomatic individuals); or having contact with COVID-19 droplets (e.g., being coughed on while not wearing recommended personal protective equipment).

A voluntary sign in sheet will be available at the meeting entry for in-person attendees. This will assist with contact tracing in case of COVID-19 contact resulting from the meeting.

III. Face Coverings/Mask
Face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and mouth are required for all attendees at an in-person City Council meeting. Face coverings will be provided by the City and available for attendees to use at the meeting. Members of the City Council, city staff, and the public are required to wear a mask at all times, except when speaking publicly from the dais or at the public comment podium.

If an attendee at a Council meeting is not wearing a mask, a mask will be offered to them to use. If the attendee refuses to wear a mask, a recess will be called in order to provide guidance to the attendee on the requirement and their options for attending remotely and in-person.

Private security personnel will be the primary person for requesting compliance. If removal of a non-compliant person is needed, law enforcement personnel will perform this task.

IV. Physical Distancing
Currently, there are no physical distancing requirements in place by the State of California or the Local Health Officer for an indoor event similar to a Council meeting.

Audience seating capacity will be at regular allowable levels per the Fire Code. However, all attendees are requested to be respectful of the personal space of other attendees. An area of the public seating area will be designated as
“distanced seating” to accommodate persons with a medical status that requires distancing and for those that choose to distance for personal health reasons.

Conference room capacity is limited to 15 persons. The relevant capacity limits will be posted at the meeting location.

City staff will present remotely in order to reduce the number of persons in the Boardroom and back conference area.

Distancing is encouraged for the dais and partitions will be used as needed for the seating positions on the dais.

V. Protocols for Remote Participation by Mayor or Councilmembers

Upon the repeal of the state-declared emergency, all standard Brown Act requirements will be in effect for members of the Council participating remotely. For the Mayor and Councilmembers participating remotely, the remote location must be accessible to the public and the public must be able to participate and give public comment from the remote location.

- A Councilmember at a remote location will follow the same policies as the Boardroom with regards to vaccination status and testing requirements, health status precautions, and masking requirements.
- A Councilmember at a remote location may impose reasonable capacity limits at their location.

VI. Hand Washing/Sanitizing

There are hand sanitizing stations placed at the entry and strategically throughout the Boardroom. The bathrooms have soap and water for handwashing.

VII. Air Flow/Circulation/Sanitizing

Berkeley Unified Facilities Staff performs a vigorous cleaning process after each use of the Boardroom. BUSD upgraded all HVAC filtration to MERV13, and with the inclusion of Needlepoint BiPolar Ionization, is achieving a rating that is closer to MERV18. Additionally, BUSD installed indoor air quality monitoring sensors in all facilities that constantly monitor Volatile Organic Compounds, CO\textsubscript{2}, Relative Humidity, and Temperature. The sensors and alarms allow BUSD to ensure that all systems are working properly and as designed. If a sensor trips an alarm, a work order request is generated immediately to ensure the system is repaired expeditiously.
VIII. **Overflow in Gymnasium**
An overflow indoor seating area will be available at the West Campus Gymnasium for every meeting. The capacity of the gymnasium is 200 persons. The overflow area will have a broadcast of the meeting in progress to allow participants to follow the proceedings and move to the Boardroom at the appropriate time to provide public comment if desired. This area will be monitored by the BUSD security personnel.

IX. **Food Provided for Elected Officials and Designated Staff**
- No buffet dinner provided. Box lunches only. Maximum of 16 (Mayor & Council [9], City Manager, City Attorney, City Clerk [2], Deputy City Managers [2], BCM Staff)
- Individually packaged snacks will be provided on a common table and drinks will be available in the refrigerator.

X. **In-Meeting Procedures**

**Revised and Supplemental Materials from Staff and Council**
All revised and supplemental materials for items on the agenda submitted after 12:00pm (noon) the day prior to the meeting must be submitted to the City Clerk in both paper AND electronic versions.
- Paper: 42 copies delivered to the Boardroom (distributed per normal procedure)
- Electronic: e-mailed to the Agenda Inbox (posted online)

**Communications from the Public**
A communication submitted by the public during the City Council meeting may be shared as follows.
- Paper: If requested by the Presiding Officer, the document can be displayed in the Boardroom and screen shared on the Zoom.
- Electronic: If requested by the Presiding Officer, the document can be displayed in the Boardroom and screen shared on the Zoom.
Office of the City Attorney

Date: March 3, 2021

To: Agenda and Rules Committee

From: Office of the City Attorney

Re: Continuing Use of Teleconferencing for Public Meetings

Assembly Bill 361 amended the Ralph M. Brown act to authorize the City to continue to hold teleconferenced meetings during a Governor-declared state of emergency without complying with a number of requirements ordinarily applicable to teleconferencing. For example, under AB 361, the City may hold teleconferenced meetings without:

1. Posting agendas at all teleconference locations
2. Listing each teleconference location in the notice and agenda for the meeting
3. Allowing the public to access and provide public comment from each teleconference location
4. Requiring a quorum of the body to teleconference from locations within City boundaries

(Cal. Gov. Code § 549539(b)(3) & (e)(1).)

Under AB 361, the City can continue to hold teleconferenced meetings without adhering to the above practices as long as the state of emergency continues and either (1) "state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing," or (2) the City determines that "meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees." (Cal. Gov. Code § 54953(e)(1).)

Every thirty days, the City must review and determine that either of the above conditions continues to exist. (Cal. Gov. Code § 54953(e)(3).) Since September 28, 2021, the City Council has passed a recurring resolution every thirty days determining that both of the above conditions continue to exist and therefore teleconferencing under AB 361 is warranted. The Council may continue to renew the teleconferencing resolution every thirty days, and thereby continue to hold teleconferenced meetings under the procedures it has used throughout the pandemic, until the state of emergency ends. (See Cal. Gov. Code § 54953(e)(3)(A).)

The state of emergency for COVID-19 has been in effect since it was issued by the Governor on March 4, 2020. There is no clear end date for the state of emergency at this time. As recently as February 17, 2022, the Governor stated that, for now, the state will continue to operate under the state of emergency, but that his goal is “to unwind the state
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of emergency as soon as possible.”¹ Additionally, per a February 25, 2022 Los Angeles Times article, Newsom administration officials have indicated that the state of emergency is necessary for the State’s continued response to the pandemic, including measures such as waiving licensing requirements for healthcare workers and clinics involved in vaccination and testing.²

On March 15, 2022, the California State Senate Governmental Organization Committee will consider a resolution (SCR 5) ending the state of emergency.³ Some reporting suggests that the Republican-sponsored resolution is unlikely to pass. Notably, Senate Leader Toni Atkins' statement on the Senate’s consideration of SCR 5 articulates strong support for the state of emergency.⁴

The Governor has issued an executive order (N-1-22) which extends to March 31, 2022 sunset dates for teleconferencing for state legislative bodies (under the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act) and student body organizations (under the Gloria Romero Open Meetings Act).⁵ Executive Order N-1-22 does not affect the Brown Act teleconferencing provisions of AB 361, which have a sunset date of January 1, 2024. Therefore, until January 1, 2024, the City may utilize the teleconferencing provisions under AB 361 as long as the state of emergency remains in effect.

---


Hybrid Meeting Policies for City Council Meetings
Revised April 2022

The policy below covers the conduct of hybrid City Council meetings (in-person and remote participation) held in accordance with the Government Code and any relevant Executive Orders or State declared emergencies.

I. Vaccination Status
Prior to entry, all in-person attendees at the meeting location must present valid proof of “up-to-date” COVID-19 vaccination or a verified negative test conducted within one day prior for an antigen test or two days prior for a PCR test. An attendee is “up-to-date” with their vaccinations if:
- It has been less than 2 months after receiving the initial dose of their Johnson & Johnson Vaccine.
- It has been less than 5 months after receiving the second dose of their two-dose Pfizer or Moderna initial series.
- The attendee has received a booster.

Pre-entry negative testing

Definition: Testing must be conducted within one day for an antigen test and within two days for a PCR test prior to entry into an event. Results of the test must be available prior to entry into the facility or venue. Children under 2 years of age are exempt from the testing requirement, consistent with CDC guidance.

Verification: See current CDPH Updated Testing Guidance and CDPH Over-the-Counter Testing Guidance for acceptable methods of proof of negative COVID-19 test result and information on Over-the-Counter tests. Note: Self-attestation may not be used to verify negative test result, even when using Over-the-Counter (or at home tests) for entry into Indoor Mega Events.

https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/Pages/COVID-19/Beyond-Blueprint-Framework.aspx

II. Health Status Precautions
If a person who desires to attend the meeting in-person is feeling sick, including but not limited to, cough, shortness of breath or difficulty breathing, fever or chills, muscle or body aches, vomiting or diarrhea, or new loss of taste or smell they will be advised to attend the meeting remotely.
If an in-person attendee has been in close contact, as defined below, with a person who has tested positive for COVID-19 in the past five days, they will be advised to attend the meeting remotely.

