



Fair Campaign Practices Commission  
Open Government Commission

## **CONCURRENT REGULAR MEETING OF THE FAIR CAMPAIGN PRACTICES COMMISSION AND THE OPEN GOVERNMENT COMMISSION**

### **MEETING AGENDA**

**June 18, 2026**

**6:30 p.m.**

**2180 Milvia St., Berkeley, CA 94704**

**1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Cypress Room**

This meeting is being held in a wheelchair accessible location. To request a disability-related accommodation(s) to participate in the meeting, including auxiliary aids or services, please contact the Disability Services specialist at 981-6418 (V) or 981-6347 (TDD) at least three business days before the meeting date. Please refrain from wearing scented products to this meeting.

A live broadcast of this meeting will be available at:

<https://cityofberkeley-info.zoomgov.com/j/1614055306>

Public comment will be taken in-person and virtually through the posted link.

Secretary: Lauren Packard

### **The Commission may act on any item on this agenda**

1. Call to Order 6:30 p.m.
2. Roll Call.
3. Land Acknowledgement: The City of Berkeley recognizes that the community we live in was built on the territory of xučyun (Huchiu (Hooch-yoon)), the ancestral and unceded land of the Chochenyo (Cho-chen-yo)-speaking Ohlone (Oh-low-nee) people, the ancestors and descendants of the sovereign Verona Band of Alameda County. This land was and continues to be of great importance to all of the Ohlone Tribes and descendants of the Verona Band. As we begin our meeting tonight, we acknowledge and honor the original inhabitants of Berkeley, the documented 5,000-year history of a vibrant community at the West Berkeley Shellmound, and the Ohlone people who continue to reside in the East Bay. We recognize that Berkeley's residents have and continue to benefit from the use and occupation of this unceded stolen land since the City of Berkeley's incorporation in 1878. As stewards of the laws regulating the City of Berkeley, it is not only vital that we recognize the history of this land, but also recognize that the Ohlone people are present members of Berkeley and other East Bay communities today. The City of Berkeley will continue to build relationships with the Lisjan Tribe and to create meaningful actions that uphold the intention of this land acknowledgement.

4. Approval of agenda.
5. Public Comment. *Comments on subjects not on the agenda that are within the Commissions' purview are heard at the beginning of meeting. Speakers may comment on agenda items when the Commission hears those items.*
6. Approval of minutes for May 21, 2025 FCPC-OGC Regular Meeting.

**Fair Campaign Practices Commission (FCPC) Agenda**

7. Reports
  - a. Report from Chair
  - b. Report from Staff
8. Review of City of Berkeley elections website, including accessibility of Netfile public portal; discussion and possible action.

**Open Government Commission (OGC) Agenda**

9. Reports.
  - a. Report from Chair
  - b. Report from Staff
10. Complaint alleging violation of the Ralph M. Brown Act by the City Clerk; discussion and possible action.
11. Public access to hybrid meetings, including progress update on North Berkeley Senior Center, policy discussion of topics raised by the public regarding access for persons with temporary or permanent disabilities, handling of written public comment, and the availability of live transcription and recordings; discussion and possible action.
12. Review and revision of Open Government Ordinance; discussion and possible action.
13. City Council policies for items on consent calendar, including practice of placing consent items on agenda that are not going to pass unanimously; discussion and possible action.
14. Announcements
15. Adjournment

### **Information Items**

16. Public Financing Candidate Certifications:
- a. Syrak Micael
  - b. Jennifer Shanoski
  - c. Igor Tregub

### **Communications**

- None

Communications to Berkeley boards, commissions or committees are public record and will become part of the City's electronic records, which are accessible through the City's website. **Please note: e-mail addresses, names, addresses, and other contact information are not required, but if included in any communication to a City board, commission or committee, will become part of the public record.** If you do not want your e-mail address or any other contact information to be made public, you may deliver communications via U.S. Postal Service or in person to the secretary of the relevant board, commission or committee. If you do not want your contact information included in the public record, please do not include that information in your communication. Please contact the secretary to the relevant board, commission or committee for further information. **SB 343 Disclaimer:** Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Commission regarding any item on this agenda will be made available for public inspection at the City Attorney's Office at 2180 Milvia St., 4<sup>th</sup> Fl., Berkeley, CA.



Fair Campaign Practices Commission  
Open Government Commission

**DRAFT MINUTES**  
May 21, 2026

**CONCURRENT REGULAR MEETING OF THE FAIR CAMPAIGN PRACTICES  
COMMISSION AND THE OPEN GOVERNMENT COMMISSION**

Civic Center  
2180 Milvia St.  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
Cypress Room

Secretaries:  
Samuel Harvey  
Stephen Hylas  
Lauren Packard

Members Present: Pajouablai Monica Lee, Janis Ching, Kitt Saginor, Rithwik  
Sudharsan, Andrew Lazarus, Kyle Haugh

Also Present: Sam Harvey, Staff Secretary  
Mark Numainville, City Clerk

1. **Call to Order**

Chair called the meeting to order at 6:30 pm.

2. **Roll Call**

Roll call taken

3. **Land Acknowledgment**

4. **Approval of Agenda**

- a. Public comment: None
- b. Commission discussion and action

*Motion to approve agenda.* (M/S/C: Saginor/Ching; Ayes: Lee, Ching, Saginor,  
Sudharsan, Lazarus, Haugh; Noes: None; Absent: Shere)

FCPC / OGC Minutes  
 May 21, 2026  
 Page 2

5. **Public Comment**

No speakers.

6. **Approval of minutes for February 19, 2026 FCPC-OGC Regular Meeting**

- a. Public comment: none
- b. Commission discussion and action

*Motion to approve the minutes for April 26, 2026 with revisions to Item 11. (FCPC-OGC Regular Meeting (M/S/C: Sudharsan/Ching; Ayes: Lee, Ching, Saginor, Sudharsan, Lazarus, Haugh; Noes: None; Absent: Shere)*

**Fair Campaign Practices Commission (FCPC) Agenda**

7. **Reports**

- a. Report from Chair
- b. Report from Staff

8. **Report from City Clerk on proposed Charter amendment regarding elections administration procedures and other topics**

- a. Public comment: none
- b. Commission discussion

9. **Review and Revision of FCPC Regulations**

- a. Public comment: none
- b. Commission discussion and action

*Motion to approve proposed amendments to FCPC regulations. (M/S/C: Lee/Sudharsan; Ayes: Lee, Ching, Saginor, Sudharsan, Lazarus, Haugh; Noes: None; Absent: Shere)*

10. **Review of City of Berkeley elections website, including accessibility of Netfile public portal**

- a. Public comment: none.
- b. Commission discussion.

FCPC / OGC Minutes  
 May 21, 2026  
 Page 3

**Open Government Commission (OGC) Agenda**

11. Reports.
  - a. Report from Chair
  - b. Report from Staff
  
12. **Public access to hybrid meetings, including policy discussion of topics raised by the public regarding access for persons with temporary or permanent disabilities, handling of written public comment, and the availability of live transcription and recordings**
  - a. Public comment: none
  - b. Commission discussion
  
13. **Public access to supplemental materials and amendments at City Council meetings, including the timing of presentation of such materials by the Mayor or City Councilmembers, such that the public may comment on them**
  - a. Public comment: none.
  - b. Commission discussion and action

*Motion to approve draft agenda item and submit to City Council (M/S/C: Saginor/Lee; Ayes: Lee, Ching, Saginor, Sudharsan, Lazarus, Haugh; Noes: None; Absent: Shere)*

14. **Review and revision of Open Government Ordinance**

Continued to future meeting.
  
15. **City Council policies for items on Consent Calendar, including practice of placing consent items on agenda that are not going to pass unanimously**

Continued to future meeting.
  
16. **Adjournment**

*Motion to adjourn (M/S/C: Saginor/Ching; Ayes: Lee, Ching, Saginor, Sudharsan, Lazarus, Haugh; Noes: None; Absent: Shere)*

*DRAFT*

To: Mark Numainville, City Clerk  
From: Kyle Haugh, Chair, Fair Campaign Practices Commission  
Subject: NetFile Public Access Portal

Clerk Numainville:

On behalf of the Fair Campaign Practices Commission (“FCPC”), I’m writing regarding the ease of locating the website link to the NetFile Public Access Portal on the City of Berkeley Elections Page.

Several FCPC commissioners have noted that the Elections tab in the Public Access Portal provides a useful way to see who has filed to run in an upcoming election and what ballot initiatives have been filed. But we worry that members of the public who are looking for information on candidates and ballot initiatives in an election may not know about or know how to find this helpful tool.

Presently, the link may be accessed by going to the Elections page (<https://berkeleyca.gov/your-government/elections>), clicking the Campaign Finance box, and scrolling to the bottom of the Campaign Finance page (<https://berkeleyca.gov/your-government/elections/campaign-finance>). There, the Public Access Portal is linked and described as a way to “view campaign reports online.” But members of the public who are interested in learning who is running and what ballot initiatives have been filed, but who may not be looking specifically for the details of each campaign’s finance reports, may not know to look on this Campaign Finance page.

We wonder if it would be feasible to create a new box on the Elections page labeled, e.g., “Candidate and Ballot Initiative Filings,” with a descriptor such as, “See who has filed as a candidate and what ballot initiatives have been filed in upcoming and past elections.” The proposed box could be placed, for example, below the Ballot Measures box:

*DRAFT*

**Elections**

Find information to help you vote in local elections, become a candidate for elected office, circulate a petition, and run your campaign following local election laws.

The City of Berkeley holds general municipal elections every two years. Special elections may be held as permitted by the Berkeley City Charter. Read our Election Calendar for a detailed timeline of the [November 2026 \(General\)](#) election processes.