Close contact is defined as being within approximately 6 feet for greater than 15 minutes over 24 hours within 2 days before symptoms appear (or before a positive test for asymptomatic individuals); or having contact with COVID-19 droplets (e.g., being coughed on while not wearing recommended personal protective equipment relative to employees' duties and responsibilities).

A voluntary sign in sheet will be available at the meeting entry for in-person attendees. This will assist with contact tracing in case of COVID contact resulting from the meeting.

III. Face Coverings/Mask
Following the State of California and Local Health Officer Guidance, face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and mouth are required for all attendees at an in-person City Council meeting. Face coverings will be provided by the City and available for attendees to use at the meeting. Members of the City Council, city staff, and the public are required to wear a mask at all times, including when speaking publicly at the meeting.

If an attendee at a Council Meeting is not wearing a mask, a mask will be offered to them to use. If the attendee refuses to wear a mask, a recess will be called in order to provide guidance to the attendee on the requirement and their options for attending remotely and in-person.

Private security personnel will be the primary person for requesting compliance. If removal of a non-compliant person is needed, law enforcement personnel will perform this task.

IV. Physical Distancing
Currently, there are no physical distancing requirements in place by the State of California or the Local Health Officer for an indoor event similar to a council meeting.

Audience seating capacity will be at regular allowable levels per the Fire Code. However, all attendees are requested to be respectful of the personal space of other attendees. An area of the public seating area will be designated as “distanced seating” to accommodate persons with a medical status that requires distancing and for those that choose to distance for personal health reasons.
Conference room capacity is limited to 15 persons. The relevant capacity limits will be posted at the meeting location.

City staff will present remotely in order to reduce the number of persons in the Boardroom and back conference area.

V. Protocols for Remote Participation by Mayor or Councilmembers
Upon the repeal of the state-declared emergency, all standard Brown Act requirements will be in effect for members of the Council participating remotely. For the Mayor and Councilmembers participating remotely, the remote location must be accessible to the public and the public must be able to participate and give public comment from the remote location.
- A Councilmember at a remote location will follow the same policies as the Boardroom with regards to vaccination status and testing requirements, health status precautions, and masking requirements.
- A Councilmember at a remote location may impose reasonable capacity limits at their location.

VI. Hand Washing/Sanitizing
There are hand sanitizing stations placed at the entry and strategically throughout the Boardroom. The bathrooms have soap and water for handwashing.

VII. Air Flow/Circulation/Sanitizing
BUSD Facilities Staff performs a vigorous cleaning process after each use of the Boardroom. BUSD upgraded all HVAC filtration to MERV13, and with the inclusion of Needlepoint BiPolar Ionization, is achieving a rating that is closer to MERV18. Additionally, BUSD installed indoor air quality monitoring sensors in all facilities that constantly monitor VOC's CO2, Relative Humidity, and Temperature. The sensors and alarms allow BUSD to ensure that all systems are working properly and as designed. If a sensor trips an alarm, a work order request is generated immediately to ensure the system is repaired expeditiously.

VIII. Overflow in Gymnasium
An overflow indoor seating area will be available at the West Campus Gymnasium for every meeting. The capacity of the gymnasium is 200 persons. The overflow area will have a broadcast of the meeting in progress.
to allow participants to follow the proceedings and move to the Boardroom at the appropriate time to provide public comment if desired. The broadcast audio and video will be provided to attendees in the overflow area. This area will be monitored by the BUSD security personnel.

IX. Food Provided for Elected Officials and Designated Staff
- No buffet dinner provided. Box lunches only. Maximum of 16 (Mayor & Council [9], City Manager, City Attorney, City Clerk [2], Deputy City Managers [2], BCM Staff)
- Individually packaged snacks will be provided on a common table and drinks will be available in the refrigerator.
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The policy below covers the conduct of hybrid City Council meetings (in-person and remote participation) held in accordance with the Government Code and any relevant Executive Orders or State declared emergencies.

I. Vaccination Status

Prior to entry, all in-person attendees at the meeting location must present valid proof of “up-to-date” COVID-19 vaccination or a verified negative test conducted within one day prior for an antigen test or two days prior for a PCR test. An attendee is “up-to-date” with their vaccinations if:

- It has been less than 2 months after receiving the initial dose of their Johnson & Johnson Vaccine.
- It has been less than 5 months after receiving the second dose of their two-dose Pfizer or Moderna initial series.
- The attendee has received a booster.

No requirement for vaccination to attend a Council meeting. Staff and Officials will not inquire about vaccination status for any attendees.

II. Health Check Status Precautions

If an in-person attendee is feeling sick, including but not limited to, cough, shortness of breath or difficulty breathing, fever or chills, muscle or body aches, vomiting or diarrhea, or new loss of taste or smell they will be advised to attend the meeting remotely.

If an in-person attendee has been in close contact, as defined below, with a person who has tested positive for COVID-19 in the past five days, they will be advised to attend the meeting remotely.

Close contact is defined as being within approximately 6 feet for greater than 15 minutes over 24 hours within 2 days before symptoms appear (or before a positive test for asymptomatic individuals); or having contact with COVID-19 droplets (e.g., being coughed on while not wearing recommended personal protective equipment relative to employees’ duties and responsibilities).

A walk-up temperature check device will be located at the entry to the in-person meeting location. All persons entering the in-person meeting location are required to perform a temperature check upon entering. A handheld non-touch thermometer will be available for individuals with disabilities. Private security personnel will be at the entry location for the duration of the meeting to monitor the temperature check station and mask requirement.

Attendees showing a fever will be directed to attend the meeting via remote participation (Zoom). If an attendee refuses to have their temperature
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checked, guidance will be provided to the attendee on the requirement and their options for attending remotely and in-person.

Private security personnel will be the primary person for requesting compliance. If removal of a non-compliant person is needed, law enforcement personnel will perform this task.

III. Face Coverings/Mask
Following the State of California and Local Health Officer Guidance, face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and mouth are required for all attendees at an in-person City Council meeting. Face coverings will be provided by the City and available for attendees to use at the meeting.

If an attendee at a Council Meeting is not wearing a mask, a mask will be offered to them to use. If the attendee refuses to wear a mask, a recess will be called in order to provide guidance to the attendee on the requirement and their options for attending remotely and in-person.

Members of the City Council, city staff, and the public are required to wear a mask at all times, including when speaking publicly at the meeting.

Private security personnel will be the primary person for requesting compliance. If removal of a non-compliant person is needed, law enforcement personnel will perform this task.

IV. Physical Distancing
Currently, there are no physical distancing requirements in place by the State of California or the Local Health Officer for an indoor event similar to a council meeting.

Audience seating capacity will be at regular allowable levels per the Fire Code. However, all attendees are requested to be respectful of the personal space of other attendees. An area of the public seating area will be designated as “distanced seating” to accommodate persons with a medical status that requires distancing and for those that choose to distance for personal health reasons.

Relevant CalOSHA requirements for the workplace will be followed as is feasible. Capacity in the audience seating area (including members of the media and staff) at the BUSD Boardroom is limited to 40 persons due to
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uncertainty about vaccination status of attendees and limiting attendance at indoor events to ensure the comfort and safety of attendees. Conference room capacity is limited to 42 persons. The relevant capacity limits will be posted on the city council agenda and at the meeting location.

City staff will present remotely in order to reduce the number of persons in the Boardroom and back conference area.

V. Protocols for Remote Participation by Mayor or Councilmembers
Upon the repeal of the state-declared emergency, all standard Brown Act requirements will be in effect for members of the Council participating remotely. For the Mayor and Councilmembers participating remotely, the remote location must be accessible to the public and the public must be able to participate and give public comment from the remote location.

- A Councilmember at a remote location will follow the same policies as the Boardroom with regards to vaccination status, testing requirements, health status precautions, temperature checks, and masking requirements.
- A Councilmember at a remote location may impose reasonable capacity limits at their location.

VI. Hand Washing/Sanitizing
There are hand sanitizing stations placed at the entry and strategically throughout the Boardroom. The bathrooms have soap and water for handwashing.

VII. Air Flow/Circulation/Sanitizing
BUSD Facilities Staff performs a vigorous cleaning process after each use of the Boardroom. BUSD upgraded all HVAC filtration to MERV13, and with the inclusion of Needlepoint BiPolar Ionization, is achieving a rating that is closer to MERV18. Additionally, BUSD installed indoor air quality monitoring sensors in all facilities that constantly monitor VOC's CO2, Relative Humidity, and Temperature. The sensors and alarms allow BUSD to ensure that all systems are working properly and as designed. If a sensor trips an alarm, a work order request is generated immediately to ensure the system is repaired expeditiously.
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VIII. Overflow in Gymnasium
An overflow indoor seating area will be available at the West Campus Gymnasium for every meeting. The capacity of the gymnasium is 100-200 persons. The overflow area will have a broadcast of the meeting in progress to allow participants to follow the proceedings and move to the Boardroom at the appropriate time to provide public comment if desired. The broadcast audio and video will be provided to attendees in the overflow area. This area will be monitored by the BUSD security personnel.

IX. Food Provided for Elected Officials and Designated Staff
- No buffet dinner provided.
- Box lunches only. Maximum of 16 (Mayor & Council [9], City Manager, City Attorney, City Clerk [2], Deputy City Managers [2], BCM Staff)
- Individually packaged snacks will be provided on a common table and drinks will be available in the refrigerator.
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The policy below covers the conduct of hybrid City Council meetings (in-person and remote participation) held in accordance with the Government Code and any relevant Executive Orders or State declared emergencies.

I. Vaccination Status
   No requirement for vaccination to attend a Council meeting. Staff and Officials will not inquire about vaccination status for any attendees.

II. Health Check
   A walk-up temperature check device will be located at the entry to the in-person meeting location. All persons entering the in-person meeting location are required to perform a temperature check upon entering. A handheld non-touch thermometer will be available for individuals with disabilities. Private security personnel will be at the entry location for the duration of the meeting to monitor the temperature check station and mask requirement.

   Attendees showing a fever will be directed to attend the meeting via remote participation (Zoom). If an attendee refuses to have their temperature checked, guidance will be provided to the attendee on the requirement and their options for attending remotely and in-person.

   Private security personnel will be the primary person for requesting compliance. If removal of a non-compliant person is needed, law enforcement personnel will perform this task.

III. Face Coverings/Mask
   Following the State of California and Local Health Officer Guidance, face coverings or masks that cover both the nose and mouth are required for all attendees at an in-person City Council meeting. Face coverings will be provided by the City and available for attendees to use at the meeting.

   If an attendee at a Council Meeting is not wearing a mask, a mask will be offered to them to use. If the attendee refuses to wear a mask, a recess will be called in order to provide guidance to the attendee on the requirement and their options for attending remotely and in-person.

   Members of the City Council, city staff, and the public are required to wear a mask at all times, including when speaking publicly at the meeting.

   Private security personnel will be the primary person for requesting compliance. If removal of a non-compliant person is needed, law enforcement personnel will perform this task.
IV. Physical Distancing
Currently, there are no physical distancing requirements in place by the State of California or the Local Health Officer for an indoor event similar to a council meeting. Relevant CalOSHA requirements for the workplace will be followed as is feasible. Capacity in the audience seating area (including members of the media and staff) at the BUSD Boardroom is limited to 40 persons due to uncertainty about vaccination status of attendees and limiting attendance at indoor events to ensure the comfort and safety of attendees. Conference room capacity is limited to 12 persons. The relevant capacity limits will be posted on the city council agenda and at the meeting location.

V. Protocols for Remote Participation by Mayor or Councilmembers
Upon the repeal of the state-declared emergency, all standard Brown Act requirements will be in effect for members of the Council participating remotely. For the Mayor and Councilmembers participating remotely, the remote location must be accessible to the public and the public must be able to participate and give public comment from the remote location.

- A Councilmember at a remote location will follow the same policies as the Boardroom with regards to vaccination status, temperature checks, and mask requirements.
- A Councilmember at a remote location may impose reasonable capacity limits at their location.

VI. Hand Washing/Sanitizing
There are hand sanitizing stations placed at the entry and strategically throughout the Boardroom. The bathrooms have soap and water for handwashing.

VII. Air Flow/Circulation/Sanitizing
BUSD Facilities Staff performs a vigorous cleaning process after each use of the Boardroom. BUSD upgraded all HVAC filtration to MERV13, and with the inclusion of Needlepoint BiPolar Ionization, is achieving a rating that is closer to MERV18. Additionally, BUSD installed indoor air quality monitoring sensors in all facilities that constantly monitor VOC’s CO2, Relative Humidity, and Temperature. The sensors and alarms allow BUSD to ensure that all systems are working properly and as designed. If a sensor trips an alarm, a work order request is generated immediately to ensure the system is repaired expeditiously.

VIII. Overflow in Gymnasium
An overflow indoor seating area will be available at the West Campus Gymnasium for every meeting. The capacity of the gymnasium is 100 persons. The overflow area will have a broadcast of the meeting in progress
to allow participants to follow the proceedings and move to the Boardroom at the appropriate time to provide public comment if desired. The broadcast audio and video will be provided to attendees in the overflow area. This area will be monitored by the BUSD security personnel.

IX. Food Provided for Elected Officials and Designated Staff
- No buffet dinner provided.
- Box lunches only. Total of 18 (Mayor & Council [9], City Manager, City Attorney, City Clerk [2], Deputy City Managers [2], BCM Staff, Extras [2])
- Individually packaged snacks will be provided on a common table and drinks will be available in the refrigerator.
THIS ITEM IS NOT YET AGENDIZED AND MAY OR MAY NOT BE ACCEPTED FOR THE AGENDA AS A LATE ITEM, SUBJECT TO THE CITY COUNCIL’S DISCRETION ACCORDING TO BROWN ACT RULES

Meeting Date:   September 28, 2021

Item Description:   Resolution Making Required Findings Pursuant to the Government Code and Directing City Legislative Bodies to Continue to Meet Via Videoconference and Teleconference

This item is submitted pursuant to the provision checked below:

☐ Emergency Situation (54954.2(b)(1) - majority vote required)
   Determination by a majority vote of the legislative body that an emergency situation exists, as defined in Section 54956.5.

☒ Immediate Action Required (54954.2(b)(2) - two-thirds vote required)
   There is a need to take immediate action and the need for action came to the attention of the local agency subsequent to the agenda for this meeting being posted.

Once the item is added to the agenda (Consent or Action) it must be passed by the standard required vote threshold (majority, two-thirds, or 7/9).

Facts supporting the addition of the item to the agenda under Section 54954.2(b) and Chapter III.C.5 of the Rules of Procedure:

Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas) was signed by the Governor on September 16, 2021. This bill allows local legislative bodies to meet using videoconference technology while maintaining the Brown Act exemptions in Executive Order N-29-20 for noticing and access to the locations from which local officials participate in the meeting. Local agencies may only meet with the exemption if there is a state declared emergency.

The bill also requires that local legislative bodies meeting only via videoconference under a state declared emergency to make certain findings every 30-days regarding the need to meet in a virtual-only setting.

The agenda for the September 28, 2021 was finalized and published prior to the Governor signing AB 361 in to law. Thus, the need to take action came to the attention of the local agency after the agenda was distributed. This item qualifies for addition to the agenda with a two-thirds vote of the Council under Government Code Section 54954.2(b)(2).
To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
   Madame City Manager

From: Farimah Faiz Brown, City Attorney

Subject: Resolution Making Required Findings Pursuant to the Government Code and Directing City Legislative Bodies to Continue to Meet Via Videoconference and Teleconference

RECOMMENDATION
Adopt a resolution making the required findings pursuant to Government Code Section 54953(e)(3) and determining that as a result of the continued threat to public health and safety posed by the spread of COVID-19, City legislative bodies shall continue to meet via videoconference and teleconference.

FISCAL IMPACT OF RECOMMENDATION
To be determined.

CURRENT SITUATION AND ITS EFFECTS
Pursuant to California Government Code section 8630 and Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.88.040, on March 3, 2020, the City Manager, in her capacity as Director of Emergency Services, proclaimed a local emergency due to conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property within the City as a consequence of the global spread of a severe acute respiratory illness caused by a novel (new) coronavirus (COVID-19), including a confirmed case in the City of Berkeley. As a result of multiple confirmed and presumed cases in Alameda County, the County has declared a local health emergency. On March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom issued a Proclamation of a State of Emergency due to the spread of COVID-19. On March 10, 2020, the City Council ratified the Proclamation of Local Emergency with the passage of Resolution No. 69-312.

On March 17, 2020, Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-29-20, which suspended certain portions of the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Gov. Code § 54950 et seq.) related to the holding of teleconferenced meetings by City legislative bodies. Among other things, Executive Order N-29-20 suspended requirements that each location from which an official accesses a teleconferenced meeting be accessible to the public.
These changes were necessary to allow teleconferencing to be used as a tool for ensuring social distancing. City legislative bodies have held public meetings via videoconference and teleconference pursuant to these provisions since March 2020. These provisions of Executive Order N-29-20 will expire on September 30, 2021.