**BALLOT MEASURES**  
Discover the process of voting for measures to change city charter and laws

**CANDIDATE INFORMATION**  
Learn about becoming a candidate for an elected office in Berkeley

**CAMPAIGN FINANCE**  
Review campaign contributions and expenditures

**Your Government**


- About Us
- Boards & Commissions
- City Council
- Elections**
  - Candidate Information
  - Local Petition Guidelines and Regulations
  - Campaign Finance
  - Mass Mailings
  - Ballot Measures
  - Voting Information
- Financial Information
- Jobs
- Our Work
- Public Records
- City Holidays
- Lobbyist Registration
- City Audits

That box could take members of the public to a new landing page for Candidate and Ballot Initiative Filings that explains that this information can be viewed by clicking on the Netfile link (<https://netfile.com/agency/brk/>), navigating to the Public Access Portal link (<https://netfile.com/public/BRK/campaign>) rather than the Filer Access Portal link, and clicking the Elections tab to view the relevant candidates, initiatives, and filings for upcoming and past elections.

If something along these lines would be feasible, we think the change would greatly improve public access to this important tool. We would welcome the opportunity to discuss this proposed website revision with you at an upcoming FCPC meeting.

Sincerely,  
s/ *DRAFT*

Kyle Haugh  
Chair, Fair Campaign Practices Commission

**Open Government Commission**

Date: June 18, 2026  
To: Open Government Commission  
From: Samuel Harvey, Secretary  
Subject: Complaint from Andrew Marowitz Alleging Violations of the Brown Act by the City Clerk


On May 25, 2026, Commission staff received the attached complaint from complainant Andrew Marowitz stating that the City Clerk has violated the Ralph M. Brown Act through the handling of a Housing Advisory Commission (“HAC”) appeal.

The Commission has authority to “hear complaints by any person concerning alleged non-compliance with [the Open Government] Ordinance, the Brown Act, the Public Records Act, or the Lobbyist Registration Act, by the City or any of its legislative bodies, elected or appointed officials, officers or employees.” (BMC § 2.06.190.A.1.a.)

Staff recommend that the Commission direct the Secretary to review and evaluate the complaint and return at a future meeting with a report and recommendation for any further action by the Commission consistent with the authority granted to the Commission in Berkeley Municipal Code section 2.06.190.

**Attachments:**

1. Complaint and attachments



Open Government Commission

### Complaint of Noncompliance

#### Open Government Ordinance (“OGO”) - Brown Act - Public Records Act - Lobbyist Registration Act

Name: Andrew Marowitz

Date: May 23rd, 2026

Mailing Address/  
Contact Info: [REDACTED]

Identify the area of noncompliance (check all that apply):

- OGO
- Brown Act
- Public Records Act
- Lobbyist Registration Act

Describe the act(s) of noncompliance. (Attach additional page if more space is needed.)

Due to the fact that the City Clerk, Mr. Mark Numainville is apparently the go-between of all City Departments , Mr.. Numainville has improperly engaged in

SEE attachment page

---



---



---

List the date(s) on which the noncompliance occurred.

\_\_\_\_\_

Describe any steps taken to address the noncompliance directly with City of Berkeley staff and/or elected official, including the name of any staff person involved, if known.

SEE attachment page

---



---

**Documents:**

Attach any written requests or complaints submitted to the City and any responses received. You should also attach any additional information that you believe will assist the Commission and staff in reviewing your complaint.

Complaints must be submitted to the Secretary of the Commission no less than 14 days prior to the Commission meeting at which it will be considered. Complaints may be submitted via email to [FCPC@berkeleyca.gov](mailto:FCPC@berkeleyca.gov)

Attachment to Complaint of Non-Compliance in violation of  
the BROWN ACT

Describe the acts of non-compliance-

The City Clerk, Mr. Mark Numainville , bbeing the go-between of all departments of the City of Berkeley, has arguably violated the Brown Act, by engaging in contact behind the scenes with Mr. David Lopez, Building Official, as well as Deputy City Attorney, Ashley Madugu, all in an effort to seemingly devise schemes to undermine my Appeal to City Council.

First, Mr Numainville , instead of forwarding my Appeal to City Council, as he stated in email that he , in fact, never forwarded my Appeal to City Council, but instead, he sent my Appeal to Mr. Lopez, who then engaged with me by email, saying he would process my Appeal, when he had already stated his position in the HAC hearing. Mr. Numainville stated that he provided Mr. Lopez with my Appeal because he was authorized to do so, based on a Planning Dept rule. Later, he would not address the fact that he never forwarded my Appeal to City Council, and that he could send my Appeal paperwork to any Department he wants, and that he was not in contact with Mr. Lopez, once I began to warn him of Brown Act violations. I strongly believe that he was in frequent consultation with the Deputy City Attorney,, who then was frequently being consulting Mr. Numainville. He was then advised to say that there was no rule that prevented him from discussing and sending paperwork to the Building Official.

Mr. Numainville refuses to speak or email regarding my earlier/ prior/ original Appeal to City Council. Instead, he has claimed that he, alone, has scheduled a City Council Appeal for June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2026, with paperwork to be provided to him by May 29<sup>th</sup>, 2026.

However, this is knowingly disregarding any and all efforts to process my Appeal from the originally prepared and intended Appeal after the HAC decision was issued. Mr. Numainville refuses to make any comment about this original Appeal, only that the Appeal is Scheduled for June 9<sup>th</sup>.

The facts are that Mr. Numainville had previously confirmed that he forwarded the Appeal to City Council nearly two months earlier. Yet it was never scheduled.

The rules for Appealing the HAC are set forth in BMC 23.410 et seq, and I adhered to those rules. However, Mr. Lopez engaged me despite those rules. The rules clearly state that he should not engage in any effort with City Council or myself, unless and until City Council consults the Building Official, and that was not possible, since they never received any documentation of the Appeal to City Council.

Further, the HAC engaged in behind the scenes communications with Galadreil Burr, and Ms. Ashley Madugu, in connection with drafting the content of the decision. The meeting for this decision was coordinated by Mike Uberti, HAC secretary.

Mr. Numainville has been caught lying by me, as he makes effort to avoid violating the Brown Act, which he had no concern of, until I made reference to the Brown Act.

Mr. Numainville, or whoever instructed him to schedule the June 9<sup>th</sup> Appeal totally disregarded the earlier/ original Appeal, and the required rules and timelines of that original Appeal. Mr. Numainville was originally confirming the rules of that Appeal process and the timelines. Now, Mr. Numainville is completely and totally evasive about the original Appeal. He is a liar and refuses to respond to my questions about the original Appeal. I even challenged him to call me a liar, and if not, he is inferring that he is the liar. Much of this is documented by way of emails exchanged. Further, I submitted my original Appeal to the City clerk, by way of the Clerk's assistant, who time stamped my copy. This is yet additional confirmation of this falsification of the Original Appeal and Mr. Numainville's refusal to acknowledge it. And, as usual, the City Attorney will advocate and represent Mr. Numainville, regardless of his wrongdoing, thereby making the City Attorney's office a unethical advisor, because the City Attorney doesn't care about right and wrong, only trying to invalidate my claims, making them a part of this collaborative /conspiratorial effort.

The fact of the matter is that, as the City Attorney knows perfectly well, ongoing collaboration is standard and typical amongst City Agencies and Departments, and the City Attorney. It is the city staff working and communicating together on behalf of the City of Berkeley.

And to the Appellant, this conduct is dishonest, repugnant, reprehensible, and despicable. The government is supposed to be honest and ethical. BUT I NOW view them as no better than crooks and con artists.

NOW, I cannot trust the City Clerk for one speck. I suspect that he has destroyed/ disposed of the Appeal paperwork from the original Appeal,

thereby attempting to have no documentation of the original Appeal. His copy/ the City Council's copy was also time stamped.

The fact that these rules and timelines are set up and strict is yet another effort to avoid liability, accountability, and weapons to invalidate such claims and liability. Government is no different than a crook and a con artist, when put to task.

At this moment, I cannot recall the specific dates of events, especially when the City Attorney will make any and every effort to defeat this claim, based on dates. As well as any other tactic.

I made every effort to comply with the original Appeal, the timing, the process. BUT, the City Clerk, ie, the go between has been making every effort to avoid the Appeal, the timing, and the truth.

Absolutely disgusting. All of the involved parties should be terminated for cause, BUT none of these people will be terminated, because they are all one and the same serving the City of Berkeley. Disgraceful.

Andrew Marowitz Appellant

SUPPLEMENTAL ADDENDUM OF COMPLAINT BY ANDREW MAROWITZ  
OF FACTS AND CONDUCT OF VIOLATIONS BY CITY OF BERKELEY STAFF  
OF THE BROWN ACT

After further and additional thought, It has occurred to me that I didn't include the arguable motivation by City Staff, specifically Mr. David Lopez, Building Official, and Mr. Numainville, City Clerk, that led to a reasonable if not certain set of conduct and decision making that led to this complaint BY EEE Appellant, Andrew Marowitz.

This conduct began out of Mr. Lopez, Building Official, who was provided a copy of the paperwork of the Original EEE Appeal, intended to be forwarded to City Council, but instead, was forwarded by Mr. Numainville to Mr. Lopez.

Upon Mr. Lopez receiving the paperwork, intended to be forwarded to City Council, Mr. Lopez was motivated to interfere, be involved, and eliminate the Original Appeal from being processed by Mr. Numainville, who has since been completely evasive and non responsive about the Original Appeal processing.