COVID-19 continues to pose a serious threat to public health and safety. There are now over 4,700 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and at least 55 deaths in the City of Berkeley. Additionally, the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (“Delta”) variant of COVID-19 that is currently circulating nationally and within the City is contributing to a substantial increase in transmissibility and more severe disease.

As a result of the continued threat to public health posed by the spread of COVID-19, state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing, mask wearing and vaccination. Holding meetings of City legislative bodies in person would present imminent risks to the health and safety of the public and members of legislative bodies, and therefore public meetings cannot safely be held in person at this time.

Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas), signed into law by Governor Newsom on September 16, 2021, amended a portion of the Brown Act (Government Code Section 54953) to authorize the City Council, during the state of emergency, to determine that, due to the spread of COVID-19, holding in-person public meetings would present an imminent risk to the health or safety of attendees, and therefore City legislative bodies must continue to meet via videoconference and teleconference. Assembly Bill 361 requires that the City Council must review and ratify such a determination every thirty (30) days. Therefore, if the Council passes this resolution on September 28, 2021, the Council will need to review and ratify the resolution by October 28, 2021.

This item requests that the Council review the circumstances of the continued state of emergency posed by the spread of COVID-19, and find that the state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the public and members of City legislative bodies to meet safely in person, that holding public meetings of City legislative bodies in person would present imminent risks to the health and safety of attendees, and that state and local officials continue to promote social distancing, mask wearing and vaccination. This item further requests that the Council determine that City legislative bodies, including but not limited to the City Council and its committees, and all commissions and boards, shall continue to hold public meetings via videoconference and teleconference, and that City legislative bodies shall continue to comply with all provisions of the Brown Act, as amended by SB 361.

**BACKGROUND**
On March 1, 2020, Alameda County Public Health Department and Solano County Public Health Department reported two presumptive cases of COVID-19, pending confirmatory testing by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), prompting Alameda County to declare a local health emergency.
On March 3, 2020, the City’s Director of Emergency Services proclaimed a local emergency due to the spread of COVID-19, including a confirmed case in the City of Berkeley and multiple confirmed and presumed cases in Alameda County.


On March 10, 2020, the City Council ratified the Proclamation of Local Emergency. Since that date, there have been over 4,700 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and at least 57 deaths in the City of Berkeley.

On March 17, 2020, Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-29-20 which suspended certain portions of the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Gov. Code § 54950 et seq.) to allow teleconferencing of public meetings to be used as a tool for ensuring social distancing. As a result, City legislative bodies have held public meetings via teleconference throughout the pandemic. The provisions of Executive Order N-29-20 allowing teleconferencing to be used as a tool for social distancing will expire on September 30, 2021.

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY AND CLIMATE IMPACTS
Not applicable.

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION
The Resolution would enable the City Council and its committees, and City boards and commissions to continue to hold public meetings via videoconference and teleconference in order to continue to socially distance and limit the spread of COVID-19.

ALTERNATIVE ACTIONS CONSIDERED
None.

CONTACT PERSON
Farimah Brown, City Attorney, City Attorney’s Office (510) 981-6998
Mark Numainville, City Clerk, (510) 981-6908

Attachments:
1: Resolution Directing City Legislative Bodies to Continue to Meet Via Videoconference and Teleconference
RESOLUTION NO. –N.S.

RESOLUTION MAKING THE REQUIRED FINDINGS PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953(E)(3) AND DIRECTING CITY LEGISLATIVE BODIES TO CONTINUE TO MEET VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE AND TELECONFERENCE

WHEREAS, in accordance with Berkeley Municipal Code section 2.88.040 and sections 8558(c) and 8630 of the Government Code, which authorize the proclamation of a local emergency when conditions of disaster or extreme peril to the safety of persons and property within the territorial limits of a City exist, the City Manager, serving as the Director of Emergency Services, beginning on March 3, 2020, did proclaim the existence of a local emergency caused by epidemic in the form of the global spread of a severe acute respiratory illness caused by a novel (new) coronavirus (“COVID-19”), including confirmed cases in California and the San Francisco Bay Area, and presumed cases in Alameda County prompting the County to declare a local health emergency; and

WHEREAS, on March 10, 2020, the City Council ratified the Proclamation of Local Emergency with the passage of Resolution No. 69-312; and

WHEREAS, on March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom issued a Proclamation of a State of Emergency pursuant to the California Emergency Services Act, in particular, Government Code section 8625; and

WHEREAS, the Proclamation of a State of Emergency issued by Governor Newsom on March 4, 2020 continues to be in effect; and

WHEREAS, on September 16, 2021, Governor Newsom signed into law AB 361, which authorizes the City Council to determine that, due to the continued threat to public health and safety posed by the spread of COVID-19, City legislative bodies shall continue to meet via videoconference and teleconference; and

WHEREAS, the City Council does find that the aforesaid conditions of extreme peril continue to exist, and now include over 4,700 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and at least 55 deaths in the City of Berkeley; and

WHEREAS, the City Council recognizes that the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (“Delta”) variant of COVID-19 that is currently circulating nationally and within the City is contributing to a substantial increase in transmissibility and more severe disease; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the continued threat to public health posed by the spread of COVID-19, state and local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing, mask wearing and vaccination; and
WHEREAS, holding meetings of City legislative bodies in person would present imminent risks to the health and safety of the public and members of legislative bodies, and therefore public meetings cannot safely be held in person at this time; and

WHEREAS, the City Council will need to again review the need for the continuing necessity of holding City legislative body meetings via videoconference and teleconference by October 28, 2021.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of Berkeley that, pursuant to Government Code section 54953, the City Council has reviewed the circumstances of the continued state of emergency posed by the spread of COVID-19, and finds that the state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the public and members of City legislative bodies to meet safely in person, that holding public meetings of City legislative bodies in person would present imminent risks to the health and safety of attendees, and that state and local officials continue to promote social distancing, mask wearing and vaccination; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that City legislative bodies, including but not limited to the City Council and its committees, and all commissions and boards, shall continue to hold public meetings via videoconference and teleconference; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all City legislative bodies shall comply with the requirements of Government Code section 54953(e)(2) and all applicable laws, regulations and rules when conducting public meetings pursuant to this resolution.
June 2, 2021

VIA EMAIL

Graham Knaus, Executive Director
CA State Assoc. of Counties
gknaus@counties.org

Jean Kinney Hurst, Legislative Advocate
Urban Counties of CA
jhurst@counties.org

Carolyn Coleman, Executive Director
League of CA Cities
ccooley@cacities.org

Laura Preston, Legislative Advocate
Assoc. of CA School Administrators
lpreston@acsa.org

Staci Heaton, Acting Vice President of
Government Affairs
Rural County Representatives of CA
sheaton@rcrcnet.org

Amber King, Vice President, Advocacy
and Membership
Assoc. of CA Healthcare Districts
amber.king@achd.org

Pamela Miller, Executive Director
CA Assoc. of Local Agency Formation
Commissions
pmiller@calafco.org

Danielle Blacet-Hyden, Deputy Executive
Director
CA Municipal Utilities Assoc.
dblacet@cmua.org

Niel McCormick, Chief Executive Officer
CA Special Districts Assoc.
neilm@csda.net

Kristopher M. Anderson, Esq., Legislative
Advocate
Assoc. of CA Water Agencies
krisa@acwa.com

RE: Transition Period Prior to Repeal of COVID-related Executive Orders

Dear Mr. Knaus, Ms. Miller, Ms. Hurst, Ms. Preston, Ms. Heaton, Ms. King, Ms. Coleman, Ms. Blacet-Hyden, Mr. McCormick, Mr. Anderson, and colleagues,

Thank you for your correspondence of May 18, 2021, inquiring what impact the anticipated June 15 termination of the Blueprint for a Safer Economy will have on Executive Order N-29-20, which provided flexibility to state and local agencies and boards to conduct their business through virtual public meetings during the COVID-19 pandemic.
Please be assured that this Executive Order Provision will not terminate on June 15 when the Blueprint is scheduled to terminate. While the Governor intends to terminate COVID-19 executive orders at the earliest possible date at which conditions warrant, consistent with the Emergency Services Act, the Governor recognizes the importance of an orderly return to the ordinary conduct of public meetings of state and local agencies and boards. To this end, the Governor’s office will work to provide notice to affected stakeholders in advance of rescission of this provision to provide state and local agencies and boards time necessary to meet statutory and logistical requirements. Until a further order issues, all entities may continue to rely on N-29-20.

We appreciate your partnership throughout the pandemic.

Regards,

[Signature]

Ana Matosantos
Cabinet Secretary
Standards Board Readopts Revised Cal/OSHA COVID-19 Prevention Emergency Temporary Standards

The revised Cal/OSHA standards are expected to go into effect no later than June 15

Sacramento — The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board on June 3 readopted Cal/OSHA's revised COVID-19 prevention emergency temporary standards.

Last year, the Board adopted health and safety standards to protect workers from COVID-19. The standards did not consider vaccinations and required testing, quarantining, masking and more to protect workers from COVID-19.

The changes adopted by the Board phase out physical distancing and make other adjustments to better align with the state's June 15 goal to retire the Blueprint. Without these changes, the original standards, would be in place until at least October 2. These restrictions are no longer required given today's record low case rates and the fact that we've administered 37 million vaccines.

The revised emergency standards are expected to go into effect no later than June 15 if approved by the Office of Administrative Law in the next 10 calendar days. Some provisions go into effect starting on July 31, 2021.

The revised standards are the first update to Cal/OSHA's temporary COVID-19 prevention requirements adopted in November 2020.

The Board may further refine the regulations in the coming weeks to take into account changes in circumstances, especially as related to the availability of vaccines and low case rates across the state.

The standards apply to most workers in California not covered by Cal/OSHA's Aerosol Transmissible Diseases standard. Notable revisions include:

- **Face Coverings:**
  - Indoors, fully vaccinated workers without COVID-19 symptoms do not need to wear face coverings in a room where everyone else is fully vaccinated and not showing symptoms. However, where there is a mixture of vaccinated and unvaccinated persons in a room, all workers will continue to be required to wear a face covering.
  - Outdoors, fully vaccinated workers without symptoms do not need to wear face coverings. However, outdoor workers who are not fully vaccinated must continue to wear a face covering when they are less than six feet away from another person.

- **Physical Distancing:** When the revised standards take effect, employers can eliminate physical distancing and partitions/barriers for employees working indoors and at outdoor mega events if they provide respirators, such as N95s, to unvaccinated employees for voluntary use. After July 31, physical distancing
and barriers are no longer required (except during outbreaks), but employers must provide all unvaccinated employees with N95s for voluntary use.

- **Prevention Program**: Employers are still required to maintain a written COVID-19 Prevention Program but there are some key changes to requirements:
  - Employers must review the California Department of Public Health's [Interim guidance for Ventilation, Filtration, and Air Quality in Indoor Environments](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/IDCDO/Pages/VentilationFiltrationAndAirQualityInIndoorEnvironments.aspx).
  - COVID-19 prevention training must now include information on how the vaccine is effective at preventing COVID-19 and protecting against both transmission and serious illness or death.

- **Exclusion from the Workplace**: Fully vaccinated workers who do not have COVID-19 symptoms no longer need to be excluded from the workplace after a close contact.

- **Special Protections for Housing and Transportation**: Special COVID-19 prevention measures that apply to employer-provided housing and transportation no longer apply if all occupants are fully vaccinated.

The Standards Board will file the readoption rulemaking package with the Office of Administrative Law, which has 10 calendar days to review and approve the temporary workplace safety standards enforced by Cal/OSHA. Once approved and published, the full text of the revised emergency standards will appear in the Title 8 sections 3205 (COVID-19 Prevention), 3205.1 (Multiple COVID-19 Infections and COVID-19 Outbreaks), 3205.2 (Major COVID-19 Outbreaks) 3205.3 (COVID-19 Prevention in Employer-Provided Housing) and 3205.4 (COVID-19 Prevention in Employer-Provided Transportation) of the California Code of Regulations. Pursuant to the state's emergency rulemaking process, this is the first of two opportunities to readopt the temporary standards after the initial effective period.

The Standards Board also convened a representative subcommittee to work with Cal/OSHA on a proposal for further updates to the standard, as part of the emergency rulemaking process. It is anticipated this newest proposal, once developed, will be heard at an upcoming Board meeting. The subcommittee will provide regular updates at the Standards Board monthly meetings.

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board, a seven-member body appointed by the Governor, is the standards-setting agency within the Cal/OSHA program. The Standards Board's objective is to adopt reasonable and enforceable standards at least as effective as federal standards. The Standards Board also has the responsibility to grant or deny applications for permanent variances from adopted standards and respond to petitions for new or revised standards.

The California Division of Occupational Safety and Health, or Cal/OSHA, is the division within the Department of Industrial Relations that helps protect California's workers from health and safety hazards on the job in almost every workplace. [Cal/OSHA's Consultation Services Branch](https://www.dir.ca.gov/DIRNews/2021/2021-58.html) provides free and voluntary assistance to employers to improve their health and safety programs. Employers should call (800) 963-9424 for assistance from Cal/OSHA Consultation Services.

**Contact**: Erika Monterroza / Frank Polizzi, Communications@dir.ca.gov, (510) 286-1161.
June 1, 2021

To: Agenda & Rules Committee

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Subject: Preliminary Analysis of Return to In-Person Meetings of City Legislative Bodies

Introduction
This memo responds to the request from the Agenda & Rules Committee on May 17, 2021 for information from the City Manager on the options and timing for a return to in-person meetings for City legislative bodies. The analysis below is a preliminary summary of the considerations and options for returning to in-person meetings.

With the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the shelter-in-place order, and the issuance of Executive Order N-29-20 (“Executive Order”) in the spring of 2020, the City quickly adjusted to a virtual meeting model. Now, almost 15 months later, with the Blueprint for a Safer Economy scheduled to sunset on June 15, 2021, the City is faced with a new set of conditions that will impact how public meetings may be held in Berkeley. While the June 15, 2021 date appears to be certain, there is still a great deal of uncertainty about the fate of the Executive Order. In addition, the City is still awaiting concrete, specific guidance from the State with regards to regulations that govern public meetings and public health recommendations that will be in place after June 15, 2021.

For background, Executive Order N-29-20 allows legislative bodies to meet in a virtual setting and suspends the following Brown Act requirements:

- Printing the location of members of the legislative body on the agenda;
- Posting the agenda at the location of members of the legislative body that are remote; and
- Making publicly available remote locations from which members of the legislative body participate.
Meeting Options
There are three groups of City Legislative bodies that are considered in this memo

- City Council;
- City Council Policy Committees; and
- Boards and Commissions.

The three meeting models available are:

- In-person only;
- Virtual only; or
- Hybrid (in-person and virtual).

The scenarios below show the options available for each given set of facts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary Recommendations of Meeting Options</th>
<th>Physical Distancing</th>
<th>No Physical Distancing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In-Person</td>
<td>Hybrid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Committees</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board and Commissions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The ability to hold virtual-only meetings is dependent on the status of Executive Order N-29-20

Currently, the Centers for Disease Control recommends physical distancing for unvaccinated persons. While the City and the community have made tremendous progress with regards to vaccination, the City would use the guidelines for unvaccinated persons when making determinations regarding public meetings.

Meeting Type Considerations
Our previous experience pre-pandemic and our experience over the past 15 months demonstrates that the City can conduct all in-person and all virtual meetings. However, the possibility of hybrid meetings presents new questions to consider. The primary concern for a return to in-person meetings using a hybrid model is the impact on the public experience and the legislative process.

- Will the legislative body be able to provide a transparent, coherent, stable, informative, and meaningful experience for the both the public in attendance and virtually?
Will the legislative body be able to conduct the legislative process in an efficient, coherent, and meaningful manner with the members split between in-person and virtual, and considering the additional delays and logistical challenges of allowing for public participation in a hybrid model?

For the City Council, testing has shown that the larger space and technology infrastructure at the Boardroom will allow the Council to conduct all three types of meetings (in-person, hybrid, virtual).

For Policy Committees and Commissions, only the “all virtual” or “all in-person” meetings are recommended. Preliminary testing has shown that the audio/visual limitations of the meeting rooms available for these bodies would result in inefficient and cumbersome management of the proceedings in a hybrid model. In addition, there are considerations to analyze regarding the available bandwidth in city facilities and all members having access to adequate devices. Continuing the all virtual model for as long as possible, then switching to an all in-person model when conditions permit provides the best access, participation, and legislative experience for the public and the legislative body.

Other Considerations
Some additional factors to consider in the evaluation of returning to in-person or hybrid meetings are:

- How to address vaccination status for in-person attendees.
- Will symptom checks and/or temperature checks at entry points be required?
- Who is responsible for providing PPE for attendees?
- How are protocols for in-person attendees to be enforced?
- Physical distancing measures for the Mayor and City Councilmembers on the dais.
- Installation of physical barriers and other temporary measures.
- Will the podium and microphone need to be sanitized after every speaker?
- High number of touch points in meeting rooms.
- Will chairs for the public and staff need to be sanitized if there is turnover during the meeting?
- Determining the appropriate capacity for meeting locations.
- The condition and capacity of meeting room ventilation system and air cycling abilities.
- How to receive and share Supplemental Items, Revisions, Urgent Items, and submissions by the public both in-person and virtually.
- Budget including costs for equipment, physical improvements, A/V, PPE, and sanitization.
Conclusion
As stated above, conditions are changing daily, and there is a high degree of uncertainty surrounding the future guidance, regulations, and actions at the state level. Planning, testing and analysis are already underway to prepare for an eventual return to in-person meetings. Staff will continue to monitor the evolving legislative and public health circumstances and advise the committee at future meetings.