**The reason Mr. Lopez has completely interfered with the EEE Appeal is rooted in what I wrote in the Original Appeal.**

**In the Appeal Paerwork,** I introduced that the basis for the Exterior Elevated Elements Compliance Mandate and burden on property owners was the 2008 Balcony Collapse at 2020 Kittridge, and the cause of that balcony collapse.

After significant research, I discovered that THAT balcony collapse was due, not to wood-rot as was argued by the Building Dept, but by the excessive weight and number of people on that deck, nearly 3000 pounds and at least 12 people, if not 15 or more people, ongoing for hours at a party atmosphere. And that the decision was made by the Planning and Building Departments, and probably even higher, to blame the developer, not the party goers, because of the hugely bad

and long ongoing public relations of the grieving families filing a lawsuit that would go on for years.

The decision was made, and the Building Dept/ Building Official would blame wood rot, and share a multi million dollar settlement to put the no-win scenario to rest quietly and quickly.

The Building Inspectors allegedly falsified the evidence from the investigations, eliminated the photographs, except the ones that would justify a conclusion of wood-rot, including fake /doctored photos, allegedly, and from that set of false evidence, City Council in 2008 reacted with the underlying objective that such a tragedy could not ever happen again.

So, the then City Council delegated the then Building Official to formulate the beginnings of the EEE Mandated Inspection law and protocol.

In doing so, it took several years of opposition by public outcry by property owners.

However, within that 5-6 years of blaming the developer for wood rot, false photos, false narrative, even if the narrative was fabricated by the Building Official, the argued wood rot- if true, should have been blamed on the Planning and Building Departments, since the Planning Department approved the plans, and the Building Department signed off on the final inspection of all of the balconies, including the subject balcony. And still further, the RHSP inspector had inspected the subject balcony and signed off on that balcony only one year earlier(2007). Another component that makes the Planning and Building Departments subject to yet more scrutiny is the fact that BEFORE, OR AFTER the balcony collapse, there has never been a Building or Planning Code Section that requires Pressure Treated lumber or naturally rot resistant lumber as supporting beams for balconies or decks, even to this day. That subject balcony was never built to withstand hours of stress by a constantly moving load of 3000 pounds of people, partying.

And the evolution of the EEE Inspection mandate led to burdening the property owners completely for all costs and burdens of the EEE Inspection mandate,

amounting to at minimum \$1500 every six years( originally every three years prior to the associated lawsuit), and as much as \$10-25000 if balconies, decks , porches, railings, stairs were , in any way structurally deficient. AND , the City of Berkeley , by way of the Building Department would generate millions and millions of dollars in revenue ( ill-gotten-gains).

The Building Department turned a fraud of a narrative and fraud of photos, into a massive revenue generating win for decades.

And City Councils of present day do not want to re-open this scenario. They do not want to open old wounds. They don't want to know the truth.

As such, when I, Mr Marowitz submitted this EEE Appeal paperwork to Mr. Numainville, the City Clerk , adhering to the timing of Appealing an HAC decision, Mr. Numainville sendt this paperwork, not to City Council, but to Mr. Lopez, who reviewed the paperwork, the allegations of fraud, the photos that Mr. Marowitz discovered, the false narrative by the Building and Planning Departments, and engaged in damage control. Mr. Lopez sent me/Marowitz an email stating that he would address my Appeal, applying his normal protocols of Appeal , as the Building Official.

Mr. Numainville, presumably at the instruction of Mr. Lopez, never forwarded the Appeal to City Council, though confirming to Mr. Marowitz that he did forward the Appeal to City Council. He also allegedly destroyed Mr. Marowitz' Appeal paperwork, as NOW confirmed due to Mr. Numainville refusing to respond to an email by Marowitz asking if the City Clerk still had the Appeal paperwork from the Original Appeal; that if Mr. Numainville refused to respond, then it was an admission that the paperwork was destroyed/ thrown out, and that Mr. Numainville was a fraud by omission, a liar, and if he refused to declare me/Marowitz a liar, then Mr. Numainville was the liar by inference. This email was sent to Mr. Numainville on May 25<sup>th</sup>,2026. Mr. Numainville refused to respond.

FURTHER, Mr. Numainville , in scheduling the Second EEE Appeal for June 9<sup>th</sup>, with paperwork and staff report timeline on May 29<sup>th</sup>, drafted exactly as Mr.

Lopez would have done, had C.C.'ed the City Attorney, the Planning Director, and the City Manager. BUT, for some inexplicable reason, omitted C.C'ing the Building Official, exactly how Mr. Lopez would have done to avoid a speck of accountability. Mr. Numainville, City Clerk was allegedly instructed by Mr. Lopez to claim that he had drafted the scheduling letter by himself ( to avoid my new claims of violating the Brown Act, in tandem with Mr. Lopez) and with presumable legal instructions by the City Attorney's office/ Dep. City Attorney,

BUT, when I asked Mr. Numainville WHY he would omit C.C.'ing the Building Official, Mr. Lopez, when Mr. Numainville had first sent the Appeal papers to Mr. Lopez in the first place, Mr. Numainville refused to respond, AGAIN.

All of these communications were by email letters, and provide a documented paper trail, including the email by Mr. Numainville in which he CONFIRMED forwarding my Appeal to City Council of the ORIGINAL APPEAL.

Mr. Numainville NOW insists on refusing to provide any responses acknowledging the Original Appeal, and simply stated that my Appeal was/ is scheduled for June 9<sup>th</sup>,2026, and paperwork is due on or before May 29<sup>th</sup>,2026.

A problem exists in that 1) I do not trust Mr. Numainville one iota any more. 2) I expect that Mr. Numainville will delay providing the paperwork of BOTH Marowitz AND the staff report, arguably prepared by Mr. Lopez, but signed by the City Clerk, I suppose, until such time, as the staff report/ Mr. Lopez has an opportunity to review and augment the staff report to be responsive to Mr. Marowitz' SECOND set of Appeal Paperwork. THIS IS HOW MUCH CROOKEDNESS AND CON ARTISTRY IS BEING APPLIED BY, ARGUABLY Mr. Lopez, Building Official who has complete and total discretion to interpret, to control others, to be protected by Qualified Immunity to avoid responsibility and/ or accountability.

Mr. Lopez should be terminated for cause, but likely will not, as the City of Berkeley victimizes the citizens, not its own employees. Mr. Numainville is almost as corrupt as Mr. Lopez in this scenario.

This added set of facts of the violations of the Brown Act provide Motive, Means, Opportunity, Sufficient Facts and Background to be MORE THAN MERE SPECULATION, but LIKELIHOOD, IF NOT OUTRIGHT CERTAINTY.

If City Council has any integrity, it will take this under submission, fully investigate, and take definitive action, such as creating hundreds of laws to check the powers of government, and hold government strictly accountable, such that this type of back room dealing amongst City Staff can never happen again, and that the City Clerk is no longer the hub of communication amongst all departments.

BUT, I expect that City Council will do what it has done for 50 years or more, to allow City Staff to engage in hidden back room communications and dealings, to support government , rather than the citizens, to allow government to make the rules , not the citizens, the citizens that voted City Council into office, then victimize the citizens, once in office.

Very Truly YOURS, City Council, Andrew Marowitz 510-356-6813

BERKELEY COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS ACCESSIBILITY INFORMATION ONLINE as of May 11, 2026						
Commission/Board	Date	Hybrid?	Recordings posted?	Location	Notes	
Board of Library Trustees	4/15/26	-	MP3	THP South Brance (West Branch) Libr		
Civic Arts Comm	4/22	-	-	THP South Branch Libr	subcomm is virtual on zoom	
Comm on Aging	4/15	-	-	NB Senior Center		
Comm on Disability	5/13	-	-	NB Senior Center	Remote participation is available, if needed as an ADA accommodation.	
Comm on Labor	5/20	-	-	SB Senior Center		
Comm on Status of Women	3/18	-	-	NB Senior Center	(April meeting was cancelled)	
Community Health Comm	4/23	-	-	SB Senior Center		
Design Review Committee	1/15	zoom	-	SB Senior Center	(usually at NBSC)	
Disaster & Fire Safety Comm	4/22	-	-	Berk Fire Dept Training Classroom		
Elmwood BIDA Board	2/6			2947 College		
Environment & Climate Comm	4/22	zoom	-	SB Senior Center	(usually at NBSC)	
Fair Campaign Practices Comm	4/16	zoom	videorecording	Cypress Room	quasi-judicial - videorecording & minutes not yet posted for March & April	
Homeless Services Panel	5/6	-	-	NB Senior Center		
Housing Advisory Comm	5/2	zoom	-	SB Senior Center	quasi-judicial 2/5 was first meeting with zoom	
Independent Redistricting Comm	3/16	zoom	videorecording	virtual meeting		
Human Welfare and Community Action Comm	4/22	zoom	-	Cypress Room	3/18 was first meeting with zoom	
Landmarks Preservation Commission	5/2	zoom	-	NB Senior Center	5/2 was first meeting with zoom	
Loan Administration Board					No posts since 2024	
Mental Health Commission	4/23	zoom	-	NB Senior Center		