Attachment:

1. Executive Order N-29-20
EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA  

EXECUTIVE ORDER N-29-20  

WHEREAS on March 4, 2020, I proclaimed a State of Emergency to exist in California as a result of the threat of COVID-19; and  

WHEREAS despite sustained efforts, the virus continues to spread and is impacting nearly all sectors of California; and  

WHEREAS the threat of COVID-19 has resulted in serious and ongoing economic harms, in particular to some of the most vulnerable Californians; and  

WHEREAS time bound eligibility redeterminations are required for Medi-Cal, CalFresh, CalWORKs, Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants, California Food Assistance Program, and In Home Supportive Services beneficiaries to continue their benefits, in accordance with processes established by the Department of Social Services, the Department of Health Care Services, and the Federal Government; and  

WHEREAS social distancing recommendations or Orders as well as a statewide imperative for critical employees to focus on health needs may prevent Medi-Cal, CalFresh, CalWORKs, Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants, California Food Assistance Program, and In Home Supportive Services beneficiaries from obtaining in-person eligibility redeterminations; and  

WHEREAS under the provisions of Government Code section 8571, I find that strict compliance with various statutes and regulations specified in this order would prevent, hinder, or delay appropriate actions to prevent and mitigate the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.  

NOW, THEREFORE, I, GAVIN NEWSOM, Governor of the State of California, in accordance with the authority vested in me by the State Constitution and statutes of the State of California, and in particular, Government Code sections 8567 and 8571, do hereby issue the following order to become effective immediately:  

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:  

1. As to individuals currently eligible for benefits under Medi-Cal, CalFresh, CalWORKs, the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants, the California Food Assistance Program, or In Home Supportive Services benefits, and to the extent necessary to allow such individuals to maintain eligibility for such benefits, any state law, including but not limited to California Code of Regulations, Title 22, section 50189(a) and Welfare and Institutions Code sections 18940 and 11265, that would require redetermination of such benefits is suspended for a period of 90 days from the date of this Order. This Order shall be construed to be consistent with applicable federal laws, including but not limited to Code of Federal Regulations, Title 42, section 435.912, subdivision (e), as interpreted by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (in guidance issued on January 30, 2018) to permit the extension of
otherwise-applicable Medicaid time limits in emergency situations.

2. Through June 17, 2020, any month or partial month in which California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids (CalWORKs) aid or services are received pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code Section 11200 et seq. shall not be counted for purposes of the 48-month time limit set forth in Welfare and Institutions Code Section 11454. Any waiver of this time limit shall not be applied if it will exceed the federal time limits set forth in Code of Federal Regulations, Title 45, section 264.1.

3. Paragraph 11 of Executive Order N-25-20 (March 12, 2020) is withdrawn and superseded by the following text:

Notwithstanding any other provision of state or local law (including, but not limited to, the Bagley-Keene Act or the Brown Act), and subject to the notice and accessibility requirements set forth below, a local legislative body or state body is authorized to hold public meetings via teleconferencing and to make public meetings accessible telephonically or otherwise electronically to all members of the public seeking to observe and to address the local legislative body or state body. All requirements in both the Bagley-Keene Act and the Brown Act expressly or impliedly requiring the physical presence of members, the clerk or other personnel of the body, or of the public as a condition of participation in or quorum for a public meeting are hereby waived.

In particular, any otherwise-applicable requirements that

(i) state and local bodies notice each teleconference location from which a member will be participating in a public meeting;

(ii) each teleconference location be accessible to the public;

(iii) members of the public may address the body at each teleconference conference location;

(iv) state and local bodies post agendas at all teleconference locations;

(v) at least one member of the state body be physically present at the location specified in the notice of the meeting; and

(vi) during teleconference meetings, a least a quorum of the members of the local body participate from locations within the boundaries of the territory over which the local body exercises jurisdiction

are hereby suspended.

A local legislative body or state body that holds a meeting via teleconferencing and allows members of the public to observe and address the meeting telephonically or otherwise electronically, consistent with the notice and accessibility requirements set forth below, shall have satisfied any requirement that the body allow
members of the public to attend the meeting and offer public comment. Such a body need not make available any physical location from which members of the public may observe the meeting and offer public comment.

Accessibility Requirements: If a local legislative body or state body holds a meeting via teleconferencing and allows members of the public to observe and address the meeting telephonically or otherwise electronically, the body shall also:

(i) Implement a procedure for receiving and swiftly resolving requests for reasonable modification or accommodation from individuals with disabilities, consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act and resolving any doubt whatsoever in favor of accessibility; and

(ii) Advertise that procedure each time notice is given of the means by which members of the public may observe the meeting and offer public comment, pursuant to subparagraph (ii) of the Notice Requirements below.

Notice Requirements: Except to the extent this Order expressly provides otherwise, each local legislative body and state body shall:

(i) Give advance notice of the time of, and post the agenda for, each public meeting according to the timeframes otherwise prescribed by the Bagley-Keene Act or the Brown Act, and using the means otherwise prescribed by the Bagley-Keene Act or the Brown Act, as applicable; and

(ii) In each instance in which notice of the time of the meeting is otherwise given or the agenda for the meeting is otherwise posted, also give notice of the means by which members of the public may observe the meeting and offer public comment. As to any instance in which there is a change in such means of public observation and comment, or any instance prior to the issuance of this Order in which the time of the meeting has been noticed or the agenda for the meeting has been posted without also including notice of such means, a body may satisfy this requirement by advertising such means using “the most rapid means of communication available at the time” within the meaning of Government Code, section 54954, subdivision (e); this shall include, but need not be limited to, posting such means on the body’s Internet website.

All of the foregoing provisions concerning the conduct of public meetings shall apply only during the period in which state or local public health officials have imposed or recommended social distancing measures.
All state and local bodies are urged to use sound discretion and to make reasonable efforts to adhere as closely as reasonably possible to the provisions of the Bagley-Keene Act and the Brown Act, and other applicable local laws regulating the conduct of public meetings, in order to maximize transparency and provide the public access to their meetings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that as soon as hereafter possible, this Order be filed in the Office of the Secretary of State and that widespread publicity and notice be given of this Order.

This Order is not intended to, and does not, create any rights or benefits, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity, against the State of California, its agencies, departments, entities, officers, employees, or any other person.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 17th day of March 2020.

GAVIN NEWSOM
Governor of California

ATTEST:

ALEX PADILLA
Secretary of State
To: Honorable Mayor Jesse Arreguín and Members of the City Council

From: Councilmember Lori Droste

Subject: Bureaucratic Effectiveness and Referral Improvement and Prioritization Effort (BE RIPE)

Recommendation

In order to ensure that the City focuses on high-priority issues, projects, and goals and affords them the resources and funding such civic efforts deserve, the City Council should consult with the City Manager’s Office to develop and adopt a suite of revisions to the City Council Rules of Procedure and Order that would implement the following provisions:

1. Beginning in 2023, Councilmembers shall submit no more than one major legislative proposal or set of amendments to any existing ordinance per year, with the Mayor permitted to submit two major proposals, for a maximum of ten major Council items per year.

2. In 2023 and all future years, Councilmembers shall be required to submit major items before an established deadline. Council shall then prioritize any new legislative items as well as any incomplete major items from the previous year using the Reweighted Range Voting (RRV) process. This will help establish clear priorities for staff time, funding, and scheduling Council work sessions and meetings. For 2023 alone, the RRV process should include outstanding/incomplete Council items from all previous years. In 2024 and thereafter, the RRV process should only incorporate outstanding/incomplete major items from the prior year. However, Councilmembers may choose to renominate an incomplete major policy item from an earlier year as their single major item.

3. During deliberations at a special worksession, Council retreat, and/or departmental budget presentations, Council and the City Manager should develop a work plan that establishes reasonable expectations about what can be accomplished by staff given the list of priorities as ranked by RRV. Council should also consult with the City Manager and department heads, particularly the City Attorney’s office, Planning Department, and Public Works Department on workload challenges (mandates outside Council priorities, etc.), impacts, reasonable staff output expectations, and potential corrective actions to ensure that mandated deadlines are met, basic services are provided, and policy proposals are effectively implemented.

4. Budget referrals and allocations from City Council must be explicitly related to a previously established or passed policy/program, planning/strategy document, and/or an external funding opportunity related to one of these. As a good government practice, councilmembers and the Mayor may not submit budget referrals which direct funds to a
specific organization or event. Organizations which receive City funding must submit at least annually an application detailing, at a minimum: the civic goal(s)/purpose(s) for which City funds are used, the amount of City funding received for each of the preceding five years, and quantitative or qualitative accounting of the results/outcomes for the projects that made use of those City funds. Organizations receiving more than $20,000 in City funds should be required to provide quantitative data regarding the number of individuals served and other outcomes.

5. Ensuring that any exceptions to these provisions are designed to ensure flexibility in the face of an emergency, disaster, or urgent legal issue/liability and narrowly tailored to be consistent with the goals of enhanced efficiency, effectiveness, fairness, and focus.

**Policy Committee Recommendation**

On February 14, 2023, the Agenda and Rules Committee adopted the following action: M/S/C (Hahn/Arreguin) to send the item to the City Council with a Qualified Positive Recommendation to refer the relevant concepts of the original item to the Agenda & Rules Committee for consideration under the existing committee agenda item regarding enhancements to the City’s legislative process. Vote: All Ayes.

**Current Situation and Its Effects**

Over the past few years (excluding the COVID-19 state of emergency), City Council has grappled with potential options to reduce the legislative workload on the City of Berkeley staff. While a significant portion of this workload is generated from non-legislative matters and staffing vacancies, it is important to recognize that staff also continue to struggle to keep up with Council directives while still accomplishing the City’s core mission or providing high quality public infrastructure and services.

**Background and Rationale**

Berkeley faces an enormous staffing crisis due in part to workload concerns; as such, Council should take steps to hone its focus on legislative priorities. November 2022’s Public Works Off-Agenda Memo offers a benchmark for problems faced by City departments. Public Works staff struggles to complete its top strategic plan projects, respond to audit findings, and provide basic services, in addition to fulfilling legislative priorities by Council. While the “Top Goals and Priorities” outlined by Public Works is tied to 130+ directives by the City Council, it is not reasonable to assume that all will be implemented.

The challenges faced by the Public Works department are not an anomaly. Other departments share the same challenges. In addition to needing to ensure that the City can adopt a compliant state-mandated Housing Element, process permits, secure new grant funding, mitigate seismic risks, and advance our Climate Action Plan, Planning Department staff have been tasked with addressing multiple policy proposals from the City Council. The sheer number of referrals also impacts the ability of staff in the City Attorney’s office to vet all ordinances, protect the City’s interests, participate in litigation, and address the City’s other various legal needs.

**Best Practices**

A number of nearby, similarly-sized cities were contacted to request information about how these cities approach Councilmember referrals and prioritizations processes. Cities contacted
included Richmond, Vallejo, Santa Clara, Concord, and Sunnyvale. Of these cities, Santa Clara, Concord, and Sunnyvale replied.

**Santa Clara**
Overall, Santa Clara staff indicated that—similar to Berkeley—the Council referrals and prioritization process is not especially formalized, with additional referrals being made outside of the prioritization process.

Each year, the Council holds an annual priority setting session at which the Council examines and updates priorities from the previous year and considers what progress was made toward those priorities. The prioritization process takes place in February so that any priorities that rise to the top may be considered for funding ahead of the budget process. In any given year, some priorities may go unfunded and even holding those priorities over to a second year is not necessarily a guarantee of funding.

Despite conducting this annual prioritization exercise, Councilmembers in Santa Clara often still do bring forward additional referrals outside of this process. Part of this less restricted approach in Santa Clara’s 030 (“zero thirty”) policy, which allows members of the the City Council to add items to the Council agenda with sufficient notice and even allows members of the public to petition to have items added to a special section of the Council agenda.

Despite the overall looseness of Santa Clara’s approach. Council members still rely upon staff to provide direction with respect to what priorities are or are not feasible based upon available funding and staff bandwidth.

**Concord**
According to Concord City staff, although Concord—like Berkeley and Santa Clara—does have a process for Councilmembers to request items be added to Council agendas, Councilmembers generally agree not to add referrals outside of the formal priority-setting process.

Concord City staff only work on “new” items/policies that are mandated by law, recommended by the City Manager, and have been recommended for review/work of some kind by a majority (three of the five members) of the City Council.

In general, Councilmembers agree to not add work items outside of the Council’s formal priority setting process. The Concord City Council has a once-a-year goal setting workshop each spring where the City plans its Tier 1 and Tier 2 priorities for the year (or sometimes for a 2-year cycle). Most Councilmembers abide by this process and refrain from bringing forward additional items. However any Councilmember may put forward a referral outside of the process and use the method outlined below.

Outside of the prioritization process, Councilmembers can request that their colleagues (under Council reports at any Council meeting) support placing an item on a future Council meeting agenda for a discussion. The Concord City Attorney has advised councilmembers that they can make a three sentence statement, e.g. “I would like my colleagues’ support to agendize [insert item]” or “to send [insert item] to a Council standing committee for discussion.” Followed by: “This is an important item to me or a timely item for the Council because [insert reasoning]. Do I have your support?” The other Councilmembers then cannot engage in any detailed discussion or follow up, but may only vote yes or no to agendizing the item.
If two of the Councilmember’s colleagues (for a total of 3 out of 5) agree to the request to have the item agendized for a more detailed discussion by Council, then the item will be added to a future agenda for fuller consideration. An additional referral outside the prioritization process is suggested perhaps once every month in Concord, but the Concord City Council usually does not provide the majority vote to agendize these additional items.

**Sunnyvale**

Of all the cities surveyed, Sunnyvale has the most structured approach for selecting, rating, and focusing on City Council priorities. “Study issues” require support from multiple councilmembers before being included in the annual priority setting, and then must go through a relatively rigorous process to rise to the top as Council priorities. And, perhaps most importantly, policy changes must go through the priority setting process to be considered. The Sunnyvale City Council’s Policy 7.3.26 Study Issues reads, in part:

> Any substantive policy change (large or relatively small) is subject to the study issues process (i.e. evaluated for ranking at the Council Study Issues Workshop).

> Policy related issues include such items as proposed ordinances, new or expanded service delivery programs, changes to existing Council policy, and/or amendments to the General Plan. Exceptions to this approach include emergency issues, and urgent policy issues that must be completed in the short term to avoid serious negative consequences to the City, subject to a majority vote of Council.

If a study issue receives the support of at least two Councilmembers, the issue will go to staff for the preparation of a study issue paper. Council-generated study issues must be submitted to staff at least three weeks ahead of the priority-setting session, with an exception for study issues raised by the public and carried by at least two Councilmembers, if the study issues hearing takes place less than three weeks before the priority setting.

At the Annual Study Issues Workshop, the Council votes whether to rank, defer, or drop study issues. If a majority votes to drop the issue, it may not return the following year; if the issue is deferred, it returns at the following year’s workshop; and if a majority votes to rank an issue, it proceeds to the ranking process. Sunnyvale’s process uses “forced ranking” for “departments” with ten or fewer issues and “choice ranking” for departments with eleven or more issues. (The meaning of “departments” and the process for determining the number of issues per department are not elucidated within the policy.) Forced ranking involves assigning a ranking to every policy within a given subset, while choice ranking only assigns a ranking to a third of policies within a given subset, with the others going unranked.

After the Council determines which study issues will be moving forward for the year based on the rankings, the City Manager advises Council of staff’s capacity for completing ranked issues. However, if the Council provides additional funding, the number of study issues addressed may be increased.

In 2022, Sunnyvale had 24 study issues (including 17 from previous years and only 7 new ones) and zero budget proposals. Although Sunnyvale does consider urgency items outside the prioritization process, this generally happens only 1 to 3 times per year and usually pertains to highly urgent items, such as gun violence.
Status Quo and Its Effects
Council currently uses a reweighted range proportional representation voting method to determine which priorities represent both a) a consensus and b) district/neighborhood concerns. This process allows Council to coalesce around a particular common area of concern; but if there is a specific neighborhood or district issue that is not addressed by Council consensus, it also allows for that district’s councilmember’s top priority to be elevated in the ratings even without broad consensus, so long as there are not multiple items designated as that councilmember’s “top” item. More information about this process can be found here. This system was established in 2016 due to the sheer amount of referrals by Council and the lack of cohesive direction on which of the 100+ referrals the City Manager should act upon.

Subsequent to this effort, Council created a “short-term referral” pool which was intended to be light-lift referrals that could be accomplished in less than 90 days. However, that designation was always intended to be determined by the City Manager, not Council, with respect to what was operationally feasible in terms of the 90 day window. The challenge with Council determining what is a short-term referral is that it is not always realistic given other duties that the staff has to attend to and inappropriate determinations can stymy work on other long term priorities if staff have to drop everything they are doing to attend to an “short-term” or “emergency” referral.