BERKELEY COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS ACCESSIBILITY INFORMATION ONLINE as of May 11, 2026						
Commission/Board	Date	Hybrid?	Recordings posted?	Location	Notes	
Open Government Commission	4/16	zoom	videorecording	Cypress Room	videorecording & minutes not yet posted for March & April	
Parks, Recreation, and Waterfront Commission	4/8	-	-	Frances Albrier	this meeting was at Willard	
Peace and Justice Commission	5/4	-	-	SB Senior Center		
Personnel Board	5/4	zoom	videorecording	Cypress Room	2/2 was first meeting with zoom, 4/6 was first meeting for which videorecording is posted	
Planning Commission	5/6	zoom	-	NB Senior Center		
Policy Accountability Board	5/6	zoom	videorecording	Addison Street		
Reimagining Public Safety Task Force				Only in person, says to contact secretary.	No posts since 2022	
Safe Streets Citizen Oversight Committee (SSOC)	4/22			SB Senior Center	usually meets at NBSC, May meeting canceled	
Solano Avenue BID Advisory Board	3/17	-	-	Solano Oriental Rug Gallery		
Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Product Panel of Experts	4/16	-	-	West Berkeley Family Wellness Center		
Transportation and Infrastructure Commission	4/16	-	-	SB Senior Center	(usually at NBSC)	
Wildland Urban Interface Vegetation Code Workgroup	-	-	-	Varies	12/24/25 last meeting listed	
Youth Commission	4/13	-	-	MLK Youth Center		
Zero Waste Commission	4/15	-	-	Ratcliff Bldg		
Zoning Adjustments Board	2/26	zoom	granicus video	BUSD Board Room		

**Review and possible recommendations for revisions to the Open Government Ordinance***(black text: existing language, red text: new language, blue text: notes)**Deadline for the broadcast and archival of all commission meetings*

---

**2.06.100 Broadcast of meetings.**

A. All regular and special meetings of the City Council, Redevelopment Agency, Rent Stabilization Board, and Zoning Adjustments Board, when held in the venue regularly used, shall be recorded, televised and video-streamed live as well as archived for replay. The live broadcasts **and archived recordings** shall be captioned. **The archived recordings shall be posted on the relevant website no later than one week after each meeting is completed.**

~~B. It is the intent of the City to broadcast the meetings of the Planning Commission, Landmarks Preservation Commission, and Housing Advisory Commission, in the same manner as specified in paragraph A, as City resources become available.~~

**B. No later than July 1, 2027, all meetings of the Planning Commission, Landmarks Preservation Commission, and Housing Advisory Commission shall be regularly broadcast and archived in the same manner as specified in paragraph A. No later than July 1, 2028, this requirement shall apply to all boards and commissions.**

*(Notes: July 1 is the fiscal year start date for the city, and a new budget is proposed every 2 years. A new budget will be adopted in July 2026, and then in July 2028, but mid-cycle revisions can happen. We could contact the city manager to try and change the proposed budget before it goes through in June 2026. Alternatively, we can consider a 2029 deadline for all commissions).*

**C. The City shall procure and maintain the necessary equipment and venues to support the regular broadcast and archival requirements of paragraphs A and B.**

**DG. The broadcast requirements shall not apply if necessary equipment malfunctions or if a public meeting is changed to a location that does not have the technological capacity to accommodate the broadcasting needs. This paragraph shall not be construed to excuse the City's obligations under paragraph C.**

---

*Requirement for California Public Records Act requests to be made accessible by all*

---

**2.06.180      Posting of documents.**

All documents submitted to the City Council, including but not limited to, the Agenda and Agenda Packet, communications, and any documents submitted at a meeting of that body, shall be available through the City's website no later than the close of business the following business day after the meeting for which the documents were submitted.

Any electronic communications made to a requester regarding any Public Records Act requests, including status updates, metadata, or documents produced, shall be made freely available to the public via an online portal within a ten-day period after such communications were originally produced.

(Notes: I believe the City of Berkeley already does this via the NextRequest portal, but this inscribes the requirement into law. We could also consider a different timeframe: seven days, fourteen days, or "reasonable timeframe.")

---

*Prohibition on Ephemeral Messaging Features for Public Business*

---

**Section 1. Section 2.06.020 is amended to add the following definitions:**

I. “Public Business” means any matter relating to the conduct of the City's affairs.

J. “Ephemeral Messaging Features” means any setting, function, or feature of an electronic communications application or service that causes a message, or any portion of a message, to be automatically deleted, hidden, or rendered inaccessible after a defined period or upon a defined event, before the applicable records retention period has elapsed.

K. “Personal Account or Device” means any electronic account, device, application, or service that is not issued, owned, or controlled by the City.

**Section 2. A new Section 2.06.185 is added to Article IV, to read:**

2.06.185 Electronic communications concerning Public Business.

A. No member of any Legislative Body, and no elected or appointed official, officer, employee, or contractor of the City shall use for Public Business any app, software, or other technology that prevents the maintaining or preserving any electronic communication (e.g. Ephemeral Messaging Features), whether on a City-issued account or device or on a Personal Account or Device.

B. Any electronic communication concerning Public Business sent or received on a Personal Account or Device is subject to the City's applicable records retention schedule and to disclosure under the Public Records Act on the same terms as a communication conducted on a City-issued account or device.

C. Each person subject to subsection A shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that all electronic communications concerning Public Business sent or received on a Personal Account or Device are retained and stored in a manner that keeps them accessible for the duration of the applicable retention period, regardless of whether such communications would be subject to an exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Upon receipt of a valid request under the Public Records Act seeking such communications, each such person shall search their Personal Accounts and Devices for responsive communications and shall produce all responsive communications, except those subject to a valid exemption under the Public Records Act.

(Notes: Should volunteers or commissioners be covered? Contractors?

Should Signal be allowed if ephemeral messaging feature is turned off?

We may consider a stronger version, which would require the use of city devices for all communications when such a device is available, and require forwarding communications from personal devices to a city IT system otherwise, similar to 44 U.S.C. §2911 -- see below).

---

*Alternative Option: Prohibition on Ephemeral Messaging Features for Public Business and Forwarding Requirement for Usage of Personal Devices or Accounts for Public Business*

---

**Section 1. Section 2.06.020 is amended to add the following definitions:**

I. "Public Business" means any matter relating to the conduct of the City's affairs.

J. "Ephemeral Messaging Features" means any setting, function, or feature of an electronic communications application or service that causes a message, or any portion of a message, to be automatically deleted, hidden, or rendered inaccessible after a defined period or upon a defined event, before the applicable records retention period has elapsed.

K. "Personal Account or Device" means any electronic account, device, application, or service that is not issued, owned, or controlled by the City.

**Section 2. A new Section 2.06.185 is added to Article IV, to read:**

2.06.185 Electronic communications concerning Public Business.

A. No member of any Legislative Body, and no elected or appointed official, officer, employee, or contractor of the City shall use for Public Business:

1. any app, software, or other technology that prevents the maintaining or preserving any written electronic communication (e.g. Ephemeral Messaging Features), whether on a City-issued account or device or on a Personal Account or Device.

2. a nonofficial electronic messaging system unless the official or employee sends a copy of all sent and received communications concerning public business to an official electronic messaging system within 20 days of the original sending or receiving of the communication.

---

## Background

The Information in "[How Telegram Offers Way Around Public Records Laws](#)" revealed that members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors were using Telegram to conduct city business. Telegram's "Secret Chat" feature, which includes a self-destruct timer for messages, was cited as a primary reason for the app's popularity among officials: one official said they were encouraged to use Telegram by colleagues in City Hall who described it as a way to skirt the city's public records laws. Supervisors were observed appearing "active" on the app throughout the day, often hourly, on their private phones.

California law treats public business communications on personal accounts as public records (City of San Jose v. Superior Court, 2017), but does not prohibit officials from using applications whose default behavior is to destroy those records before any member of the public can request them. Once a message is auto-deleted, no enforcement mechanism can recover it. Berkeley residents have shown interest in this category of communication. As of May 7, 2026, a search of the City of Berkeley's NextRequest portal returns more than 50 California Public Records Act requests referencing WhatsApp or other platforms that support self-deleting messages.

After (City of San Jose v. Superior Court, 2017), State Senator Dave Cortese authored CA SB 908: "An elected or appointed official or employee of a public agency shall not create or send a public record using a nonofficial electronic messaging system unless the official or employee sends a copy of the public record to an official electronic messaging system within 20 days of the original creation or sending of the public record." SB 908 died in 2024. **Note that this requirement is stronger than the one I proposed, which simply requires preservation of records, even if they are stored outside of city IT systems.**

This bill was likely inspired from the existing federal requirement under the "Presidential and Federal Records Act Amendments of 2014:"

### **44 U.S.C. §2911. Disclosure requirement for official business conducted using non-official electronic messaging accounts**

- (a) An officer or employee of an executive agency may not create or send a record using a non-official electronic messaging account unless such officer or employee
- (1) copies an official electronic messaging account of the officer or employee in the original creation or transmission of the record; or
  - (2) forwards a complete copy of the record to an official electronic messaging account of the officer or employee not later than 20 days after the original creation or transmission of the record.

*The Associated Press* in "[Encrypted messaging apps promise privacy. Government transparency is often the price](#)" writes the following:

- Signal is one of many end-to-end encrypted messaging apps that include message auto-delete functions. While such apps promise increased security and privacy, they often skirt open records laws meant to increase transparency around and public awareness of government decision-making. Without special archiving software, the messages frequently aren't returned under public information requests.
- An Associated Press review in all 50 states found accounts on encrypted platforms registered to cellphone numbers for over 1,100 government workers and elected officials. The AP found accounts for state, local and federal officials in nearly every state, including many legislators and their staff, but also staff for governors, state attorneys general, education departments and school board members. The AP's list is likely incomplete because users can make accounts unsearchable.
- Improper use of the apps has been reported over the past decade in places like Missouri, Oregon, Oklahoma, Maryland and elsewhere, almost always because of leaked messages.
- The best remedy is stronger public record laws, said David Cuillier, director of the Brechner Freedom of Information Project at the University of Florida. Most state laws already make clear that the content of communication — not the method — is what makes something a public record, but many of those laws lack teeth, he said.


According to the [Colorado Freedom of Information Coalition](#), here is the current legal landscape:

- Michigan House Bill 4778 directs state departments to "[not use any app, software, or other technology that prevents it from maintaining or preserving a public record](#)"
- In *Sansone v. Governor of Missouri*, the Missouri Court of Appeals for the Western District held that the governor's use of disappearing messaging apps was not a violation of the sunshine laws because no messages were retained so there was no public record to be produced.
- Texas Senate Bill 944, enacted in 2019, requires government officials to preserve text messages sent from or received on their personal devices that concern public or official business. However, the law does not directly address the use of disappearing or encrypted messaging apps.
- Kansas Executive Order 18–06 requires governor's office employees to conduct official business on their official state email accounts; accordingly, any use of a disappearing or encrypted messaging app for official business would be in violation of the executive order.

The following government entities have been reported to have experienced incidents of personal devices and/or ephemeral messaging preventing the preservation of public records:

Title	Description	Result
<a href="#">Trump administration in SignalGate</a>	Senior national security officials coordinated airstrikes on Signal and accidentally added The Atlantic's editor to the chat.	<a href="#">American Oversight sued</a> ; Judge Boasberg issued a temporary restraining order to preserve messages (most already auto-deleted); Pentagon issued a memo barring Signal for non-public unclassified info.
<a href="#">Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE)</a>	Department of Government Efficiency members used Signal for agency matters, allegedly to evade FOIA.	A 2025 <a href="#">memo</a> was issued, asking DOGE employees to preserve Signal messages and disable auto-delete.
<a href="#">San Francisco Board of Supervisors</a>	Supervisors and aides used Telegram (including Secret Chats with self-destruct timers, on purpose) for city business.	Supervisor <a href="#">decided not to use Telegram</a> for public business until resolved by City Attorney.
<a href="#">San Jose Mayor</a>	Mayor directed a constituent to contact him on a personal email account to skirt public records laws. "I'm going to delete this email from my government account..."	Judge ruled in August 2023 that they violated state transparency law; this <a href="#">directly prompted</a> SB 908 (2024).
<a href="#">San Diego Councilmembers Offices</a>	Official asked colleagues to use Signal for work purposes. When asked for records via CPRA, records were gone.	Found no action in response.
<a href="#">Missouri Governor</a>	"nearly every member of the former governor's taxpayer-funded staff had a Confide [self-destructive texting app] account and that the app was being used to communicate both within the governor's office and with outside allies and lobbyists."	AG found no legal violation, but Governor's office banned self-destructive texting apps for public business.
<a href="#">Police in Portland, Maine</a>	Police use Signal for sensitive communications, sometimes with self-deleting chat feature.	Found no action in response.

<a href="#">Oklahoma Treasurer's Office</a>	Signal chats used to coordinate policy with outside lobbyists. Official disabled ephemeral messages, but lobbyist may have enabled it, and thus some records auto-deleted.	AG "strongly discourages public officials from using third-party messaging applications when communicating about public business."
<a href="#">Maryland Governor</a>	Governor and senior advisors used Wickr chat rooms with 24-hour auto-delete for state business.	"Transparency in Public Records Act of 2022 (SB 307)" introduced to prevent this. Bill failed.
<a href="#">Michigan State Police</a>	Top police officials used Signal. One quote lightly <a href="#">suggested</a> that some Signal chat were missing.	Passed <a href="#">House Bill 4778</a> "all state departments and all state agencies must not use any app, software, or other technology that prevents it from maintaining or preserving a public record..."
<a href="#">Seattle Mayor</a>	"Former Mayor Jenny Durkan's phone was changed to "delete messages after 30 days" for roughly three weeks, which effectively destroyed past texts. Former Chief Carmen Best deleted her text messages, saying she thought the city backed them up, as they do with email. Fire Chief Harold Scoggins conducted a hard reset on his phone, destroying his text messages, because he couldn't remember the passcode on his phone."	"King County Prosecutor declined criminal charges, citing inability to prove intent."
<a href="#">Florida Governor</a>	Public business seems to have been conducted on personal devices; some records production refused.	"Appeals court <a href="#">overturned</a> a ruling that governor's administration took too long in providing public records about a controversial 2022 decision to fly migrants from Texas to Massachusetts."



**DATE:** May 4, 2026

**TO:** FAIR CAMPAIGN PRACTICES COMMISSION

**FROM:** Mark Numainville, City Clerk

**SUBJECT:** **Certification of Public Financing Program Participant**

---

Pursuant to the Fair Elections Act of 2016, the City is required to certify candidates as participants in the City's public financing program.

In order to participate, a candidate must submit an application for participation and complete all required administrative paperwork to process payments.

The candidate must also qualify by submitting 30 contributions of at least \$10 from 30 unique contributors totaling at least \$650.

As of May 4, 2026, the following candidate has submitted the required filings as well as a compliant Initial Qualifying Request and their participation in the program has been approved by City staff:

<b>Application for Participation and Initial Qualifying Request for Funds</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b>	Syrak Micael for Berkeley City Council 2026
<b>Qualified Contributions:</b>	\$1,400
<b>Eligible Matching Funds:</b>	\$8,400
<b>Action</b>	Certified candidate to participate in the program. Approved 6:1 matching public funds in an amount not to exceed \$8,400.

Basic glossary of terms:


Application for Participation	Required form for participating candidates that certifies they will follow the regulations and requirements of the program.
Qualifying Request	In order to qualify to receive public matching funds, a candidate must collect at least 30 contributions (from 30 unique contributors) of at least \$10 totaling \$650.
Matching Request	Campaign committees participating in the Public Financing Program submit a Matching Request with all required documentation in order to receive Public Matching Funds.

- 2 -

Qualified Contribution	A contribution that is eligible for the 6-to-1 match from the Fair Elections Fund. A Qualified Contribution is a contribution of \$60 or less from a natural person resident of Berkeley.
------------------------	---

**Attachments**

1. Syrak Micael: Application for Participation and Initial Qualifying Request for Funds.



City Clerk Department  
 2180 Milvia Street  
 Berkeley, CA 94704  
 (510) 981-6900  
[www.cityofberkeley.info](http://www.cityofberkeley.info) | [elections@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:elections@cityofberkeley.info)


E-Filed  
 04/21/2026  
 16:47:07  
 Filing ID:  
 216667970

## FAIR ELECTIONS ACT FORM

### APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC FINANCING PROGRAM

(Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.12 – Election Reform Act)

OFFICE SOUGHT		
OFFICE City Council Member	COUNCIL DISTRICT (IF APPLICABLE) 7	
ELECTION CYCLE 11/03/2026		
CANDIDATE INFORMATION		
LAST Micael	FIRST Syrak	M.I.
COMMITTEE INFORMATION		
NAME OF CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE Syrak Micael for Berkeley City Council 2026		FPPC I.D. No. Pending
CANDIDATE CERTIFICATION		
<p>Under penalty of perjury, I hereby certify:</p> <p>I have complied with the restrictions of Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.12 (Election Reform Act) during the election cycle to date; and</p> <p>My campaign committee has filed all campaign finance reports required by law during the election cycle to date and that they are complete and accurate; and</p> <p>I will comply with the requirements of Chapter 2.12 during the remainder of the election cycle and, specifically, if certified as an eligible participating candidate, will comply with the requirements applicable to participating candidates.</p>		
Syrak Micael CANDIDATE SIGNATURE		04/20/2026 DATE SIGNED



City Clerk Department  
2180 Milvia Street  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-6900

[www.cityofberkeley.info](http://www.cityofberkeley.info) | [elections@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:elections@cityofberkeley.info)

E-Filed  
04/30/2026  
17:36:13  
  
Filing ID:  
216714693

**FAIR ELECTIONS ACT FORM  
INITIAL QUALIFYING DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC FUNDS  
BY CANDIDATES FOR MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL, CITY AUDITOR,  
SCHOOL BOARD DIRECTOR, OR RENT STABILIZATION BOARD COMMISSIONER**

<b>OFFICE SOUGHT</b>			
OFFICE City Council Member		COUNCIL DISTRICT (IF APPLICABLE) District 7	
ELECTION CYCLE 11/03/2026			
<b>COMMITTEE INFORMATION</b>			
NAME OF CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE  Syrak Micael for Berkeley City Council 2026			FPPC I.D. No.  Pending
STREET ADDRESS		APARTMENT/SUITE/FLOOR	
CITY Berkeley	STATE CA	ZIP CODE 94704	
TELEPHONE (510) 517-4786	EMAIL ADDRESS syrakforsouthside@gmail.com		
<b>CANDIDATE DECLARATION</b>			
<p>I hereby declare that the following information is true and correct:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All information on the attached Qualified Contribution Receipts is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>• I understand that the City shall verify that the qualified contributions meet all of the requirements and restrictions prior to the disbursement of funds.</li> <li>• I understand that if I submit any contributions that are not from the person(s) identified on the receipt I shall be liable to pay the Fair Elections Fund the entire amount in addition to any penalties.</li> <li>• All Qualified Contributions, of any dollar amount, eligible for matching Fair Elections funds must be publically disclosed with the contributor information required under Sections 2.12.280 and 2.12.283.</li> <li>• I understand that all campaign filings must be current in order for a disbursement of Fair Election funds to be released.</li> </ul>			
Syrak Micael CANDIDATE SIGNATURE		04/30/2026 DATE SIGNED	

**INITIAL QUALIFYING REQUEST FOR FAIR ELECTIONS FUNDS PAYMENT**

In order to participate in the Public Financing Program, a candidate must collect at least 30 Qualified Contributions from at least 30 unique contributors of at least \$10.00 and no more than \$60.00 each, for a total dollar amount of at least \$580.00.

All approved Qualified Contributions are matched at a 6:1 ratio. For all public matching funds requests, a candidate must submit the following documentation:

- Qualified Contributions List (below).
- Receipt for Qualified Contribution for Fair Elections Funds.
- Evidence of each contribution received, such as electronic copies of contributor checks, credit card authorizations, or online payment receipts.
- Electronic copies of itemized deposit slips.
- Complete contributor information (full name, address, occupation, and employer) as required by BERA and the Political Reform Act.

	Last Name	First Name	Address	Zip Code, City	Occupation	Employer	Payment Method	Date Received	Date Deposited	Deposit Batch #	Amount of Contribution
1.	Martin	Amory		94720 Berkeley	Student	UC Berkeley	Electronic	04/28/2026	04/28/2026	34	10.00
2.	Cho	David		94704 Berkeley	UC Berkeley	Student	Electronic	04/27/2026	04/27/2026	26	10.00
3.	Foo	Annie		94704 Berkeley	Student	UC Berkeley	Electronic	04/27/2026	04/27/2026	29	15.00
4.	Cornejo Figueroa	Giovanna		94704 Berkeley	Student	UC Berkeley	Electronic	04/27/2026	04/27/2026	30	10.00
5.	Aradom	Tarikh		94703 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	04/21/2026	04/21/2026	31	60.00
6.	Laverde	Paola		94709 Berkeley	Public Information Officer	Alameda County	Electronic	04/19/2026	04/19/2026	27	60.00
7.	Rodriguez	Derek		94704 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	04/19/2026	04/19/2026	28	25.00
8.	Halajian	Sevag		91403 Sherman Oaks	Soccer Coach	Oakland Soccer Club	Electronic	04/17/2026	04/03/2026	24	10.00
9.	Garcia Sanchez	Ivan		94710 Berkeley	Software Developer	Tippett Studio	Electronic	04/14/2026	04/15/2026	32	10.00
10.	Twu	Alfred		94704 Berkeley	City of Berkeley/Self Employed	Architect/Rent Board Commissioner	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	15	60.00
11.	Scribner	Logan		94702 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	14	25.00
12.	Asfaha	Akliliu		94702 Berkeley	Driver	NA	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	16	60.00
13.	Rudin	Micah		94720 Berkeley	Student	UC Berkeley	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	17	60.00
14.	Kono-Soosaipillai	Arunan		94703 Berkeley	Teacher	SFUSD	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	04	60.00
15.	Chander	Isha		94704 Berkeley	Student	UC Berkeley	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	02	20.00


Subtotals **34** 495.00

Last Name	First Name	Address	Zip Code, City	Occupation	Employer	Payment Method	Date Received	Date Deposited	Deposit Batch #	Amount of Contribution
16.	Rios	Diego	94704 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	23	25.00
17.	Javier	Ryan	94704 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	22	20.00
18.	Fitzgerald	Lauren	94704 Berkeley	Campaign Manager	Term Limits Now	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	21	60.00
19.	Salari-Namin	Soroush	94707 Berkeley	Engineering Aide	Easy Bay Municipal Utility District	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	20	60.00
20.	Kono-Soosaipillai	Aashna	94703 Berkeley	Research	UCB	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	19	60.00
21.	Sinclair	Brendan	94704 Berkeley	Student	UC Berkeley	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	18	25.00
22.	Alpert	Soli	94709 Berkeley	Rent Board Commissioner	City of Berkeley	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/03/2026	25	60.00
23.	Kalyan	Anushka	94720 Berkeley	Student	N/A	Electronic	04/03/2026	04/27/2026	35	10.00
24.	Garcia	Angel	94710 Berkeley	Software Developer	Medavize Inc.	Electronic	04/02/2026	04/02/2026	33	20.00
25.	Araya	Tsegereda	94703 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	04/01/2026	04/01/2026	09	60.00
26.	Scribner	Kelly	94702 Berkeley	Attorney	Federal Public Defender	Electronic	04/01/2026	04/01/2026	13	60.00
27.	Miller	Bryce	94704 Berkeley	Student	UC Berkeley	Electronic	03/30/2026	03/30/2026	07	25.00
28.	Lunaparra	Cecilia	94704 Berkeley	Councilmember	City of Berkeley	Electronic	03/30/2026	03/30/2026	08	60.00
29.	Dhagat	Luca	94709 Berkeley	Student Food Service Worker	UC Berkeley Dining	Electronic	03/30/2026	03/30/2026	06	60.00
30.	Arbaugh	Avery	94704 Berkeley	Field Organizer	ACCE Action	Electronic	03/29/2026	03/29/2026	05	60.00
31.	Mahmood	Khalid	94709 Berkeley	UAW 4811	Field Organizer	Electronic	03/27/2026	03/27/2026	12	60.00
32.	Araya	Kidisti	94708 Berkeley	Director, Product Technology	BioMarin Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Electronic	03/27/2026	03/27/2026	11	60.00
33.	Classon	Gabriel	94709 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	03/27/2026	03/27/2026	01	60.00
34.	Asgedom	Fikreyesus	94708 Berkeley	Genentech	Principal Engineer, Commissioning & Qualification	Electronic	03/27/2026	03/27/2026	10	60.00

**Ineligibility Codes (if any)**  
 DUP - Duplicate Contribution  
 ECL - Exceeds Cash Limit  
 EMD - Exceeds Max Donation  
 INSUF - Insufficient Documentation  
 MAX - Reached Maximum Amount Permitted  
 NRES - Non-Berkeley Resident  
 SIG - Electronic/No Signature

**1,400  
X6  
8,400**

Subtotals	905.00
Grand Totals	1,400.00



**DATE:** April 20, 2026

**TO:** FAIR CAMPAIGN PRACTICES COMMISSION

**FROM:** Mark Numainville, City Clerk

**SUBJECT:** **Certification of Public Financing Program Participant**

---

Pursuant to the Fair Elections Act of 2016, the City is required to certify candidates as participants in the City's public financing program.

In order to participate, a candidate must submit an application for participation and complete all required administrative paperwork to process payments.

The candidate must also qualify by submitting 30 contributions of at least \$10 from 30 unique contributors totaling at least \$610.

As of April 20, 2026, the following candidate has submitted the required filings as well as a compliant Initial Qualifying Request and their participation in the program has been approved by City staff:

<b>Application for Participation and Initial Qualifying Request for Funds</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b>	Igor Tregub for Berkeley City Council District 4 2026
<b>Qualified Contributions:</b>	\$1,760
<b>Eligible Matching Funds:</b>	\$10,560
<b>Action</b>	Certified candidate to participate in the program. Approved 6:1 matching public funds in an amount not to exceed \$10,560.

Basic glossary of terms:


Application for Participation	Required form for participating candidates that certifies they will follow the regulations and requirements of the program.
Qualifying Request	In order to qualify to receive public matching funds, a candidate must collect at least 30 contributions (from 30 unique contributors) of at least \$10 totaling \$610.
Matching Request	Campaign committees participating in the Public Financing Program submit a Matching Request with all required documentation in order to receive Public Matching Funds.

- 2 -

Qualified Contribution	A contribution that is eligible for the 6-to-1 match from the Fair Elections Fund. A Qualified Contribution is a contribution of \$60 or less from a natural person resident of Berkeley.
------------------------	---

**Attachments**

1. Igor Tregub: Application for Participation and Initial Qualifying Request for Funds.



City Clerk Department  
 2180 Milvia Street  
 Berkeley, CA 94704  
 (510) 981-6900  
[www.cityofberkeley.info](http://www.cityofberkeley.info) | [elections@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:elections@cityofberkeley.info)


E-Filed  
 03/20/2026  
 16:59:47  
 Filing ID:  
 216257477

## FAIR ELECTIONS ACT FORM

### APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC FINANCING PROGRAM

(Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.12 – Election Reform Act)

OFFICE SOUGHT		
OFFICE City Council Member	COUNCIL DISTRICT (IF APPLICABLE) 4	
ELECTION CYCLE 11/03/2026		
CANDIDATE INFORMATION		
LAST Tregub	FIRST Igor	M.I.
COMMITTEE INFORMATION		
NAME OF CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE Igor Tregub for Berkeley City Council District 4 2026		FPPC I.D. No. 1477644
CANDIDATE CERTIFICATION		
<p>Under penalty of perjury, I hereby certify:</p> <p>I have complied with the restrictions of Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.12 (Election Reform Act) during the election cycle to date; and</p> <p>My campaign committee has filed all campaign finance reports required by law during the election cycle to date and that they are complete and accurate; and</p> <p>I will comply with the requirements of Chapter 2.12 during the remainder of the election cycle and, specifically, if certified as an eligible participating candidate, will comply with the requirements applicable to participating candidates.</p>		
Igor Tregub CANDIDATE SIGNATURE		03/20/2026 DATE SIGNED



City Clerk Department  
2180 Milvia Street  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-6900

[www.cityofberkeley.info](http://www.cityofberkeley.info) | [elections@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:elections@cityofberkeley.info)

E-Filed  
04/01/2026  
18:30:05  
  
Filing ID:  
216542170

**FAIR ELECTIONS ACT FORM  
INITIAL QUALIFYING DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC FUNDS  
BY CANDIDATES FOR MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL, CITY AUDITOR,  
SCHOOL BOARD DIRECTOR, OR RENT STABILIZATION BOARD COMMISSIONER**

<b>OFFICE SOUGHT</b>			
OFFICE City Council Member		COUNCIL DISTRICT (IF APPLICABLE) District 4	
ELECTION CYCLE 11/03/2026			
<b>COMMITTEE INFORMATION</b>			
NAME OF CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE  Igor Tregub for Berkeley City Council District 4 2026		FPPC I.D. No.  1477644	
STREET ADDRESS		APARTMENT/SUITE/FLOOR	
CITY Berkeley	STATE CA	ZIP CODE 94703	
TELEPHONE (510) 295-8798	EMAIL ADDRESS itregub@gmail.com		
<b>CANDIDATE DECLARATION</b>			
<p>I hereby declare that the following information is true and correct:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All information on the attached Qualified Contribution Receipts is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>• I understand that the City shall verify that the qualified contributions meet all of the requirements and restrictions prior to the disbursement of funds.</li> <li>• I understand that if I submit any contributions that are not from the person(s) identified on the receipt I shall be liable to pay the Fair Elections Fund the entire amount in addition to any penalties.</li> <li>• All Qualified Contributions, of any dollar amount, eligible for matching Fair Elections funds must be publically disclosed with the contributor information required under Sections 2.12.280 and 2.12.283.</li> <li>• I understand that all campaign filings must be current in order for a disbursement of Fair Election funds to be released.</li> </ul>			
Igor Tregub CANDIDATE SIGNATURE		04/01/2026 DATE SIGNED	

**INITIAL QUALIFYING REQUEST FOR FAIR ELECTIONS FUNDS PAYMENT**

In order to participate in the Public Financing Program, a candidate must collect at least 30 Qualified Contributions from at least 30 unique contributors of at least \$10.00 and no more than \$60.00 each, for a total dollar amount of at least \$580.00.

All approved Qualified Contributions are matched at a 6:1 ratio. For all public matching funds requests, a candidate must submit the following documentation:

- Qualified Contributions List (below).
- Receipt for Qualified Contribution for Fair Elections Funds.
- Evidence of each contribution received, such as electronic copies of contributor checks, credit card authorizations, or online payment receipts.
- Electronic copies of itemized deposit slips.
- Complete contributor information (full name, address, occupation, and employer) as required by BERA and the Political Reform Act.

Last Name	First Name	Address	Zip Code, City	Occupation	Employer	Payment Method	Date Received	Date Deposited	Deposit Batch #	Amount of Contribution
1. Finneburgh	Noah		94705 Berkeley	Consultant	Self	Electronic	02/26/2026	02/26/2026	1	60.00
2. Wengraf	Susan		94708 Berkeley	City Council	City of Berkeley	Electronic	02/04/2026	02/04/2026	1	60.00
3. Humbert	Charles		94705 Berkeley	Lawyer	Humbert Law Offices	Electronic	01/02/2026	01/02/2026	1	60.00
4. Howard-Herrarte	Melinda		94704 Berkeley	Project Manager	Blue Shield of California	Electronic	12/31/2025	12/31/2025	1	60.00
5. Landau	Itamar		94703 Berkeley	Postdoctoral Researcher	Stanford University	Electronic	12/31/2025	12/31/2025	1	60.00
6. Bencuya	Eitan		94704 Berkeley	Public Relations	Chime Financial	Electronic	12/29/2025	12/29/2025	1	60.00
7. Fippen	Cheryl		94703 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	12/29/2025	12/29/2025	1	60.00
8. Bibiane	Tiphane		94709 Berkeley	Retired	Retired	Electronic	12/29/2025	12/29/2025	1	60.00
9. Wilairat	Karen		94703 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	03/12/2025	03/12/2025	1	20.00
10. Wolfe	Marian		94708 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	03/11/2025	03/11/2025	1	60.00
11. Mui	Peter		94703 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	03/07/2025	03/07/2025	1	60.00
12. Wall	Patricia		94710 Berkeley	Attorney	Homeless Action Center	Electronic	03/06/2025	03/06/2025	1	60.00
13. Echols	Elizabeth		94708 Berkeley	Board Member	East Bay Regional Park District	Electronic	03/06/2025	03/06/2025	1	60.00
14. Soper	Charles		94707 Berkeley	Programmer/Analyst	City of Berkeley	Electronic	03/06/2025	03/06/2025	1	60.00
15. Elgstrand	Stefan		94704 Berkeley	Legislative Aide	City of Berkeley	Electronic	03/06/2025	03/06/2025	1	60.00


Subtotals 860.00

Last Name	First Name	Address	Zip Code, City	Occupation	Employer	Payment Method	Date Received	Date Deposited	Deposit Batch #	Amount of Contribution
16.	Spencer	Artemisia	94705 Berkeley	Legislative Aide	City of Berkeley	Electronic	03/06/2025	03/06/2025	1	60.00
17.	Kennedy	Patrick	94704 Berkeley	Developer	Self Employed	Electronic	03/06/2025	03/06/2025	1	60.00
18.	Rosales	Cecilia	94705 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	03/06/2025	03/06/2025	1	60.00
19.	Porte	Susanna	94703 Berkeley	Musician	Self Employed	Electronic	03/06/2025	03/06/2025	1	60.00
20.	Wilson	Frances	94577 San Leandro	Teacher	The Civic Kitchen	Electronic	03/04/2025	03/04/2025	1	60.00
21.	Steinhart	Bobbie	94707 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	03/04/2025	03/04/2025	1	60.00
22.	Ghosh	Barnali	94709 Berkeley	Designer/Artist	Self Employed	Electronic	03/03/2025	03/03/2025	1	60.00
23.	Bigelow Teller	E	94707 Berkeley	Administrative Coordinator	Temple Sinai Oakland	Electronic	03/01/2025	03/01/2025	1	60.00
24.	Wood	L.A.	94709 Berkeley	Not Employed	Not Employed	Electronic	02/27/2025	02/27/2025	1	60.00
25.	Mikiten	Elisa	94703 Berkeley	City Planner	Self Employed	Electronic	02/25/2025	02/25/2025	1	60.00
26.	Oatfield	Christina	94702 Berkeley	Attorney	Self Employed	Electronic	02/25/2025	02/25/2025	1	60.00
27.	Nardinelli	Laurie	94710 Berkeley	Host	Laurie Nardinelli	Electronic	02/25/2025	02/25/2025	1	60.00
28.	Bloom	Wendy	94703 Berkeley	Registered Nurse	UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital Oakland	Electronic	02/22/2025	02/22/2025	1	60.00
29.	Chang	James	94703 Berkeley	Aide	City of Berkeley	Electronic	02/18/2025	02/18/2025	1	60.00
30.	Carleton	Nancy	94705 Berkeley	Editor	Self Employed (Berkeley)	Electronic	02/06/2025	02/06/2025	1	60.00
									Subtotals	900.00
									Grand Totals	1,760.00

**Ineligibility Codes (if any)**

- DUP - Duplicate Contribution
- ECL - Exceeds Cash Limit
- EMD - Exceeds Max Donation
- INSUF - Insufficient Documentation
- MAX - Reached Maximum Amount Permitted
- NRES - Non-Berkeley Resident
- SIG - Electronic/No Signature

1,760  
x6  
 10,560



**DATE:** April 16, 2026

**TO:** FAIR CAMPAIGN PRACTICES COMMISSION

**FROM:** Mark Numainville, City Clerk

**SUBJECT:** **Certification of Public Financing Program Participant**

---

Pursuant to the Fair Elections Act of 2016, the City is required to certify candidates as participants in the City's public financing program.

In order to participate, a candidate must submit an application for participation and complete all required administrative paperwork to process payments.

The candidate must also qualify by submitting 30 contributions of at least \$10 from 30 unique contributors totaling at least \$650.

As of April 16, 2026, the following candidate has submitted the required filings as well as a compliant Initial Qualifying Request and their participation in the program has been approved by City staff:

<b>Application for Participation and Initial Qualifying Request for Funds</b>	
<b>Submitted By:</b>	Re-Elect Shanoski for Berkeley School Board 2026
<b>Qualified Contributions:</b>	\$2,660
<b>Eligible Matching Funds:</b>	\$15,960
<b>Action</b>	Certified candidate to participate in the program. Approved 6:1 matching public funds in an amount not to exceed \$15,960.

Basic glossary of terms:


Application for Participation	Required form for participating candidates that certifies they will follow the regulations and requirements of the program.
Qualifying Request	In order to qualify to receive public matching funds, a candidate must collect at least 30 contributions (from 30 unique contributors) of at least \$10 totaling \$650.
Matching Request	Campaign committees participating in the Public Financing Program submit a Matching Request with all required documentation in order to receive Public Matching Funds.

- 2 -

Qualified Contribution	A contribution that is eligible for the 6-to-1 match from the Fair Elections Fund. A Qualified Contribution is a contribution of \$60 or less from a natural person resident of Berkeley.
------------------------	---

**Attachments**

1. Jennifer Shanoski: Application for Participation and Initial Qualifying Request for Funds.



City Clerk Department  
 2180 Milvia Street  
 Berkeley, CA 94704  
 (510) 981-6900  
[www.cityofberkeley.info](http://www.cityofberkeley.info) | [elections@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:elections@cityofberkeley.info)


E-Filed  
 03/08/2026  
 11:40:26  
 Filing ID:  
 216021399

## FAIR ELECTIONS ACT FORM

### APPLICATION FOR PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC FINANCING PROGRAM

(Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.12 – Election Reform Act)

OFFICE SOUGHT		
OFFICE School Board Trustee	COUNCIL DISTRICT (IF APPLICABLE) N/A	
ELECTION CYCLE 11/06/2026		
CANDIDATE INFORMATION		
LAST Shanoski	FIRST Jennifer	M.I.
COMMITTEE INFORMATION		
NAME OF CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE Re-Elect Shanoski for Berkeley School Board 2026		FPPC I.D. No. 1486804
CANDIDATE CERTIFICATION		
<p>Under penalty of perjury, I hereby certify:</p> <p>I have complied with the restrictions of Berkeley Municipal Code Chapter 2.12 (Election Reform Act) during the election cycle to date; and</p> <p>My campaign committee has filed all campaign finance reports required by law during the election cycle to date and that they are complete and accurate; and</p> <p>I will comply with the requirements of Chapter 2.12 during the remainder of the election cycle and, specifically, if certified as an eligible participating candidate, will comply with the requirements applicable to participating candidates.</p>		
Jennifer Shanoski CANDIDATE SIGNATURE		03/07/2026 DATE SIGNED



City Clerk Department  
2180 Milvia Street  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
(510) 981-6900

[www.cityofberkeley.info](http://www.cityofberkeley.info) | [elections@cityofberkeley.info](mailto:elections@cityofberkeley.info)

E-Filed  
03/31/2026  
15:09:37  
  
Filing ID:  
216485973

**FAIR ELECTIONS ACT FORM  
INITIAL QUALIFYING DECLARATION FOR PUBLIC FUNDS  
BY CANDIDATES FOR MAYOR, CITY COUNCIL, CITY AUDITOR,  
SCHOOL BOARD DIRECTOR, OR RENT STABILIZATION BOARD COMMISSIONER**

<b>OFFICE SOUGHT</b>			
OFFICE School Board Trustee		COUNCIL DISTRICT (IF APPLICABLE)	
ELECTION CYCLE 11/03/2026			
<b>COMMITTEE INFORMATION</b>			
NAME OF CAMPAIGN COMMITTEE  Re-Elect Shanoski for Berkeley School Board 2026		FPPC I.D. No.  1486804	
STREET ADDRESS		APARTMENT/SUITE/FLOOR	
CITY Berkeley	STATE CA	ZIP CODE 94702	
TELEPHONE (510) 499-0495	EMAIL ADDRESS shanoski4berkeley@gmail.com		
<b>CANDIDATE DECLARATION</b>			
<p>I hereby declare that the following information is true and correct:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All information on the attached Qualified Contribution Receipts is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.</li> <li>• I understand that the City shall verify that the qualified contributions meet all of the requirements and restrictions prior to the disbursement of funds.</li> <li>• I understand that if I submit any contributions that are not from the person(s) identified on the receipt I shall be liable to pay the Fair Elections Fund the entire amount in addition to any penalties.</li> <li>• All Qualified Contributions, of any dollar amount, eligible for matching Fair Elections funds must be publically disclosed with the contributor information required under Sections 2.12.280 and 2.12.283.</li> <li>• I understand that all campaign filings must be current in order for a disbursement of Fair Election funds to be released.</li> </ul>			
Jennifer Shanoski CANDIDATE SIGNATURE		03/31/2026 DATE SIGNED	

**INITIAL QUALIFYING REQUEST FOR FAIR ELECTIONS FUNDS PAYMENT**

In order to participate in the Public Financing Program, a candidate must collect at least 30 Qualified Contributions from at least 30 unique contributors of at least \$10.00 and no more than \$60.00 each, for a total dollar amount of at least \$580.00.

All approved Qualified Contributions are matched at a 6:1 ratio. For all public matching funds requests, a candidate must submit the following documentation:

- Qualified Contributions List (below).
- Receipt for Qualified Contribution for Fair Elections Funds.
- Evidence of each contribution received, such as electronic copies of contributor checks, credit card authorizations, or online payment receipts.
- Electronic copies of itemized deposit slips.
- Complete contributor information (full name, address, occupation, and employer) as required by BERA and the Political Reform Act.

Last Name	First Name	Address	Zip Code, City	Occupation	Employer	Payment Method	Date Received	Date Deposited	Deposit Batch #	Amount of Contribution
1. Le	Ai		94703 Berkeley	CFO	Edventure More	Electronic	03/18/2026	03/18/2026	2026-12	60.00
2. Marcus	Helen		94705 Berkeley	Retired	N/A	Electronic	03/10/2026	03/13/2026	2026-10	60.00
3. Garner-Fong	Jane		94703 Berkeley	Retired	N/A	Electronic	03/09/2026	03/09/2026	2026-7	50.00
4. Andric	Vladimir		94707 Berkeley	Lawyer	Buty & Curliano LLP	Electronic	03/08/2026	03/09/2026	2026-7	60.00
5. Ishii	Adena		94703 Berkeley	Mayor	City of Berkeley	Electronic	03/08/2026	03/09/2026	2026-7	60.00
6. Downey	Brent		94703 Berkeley	Maintenance Engineer	Southwest Airlines	Check	03/08/2026	03/19/2026	2026-11	60.00
7. St. John	Patricia		94709 Berkeley	Retired - Landscape Designer	St. John Landscape Design	Check	03/06/2026	03/06/2026	2026-5	60.00
8. Elliott	Ann		94703 Berkeley	Retired	N/A	Electronic	03/04/2026	03/09/2026	2026-7	30.00
9. Skeels	Wyn		94709 Berkeley	Adminstrator	Berkeley Unified School District	Electronic	03/03/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
10. Weaver	Eric		94708 Berkeley	Lawyer	Self Employed in Berkeley	Electronic	03/02/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
11. Sanderson	Sara		94708 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	03/02/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
12. Wright	Peter		94708 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	03/01/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
13. Wright	Fionnuala		94708 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	03/01/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
14. Bedford	Mary		94703 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	03/01/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
15. Hashiguchi	Ellen		94702 Berkeley	N/A	None	Electronic	03/01/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	30.00

Subtotals 830.00

Last Name	First Name	Address	Zip Code, City	Occupation	Employer	Payment Method	Date Received	Date Deposited	Deposit Batch #	Amount of Contribution
16.	Lybarger	Kathryn	94703 Berkeley	Gardener	UC Berkeley	Electronic	02/28/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
17.	Sinai	Julie	94707 Berkeley	Chief of Policy	City of Berkeley	Electronic	02/28/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
18.	Twu	Alfred	94704 Berkeley	Architect	MWA Architects	Electronic	02/28/2026	03/11/2026	2026-9	60.00
19.	Krasnow	Allison	94705 Berkeley	Administrator	Contra Costa Office of Education	Electronic	02/27/2026	03/09/2026	2026-8	60.00
20.	Alper	Ty	94703 Berkeley	Professor	UC Berkeley	Electronic	02/26/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
21.	Goldstein	Glenn	94708 Berkeley	Retired	N/A	Electronic	02/26/2026	03/06/2026	2026-6	30.00
22.	Fike	Barry	94703 Berkeley	Retired	N/A	Electronic	02/26/2026	03/09/2026	2026-8	60.00
23.	Tregub	Igor	94703 Berkeley	Councilmember	City of Berkeley	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
24.	Bloom	Wendy	94703 Berkeley	Registered Nurse	UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
25.	Carychao	Michael	94703 Berkeley	Owner	Viridian Reveries	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
26.	Park	Sandy	94702 Berkeley	Self-Employed	Self Employed in Berkeley (Sandy Park)	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
27.	Corn	Jennifer	94702 Berkeley	Administrator	Oakland Unified	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
28.	Searle	Julie	94703 Berkeley	Retired	None	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
29.	Givens	Sarah	94702 Berkeley	Writer	Brechtel Mfg. Inc.	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
30.	Brechtel	Fred	94702 Berkeley	CEO	Brechtel Mfg.	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
31.	Brechtel	Sam	94702 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
32.	Logan	Jane	94705 Berkeley	Senior Director	Enterprise Architecture at MillerKnoll	Electronic	02/24/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
33.	Marquardt	Tatiana	94702 Berkeley	Educational Consultant	Classroom Matters	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
34.	Glass	Fred	94707 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
35.	Humbert	Charles Mark	94705-2328 Berkeley	Councilmember	City of Berkeley	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
36.	Weinstein	Karen	94708 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
									Subtotals	1,230.00

Last Name	First Name	Address	Zip Code, City	Occupation	Employer	Payment Method	Date Received	Date Deposited	Deposit Batch #	Amount of Contribution
37. Rios	Cielo		94703 Berkeley	Owner	Magic Spoon	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
38. Sypniewski	Jeremy		94703 Berkeley	Designer	Uber	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
39. Chang	James		94703 Berkeley	Legislative Aide	City of Berkeley	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
40. Daniels	Joshua		94702 Berkeley	Chief	CA School Boards Association	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
41. Kaufmyn	Wynd		94705 Berkeley	N/A - Retired	N/A	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/14/2026	2026-3	60.00
42. Allan	Stephanie		94703 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/04/2026	2026-3	60.00
43. Hofmeister	Scott		94705 Berkeley	N/A	N/A	Electronic	02/23/2026	03/05/2026	2026-4	60.00
44. Sanceri	Jeff		94703 Berkeley	Instructor	Peralta Community College District	Electronic	02/19/2026	03/02/2026	2026-2	60.00
45. Yep	Nancy		94707 Berkeley	Retired	N/A	Check	01/29/2026	01/29/2026	2026-1	60.00
46. Yep	Ray		94707 Berkeley	Retired	N/A	Check	01/29/2026	01/29/2026	2026-1	60.00
									Subtotals	600.00
									Grand Totals	2,660.00

**2,660  
X6  
15,960**

**Ineligibility Codes (if any)**  
**DUP - Duplicate Contribution**  
**ECL - Exceeds Cash Limit**  
**EMD - Exceeds Max Donation**  
**INSUF - Insufficient Documentation**  
**MAX - Reached Maximum Amount Permitted**  
**NRES - Non-Berkeley Resident**  
**SIG - Electronic/No Signature**