An added challenge is that the City Auditor reported in 2018 that the City of Berkeley’s Code Enforcement Unit (CEU) had insufficient capacity to enforce various Municipal Code provisions. This was due to multiple factors, including understaffing—some of which have since improved. Nevertheless, the City Auditor wrote,

“Council passes some ordinances without fully analyzing the resources needed for enforcement and without understanding current staffing capacity. In order to enforce new ordinances, the CEU must take time away from other enforcement areas. This increases the risk of significant health and safety code violations going unaddressed. It also leads to disgruntled community members who believe that the City is failing to meet its obligations. This does not suggest that the new ordinances are not of value and needed. Council passes policy to address community concerns. However, it does mean that the City Council routinely approves policy that may never result in the intended change or protections.”

Subsequent to that report, an update was published in September of 2022. A staffing and resource analysis for Code Enforcement is still needed to ensure that the laws Council passes can be implemented.

Fiscal Impacts
These reforms are likely to result in significant direct savings related to reduced staff time/overtime as well as potential decreases to costs associated with the recruitment/retention of staff.

Alternatives Considered
Alternatives were considered using effectiveness and efficiency as the evaluative criteria for referrals. One missing criterion that will be necessary in developing this process will be operational considerations so the City of Berkeley can continue to deliver basic services in an efficient manner.
All-Council determination
Council could vote as a body on the top 10 legislative priorities. The drawback of this method is that it, by default, eliminates any remaining priorities that have been passed by Council. It also eliminates “minority” voices which may disproportionately impact neighborhood-specific concerns as the remainder of the Council may not value district-specific concerns outside of their council district.

Councilmember parameters
Councilmembers could select their top two legislative priorities (as a primary author) for the year and the Mayor could select four legislative priorities for the year for a total of 10 legislative priorities per year. These “legislative priorities” would not include resolutions of support, budget referrals for infrastructure or traffic mitigations or other non-substantive policy items…..

Status Quo Sans Short-Term Referrals
The status quo of rating referrals is the fairest and most equitable if Council wishes to continue to pass the same quantity of referrals; however, it does not address the overall volume and that certain legislative items skip the prioritization queue due to popularity or perceived community support. Council enacts ordinances that fall outside of the priority setting process and designates items as short-term referrals. This loophole has made this process a bit more challenging. One potential option is to continue the prioritization process but eliminate the short-term referral option unless it is undeniably and categorically an emergency or time-sensitive issue.

Contact Person
Councilmember Lori Droste (legislative aide Eric Panzer)
erpanzer@cityofberkeley.info
Phone: 510-981-7180

Attachments
Update on Public Works’ Goals, Projects, Measures, and Challenges
November 15, 2022

To: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

From: Dee Williams-Ridley, City Manager

Re: Update on Public Works’ Goals, Projects, Measures, and Challenges

This memo shares an update on the department’s Performance Measures and FY 2023 Top Goals and Projects, and identifies the department’s highest priority challenge. I am proud of this department’s work, its efforts to align its work with City Council’s goals, and the department’s dedication to improving project and program delivery.

Performance Measures
The department’s performance measures were first placed on the department’s website (https://berkeleyca.gov/your-government/about-us/departments/public-works) in 2020. They are updated annually in April. Progress continues in preventing trash from reaching the Bay, reducing waste, increasing bike lane miles, reducing the City fleet’s reliance on gas, increasing City-owned electric chargers, expanding acres treated by green infrastructure, and reducing the sidewalk repair backlog. Challenges remain with the City’s street condition and safety.

Top Goals and Projects
Public Works’ top goals and projects are also on the department’s website (https://berkeleyca.gov/your-government/about-us/departments/public-works). Department goals are developed annually. This year, after reviewing the 130+ directives from open City Council referrals, FY 2023 adopted budget referrals, audit findings, and strategic plan projects, staff matched existing resources with City Council’s direction and the ability to deliver on this direction while ensuring continuity in baseline services.

The FY 2023 Top Goals and Projects is staff’s projection of the work that the department has the capacity to advance this fiscal year. This list is intended to be both realistic and a stretch to achieve. More than three-quarters of the work on the FY 2023 Top Goals and Projects is tied to the existing 130+ directives from City Council referrals, budget referrals, audit findings, and strategic plan projects. The remainder are initiatives internal to the department aimed at increasing effectiveness and/or improving baseline services.

Public Works conducts quarterly monitoring of progress on the goals and projects, and status updates are shared on the department’s website using a simple status reporting
procedure. Each goal or project is coded green, yellow, or red. A project coded green is either already completed or is on track and on budget. A project in yellow is at risk of being off track or over budget. A project in red either will not meet its milestone for this fiscal year or is significantly off track or off-budget. Where a project or goal has multiple sub-parts, an overall status is color-coded for the numbered goal and/or project, and exceptions within the subparts are identified by color-coding. Quarter 1’s status update is here. The 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quarter results will be posted at the same location.

Challenge
Besides the volume of direction, the most significant challenge in delivering on City Council’s directions is the department’s high vacancy rate. The Public Works Department is responsible for staff retention and serves as the hiring manager in the recruitment and selection process. Both retention and hiring contribute to the department’s vacancy rate, and the department collaborates closely with the Human Resources Department to reduce the rate. Over the last year, the vacancy rate has ranged from 12% to 18%, and some divisions, such as Equipment Maintenance (Fleet), Transportation,¹ and Engineering, have exceeded 20%. While the overall vacancy rate is lower than in Oakland and San Francisco, it is higher than in Public Works Departments in Alameda, Albany, Emeryville, and San Leandro.

The high vacancy rate obviously reduces the number of services and projects that staff can deliver. It leaves little room for new direction through the course of the fiscal year and can lead to delays and diminished quality. It also detracts from staff morale as existing staff are left to juggle multiple job responsibilities over long periods with little relief. The department’s last two annual staff surveys show that employee morale is in the lowest quarter of comparable public agencies and the vacancy rate is a key driver of morale.

Attachment 1 offers an excerpted list of programs and projects that the department is unable to complete or address in this fiscal year due to the elevated vacancy rate and/or the volume of directives.

Attachment 1: Selected list of program, project, referral, and audit finding impacts

cc: Paul Buddenhagen, Deputy City Manager
LaTanya Bellow, Deputy City Manager
Jenny Wong, City Auditor
Mark Numainville, City Clerk
Matthai Chakko, Assistant to the City Manager

¹ Three of the City’s five transportation planner positions will be vacant by December 3. Before January 1, 2023, the City Manager will share an off agenda memo that explains the impact of transportation-specific vacancies on existing projects and programs.
Attachment 1: Selected list of program, project, referral, and audit finding impacts

Project and Program Impacts
- Major infrastructure planning processes are 6+ months behind schedule, including comprehensive planning related to the City’s Zero Waste goal, bicycle, stormwater/watershed, sewer, and streetlight infrastructure.
- Some flashing beacon installations have been delayed for more than 18 months, new traffic maintenance requests can take 2+ months to resolve, and the backlog of neighborhood traffic calming requests stretches to 2019.
- The City may lose its accreditation status by the American Public Works Association because of a lack of capacity to gain re-accreditation.
- Some regular inspections and enforcement of traffic control plans for the City’s and others’ work in the right of way are missed.
- Residents experience missed waste and compost pickups as drivers and workers cover unfamiliar routes and temporary assignments.
- Illegal dumping, ongoing encampment, and RV-related cleanups are sometimes missed or delayed.
- The backlog of parking citation appeals has increased.
- Invoice and contracting approvals can face months-long delays.
- The Janitorial Unit has reduced service levels and increased complaints.
- Maintenance of the City’s fleet has declined, with preventative maintenance happening infrequently, longer repair response times, and key vehicles being unavailable during significant weather events.

Prior Direction Deferred or Delayed
- Referral: Expansion of Paid Parking (DMND0003994)
- Referral: Long-Term Zero Waste Strategy (DMND0001282)
- Referral: Residential Permit Parking (PRJ0016358)
- Referral: Parking Benefits District at Marina (DMND0003997)
- Referral: Prioritizing pedestrians at intersections (DMND0002584)
- Referral: Parking Districts on Lorin and Gilman (DMND0003998)
- Budget Referral: Durant/Telegraph Plaza, 12/14/2021
- Referral: Traffic Calming Policy Revision (PRJ0012444)
- Referral: Public Realm Pedestrianization Opportunities (PRJ0019832)
- Referral: Long-Term Resurfacing Plan (PRJ0033877)
- Referral: Street Sweeping Improvement Plan (DMND0002583)
There is no material for this item.

City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-6900

City of Berkeley City Council Agenda Index Webpage:
There is no material for this item.

City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-6900

City of Berkeley City Council Agenda Index Webpage:
No Material Available for this Item

There is no material for this item.

City Clerk Department
2180 Milvia Street
Berkeley, CA 94704
(510) 981-6900

City of Berkeley City Council Agenda Index Webpage: